Pacific Journal of Mathematics

SYMMETRIC SUBLATTICES OF A NOETHER LATTICE

MICHAEL E. DETLEFSEN

Vol. 77, No. 2 February 1978

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS
Vol. 77, No. 2, 1978

SYMMETRIC SUBLATTICES OF A NOETHER LATTICE

MICHAEL E. DETLEFSEN

In this note we investigate questions about partitions of positive integers arising from multiplicative lattice theory and prove that the sublattice of $RL(A_i)$ ($A_1, \cdots, A_k$ is a prime sequence in a local Noether lattice) generated by the elementary symmetric elements in the A_i's is a π-lattice.

0. Introduction. If $A_1, A_2, \cdots, A_k$ is a prime sequence in L, a local Noether lattice, then the multiplicative sublattice it generates is isomorphic to RL_k, the distributive local Noether lattice with altitude k. We denote this sublattice of L by $RL(A_i)$. In $RL(A_i)$, every element is a finite join of products $A_1^{r_1}A_2^{r_2}\cdots A_k^{r_k}$ for $(r_1, \cdots, r_k)=(r_i)$ a k-tuple of nonnegative integers. Minimal bases for an element, T, in $RL(A_i)$ are unique and determined by the exponent k-tuples of the elements in the minimal base for T. We examine the sublattice of L generated by the elementary symmetric elements in the prime sequence $A_1, \cdots, A_k$. This multiplicative sublattice is a π-domain (Theorem 7.1).

Unless otherwise stated, all k-tuples will be nonnegative integers. A k-tuple (r_i) is *monotone* if and only if $r_i \geqq r_{i+1}$ for $1 \geqq i > k$. $(r_i) = (s_i)$ and $(r_i) + (s_i)$ refer to componentwise equality and addition respectively. $(r_i) \geqq_p (s_i)$ means $r_i \geqq s_i$ for $i = 1, \cdots, k$. We write $(r_i) \geqq_l (s_i)$ to mean the first nonzero entry in $(r_i - s_i)$ is strictly positive (lexicographic order). If (e_i) is a k-tuple we write e_i^* for $\sum_{j=i}^k e_j$ and e_i^{**} for $\sum_{j=i}^k e_j^*$. Throughout this note $A_1, \cdots, A_k$ is a prime sequence in L and $RL(A_i)$ is the multiplicative sublattice it generates.

1. The symmetric sublattice. If T is a principal element in $RL(A_i)$ and g is in S_k, the permutation group on $1, \cdots, k$, we define $T_g(T^g)$ to be the principal element in $RL(A_i)$ obtained by replacing $A_i^{t(i)}$ by the factor $A_{g(i)}^{t(i)}(A_i^{t(g(i))})$ in T for each i from 1 to k. If $C_1 \vee \cdots \vee C_p$ is a minimal base for C in $RL(A_i)$, then $C_g = (C_1)_g \vee \cdots \vee (C_p)_g$. C^g is defined similarly. Note that for each g in S_k and for C in $RL(A_i)$, $(C_g)^g = (C^g)_g = C$. Hence $C_g = C^{g^{-1}}$. An element C in $RL(A_i)$ is a *symmetric element* if and only if $C_g = C$ for each g in S_k.

THEOREM 1.1. *The set of all symmetric elements in $RL(A_i)$ forms a multiplicative sublattice of $RL(A_i)$ which is closed under residuation.*

Proof. We show that F_g, the set of elements fixed by the map ϕ from $RL(A_i)$ to $RL(A_i)$ defined $C \overset{\phi}{\mapsto} C^g$ for g in S_k is a residuated multiplicative lattice. For then the set of symmetric elements which is the intersection of all of the F_g's for g in S_k is also a multiplicative sublattice.

Let g be any permutation in S_k and ϕ be defined as above. ϕ is well defined and preserves join by definition. Since $(C_g)^g = (C^g)_g = C$ for each C in $RL(A_i)$, ϕ is a bijection.

Let $B = \Pi A_i^{b_i}$ and $C = \Pi A_i^{c_i}$ be principal elements in $RL(A_i)$. Then $(BC)^g = \Pi A_{g^{-1}(i)}^{b_i+c_i} = \Pi A_{g^{-1}(i)}^{b_i} \cdot \Pi A_{g^{-1}(i)}^{c_i} = B^g \cdot C^g$ and $(B \wedge C)^g = (\Pi A_i^{\max(b_i,c_i)})^g = \Pi A_{g^{-1}(i)}^{\max(b_i,c_i)} = \Pi A_{g^{-1}(1)}^{b_i} \wedge \Pi A_{g^{-1}(i)}^{c_i} = B^g \wedge C^g$. Since elements in $RL(A_i)$ are joins of principal elements and multiplication and meet distribute over join, ϕ preserves products and meet.

Finally, the fact that ϕ preserves residuals and that F_g is a multiplicative sublattice of $RL(A_i)$ readily follows from the fact that ϕ is a multiplicative lattice isomorphism.

REMARK. If B is a principal element in $RL(A_i)$ such that $B^g = B$, then B is a principal element in F_g. However, F_g contains enough principal elements to make it a Noether lattice only if g is the identity in S_k (cf § 7) for $k > 1$.

2. **Elementary symmetric elements.** For $t = 1, \cdots, k$, a_t, the tth *elementary symmetric element* in $A_1, \cdots, A_k$ is the join of all products of $A_1, \cdots, A_k$ with t distinct factors. In this section we investigate the chain $0 < a_k < \cdots < a_1 < I$ of elementary symmetric elements in $RL(A_i)$.

We say the *weight* of a principal element in $RL(A_i)$ is the maximum of its exponents. If J is a t-tuple $(i_1, \cdots, i_t)$ with $i_j < i_{j+1}$ and $t \leqq k$ then we denote by (J) the set of all $(k-t)$-tuples $(j_1, \cdots, j_{k-t})$ such that $\{j_1, \cdots, j_{k-t}\} \cap \{i_1, \cdots, i_t\}$ is empty.

THEOREM 2.1. *The elementary symmetric elements together with* 0 *and* I *form a sublattice closed under residuation. In particular*

$$(a_t : a_p) = \begin{cases} I & \text{if} \quad t \leqq p \\ a_t & \text{if} \quad t > p \, . \end{cases}$$

Proof. From [8, p. 84] we have for $t > p$

$$(a_t : a_p) = \vee (J_1) \vee (J_2) \vee \cdots \vee (J_q)(A_{i_1} \cdot A_{i_2} \cdots A_{i_s} \wedge \cdots \wedge A_{q_1} \cdots A_{q_s})$$

where there are $C(k, p)$ (the binomial coefficient) join symbols each having indices in $(J_1), \cdots, (J_q)$ for J_i one of the $C(k, p)$ ordered p-

tuples which can be chosen from $\{1, \cdots, k\}$. Each intersection has weight one and by symmetry, $(a_t\colon a_p) = a_r$ for some r. Since $a_t \leqq (a_t\colon a_p)$ we only need show that $a_{t-1} \nleqq (a_t\colon a_p)$.

Let $A_{i_1} \cdots A_{i_{t-1}}$ be any element in the minimal base for a_{t-1} and $A_{i_1} \cdots A_{i_p}$ be the product of the first p of these ($p \leqq t-1$). Then their product $A_{i_1}^2 \cdots A_{i_p}^2 \cdots A_{i_{t-1}}$ is an element which is not less than or equal to any element in the minimal base for a_t. Hence $a_{t-1} \nleqq (a_t\colon a_p)$.

REMARK. From the Reciprocity Theorem [9, Theorem 5.1] we can define a multiplication on the chain of elementary symmetric elements by $(a_t\colon a_p) \geqq a_s$ if and only if $a_t \geqq a_p \cdot a_s$, i.e., $a_p a_s = a_{\max\{p,s\}}$. This new multiplication makes every element in the chain idempotent and the order becomes $a \leqq b$ if and only if $a \cdot b = a$ for nonzero elements different from I.

3. The minimal base for $\pi a_i^{e_i}$: majorization.

In this section we determine the minimal base for a product of the elementary symmetric elements in $RL(A_i)$. We first dispense with the powers of the a_i.

LEMMA 3.1. *For $t < k$, a_t^e is the join of all powers of the A_i's whose exponents are bounded above by e and whose exponent sum is te. $a_k^e = A_1^e \cdots A_k^e$.*

Proof. For $k > 1$, let (k_i) be any k-tuple of nonnegative integers summing to te and bounded above by e. By symmetry we assume (k_i) is monotone. There are at least t nonzero k_i's no more than t of which are equal to e. Let

$$v_i = \begin{cases} k_i - 1 & 1 \leqq i \leqq t \\ k_i & t < i \leqq k \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad w_i = \begin{cases} 1 & 1 \leqq i \leqq t \\ 0 & t < i \leqq k\,. \end{cases}$$

Then $(v_i) + (w_i) = (k_i)$ and by induction $\Pi A_i^{v_i}$ and $\Pi A_j^{w_i}$ are elements in the minimal base for a_t^{e-1} and a_t respectively. Hence their product which has (k_i) as its exponent k-tuple is in the minimal base for a_t^e. The converse follows by writing down a product in a_t^e and observing the conditions hold.

LEMMA 3.2. *$\Pi A_j^{r_j}$ is in the minimal base for $\Pi a_i^{e_i}$ if and only if there is a nonnegative $k \times k$ matrix whose ith row sum is ie_i, whose ith row is bounded above by e_i, and whose* j*th column sum is r_j.*

Proof. If $\Pi A_j^{r_j} = C_1 \cdots C_k$ where C_i is in the minimal base for $a_i^{e_i}$, then $C_i = \Pi A_j^{r_{ij}}$ where $r_{ij} \leqq e_i$ and $\sum_j r_{ij} = ie_i$. Then $\Pi_i C_i = \Pi_j A_j^{r_j}$ where $r_j = \sum_i r_{ij}$ for $j = 1, \cdots, k$. (r_{ij}) is the desired matrix. The converse follows easily.

The existence of the matrix described in Lemma 3.2 is determined by the following generalization of the Gale-Ryser theorem on (0, 1)-matrices [7, p. 63].

DEFINITION 3.3. If $\mathfrak{M} = (e_1, e_2, \cdots, e_k)$ is a k-tuple of nonnegative integers, an *$\mathfrak{M}$-matrix* is a matrix of nonnegative integers with k rows whose ith row entries are bounded above by e_i. A $k \times t$ $\mathfrak{M}$-matrix is *maximal* with row sums (f_i) if each row is maximal in the lexicographic order of t-tuples.

In Lemma 3.4 (r'_j) is the monotone permutation of (r_j). If the condition of the lemma holds we say (r_j) is *majorized* by (s_j) and write $(r_j) \prec (s_j)$.

LEMMA 3.4. *If (t_{ij}) is the maximal $k \times t$ $\mathfrak{M}$-matrix with row sums (f_i) and column sums (s_j), then there exists an $\mathfrak{M}$-matrix (r_{ij}) with column sums (r_j) if and only if $\sum_1^\nu r'_j \leqq \sum_1^\nu s_j$ for $\nu = 1, \cdots, t-1$ with equality when $\nu = t$.*

Proof. The proof follows mutatis mutandus from [5, p. 1030].

Lemmas 3.2 and 3.4 allow us to characterize the elements in the minimal base for $\Pi a_i^{e_i}$.

THEOREM 3.5. *The minimal base for $\Pi a_i^{e_i}$ in $RL(A_i)$ is the join of all products of the A_i's whose exponent k-tuples are majorized by (e_i^*).*

Proof. The maximal $k \times k$ (e_i)-matrix with row sums (ie_i) has column sums e_i^*. Hence $(r_i) \prec (e_i^*)$ if and only if there exists an (e_i)-matrix with row sums (ie_i) and column sums (r_i). But this holds if and only if $\Pi A_i^{r_i}$ is an element in the minimal base for $\Pi a_i^{e_i}$.

REMARK. For $k \leqq 3$ we have determined that the product $\Pi a_i^{e_i}$ has as a minimal base the join of all products of the A_i's whose exponent k-tuples are bounded above by e_1^*, bounded below by e_k, sum to $\sum ie_i$ and whose breadth is less that or equal to $\sum_1^k (tk - t^2)e_t$. The breadth of $\Pi A_i^{r_i}$ is $\sum_{i<j} |r_i - r_j|$. However this characterization does not hold for $k > 3$.

4. $P(a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_k)$, A multiplicative sublattice. Let $P(a_1, \cdots, a_k) = P(a_i)$ be the set of all finite joins of products of the elementary symmetric elements in $A_1, \cdots, A_k$. We will show that this set is the multiplicative sublattice generated by $a_1, \cdots, a_k$.

If (u_i) and (v_i) are k-tuples we define the distance between them as $d((u_i), (v_i)) = \sum_i |u_i - v_i|$. The lemma which follows will aid us in identifying the minimal base for the meet of two products to the a_i's.

LEMMA 4.1. *Let (u_i) and (v_i) be k-tuples majorized by monotone k-tuples (r_i) and (s_i), respectively. Then if $w_i = \max(u_i, v_i)$ for $i = 1, \cdots, k$*

(1) *$d((u_i), (v_i)) = |r_1^* - s_1^*|$ if and only if $w_1^* = \max(r_1^*, s_1^*)$.*

(2) *$d((u_i), (v_i)) \geqq |r_1^* - s_1^*|$.*

(3) *$d((u_i), (v_i)) > |r_1^* - s_1^*|$ implies there exist k-tuples $(\bar{u}_i)$ and $(\bar{v}_i)$ such that $(w_i) \geqq_p (\max(\bar{u}_i, \bar{v}_i))$ and $d((\bar{u}_i), (\bar{v}_i)) = |r_1^* - s_1^*|$.*

Proof. (1) $2 \cdot w_1^* = \sum_i (u_i + v_i + |u_i - v_i|) = r_1^* - s_1^* + |r_1^* - s_1^*| = 2(\max(r_1^*, s_1^*))$ if and only if $\sum |u_i - v_i| = |r_1^* - s_1^*|$ since for any two integers a, b $2(\max(a, b)) = a + b + |a - b|$.

(2) $|r_1^* - s_1^*| = |u_1^* - v_1^*| = |\sum_i (u_i - v_i)| \leqq \sum_i |u_i - v_i| = d((u_i), (v_i))$.

(3) $d((u_i), (v_i)) > |u_1^* - v_1^*|$ implies there exist indices i_1 and i_2 such that $u_{i_1} < v_{i_1}$ and $u_{i_2} > v_{i_2}$. Let $(u_i'), (v_i'')$ be the monotone representatives of $(u_i), (v_i)$ respectively. If $i_1' < i_2'$ then $v_{i_1}'' > u_{i_1}' \geqq u_{i_2}' > v_{i_2}''$ so that $v_{i_1}'' \geqq v_{i_2}'' + 2$. Let (t_i'') be the k-tuple equal to $(v_i'')$for $i \neq i_1''$, i_2'', $t_{i_1}'' = v_{i_1}'' - 1$ and $t_{i_2}'' = v_{i_2}'' + 1$. Then (t_i'') is majorized by (r_i). If (t_i) is obtained by reversing the permutation $(v_i) \rightarrow (v_i'')$ and applying it to (t_i'') then (t_i) is also majorized by (r_i). So

$$\max(u_i, t_i) = \begin{cases} \max(u_i, v_i)\,, & i \neq i_1 \\ v_{i_1} - 1\,, & i = i_1 \end{cases}$$

and $d((u_i), (t_i)) < d((u_i), (v_i))$. By induction on d, there exist $(\bar{u}_i), (\bar{v}_i)$ such that $d((\bar{u}_i), (\bar{v}_i)) = |r_1^* - s_1^*|$ and $\max(\bar{u}_i, \bar{v}_i) \leqq \max(u_i, t_i) \leqq \max(u_i, v_i)$ for $i = 1, \cdots, k$. The proof is complete if $i_1' < i_2'$.

Otherwise $i_1' > i_2'$ which implies that $i_1'' < i_2''$. The proof is similar if the latter holds.

Now suppose that (e_i) and (f_i) are k-tuples, then $\Pi a_i^{e_i}$ and $\Pi a_i^{f_i}$ are elements of $P(a_i)$. The next theorem characterizes the elements in the base for their meet in terms of the exponents of the A_i's.

THEOREM 4.2. *If $\Pi a_i^{e_i}$ and $\Pi a_i^{f_i}$ are elements of $P(a_i)$ with $f_1^{**} \geqq e_1^{**}$ then $\Pi a_i^{e_i} \wedge \Pi a_i^{f_i} = \{\Pi A_i^{v_i} | (v_i) \prec (f_i^*)$ and $(v_i) \geqq_p (u_i)$ for some $(u_i) \prec (e_i^*)\}$.*

Proof. Since $RL(A_i)$ is distributive, the meet described in the theorem is the join of all products of the A_i whose exponent k-tuples are $(\max(u_i, v_i))$ for $(u_i) \prec (e_i^*)$ and $(v_i) \prec (f_i^*)$. If $d((u_i), (v_i))$ is greater than $f_1^{**} - e_1^{**}$, then $(\max(u_i, v_i)) \geqq_p (\max(\bar{u}_i, \bar{v}_i))$ for some $(\bar{u}_i)$ and $(\bar{v}_i)$ majorized by (e_i^*) and (f_i^*) respectively. Hence the product of the A_i's with exponent k-tuple $(\max(u_i, v_i))$ can be left out of the minimal base for the meet. But $d((u_i), (v_i)) > f_1^{**} - e_1^{**}$ if and only if $(v_i) \not\geqq_p (u_i)$. Hence the elements left in the minimal base for the meet have the form desired.

To show that the meet of two products of the a_i's is again such a product, we need

LEMMA 4.3. *Let (e_i^*) and (f_i^*) be monotone k-tuples and $t_i^* = \max(e_i^{**}, f_i^{**}) - \max(e_{i+1}^{**}, f_{i+1}^{**})$ for $i = 1, \cdots, k$ where we agree that $e_{k+1}^* = f_{k+1}^* = 0$. Then (t_i^*) is also monotone.*

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}\max(e_i^{**}, f_i^{**}) &+ \max(e_{i+2}^{**}, f_{i+2}^{**}) \\ &\geqq \max(e_i^{**} + e_{i+2}^{**}, f_i^{**} + f_{i+2}^{**}) \\ &\geqq \max(2e_{i+1}^{**}, 2f_{i+1}^{**}) \\ &= 2\max(e_{i+1}^{**}, f_{i+1}^{**}) .\end{aligned}$$

So that $t_i^* \geqq t_{i+1}^*$ for $i = 1, \cdots, k-1$.

THEOREM 4.4. *Let (e_i) and (f_i) be k-tuples, then the meet of $\Pi a_i^{e_i}$ and $\Pi a_i^{f_i}$ is the product $\Pi a_i^{t_i}$ where t_i^* is given in Lemma 4.3.*

Proof. We may assume that $e_1^{**} \geqq f_1^{**}$. From above it suffices to show that the set $\mathfrak{B} = \{(u_i) \mid (u_i) \prec (e_i^*)$ and $(u_i) \geqq_p (v_i)$ for some $(v_i) \prec (f_i^*)\}$ is equal to the set $\mathfrak{C} = \{(u_i) \mid (u_i) \prec (t_i^*)\}$.

$\mathfrak{B} \subseteqq \mathfrak{C}$. If (u_i) is in $\mathfrak{B}$ then $(u_i) \prec (e_i^*)$ and $(u_i) \geqq_p (v_i)$ for $(v_i) \prec (f_i^*)$. Then $d((u_i), (v_i)) = e_1^{**} - f_1^{**}$ so that $w_1^* = e_1^{**}$ where $w_i = \max(u_i, v_i)$ for $i = 1, \cdots, k$. Moreover, for $j = 2, \cdots, k$, $u_j^* \geqq v_j^* \geqq f_j^{**}$ since if $v_j^* < f_j^{**}$, then $\sum_1^{j-1} v_i' \geqq \sum_1^{j-1} v_i > \sum_1^{j-1} f_i^*$ where (v_i') is the monotone representative of (v_i) which contradicts $(v_i) \prec (f_i^*)$. Therefore $\sum_1^{j-1} u_i = e_1^{**} - u_j^* \leqq e_1^{**} - f_1^{**}$. But

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{l=1}^{j-1} t_l^* &= \sum_{l=1}^{j-1} [\max(e_l^{**}, f_l^{**}) - \max(e_{l+1}^{**}, f_{l+1}^{**})] \\ &= \max(e_1^{**}, f_1^{**}) - \max(e_j^{**}, f_j^{**}) \\ &= \sum_1^{j-1} e_i^* - \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if} \quad e_j^{**} \geqq f_j^{**} \\ f_j^{**} - e_j^{**} & \text{if} \quad f_j^{**} > e_j^{**} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \sum_1^{j-1} e_i^* & \text{if} \quad e_j^* \geqq f_j^* \\ e_1^{**} - f_j^{**} & \text{if} \quad f_j^{**} > e_j^{**} . \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\sum_1^{j-1} u_i \leqq \sum_1^{j-1} t_i^*$ and $(u_i) \prec (t_i^*)$, i.e., (u_i) is in $\mathfrak{C}$.

$\mathfrak{C} \subseteqq \mathfrak{B}$. Let (u_i) be a k-tuple majorized by (t_i^*). By symmetry, we may assume that (u_i) is monotone. Since, $(t_i^*) \prec (e_i^*)$, we have $(u_i) \prec (e_i^*)$. For $i = 1, \cdots, k$ let $v_i = \min(u_i, f_1^* + \cdots + f_i^* - \sum_1^{i-1} v_j)$ setting $v_0 = 0$. We claim

(#) $\sum_1^q v_i = \min_p \{\sum_0^p f_i^* + \sum_{p+1}^q u_i\}$ where the minimum is taken for p ranging from 0 to q and $f_0 = 0 = \sum_s^r u_i$ whenever $r < s$.

(#) is clear if $q = 1$. For $q > 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_1^q v_i &= \sum_1^{q-1} v_i + \min\left(u_q, f_1^* + \cdots + f_q^* - \sum_1^{q-1} v_i\right)\\ &= \min\left(u_q + \sum_1^{q-1} v_i, \sum_1^q f_i^*\right)\\ &= \min\left(u_q + \min_{p=0,\cdots,q-1}\left\{\sum_0^q f_i^* + \sum_{p+1}^q u_i\right\}, \sum_1^q f_i^*\right)\\ &= \min_{p=0,\cdots,q}\left\{\sum_0^p f_i^* + \sum_{p+1}^q u_i\right\}\end{aligned}$$

where the third equality follows by induction. Therefore (#) holds.

Moreover, (v_i) is monotone: If q is any integer, $1 \leqq q < k-1$, then

(1) $2(\sum_1^q u_i) \geqq 2(\sum_1^{q-1} u_i) + u_q + u_{q+1}$

(2) $2(\sum_1^p f_i^* + \sum_{p+1}^q u_i) \geqq 2(\sum_1^p f_i^* + \sum_{p+1}^{q-1} u_i) + u_q + u_{q+1}$

(3) $2(\sum_1^q f_i^*) \geqq 2(\sum_1^{q-1} f_i^*) + f_q^* + f_{q+1}^*$

since (u_i) and (f_i^*) are monotone. Hence each integer on the left of the inequalities of (1), (2), or (3) is greater than or equal to

$$\begin{aligned}&\min\left[2\left(\sum_1^{q-1} u_i\right) + u_q + u_{q+1}, 2(f_1^* + u_2 + \cdots + u_{q-1})\right.\\ &\qquad + u_q + u_{q+1}, \cdots, 2(f_1^* + \cdots + f_{q-1}^*)\\ &\qquad \left. + f_q^* + u_{q+1}, 2\left(\sum_1^q f_i^*\right) + f_q^* + f_{q+1}^*\right]\\ &\geqq \sum_1^{q+1} v_i + \sum_1^{q-1} v_i .\end{aligned}$$

So from (#), $\sum_1^q v_i \geqq 1/2[\sum_1^{q+1} v_i + \sum_1^{q-1} v_i]$ and $v_q = \sum_1^q v_i - \sum_1^{q-1} v_i \geqq \sum_1^{q+1} v_i - \sum_1^q v_i = v_{q+1}$ for $q = 1, \cdots, k-1$. Hence (v_i) is monotone.

Finally, again from (#) $v_1^* = \min_{j=1,\cdots,k}\{\sum_1^{j-1} f_i^* + u_j^*\}$ and since $u_j^* \geqq t_j^{**} = \max(e_j^{**}, f_j^{**}) \geqq f_j^{**}$ for $j = 1, \cdots, k$, we have $f_1^* + \cdots + f_{j-1}^* + u_j^* \geqq f_1^{**}$ for each j. Hence $v_1^* = f_1^{**}$. Therefore $(v_i) \prec (f_i^*)$ since by definition of the v_i's, $v_1 + \cdots + v_j \leqq f_1^* + \cdots + f_j^*$ for each j. Since $(v_i)\ {}_p\!\leqq (u_i)$ and $(u_i) \prec (e_i^*)$, we have (u_i) is in $\mathfrak{B}$.

It follows from the property in $RL(A_i)$ that multiplication in $P(a_i)$ distributes over joins. Consequently

THEOREM 4.5. *The set of all finite joins of products of the elementary symmetric elements in* $A_1, \cdots, A_k$ *is a (distributive) multiplicative sublattice of* $RL(A_i)$ *and is the sublattice generated by* $a_1, \cdots, a_k$.

In the next two sections we investigate the structure of the lattice $P(a_i)$. In § 5 we show that the factorization of products of the a_i is unique and in § 6 we investigate the principal elements and the residual division in $P(a_i)$.

5. Unique factorization of products of elementary symmetric elements. If $\Pi a_i^{e_i}$ and $\Pi a_i^{f_i}$ are products in $P(a_i)$ and $\Pi a_i^{e_i} \leqq \Pi a_i^{f_i}$, then every element in the minimal base for $\Pi a_i^{e_i}$ must be less than or equal to one of the elements in the minimal base for $\Pi a_i^{f_i}$. That is, whenever $(r_i) \prec (e_i^*)$ then $(r_i) \geqq_p (s_i)$ for some $(s_i) \prec (f_i^*)$. When this occurs we say that (e_i^*) is dominated by (f_i^*) and write (e_i^*) dom (f_i^*). Hence, $\Pi a_i^{e_i} \leqq \Pi a_i^{f_i}$ if and only if (e_i^*) dom (f_i^*). Hence,

LEMMA 5.1. *Dom is a partial order on the set of monotone k-tuples.*

Lemma 5.1 and the definition of dom establish the next theorem.

THEOREM 5.2. *The set of products of the* a_i*'s is order isomorphic to the poset of monotone k-tuples ordered by dom via the map* $\Pi a_i^{e_i} \mapsto (e_i^*)$. *In particular, since this mapping is well defined, factorization of a product of elementary symmetric elements is unique.*

Using the order dom, we show that in $P(a_i)$ any product of the elementary symmetric elements is join irreducible.

THEOREM 5.3. *Products of the elementary symmetric elements in* $P(a_i)$ *are join irreducible.*

Proof. Suppose that $\Pi a_i^{g_i} = \Pi a_i^{e_i} \vee \cdots \vee \Pi a_i^{f_i}$. Since minimal bases in $RL(A_i)$ are unique, the element $\Pi A_i^{g_i *}$ which is in the minimal base for $\Pi a_i^{g_i}$ must appear in the minimal base for one of the products of the a_i's on the right, say $\Pi a_i^{e_i}$. Then $(g_i^*) \prec (e_i^*)$. But since $\Pi a_i^{e_i} \leqq \Pi a_i^{g_i}$, (e_i^*) dom (g_i^*). So $(e_i^*) \geqq_p (v_i)$ where $(v_i) \prec (g_i^*)$. Therefore $(e_i^*) = (v_i)$ and $(e_i^*) \prec (g_i^*)$. Consequently $(e_i^*) = (g_i^*)$; and $\Pi a_i^{g_i}$ is join irreducible.

COROLLARY 5.4. *Elements in* $P(a_i)$ *have unique minimal bases as joins of products of the* a_i*'s.*

Proof. [2, p. 183].

6. Residuation and join principal elements in $P(a_i)$.

In Lemma 4.1 we used the technique of subtracting one from a position in a k-tuple and adding one further to the right in such a way that monotonicity of the k-tuple was maintained. We call this process a *monotone* $(-1, 1)$-*change* and remark that these changes characterize majorization [cf. 4].

PROPOSITION 6.1. *Let (r_i) and (s_i) be manotone k-tuples such that $(r_i) \prec (s_i)$ and $(\bar{r}_i)$ be obtained from (r_i) by a monotone $(-1, 1)$-change. Then $(\bar{r}_i) \prec (s_i)$.*

PROPOSITION 6.2. *Every mototone k-tuple majorized by a monotone k-tuple (s_i) can be obtained from (s_i) by a sequence of monotone $(-1, 1)$-changes.*

Proof. Let (r_i) be a monotone k-tuple such that $(r_i) \prec (s_i)$. We show that (r_i) can be obtained by a sequence of $(-1, 1)$-changes by induction on $d((r_i), (s_i)) = \sum_1^k |r_i - s_i| = t$. If $t = 0$, $(r_i) = (s_i)$. For $t > 0$, let $\mathfrak{D} = \{i: s_i > r_i\}$. If $\mathfrak{D}$ is empty, then $(s_i)\ {}_p\!\leqq (r_i)$ and $(r_i) = (s_i)$ since $r_1^* = s_1^*$. Hence $\mathfrak{D}$ is nonempty. Set $i_0 = \max \mathfrak{D}$. Moreover, $i_0 < k$ since $i_0 = k$ implies $\sum_1^{k-1} r_i > \sum_1^{k-1} s_i$ contradicting $(r_i) \prec (s_i)$. Now let $j_0 = \max(\mathfrak{F}(i_0))$ where $\mathfrak{F}(i_0) = \{j: j > i_0 \text{ and } s_j < r_j\}$. If $\mathfrak{F}(i_0)$ is empty and $i_0 = 1$, then $j > 1$ implies $s_j \geqq r_j$ so that $s_j = r_j$ for $j > 1$. But then $s_1 = r_1$, a contradiction. If $\mathfrak{F}(i_0)$ is empty and $i_0 > 1$, then

$$\sum_1^{i_0} s_j + r_{i_0+1}^* \geqq \sum_1^{i_0} r_j + r_{i_0+1}^* = s_1^* \geqq \sum_1^{i_0} s_j + r_{i_0+1}^*$$

and $s_{i_0+1}^* = r_{i_0+1}^*$. But then $s_q = r_q$ for $i_0 + 1 \leqq q \leqq k$. Therefore $\sum_1^{i_0} r_j = \sum_1^{i_0} s_j$ with $s_{i_0} > r_{i_0}$. This implies $\sum_1^{i_0-1} r_j > \sum_1^{i_0-1} s_j$. Again this is a contradiction. Hence $\mathfrak{F}(i_0)$ is nonempty.

Let $(\bar{s}_i)$ be obtained from (s_i) by a monotone $(-1, 1)$-change at the i_0, j_0 places. Then $(\bar{s}_i)$ is monotone and we claim that $(r_i) \prec (\bar{s}_i)$. Since $(r_i) \prec (s_i)$ and $\bar{s}_{i_0} = s_{i_0} - 1 \geqq r_{i_0}$ the desired inequality holds for $1 \leqq q \leqq i_0$. If $i_0 < q < j_0$ and $\sum_1^q r_i > \sum_1^q \bar{s}_i$, then $\sum_1^q r_i = \sum_1^q s_i$. There is some $p > q$ such that $\sum_1^p r_i < \sum_1^p s_i$. Let p_0 be the least such p. Then $(r_{q+1}, \cdots, r_{p_0-1}) = (s_{q+1}, \cdots, s_{p_0-1})$ and $r_p < s_p$. This contradicts the choice of i_0 if $p_0 > q + 1$. If $p_0 = q + 1$, then $r_{q+1} < s_{q+1}$ again gives a contradiction to the choice of i_0. Hence for $1 \leqq q < j_0$, the sum of the first $q r_i$'s is less than or equal to the sum of the first $\bar{s}_i$'s. The inequalities are clear if $j_0 \leqq q \leqq k$ so that $(r_i) \prec (\bar{s}_i)$. Since $d((r_i), (\bar{s}_i)) < d((r_i), (s_i))$, the theorem follows by induction.

Note that if (r_i) can be obtained from (s_i) by a sequence of monotone $(-1, 1)$-changes, then we can obtain (s_i) from (r_i) by a sequence of $(1, -1)$-changes.

PROPOSITION 6.4. *If (r_i) is a monotone k-tuple, then each monotone k-tuple which majorizes (r_i) can be obtained from (r_i) by a finite sequence of monotone $(1, -1)$-changes.*

Our next objective is to show that $P(a_i)$ is closed under residuation. Since $P(a_i)$ is distributive and a product of the a_i's is join irreducible, the following lemma tells us that to check closure of residuation in $P(a_i)$ we only need check the residuation of a product of the a_i's by another such product.

LEMMA 6.5. *If every element in a distributive multiplicative lattice L is a join of join irreducibles and join irreducibles are closed under multiplication, then for Z join irreducible and X, Y in L,*

$$(X \vee Y\colon Z) = (X\colon Z) \vee (Y\colon Z)\,.$$

Proof. If W is join irreducible such that $WZ \leqq X \vee Y$, then $WZ = (WZ \wedge X) \vee (WZ \wedge Y)$. Hence $WZ \leqq X$ or $WZ \leqq Y$ and $W \leqq (X\colon Z) \vee (Y\colon Z)$. Therefore $(X \vee Y\colon Z) \leqq (X\colon Z) \vee (Y\colon Z)$. Since the opposite inequality holds, the lemma is proved.

COROLLARY 6.6. *$P(a_i)$ is closed under residuation if and only if $(X\colon Y)$ is in $P(a_i)$ for any join irreducibles X, Y in $P(a_i)$.*

Proof. If $X_1, \cdots, X_m, Y_1, \cdots, Y_n$ are products of the a_i's in $P(a_i)$, then

$$(X_1 \vee \cdots \vee X_m\colon Y_1 \vee \cdots \vee Y_n) = \bigwedge_{j=1}^{n} \left(\bigvee_{i=1}^{m} (X_i\colon Y_j) \right)$$

by Lemma 6.5.

Technical Lemmas 6.7 and 6.8 allow us to prove $P(a_i)$ is closed under residuation.

LEMMA 6.7. *If $(q_i) \prec (g_i)$ and $(g_i) \geqq_p (b_i)$ for some $(b_i) \prec (e_i^*)$, then $(q_i) \geqq_p (a_i)$ for some $(a_i) \prec (e_i^*)$.*

Proof. First we assume (q_i) is monotone and we may assume that (b_i) is monotone. Let $(\bar{q}_i)$ be obtained from (q_i) by a monotone $(-1, 1)$-change at the l, m places where $l < m$. If $(\bar{q}_i) \geqq_p (b_i)$, let $(a_i) = (b_i)$. If not, then $\bar{q}_i \geqq b_i$ for $i \neq l$ implies that $\bar{q}_l < b_l$. Since $q_l \geqq b_l$, we have $q_l = b_l$ and $b_{l+1} < b_l$. (If $b_{l+1} = b_l$ then $b_l = b_{l+1} \leqq q_{l+1} < q_l = b_l$, a contradiction.) Let $\bar{b}_l = b_l - 1$ and $\bar{b}_i = b_i$ for $i \neq l$. If $\bar{b}_{m-j} < \bar{b}_{m-(j+1)}$ and $q_{m-j} > \bar{b}_{m-j}$ for some $0 \leqq j \leqq m - l + 1$ then (a_i) defined by

$$a_i = \begin{cases} \bar{b}_i & \text{for} \quad i \neq m - j \\ \bar{b}_i + 1 & \text{for} \quad i + m - j \end{cases}$$

satisfies the conclusion of the lemma. Otherwise $\bar{b}_{m-1} = \bar{b}_m$ so that $q_{m-1} \geqq \bar{q}_m > \bar{b}_m = \bar{b}_{m-1}$. Then we can construct (a_i) as desired unless $\bar{b}_{m-1} = \bar{b}_{m-2}$ in which case $q_{m-2} \geqq \bar{q}_{m-1} > \bar{b}_{m-1} = \bar{b}_{m-2}$. Again we can construct the desired (a_i) unless $\bar{b}_{m-2} = \bar{b}_{m-3}$. Continuing, we conclude all of the $\bar{b}_i$'s for i from l to m are equal if (a_i) cannot be constructed. But we know that $\bar{b}_m < \bar{q}_m = q_m + 1 \leqq \bar{q}_l - q_l - 1 = b_l - 1 = \bar{b}_l$; that is, $\bar{b}_m < \bar{b}_l$, a contradiction. Hence (a_i) exists such that $(a_i) \prec (e_i^*)$ and $(\bar{q}_i) \geqq_p (a_i)$. Since any monotone k-tuple majorized by (g_i) can be obtained by a finite sequence of monotone $(-1, 1)$-changes, the lemma is proved for (q_i) monotone.

If (q_i) is not monotone, let (q_i') be its monotone representative. Then for some $(a_i') \prec (e_i^*)$, $(q_i') \geqq_p (a_i')$. But then $(q_i) \geqq_p (a_i)$ and $(a_i) \prec (e_i^*)$.

LEMMA 6.8. *Let (u_i), (f_i^*), (b_i), and (e_i^*) be monotone k-tuples with $(u_i) + (f_i^*) \geqq_p (b_i)$ for some $(b_i) \prec (e_i^*)$ and suppose $(q_i) \prec (f_i^*)$, then $(u_i) + (q_i) \geqq_p (c_i)$ for some $(c_i) \prec (e_i^*)$.*

Proof. Since $(q_i) \prec (f_i^*)$, $(u_i + q_i) \prec (u_i + f_i^*)$. Moreover, since $(u_i) + (f_i^*) = (u_i + f_i^*) \geqq_p (b_i)$ for some $(b_i) \prec (e_i^*)$, by Lemma 6.7 $(u_i + q_i) = (u_i) + (q_i) \geqq_p (c_i)$ for some $(c_i) \prec (e_i^*)$.

COROLLARY 6.9. *If (u_i) is a monotone k-tuple then $\Pi A_i^{u_i} \leqq \Pi a_i^{e_i} : \Pi a_i^{f_i}$ if and only if $(u_i + f_i^*) \geqq_p (b_i)$ for some $(b_i) \prec (e_i^*)$.*

Proof. If $(\bar{q}_i)$ is the monotone representative for (q_i) and $(\overline{u_i + q_i})$ is the monotone representative for $(u_i + q_i)$ for some $(q_i) \prec (f_i^*)$, then

$$\sum \overline{u_i + q_i} \leqq \sum u_i + \sum \bar{q}_i \leqq \sum u_i + \sum f_i^* = \sum (u_i + f_i^*)$$

where the indices run from 1 to j for $1 \leqq j \leqq k - 1$ and $(u_1 + q_1)^* = u_1^* + q_1^* = u_1^* + f_1^{**} = (u_1 + f_1^*)^*$. Hence the condition is sufficient.

Necessity is clear.

Note that a symmetric element E in $RL(A_i)$ is the join of products of the a_i's if and only if whenever $\Pi A_i^{r_i} \leqq E$ with (r_i) monotone and (s_i) is obtained from (r_i) by a sequence monotone $(-1, 1)$-changes, then $\Pi A_i^{s_i} \leqq E$; for then $E = \bigvee \{\Pi a_i^{t_i - t_{i+1}}: (t_i)$ is monotome and $\Pi A_i^{t_i}$ is in the minimal base for $E\}$. As before we set $t_{k+1} = 0$.

THEOREM 6.10. *$P(a_i)$ is closed under residuation.*

Proof. Suppose that (u_i) is monotone and that $\Pi A_i^{u_i} \leqq (\Pi a_i^{e_i}: \Pi a_i^{f_i})$. Let (v_i) be obtained from (u_i) by a monotone $(-1, 1)$-change. Then $\Pi a_i^{u_i} \cdot \Pi A_i^{f_i^*} \leqq \Pi a_i^{e_i}$ so that $(u_i) + (f_i^*) \geqq_p (b_i)$ for some $(b_i) \prec (e_i^*)$. So by Lemma 6.8 $(v_i) + (f_i^*) \geqq_p (c_i)$ for some $(c_i) \prec (e_i^*)$ since $(v_i) + (f_i^*)$ is obtained from $(u_i) + (f_i^*)$ by a monotone $(-1, 1)$-change. Hence $\Pi A_i^{v_i} \leqq (\Pi a_i^{e_i}: \Pi a_i^{f_i})$ by Corollary 6.9. Therefore $\Pi a_i^{u_i - u_{i+1}} \leqq (\Pi a_i^{e_i}: \Pi a_i^{f_i})$ so the residual is the join of all such products $\Pi a_i^{u_i - u_{i+1}}$ where (u_i) is monotone and $\Pi A_i^{u_i} \cdot \Pi a_i^{f_i} \leqq a_i^{e_i}$. (We set $u_{k+1} = 0$.) Since this is an element in $P(a_i)$ our proof is complete.

PROPOSITION 6.11. *Each product of the elementary symmetric elements is a weak join principal element in $P(a_i)$.*

Proof. Let $k > 1$. It suffices to show that $(\Pi a_i^{e_i}: a_t) = \Pi_{i \neq t} a_i^{e_i} \cdot a_t^{e_t - 1}$ whenever $e_t \geqq 1$. And since the product on the right is clearly less than or equal to the residual, we only need demonstrate the opposite inequality. So suppose that $\Pi A_i^{t_i} \leqq (a_i^{e_i}: a_t)$ where $e_t \geqq 1$. By symmetry we assume (t_i) is monotone. Let $(f_i^*) = (1, 1, \cdots, 1, 0, \cdots, 0)$ with 1's in the first t positions. Then

$$(\nabla) \qquad (t_i) + (f_i^*) \geqq_p (b_i) \text{ for some } (b_i) \prec (e_i^*)\,.$$

Let (u_i) be the lexicographic maximum of the p-minimal k-tuples which are ${}_p\!\leqq (t_i)$ and satisfy (∇) with (u_i) in place of (t_i). Note that (u_i) is monotone since if $(\bar{u}_i)$ is the monotone representative of (u_i) then $(\bar{u}_i)\, {}_p\!\leqq (t_i)$ and by symmetry $\Pi A_i^{\bar{u}_i} \leqq (\Pi a_i^{e_i}: a_t)$. But $(\bar{u}_i) \geqq_l (u_i)$ and since $(\bar{u}_i)$ is p-minimal $(u_i) = (\bar{u}_i)$. Moreover, $(u_i) + (f_i^*) = (u_i + f_i^*)$ is monotone so we can choose (b_i) monotone and l-maximum satisfying (∇) with (t_i) replaced by (u_i).

Claim. $(u_i) \prec ((e_i - f_i)^*)$. For then $\Pi A_i^{t_i} \leqq \Pi A_i^{u_i} \leqq \Pi_{i \neq t} a_i^{e_i} \cdot a_t^{e_t - 1}$.

First suppose that $\sum_1^r b_i = \sum_1^r e_i^*$ for some $r < k$. Set $(g_1, \cdots, g_r) = (f_1, \cdots, f_{r-1}, f_r^*)$ and $(h_1, \cdots, h_r) = (e_1, \cdots, e_{r-1}, e_r^*)$. Then $(h_i) \geqq_p (g_i)$. Also $g_i^* = f_i^*$ and $h_i^* = e_i^*$ for $i = 1, \cdots, r$. So $(u_1 + g_1^*, \cdots, u_r + g_r^*) \geqq_p (b_1, \cdots, b_r)$ with $(b_1, \cdots, b_r) \prec (h_i^*)$. By induction on k $(u_1, \cdots, u_r) \geqq_p (c_1, \cdots, c_r)$ for some $(c_1, \cdots c_r) \prec (h_1^* - g_1^*, \cdots, h_r^* - g_r^*)$. Also by induction on k, since $(u_{r+1}, \cdots, u_k) + (f_{r+1}^*, \cdots, f_k^*) \geqq_p (b_{r+1}, \cdots, b_k)$ for

$(b_{r+1}, \cdots, b_k) \prec (e^*_{r+1}, \cdots, e^*_k)$ there is a $k-r$-tuple $(c_{r+1}, \cdots, c_k)$ such that $(c_{r+1}, \cdots, c_k) \prec ((e_{r+1} - f_{r+1})^*, \cdots, (e_k - f_k)^*)$ and $(u_{r+1}, \cdots, u_k) \geqq_p (c_{r+1}, \cdots, c_k)$. But then $(u_i) \geqq_p (c_i)$ with $(c_i) \prec ((e_i - f_i)^*)$. Hence we may assume that $\sum_1^r b_i < \sum_1^r e^*_i$ for any $r < k$.

If $(b_i) = (u_i + f^*_i)$, then $(u_i) = (b_i - f^*_i)$ and $(u_i) \prec ((e_i - f_i)^*)$. So suppose there exists some i such that $b_i < u_i + f^*_i$. Let i_0 be the first such i. Then for any j, $1 \leqq j \leqq i_0 - 1$, $b_j = u_j + f^*_j$ and by the l-maximality of (b_i), either $b_{i_0-1} = b_{i_0}$, $i_0 = 1$, or if $b_{i_0-1} > b_{i_0}$, then for all $q > i_0$, $b_q = 0$ since otherwise we could perform a mototone $(1, -1)$-change on (b_i). Moreover, by the p-minimality of (u_i), u_{i_0} cannot be reduced in any coordinate so that $u_{i_0} + f^*_{i_0} > b_{i_0}$ implies that $u_{i_0} = 0$. Since f^*_i is either 0 or 1 for each i, we conclude that $1 = f_{i_0} > b_{i_0} = 0$. Hence $i_0 \neq 1$ (for if $i_0 = 1$ then $(b_i) = (0, \cdots, 0)$) and $b_{i_0} \neq b_{i_0-1}$ (for if $b_{i_0-1} = b_{i_0}$, then $b_{i_0-1} = 0 < 1 + u_{i_0-1} = f^*_{i_0-1} + u_{i_0-1}$ contradicting the choice of i_0). So $b_{i_0-1} > b_{i_0}$ and $q > i_0$ implies that $b_q = 0$. Since $e^*_{i_0} > f^*_{i_0}$, $e^*_{i_0} > 0$. Therefore $e^*_1 + \cdots + e^*_{i_0-1} < e^{**}_1 = b^*_1 = b_1 + \cdots + b_{i_0-1} \leqq e^*_1 + \cdots + e^*_{i_0-1}$, a contradiction. Therefore the i_0 does not exist and the theorem is proved.

COROLLARY 6.12. *Each product of the elementary symmetric elements is join principal in* $P(a_i)$.

Proof. If A, B, and C are in $P(a_i)$ with A a product of the a_i's, then $(AB \vee C: A) = (AB: A) \vee (C: A) = B \vee (C: A)$ since B and C are joins of join irreducibles in $P(a_i)$.

REMARK. In general if A and B are join irreducible in $P(a_i)$, $A: B$ is not join irreducible; for example, $a_2^2: a_1 = a_2^2 \vee a_3$ in $P(a_1, a_2, a_3)$. Of course the residual $A: B$ is join irreducible if $A = CB$ for some C in $P(a_i)$.

7. Principal elements in $P(a_i)$. In general a product of elementary symmetric elements in $P(a_i)$ is not a principal element in $P(a_i)$. In particular a_1 is not weak meet principal if $k > 1$ since from § 2 $(a_k: a_1) = a_k$ so $(a_k: a_1)a_1 = a_1 a_k$ while $a_k \wedge a_1 = a_k \neq a_1 a_k$. However, there is a nontrivial principal element, a_k, in $P(a_i)$ since a_k is a principal element in $RL(A_i)$. We show that a_k and its powers are the only nontrivial principal elements in $P(a_i)$.

A *Π-domain* is a multiplicative lattice, L', which contains a subset, S, of elements of L' which generates L' under joins such that every element of S is a product of prime elements and in which 0 is a prime element [1, § 4].

THEOREM 7.1. $P(a_i)$ *is a Π-domain in which the only principal* *elements are* 0, a_k^t *for* $t \geqq 1$, *and* I.

Proof. 0 is a prime element in $P(a_i)$ since 0 is a prime element in $RL(A_i)$. Moreover, $P(a_i)$ is a multiplicative lattice which is generated under joins by products of the elementary symmetric elements.

If A and B are joins of products of the a_i's such that $A \nleqq a_j$ and $B \nleqq a_j$ for a fixed $j, 1 \leqq j \leqq k$, then there are products $\Pi a_i^{e_i}$ and $\Pi a_i^{f_i}$ in the minimal bases in $P(a_i)$ respectively such that $\Pi a_i^{e_i} \nleqq a_j$ and $\Pi a_i^{f_i} \nleqq a_j$. Then there exist $(r_i) \prec (e_i^*)$ and $(s_i) \prec (f_i^*)$ such that both (r_i) and (s_i) have fewer than j nonzero integers. By symmetry (r_i') and (s_j'), the monotone representatives of (r_i) and (s_i) are in the minimal bases for $\Pi a_i^{e_i}$ and $\Pi a_i^{f_i}$ respectively and $(r_i')+(s_i')$ has fewer than j nonzero entries. Therefore $\Pi A_i^{r_i'} \cdot \Pi A_i^{s_i'} \nleqq a_j$ and hence $AB \nleqq a_j$. Hence a_j is a prime element in $P(a_i)$.

0 and I are principal elements in $P(a_i)$. The fact that any weak meet principal element in $P(a_i)$ is join irreducible follows from [1, Theorem 1.2]. So in $P(a_i)$ the only nontrivial candidates for principal elements are products of the a_i's. Moreover, since AB principal implies that A is principal and $a_1 \cdots, a_{k-1}$ are not principal elements in $P(a_i)$, the only principal elements in $P(a_i)$ are powers of a_k, 0, and I.

8. **Remarks (multiplicative lattices).** Elements in $RL(A_i)$ and $P(a_i)$ are joins of unique products of their generators. Moreover, both of these multiplicative lattices have a partial order which naturally induces an order on k-tuples associated with their exponent k-tuples. If we define $\phi: RL(A_i) \rightarrow P(a_i)$ by sending A_i to a_i for each i and extending ϕ via products and joins, we see that ϕ is a join-morphism which preserves products, primes, and join principalness. However $RL(A_i)$ is the lattice of ideals of a semigroup while $P(a_i)$ is not [1]. The problem in $P(a_i)$ is the absence of weak meet principal generators.

In $P(a_i)$ $(k > 1)$ every prime contains the only principal prime element, a_k.

9. **Remarks (partitions of integers).** Brylawski [4] has studied certain sublattices of $P(a_i)$. He defined L_k to be the lattice of monotone partitions of k of length k. Extending Brylawski's notation, we write L_n^k for the lattice of monotone partitions of n with the understanding that the last $n-k$ entries are zero if $n \geqq k$ and the last $k-n$ entries are zero if $n < k$.

For $\mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{C} \subseteqq P(a_i)$, we write $\mathfrak{B} \cdot \mathfrak{C}$ for $\{AB \mid A \in \mathfrak{B}$ and $B \in \mathfrak{C}\}$.

PROPOSITION 9.1. *$P(a_i)$ is the disjoint union of isomorphic* *images of* L_n^k, $\bigcup_{n \geqq 0 \text{ or } n=\infty} \psi(L_n^k)$ *where we set* $L_0^k = \{(0, \cdots, 0)\}$ *and* $L_\infty^k = \{(\infty, \cdots, \infty)\}$ *with* $\psi(s_1, \cdots, s_k) = \Pi a_i^{s_i - s_{i+1}}$ *and* $s_{k+1} = 0$. *Moreover* $\psi(L_{n_1}^k) \cdot \psi(L_{n_2}^k) = \psi(L_{n_1+n_2}^k)$ *if* $n_1, n_2 \geqq k$.

Proof. That L_n^k and $\psi(L_n^k)$ are isomorphic as lattices follows from Theorem 5.2 and the fact that dom restricted to L_n^k is simply majorization. Clearly $\psi(L_{n_1}^k) \cap \psi(L_{n_2}^k) = \phi$ for $n_1 \neq n_2$ and $\bigcup_n \psi(L_n^k) = P(a_i)$ if we agree $\psi(L_0^k) = I$ and $\psi(L_\infty^k) = 0$. That $\psi(L_{n_1}^k) \cdot \psi(L_{n_2}^k) = \psi(L_{n_1+n_2}^k)$ if $n_1, n_2 \geqq k$ follows from the addition of exponents of the a_i's in $P(a_i)$ under multiplication.

10. Remarks (symmetric elements). We asked whether the multiplicative sublattice of symmetric elements, $\mathfrak{N}$ (§1) can be generated naturally by a proper subset of generators. We note here that a large subset of $\mathfrak{N}$ does not generate $\mathfrak{N}$ under products and joins.

If (s_i) is a k-tuple of nonzero integers then in $RL(A_i)$, $A_1^{s_1}$, $A_2^{s_2}, \cdots, A_k^{s_k}$ is a prime sequence [6]. So $P(a_1^{(s_1)}, \cdots, a_k^{(s_k)})$ is a Π-domain isomorphic with $P(a_i)$ where $a_i^{(s_i)}$ is the ith elementary symmetric element in $A_1^{s_1}, \cdots, A_k^{s_k}$. Moreover, in terms of the A_i's, $\Pi_{i=1}^k (a_i^{(s_i)})^{e_i} = \{\Pi A_i^{t_i} \mid t_i = s_i r_i \text{ for some } (r_i) \prec (e_i^*)\}$. Elements in $P(a_i^{(s_i)})$ are all symmetric. However, $\bigcup_{(s_i)} P(a_i^{(s_i)})$ generates a proper subset of $\mathfrak{N}$. For example, if $C = A_1^5 A_2^3 A_3$ in $RL(A_1, A_2, A_3)$, then $\bigvee_{g \in S_3} C^g$ is a symmetric element which is not the join of products of any of the $a_i^{(s_i)}$'s.

REFERENCES

1. D. D. Anderson, *R-lattices*, Algebra Universalis, **6** (1976), 131–145.
2. G. Birkhoff, *Lattice theory*, (Third Ed.), Amer. Math. Soc., 1967.
3. K. P. Bogart, *Distributive local Noether lattices*, Michigan Math. J., **16** (1969), 215–223.
4. Thomas Brylawski, *The lattice of integer partitions*, Discrete Math., **6** (1973), 201–219.
5. D. Gale, *A theorem on flows in networks*, Pacific J. Math., **7** (1957), 1073–1082.
6. E. W. Johnson, and M. Detlefsen, *Prime sequences and distributivity in local Noether lattices*, Fund. Math., **86** (1974), 149–156.
7. H. J. Ryser, *Combinatorial mathematics*, Math. Assoc. Amer., 1963.
8. G. Szasz, *Lattice Theory*, Academic Press, 1963.
9. M. Ward, and R. P. Dilworth, *Residuated lattices*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **45** (1939), 335–354.

Received June 23, 1977.

SLIPPERY ROCK STATE COLLEGE
SLIPPERY ROCK, PA 16057

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. **39**. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints to each author are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: $72.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: $36.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924, U.S.A. Older back numbers obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION
Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.).
8-8, 3-chome, Takadanobaba, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics
Vol. 77, No. 2 February, 1978