

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

A NEW FAMILY OF PARTITION IDENTITIES

DAVID BRESSOUD

A NEW FAMILY OF PARTITION IDENTITIES

D. M. BRESSOUD

The partition function $A(n; k)$ is the number of partitions of n with minimal difference k . Our principal result is that for all $k \geq 1$, $A(n; k) \equiv B(n; k)$, where $B(n; k)$ is the number of partitions of n into distinct parts such that for $1 \leq i \leq k$, the smallest part $\equiv i \pmod{k}$ is $> k \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} r(j)$, where $r(j)$ is the number of parts $\equiv j \pmod{k}$. This arises as a corollary to a more general result.

The particular case $A(n; 2) = B(n; 2)$ was recently proved by Andrews and Askey [1]. It is known from the Rogers-Ramanujan identities (e.g., Harby and Wright [2], p. 291) that $A(n; 2)$ is equal to the number of partition of n into parts $\equiv \pm 1 \pmod{5}$. Andrews and Askey discovered a q -series identity due to Rogers which has the partition theoretic interpretation: $B(n; 2)$ is equal to the number of partitions of n into parts $\equiv \pm 1 \pmod{5}$.

The general identity. Given $k \geq 1$, let $q(1), q(2), \dots, q(k)$ be any complete residue system mod k . We define the following partition functions:

$A(n; k; q(1), \dots, q(k); r(1), \dots, r(k))$ = number of partitions of n with minimal difference k and such that for $1 \leq i \leq k$, there are $r(i)$ parts $\equiv q(i) \pmod{k}$.

$B(n; k; q(1), \dots, q(k); r(1), \dots, r(k))$ = number of partitions of n into distinct parts such that for $1 \leq i \leq k$, there are $r(i)$ parts $\equiv q(i) \pmod{k}$, and the smallest part $\equiv q(i) \pmod{k}$ is $> k \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} r(j)$.

$C(n; k; q(1), \dots, q(k); r(1), \dots, r(k))$ = number of partitions of n such that for $1 \leq i \leq k$, there are $r(i)$ parts $\equiv q(i) \pmod{k}$.

Given $r(1), \dots, r(k)$, we set $S = \sum_{i=1}^k r(i)$ = number of parts in the partition.

LEMMA 1.

$$\begin{aligned} &A(n; k; q(1), \dots, q(k); r(1), \dots, r(k)) \\ &= C(n - kS(S - 1)/2; k; q(1), \dots, q(k); r(1), \dots, r(k)). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Given a partition of n with minimal difference k and $r(i)$ parts $\equiv q(i) \pmod{k}$, subtract k from the second smallest part, $2k$ from the third smallest part, and, in general $k(j - 1)$ from the j th smallest part. This gives us a partition of $n - kS(S - 1)/2$ with $r(i)$ parts $\equiv q(i) \pmod{k}$ for all $i, 1 \leq i \leq k$.

Similarly, given a partition of $n - kS(S - 1)/2$ with $r(i)$ parts $\equiv q(i) \pmod{k}$, add $k(j - 1)$ to the j th smallest part. This yields a partition of n with minimal difference k and $r(i)$ parts $\equiv q(i) \pmod{k}$.

LEMMA 2.

$$\begin{aligned} B(n; k; q(1), \dots, q(k); r(1), \dots, r(k)) \\ = C(n - kS(S - 1)/2; k; q(1), \dots, q(k); r(1), \dots, r(k)). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Given a partition of n into distinct parts such that $r(i)$ parts are $\equiv q(i) \pmod{k}$ and the smallest part $\equiv q(i) \pmod{k}$ is $> k \sum_{l=1}^{i-1} r(l)$, we subtract k from the second smallest part $\equiv q(1) \pmod{k}$, $2k$ from the third smallest part $\equiv q(1) \pmod{k}$, and so on up to subtracting $k(r(1) - 1)$ from the largest part $\equiv q(1) \pmod{k}$. We then subtract $kr(1)$ from the smallest part $\equiv q(2) \pmod{k}$, $k(r(1) + 1)$ from the second smallest part $\equiv q(2) \pmod{k}$, and so on up to subtracting $k(r(1) + r(2) - 1)$ from the largest part $\equiv q(2) \pmod{k}$.

We continue this process, in general subtracting $k(j - 1 + \sum_{l=1}^{i-1} r(l))$ from the j th smallest part $\equiv q(i) \pmod{k}$. Recall that the j th smallest part $\equiv q(i) \pmod{k}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq k(j - 1) + (\text{the smallest part} \equiv q(i) \pmod{k}) \\ &> k(j - 1) + k \sum_{l=1}^{i-1} r(l). \end{aligned}$$

Also note that:

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{r(i)} k \left(j - 1 + \sum_{l=1}^{i-1} r(l) \right) = kS(S - 1)/2.$$

Thus, this gives us a partition of $n - kS(S - 1)/2$ with $r(i)$ parts $\equiv q(i) \pmod{k}$ for all i , $1 \leq i \leq k$.

Similarly, given a partition of $n - kS(S - 1)/2$ with $r(i)$ parts $\equiv q(i) \pmod{k}$, add $k(j - 1 + \sum_{l=1}^{i-1} r(l))$ to the j th smallest part $\equiv q(i) \pmod{k}$. This yields a partition of n into distinct parts such that $r(i)$ parts are $\equiv q(i) \pmod{k}$ and the smallest part $\equiv q(i) \pmod{k}$ is $> k \sum_{l=1}^{i-1} r(l)$.

As an immediate consequence of Lemmas 1 and 2, we have:

THEOREM.

$$\begin{aligned} A(n; k; q(1), \dots, q(k); r(1), \dots, r(k)) \\ = B(n; k; q(1), \dots, q(k); r(1), \dots, r(k)). \end{aligned}$$

COROLLARY 1. $A(n; k) = B(n; k)$.

Proof. For all i , $1 \leq i \leq k$, let $q(i) = i$. Then

$$A(n; k) = \sum_{r(1), \dots, r(k)=0}^{\infty} A(n; k; 1, \dots, k; r(1), \dots, r(k)),$$

and

$$B(n; k) = \sum_{r(1), \dots, r(k)=0}^{\infty} B(n; k; 1, \dots, k; r(1), \dots, r(k)).$$

COROLLARY 2. *Let σ be a permutation function on the integers 1 through k . Given a function r defined on these integers, let $R(i) = r(\sigma^{-1}(i))$. Then:*

$$\begin{aligned} & B(n; k; \sigma(1), \dots, \sigma(k); R(1), \dots, R(k)) \\ &= B(n; k; 1, 2, \dots, k; r(1), \dots, r(k)). \end{aligned}$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} & A(n; k; \sigma(1), \dots, \sigma(k); R(1), \dots, R(k)) \\ &= A(n; k; 1, 2, \dots, k; r(1), \dots, r(k)), \end{aligned}$$

since they count exactly the same partitions.

In particular, letting $k = 2$ in Corollary 2 gives us:

The number of partitions of n into distinct parts such that r of them are odd and s are even and the smallest even part is $>2r$.

=The number of partitions of n into distinct parts such that r of them are odd and s are even and the smallest odd part is $>2s$.

CONCLUSION. One corollary of Lemma 1 is of interest. Using Lemma 1, we have that:

the number of partitions of n into parts $\equiv \pm 1 \pmod{5}$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{r,s=0}^{\infty} C(n; 5; 1, 2, 3, 4, 5; r, 0, 0, s, 0) \\ &= \sum_{r,s=0}^{\infty} A(n + 5(r + s)(r + s - 1)/2; 5; 1, 2, 3, 4, 5; r, 0, 0, s, 0). \end{aligned}$$

By the first Rogers-Ramanujan identity:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{the number of partitions of } n \text{ into parts } \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{5} \\ &= A(n; 2) \\ &= \sum_{R,S=0}^{\infty} A(n; 2; 1, 2; R, S). \end{aligned}$$

Thus:

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad & \sum_{R,S=0}^{\infty} A(n; 2; 1, 2; R, S) \\ &= \sum_{r,s=0}^{\infty} A(n + 5(r + s)(r + s - 1)/2; 5; 1, 2, 3, 4, 5; r, 0, 0, s, 0). \end{aligned}$$

The significance of equation (1) lies in the fact that if a purely combinatorial proof can be found for it, this will give us a purely combinatorial proof of the first Rogers-Ramanujan identity.

REFERENCES

1. George E. Andrews and Richard Askey, *Enumeration of Partitions*, Proceedings of the NATO Advanced Study Institute "Higher Combinatorics," Berlin, Sept. 1-10, 1976, ed. by M. Aigner, publ. by Reidel, Dordrecht.
2. G. H. Hardy and E. M. Wright, *An Introduction on the Theory of Numbers*, fourth edition, Oxford.

Received December 28, 1976.

TEMPLE UNIVERSITY
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19122

Current address: Pennsylvania State University
University Park, PA 16802

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)

University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

C. W. CURTIS

University of Oregon
Eugene, OR 97403

C. C. MOORE

University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. FINN AND J. MILGRAM

Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

Dan Amir, <i>Chebyshev centers and uniform convexity</i>	1
Lawrence Wasson Baggett, <i>Representations of the Mautner group. I</i>	7
George Benke, <i>Trigonometric approximation theory in compact totally disconnected groups</i>	23
M. Bianchini, O. W. Paques and M. C. Zaine, <i>On the strong compact-ported topology for spaces of holomorphic mappings</i>	33
Marilyn Breen, <i>Sets with $(d - 2)$-dimensional kernels</i>	51
J. L. Brenner and Allen Kenneth Charnow, <i>Free semigroups of 2×2 matrices</i>	57
David Bressoud, <i>A new family of partition identities</i>	71
David Fleming Dawson, <i>Summability of matrix transforms of stretchings and subsequences</i>	75
Harold George Diamond and Paul Erdős, <i>A measure of the nonmonotonicity of the Euler phi function</i>	83
Gary Doyle Faulkner and Ronald Wesley Shonkwiler, <i>Kernel dilation in reproducing kernel Hilbert space and its application to moment problems</i>	103
Jan Maksymilian Gronski, <i>Classification of closed sets of attainability in the plane</i>	117
H. B. Hamilton and T. E. Nordahl, <i>Semigroups whose lattice of congruences is Boolean</i>	131
Harvey Bayard Keynes and D. Newton, <i>Minimal (G, τ)-extensions</i>	145
Anthony To-Ming Lau, <i>The Fourier-Stieltjes algebra of a topological semigroup with involution</i>	165
B. C. Oltikar and Luis Ribes, <i>On pro-supersolvable groups</i>	183
Brian Lee Peterson, <i>Extensions of pro-affine algebraic groups</i>	189
Thomas M. Phillips, <i>Primitive extensions of Aronszajn spaces</i>	233
Mehdi Radjabalipour, <i>Equivalence of decomposable and 2-decomposable operators</i>	243
M. Satyanarayana, <i>Naturally totally ordered semigroups</i>	249
Fred Rex Sinal, <i>A homeomorphism classification of wildly imbedded two-spheres in S^3</i>	255
Hugh C. Williams, <i>Some properties of a special set of recurring sequences</i>	273