

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

ON JOINT NUMERICAL RANGES

JOHN J. BUONI AND BHUSHAN L. WADHWA

ON JOINT NUMERICAL RANGES

JOHN J. BUONI AND BHUSHAN L. WADHWA

The joint numerical status of commuting bounded operators A_1 and A_2 on a Hilbert space is defined as $\{(\phi(A_1), \phi(A_2))$ such that ϕ is a state on the C^* -algebra generated by A_1 and $A_2\}$. It is shown that if A_1 and A_2 are commuting normal operators then their joint numerical status equals the closure of their joint numerical range. It is also shown that certain points in the boundary of the joint numerical range are joint approximate reducing eigenvalues.

The joint numerical range of A_1 and A_2 denoted by $w(A_1, A_2)$ is $\{((A_1x, x), (A_2x, x)) \text{ such that } x \in H \text{ and } \|x\| = 1\}$. Thus $w(A_1, A_2)$ is a bounded subset of C^2 . It is not known whether this set is convex, Dash [4, 6]. In this note, we shall show that there is faithful $*$ -representation of the C^* -algebra generated by A_1 and A_2 , $C^*(A_1, A_2)$, under which the joint numerical range of A_1 and A_2 is convex. Following Berberian and Orland [1], we study the joint numerical status of A_1 and A_2 , $\Sigma(A_1, A_2) = \{(\phi(A_1), \phi(A_2)) \text{ such that } \phi \text{ is a state on } C^*(A_1, A_2)\}$. If A_1 and A_2 are commuting normal operators then $\Sigma(A_1, A_2) = \bar{w}(A_1, A_2)$. We also show that certain points in the boundary of $w(A_1, A_2)$ are joint approximate reducing eigenvalues.

For the sake of notational convenience, all the results are being stated for two commuting operators. However, the results hold for any finite family of commuting operators.

Let $B(H)$ denote the algebra of all bounded linear operators on the Hilbert space H . Let $C^*(A_1, A_2)$ denote the C^* -algebra generated by I, A_1 , and A_2 . Let Σ denote the set of all states on $C^*(A_1, A_2)$. Any state ϕ in Σ induces a representation Π_ϕ of $C^*(A_1, A_2)$ which acts on a Hilbert space H_ϕ and has a canonical cyclic vector ξ_ϕ . Also any maximal left ideal of $C^*(A_1, A_2)$ is of the form $K(\psi) = \{A \in C^*(A_1, A_2) \text{ such that } \psi(A^*A) = 0\}$ for some pure state ψ on $C^*(A_1, A_2)$. For details concerning this the reader is referred to Dixmier [7]. The joint approximate point spectrum of A_1 and A_2 , denoted by $\alpha(A_1, A_2)$, is $\{(z_1, z_2) \text{ such that there exists a sequence } x_n \in H, \|x_n\| = 1 \text{ such that } \|(A_1 - z_1)x_n\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ and } \|(A_2 - z_2)x_n\| \rightarrow 0\}$ which is the same as $\{(z_1, z_2) \text{ such that } B(H)(A_1 - z_1) + B(H)(A_2 - z_2) \neq B(H)\}$.

First we shall show that $\alpha(A_1, A_2)$ depends only on the C^* -algebra generated by A_1 and A_2 . Our proof is similar to Bunce [2].

PROPOSITION 1.

$a(A_1, A_2) = \{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) : C^*(A_1, A_2)(A_1 - \lambda_1) + C^*(A_1, A_2)(A_2 - \lambda_2) \neq C^*(A_1, A_2)\}.$

Proof. If $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in a(A_1, A_2)$ then there exists $x_n \in H, \|x_n\| = 1$ such that $\|(A_1 - \lambda_1)x_n\| \rightarrow 0$ and $\|(A_2 - \lambda_2)x_n\| \rightarrow 0$. Suppose there are B_1 and B_2 in $C^*(A_1, A_2)$ such that $B_1(A_1 - \lambda_1) + B_2(A_2 - \lambda_2) = I$ then $\|x_n\| \leq \|B_1(A_1 - \lambda_1)x_n\| + \|B_2(A_2 - \lambda_2)x_n\|$ and hence $x_n \rightarrow 0$, which is a contradiction. Conversely, if $C^*(A_1, A_2)(A_1 - \lambda_1) + C^*(A_1, A_2)(A_2 - \lambda_2) \neq C^*(A_1, A_2)$, then $C^*(A_1, A_2)(A_1 - \lambda_1) + C^*(A_1, A_2)(A_2 - \lambda_2)$ being a proper left ideal of $C^*(A_1, A_2)$ is contained in $K(\phi) = \{B \in C^*(A_1, A_2) : \phi(B^*B) = 0\}$ where ϕ is a pure state on $C^*(A_1, A_2)$ [7]. Thus, in particular $\phi(D^2) = 0$ where $D = (A_1 - \lambda_1)^*(A_1 - \lambda_1) + (A_2 - \lambda_2)^*(A_2 - \lambda_2)$. If $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \notin a(A_1, A_2)$ then $0 \notin a(D)$ i.e., there exists $m > 0$ such that $(Dx, Dx) \geq (m^2x, x)$ for all $x \in H$, i.e., $\phi(D^2) \geq m^2I$ which is a contradiction.

The joint numerical status of A_1 and A_2 , $\Sigma(A_1, A_2)$ is defined to be $\{(\phi(A_1), \phi(A_2)) \text{ such that } \phi \text{ is a state on } C^*(A_1, A_2)\}$. Since Σ is a weak * compact convex subset of the dual space of $C^*(A_1, A_2)$, it can be easily shown that $\Sigma(A_1, A_2)$ is a compact, convex subset of C^2 . Since each unit vector in H induces a state on $C^*(A_1, A_2)$, $w(A_1, A_2) \subset \Sigma(A_1, A_2)$. Also the extreme points of $\Sigma(A_1, A_2)$ correspond to the pure states in Σ . Let $\Pi_0 : C^*(A_1, A_2) \rightarrow B(K)$, for some Hilbert space K , be the universal representation (Gelfand-Naimark representation) of $C^*(A_1, A_2)$. We shall show that $a(A_1, A_2) = a(\Pi_0(A_1), \Pi_0(A_2))$ and also the closure of $w(\Pi_0(A_1), \Pi_0(A_2)) = \Sigma(A_1, A_2)$.

LEMMA 2. *If $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in a(A_1, A_2)$ then there exists a pure state ϕ on $C^*(A_1, A_2)$ such that $\Pi_\phi(A_i)\xi_\phi = \lambda_i\xi_\phi$ for $i = 1$ and 2 , where Π_ϕ is the irreducible representation induced by ϕ with canonical cyclic vector ξ_ϕ .*

Proof. If $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in a(A_1, A_2)$ then by Proposition 1 there exists a pure state ϕ on $C^*(A_1, A_2)$ such that $C^*(A_1, A_2)(A_1 - \lambda_1) + C^*(A_1, A_2)(A_2 - \lambda_2)$ is contained in $K(\phi) = \{B \in C^*(A_1, A_2) : \phi(B^*B) = 0\}$. Thus $A_1 - \lambda_1 I \in K(\phi)$ and so $A_2 - \lambda_2 I \in K(\phi)$. Hence $\Pi_\phi(A_i) = \lambda_i I$ and hence $\Pi_\phi(A_i) = \lambda_i \xi_\phi$ for $i = 1$ and 2 .

LEMMA 3. *If $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \notin a(A_1, A_2)$ then $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \notin a(\Pi(A_1), \Pi(A_2))$ for any * representation Π of $C^*(A_1, A_2)$.*

Proof. If $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \notin a(A_1, A_2)$ then by Proposition 1, there exist D_1 and D_2 in $C^*(A_1, A_2)$ such that $D_1(A_1 - \lambda_1) + D_2(A_2 - \lambda_2) = I$. Therefore $\Pi(D_1)(\Pi(A_1) - \lambda_1) + \Pi(D_2)(\Pi(A_2) - \lambda_2) = I$ and hence $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \notin a(\Pi(A_1), \Pi(A_2))$.

PROPOSITION 4. $a(A_1, A_2) = a(\Pi_0(A_1), \Pi_0(A_2))$.

Proof. By Lemma 3, $a(\Pi_0(A_1), \Pi_0(A_2)) \subset a(A_1, A_2)$. On the other hand, Π_0 is a faithful $*$ representation, by Lemma 2, $a(A_1, A_2) \subset a(\Pi_0(A_1), \Pi_0(A_2))$.

PROPOSITION 5. *The closure of $w(\Pi_0(A_1), \Pi_0(A_2)) = \Sigma(A_1, A_2)$.*

Proof. If $\phi \in \Sigma$, then there exists $\xi_\phi \in K$ such that $\Pi_0(A_i)\xi_\phi = \lambda_i\xi_\phi$ for $i = 1$ and 2 . Thus $\Sigma(A_1, A_2) \subset w(\Pi_0(A_1), \Pi_0(A_2))$. On the other hand, for any $x \in K, \|x\| = 1$, if $\lambda_i = \Pi_0(A_i)x$, we can define $\phi: C^*(A_1, A_2) \rightarrow C$ by $\phi(A) = (\Pi_0(A)x, x)$. Thus $\phi \in \Sigma$ and $\phi(A_i) = \lambda_i$ for $i = 1$ and 2 .

PROPOSITION 6. *If A_1 and A_2 are commuting normal operators then $\Sigma(A_1, A_2) = \bar{w}(A_1, A_2) =$ convex hull $a(A_1, A_2)$.*

Proof. If A_1 and A_2 are commuting normal operators, $a(A_1, A_2) = \{(\phi(A_1), \phi(A_2)) \text{ such that } \phi \text{ is a character on } C^*(A_1, A_2)\}$. Let $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in \Sigma(A_1, A_2)$ be any extreme point of $\Sigma(A_1, A_2)$, thus there is a pure state $\phi \in \Sigma$ such that $\phi(A_i) = \lambda_i$ for $i = 1$ and 2 . Also $C^*(A_1, A_2)$ is commutative C^* -algebra and hence ϕ is a character on $C^*(A_1, A_2)$ and thus $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in a(A_1, A_2) \subset \bar{w}(A_1, A_2)$. Since in this case $w(A_1, A_2)$ is convex (Dash [6]), the result is proved.

Juneja [8] showed that if (z_1, z_2) is an extreme point of $w(A_1, A_2)$ where A_1 and A_2 are commuting normal operators then (z_1, z_2) is a reducing approximate eigenvalue. We generalize this result to the case of arbitrary commuting operators.

LEMMA 7. *Let S_1 and S_2 be convex bounded subsets of C . Let $(z_1, z_2) \in (\partial S_1) \times (\partial S_2)$ where ∂S_i denotes the boundary of S_i . Then there exist λ_i in the complement of the closure of $S_i, i = 1$ and 2 , such that $|z_i - \lambda_i| = \text{dist.}(\lambda_i, S_i)$ and $|(z_1, z_2) - (\lambda_1, \lambda_2)| = \text{dist.}((\lambda_1, \lambda_2), S_1 \times S_2)$.*

LEMMA 8. *Let $A \in B(H)$. If there exists a sequence of unit vectors x_n in H such that $\|[(A - \lambda)^{-1} - (\mu - \lambda)^{-1}]x_n\| \rightarrow 0$ and $\|[(A^* - \bar{\lambda})^{-1} - (\bar{\mu} - \bar{\lambda})^{-1}]x_n\| \rightarrow 0$ then $\|(A - \mu)x_n\| \rightarrow 0$ and $\|(A^* - \bar{\mu})x_n\| \rightarrow 0$.*

PROPOSITION 9. *If $(z_1, z_2) \in [\partial w(A_1) \times \partial w(A_2)] \cap \bar{w}(A_1, A_2) \cap \sigma(A_1, A_2)$, then there exists a sequence x_n of unit vectors in H such that $\|(A_i - z_i)x_n\| \rightarrow 0$ and $\|(A_i^* - \bar{z}_i)x_n\| \rightarrow 0$ for $i = 1$ and 2 .*

Proof. Since $\sigma(A_1, A_2) \subset w(A_1) \times w(A_2)$, by Lemma 7, there exists $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \notin w(A_1) \times w(A_2)$ such that $|\lambda_i - z_i| = \text{dist}(\lambda_i, w(A_i)) = \text{dist}(\lambda_i, \sigma(A_i))$ and $|\lambda - z| = \text{dist}(\lambda, w(A_1) \times w(A_2)) = \text{dist}(\lambda, w(A_1, A_2)) = \text{dist}(\lambda, \sigma(A_1, A_2))$, where $z = (z_1, z_2)$. Thus $\|(A_i - \lambda_i)^{-1}\| = 1/|\lambda_i - z_i|$ and $1/(z_i - \lambda_i) \in \sigma((A_i - \lambda_i)^{-1})$ for $i = 1$ and 2 . Now using Proposition 3.3 of Dash [5], there exists a sequence x_n of unit vectors in H such that $\|[(A_i - \lambda_i)^{-1} - (z_i - \lambda_i)^{-1}]x_n\| \rightarrow 0$ and $\|[(A_i^* - \bar{\lambda}_i)^{-1} - (\bar{z}_i - \bar{\lambda}_i)^{-1}]x_n\| \rightarrow 0$. The result follows from Lemma 8.

COROLLARY 10. *If (z_1, z_2) is an extreme point of $w(A_1, A_2)$ where A_1 and A_2 are commuting normal operators then there exists a sequence of unit vectors x_n in H such that $\|(A_i - z_i)x_n\| \rightarrow 0$ and $\|(A_i^* - \bar{z}_i)x_n\| \rightarrow 0$.*

Proof. Since (z_1, z_2) is an extreme point of $w(A_1, A_2)$, A_1 and A_2 are commuting normal operators, as in the proof of Proposition 6, there exists a character ϕ on $C^*(A_1, A_2)$ such that $\phi(A_i) = z_i$ for $i = 1$ and 2 . Also ϕ restricted $C^*(A_1)$ is also a character and hence $\phi(A_1)$ is an extreme point of $w(A_1)$. Similarly $\phi(A_2)$ is an extreme point of $w(A_2)$. Thus $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in (\partial w(A_1)] \times \partial w(A_2) \cap \bar{w}(A_1, A_2) \cap \sigma(A_1, A_2)$.

We would like to express our thanks to Arthur Lieberman for many helpful discussions.

REFERENCES

1. S. K. Berberian and G. H. Orland, *On the closure of the numerical range of an operator*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **18** (1967), 499-503.
2. J. Bunce, *Characters on a singly generated C^* -algebra*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **25** (1970), 297-303.
3. ———, *The joint spectrum of commuting non-normal operators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **29** (1971), 499-505.
4. A. T. Dash, Ph. D. Thesis, University of Toronto.
5. ———, *A note on joint approximate point spectrum*, preprint.
6. ———, *Joint numerical ranges*, Glasnik Matematički, (1972), 75-81.
7. J. Dixmier, *Les C^* -algebres et Ceurs Representations*, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1969.
8. P. Juneja, *On extreme points of the joint numerical range of commuting normal operators*, Pacific J. Math., **67** (1976), 473-476.

Received October 7, 1977.

YOUNGSTOWN STATE UNIVERSITY
YOUNGSTOWN, OH 44555

AND

CLEVELAND STATE UNIVERSITY
CLEVELAND, OH 44115

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)

University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90024

CHARLES W. CURTIS

University of Oregon
Eugene, OR 97403

C. C. MOORE

University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, CA 90007

R. FINN and J. MILGRAM

Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. 39. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints to each author are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$72.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$36.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924, U.S.A. Older back numbers obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.).
8-8, 3-chome, Takadanobaba, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

Copyright © 1978 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics
Manufactured and first issued in Japan

Graham Donald Allen, <i>Duals of Lorentz spaces</i>	287
Gert Einar Torsten Almkvist, <i>The number of nonfree components in the decomposition of symmetric powers in characteristic p</i>	293
John J. Buoni and Bhushan L. Wadhwa, <i>On joint numerical ranges</i>	303
Joseph Eugene Collison, <i>Central moments for arithmetic functions</i>	307
Michael Walter Davis, <i>Smooth G-manifolds as collections of fiber bundles</i>	315
Michael E. Detlefsen, <i>Symmetric sublattices of a Noether lattice</i>	365
David Downing, <i>Surjectivity results for ϕ-accretive set-valued mappings</i>	381
David Allyn Drake and Dieter Jungnickel, <i>Klingenberg structures and partial designs. II. Regularity and uniformity</i>	389
Edward George Effros and Jonathan Rosenberg, <i>C^*-algebras with approximately inner flip</i>	417
Burton I. Fein, <i>Minimal splitting fields for group representations. II</i>	445
Benjamin Rigler Halpern, <i>A general coincidence theory</i>	451
Masamitsu Mori, <i>A vanishing theorem for the mod p Massey-Peterson spectral sequence</i>	473
John C. Oxtoby and Vidhu S. Prasad, <i>Homeomorphic measures in the Hilbert cube</i>	483
Michael Anthony Penna, <i>On the geometry of combinatorial manifolds</i>	499
Robert Ralph Phelps, <i>Gaussian null sets and differentiability of Lipschitz map on Banach spaces</i>	523
Herbert Silverman, Evelyn Marie Silvia and D. N. Telage, <i>Locally univalent functions and coefficient distortions</i>	533
Donald Curtis Taylor, <i>The strong bidual of $\Gamma(K)$</i>	541
Willie Taylor, <i>On the oscillatory and asymptotic behavior of solutions of fifth order selfadjoint differential equations</i>	557
Fu-Chien Tzung, <i>Sufficient conditions for the set of Hausdorff compactifications to be a lattice</i>	565