

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

## **ON CHARACTERIZATIONS OF EXPONENTIAL POLYNOMIALS**

PHILIP G. LAIRD

## ON CHARACTERIZATIONS OF EXPONENTIAL POLYNOMIALS

PHILIP G. LAIRD

This paper considers some characterizations of exponential polynomials in  $C(G)$ , the set of all continuous complex valued functions on a  $\sigma$ -compact locally compact Abelian group  $G$ . For  $f \in C(G)$ ,  $U_f$  will denote the subspace of  $C(G)$  obtained by taking finite linear combinations of translates of  $f$ . It is known that  $f$  is an exponential polynomial if and only if  $U_f$  is of finite dimension. Our main result is to show that  $f$  is an exponential polynomial when  $U_f$  is closed in  $C(G)$  if  $C(G)$  is given the topology of convergence uniform on all compact subsets of  $G$ .

Further characterizations of exponential polynomials are given when  $G$  is real Euclidean  $n$ -space,  $R^n$ .

A function  $b \in C(G)$  is additive if  $b(x + y) = b(x) + b(y)$  for all  $x, y \in G$  and  $g \in C(G)$  is an exponential if  $g(x + y) = g(x)g(y)$  for all  $x, y \in C(G)$ . An exponential polynomial is a finite linear combination of terms  $h = b_1^{q_1} b_2^{q_2} \cdots b_m^{q_m} g$  where  $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m$  are additive,  $q_1, q_2, \dots, q_m$  are nonnegative integers and  $g$  is an exponential.

If  $f$  is an exponential polynomial, it is easy to see that  $U_f$  is finite dimensional. For if  $h$  is as above, then  $T_\alpha h: x \rightarrow h(x - \alpha)$  is a finite linear combination of terms  $b_1^{r_1} b_2^{r_2} \cdots b_m^{r_m} g$  for each  $\alpha \in G$  where  $r_j = 0, 1, \dots, q_j$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ . A result of Engert [5] shows that if  $U_f$  is finite dimensional, then  $f$  is an exponential polynomial. The proof of this result when  $G$  is any  $\sigma$ -compact locally compact Abelian group is naturally more involved than when  $G$  is merely  $R$  or  $R^n$ . Proofs for the case of  $C(R)$  may be found in Anselone and Korevaar [1] and Loewner [8] who also refers to  $C(R^n)$ .

Throughout this paper, the only topology considered on  $C(G)$  is that of convergence uniform on all compact subsets of  $G$ . With  $G$  being  $\sigma$ -compact, let  $G$  be the countable union of compact sets  $K_p$ . Let  $S_p(f) = \sup \{|f(x)|: x \in K_p\}$  and  $d(f, g) = \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} 2^{-p} \min(1, S_p(f - g))$  for  $f, g \in C(G)$ . Then  $d$  is a metric for  $C(G)$  and  $C(G)$  is complete in this metric.

With such a topology for  $C(G)$ , if  $U_f$  is finite dimensional, it is closed. The converse to this is shown here (Theorem 3) so that in  $C(G)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 f \text{ is an exponential polynomial} &\iff U_f \text{ is finite dimensional} \\
 &\iff U_f \text{ is closed in } C(G).
 \end{aligned}$$

In showing that when  $U_f$  is closed, it is then finite dimensional, the following notation shall be used throughout. As above, assume that  $G = \bigcup_{p=1}^{\infty} K_p$  where each  $K_p$  is compact. For a given function  $f$  in  $C(G)$ , set

$$S_p = \left\{ g \in C(G) : g = \sum_{k=1}^p a_k T_{\beta_k} f \right.$$

where  $|a_k| \leq p$  and  $\beta_k \in K_p$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, p$   $\left. \right\}$ .

It is clear that  $U_f = \bigcup_{p=1}^{\infty} S_p$ . The method of proof is one suggested by Edwards [4], pages 38-39 in establishing the result for functions on the circle group.

LEMMA 1.  $S_p$  is pointwise equicontinuous in  $C(G)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x \in G$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Let  $B$  denote the set of all neighborhoods of 0 in  $G$ . It suffices to show that there is a  $U \in B$  such that

$$|f(x - \alpha) - f(y - \alpha)| < \varepsilon/p^2 \text{ for all } \alpha \in K_p \text{ and all } y \text{ with } y - x \in B.$$

Then

$$|g(x) - g(y)| < \sum_{k=1}^p |a_k| \varepsilon/p^2 \leq \varepsilon$$

whenever  $y - x \in U$  and  $g \in S_p$ .

Set  $F = x - K_p$  so if  $\alpha \in K_p$ ,  $\beta = x - \alpha \in F$ . For each  $\beta \in F$ , there exists  $V_\beta \subset B$  such that  $|f(z) - f(\beta)| < \varepsilon/2p^2$  whenever  $z - \beta \in V_\beta$ . For this  $V_\beta$ , there is a  $W_\beta \in B$  such that  $W_\beta + W_\beta \subset V_\beta$ . With  $\{\beta + W_\beta : \beta \in F\}$  forming an open cover for the compact set  $F$ , select a finite subcover  $\{\beta_j + W_{\beta_j}\}_{j=1}^m$ . Let  $W = \bigcap_{j=1}^m W_{\beta_j}$  and  $U = W \cap (-W)$  so  $U \in B$ . If  $\alpha \in K_p$  and  $x - \alpha \in F$ ,  $x - \alpha \in \beta_l + W_{\beta_l}$  say. Then

$$y - \alpha = y - x + x - \alpha \in U + x - \alpha \subset \beta_l + V_{\beta_l}$$

which also contains  $x - \alpha$ . Hence  $f(x - \alpha)$  and  $f(y - \alpha)$  differ from  $f(\beta_l)$  by amounts in modulus less than  $\varepsilon/2p^2$  and the result follows.

LEMMA 2.  $S_p$  is compact in  $C(G)$ .

*Proof.* Use is made of the condition that in  $C(G)$ , a closed equicontinuous set  $S$  is compact if  $S[x] = \{f(x) : f \in S\}$  is compact in  $C$  (see, for example, [3], page 34 or [6], page 234). With  $f$  being continuous and  $x \in G$ ,  $\{f(x - \beta) : \beta \in K_p\}$  is compact whence  $S_p[x]$  is compact in  $C$ . To show that  $S_p$  is closed, let  $\{g_q\}$  be any Cauchy

sequence in  $S_p$  with  $g_q = \sum_{k=1}^p a_{q,k} T_{\beta_{q,k}} f$ . Since  $|a_{q,1}| \leq p$  for all positive integers  $q$ , a convergent subsequence  $a_{q',1}$  may be found with limit, say  $a_1$ , and  $|a_1| \leq p$ . Continue in this manner to find convergent subsequences  $\{a_{r,k}\}_{r=1}^\infty$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, p$  with respective limits  $a_k$  where  $|a_k| \leq p$ . Now use  $\{\beta_{r,k}\}_{r=1}^\infty \subset K_p$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, p$  and  $K_p$  is compact to find convergent subsequences  $\{\beta_{v,k}\}_{v=1}^\infty$ . With  $a_{v,k} \rightarrow a_k$ ,  $|\beta_{v,k}| \leq p$  and  $\beta_{v,k} \rightarrow \beta_k \in K_p$  as  $v \rightarrow \infty$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, p$ , it follows that  $g_v \rightarrow g$  for some  $g \in S_p$ . So  $g_q \rightarrow g$  as  $q \rightarrow \infty$  showing that  $S_p$  is closed. Hence  $S_p$  is compact in  $C(G)$ .

**THEOREM 3.** *If  $U_f$  is closed in  $C(G)$ , then  $U_f$  is finite dimensional.*

*Proof.* Since  $U_f = \bigcup_{p=1}^\infty S_p$  is closed in the metric space  $C(G)$ , it follows by Baire's category theorem applied to  $U_f$  that there must be as  $S_p$  that is not nowhere dense. As this  $S_p$  is closed, it must have a nonvoid interior. Hence  $U_f$  contains a compact neighbourhood of zero. So, by Riesz's theorem (see, for example [3], page 65)  $U_f$  is finite dimensional.

The remainder of this article, concerns exponential polynomials in  $C(R^n)$ . These functions in  $C(R^n)$  are finite linear combinations of terms  $x_1^{p_1} x_2^{p_2} \dots x_n^{p_n} \exp(a_1 x_1 + a_2 x_2 + \dots + a_n x_n)$  where  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in R^n$ ,  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n$  are nonnegative integers and  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$  are complex numbers. In restricting  $G$  to be  $R^n$ , little economy of the proof of Theorem 3 is gained except for Lemma 1. However, it is considerably easier to show for  $C(R^n)$  compared with  $C(G)$  that if  $U_f$  is finite dimensional, then  $f$  is an exponential polynomial. A new and simple proof is as follows.

Suppose that  $U_f$  has finite dimension  $m$  where  $m > 1$ . (If  $m = 0$ ,  $f = 0$  and if  $m = 1$  a simpler version of the following suffices.) Let  $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m$  be a basis of  $U_f$  and  $g = (g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m)$ . Then  $T_\alpha g = A(\alpha)g$  where  $A(\alpha)$  is an  $m \times m$  complex matrix. From  $T_{\alpha+\beta} = T_\alpha T_\beta$ , one finds that  $A(\alpha + \beta) = A(\alpha)A(\beta)$  and  $A(0) = I$ , the unit matrix. Since  $T_\alpha f \rightarrow T_\beta f$  as  $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ ,  $A(\alpha)$  is continuous. So  $z \in R^n$  near 0 may be chosen and fixed so that  $A(z)$  is nonsingular. It is clear from

$$A(x) = \left( \int_{x_1}^{x_1+z_1} \dots \int_{x_n}^{x_n+z_n} A(y) dy \right) (A(z))^{-1},$$

that each partial derivative of  $A$  exists. Letting  $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$  be the standard basis for  $R^n$ ,

$$D_j g = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} (A(-h e_j) - A(0))g/h = C_j g,$$

where the matrix  $C_j = D_j A(0)$ . So  $D_j(\exp(-C_j x_j)g) = 0$  showing

that  $g = \exp(C_j x_j) \phi_j$  where  $\phi_j$  is independent of  $x_j$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$  and  $\phi_j$  takes value in  $R^m$ .

From  $\exp(C_1 x_1) \phi_1 = \exp(C_2 x_2) \phi_2$  with  $x_1 = 0$   $\phi_1(x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n) = \exp(C_2 x_2) \phi_2(0, x_3, x_4, \dots, x_n)$ . Successively equating  $\exp(C_j x_j) \phi_j = \exp(C_{j+1} x_{j+1}) \phi_{j+1}$  with  $x_j = 0$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ , we find

$$g = \exp(C_1 x_1) \exp(C_2 x_2) \cdots \exp(C_n x_n) d$$

where  $d \in R^m$  is constant. As it is well known that the elements of  $\exp(Cx)$  are exponential polynomials in  $x$  ([2], page 46), it follows that the components of  $g$  are exponential polynomials. Hence  $f$  is an exponential polynomial in  $C(R^n)$  when  $U_f$  is finite dimensional.

Other characterizations of exponential polynomials in  $C(R^n)$  are now given. For  $C(R)$ , one such is that of the set of all solutions to all nontrivial linear ordinary differential equations with constant coefficients. For  $C(R^n)$  with  $n > 1$ , one cannot identify the set of all exponential polynomials with the set of all solutions to all nontrivial linear partial differential equations with constant coefficients. However, a necessary and sufficient condition that  $f \in C(R^n)$  be an exponential polynomial is that there exists  $n$  nonzero linear differential operators  $L_j = L_j(D_j)$  with constant coefficients where each  $L_j$  only involves the  $j$ th partial derivative  $D_j$  and  $L_j f = 0$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . A proof of this given by Laird [7], page 816, is reproduced here for completeness. The necessity of the condition is obvious. Conversely, if  $f \in C(R^n)$  and if  $L_1 f = 0$ , then  $f$  is a finite sum of terms  $A(x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n) x_1^{q_1} \exp a x_1$ . With  $L_2 f = 0$ ,  $L_2 A = 0$  and so each  $A$  is a finite sum of terms  $B(x_3, x_4, \dots, x_n) x_2^{q_2} \exp b x_2$ . Continuing in this manner, one finds that  $f$  is an exponential polynomial.

The following is an extension of the above result.

**THEOREM 4.** *Let  $f \in C(R^n)$  and let  $A = (a_{jk})$  be a real nonsingular  $n \times n$  real matrix. Then a necessary and sufficient condition that  $f$  be an exponential polynomial is that there exist  $n$  nonzero polynomials  $P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n$ , each of one variable, such that*

$$P_j(a_{j1} D_1 + a_{j2} D_2 + \cdots + a_{jn} D_n) f = 0$$

for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

*Proof.* Let  $u_k = \sum_{m=1}^n b_{km} x_m$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$  and  $f(x) = g(u)$ . Then

$$D_m f(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial g}{\partial u_k} \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x_m}$$

so that

$$\sum_{m=1}^n a_{jm} D_m f = \frac{\partial g}{\partial u_j}$$

when  $B = (b_{km})$  is chosen so that  $B^T = A^{-1}$ . The given condition is then  $P_j(D_j)g = 0$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$  which is equivalent to  $g$  and so to  $f$  being an exponential polynomial.

**THEOREM 5.** *Let  $a \in R^n$ ,  $f \in C(R^n)$  and  $U_f(a)$  denote the subspace in  $C(R^n)$  obtained from finite linear combinations of terms  $f(x - ta)$  for  $t \in R$ . A necessary and sufficient condition that  $f$  be an exponential is that  $U_f(a_j)$  be finite dimensional for  $n$  linearly independent vectors  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$  in  $R^n$ .*

*Proof.* The necessity is easily seen from  $U_f(a) \subset U_f$  for all  $a \in R^n$ , and if  $f$  is an exponential polynomial, then  $U_f$  is finite dimensional.

The converse, which has been recognized by Loewner [8] when  $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$  is the standard basis, may be shown directly, or as follows. Let  $f_j(t) = f(ta_j)$  for all  $t \in R$  and  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . If each  $U_f(a_j)$  is finite dimensional in  $C(R^n)$ , then  $U_{f_j}$  is finite dimensional in  $C(R^n)$ . So each  $f_j$  is an exponential polynomial and there is a nonzero polynomial  $P_j$  so that  $P_j(D)f_j = 0$ . With  $Df_j = a \cdot \text{grad} f$ , the conditions of the sufficiency part of Theorem 4 are satisfied. Hence  $f$  is an exponential polynomial in  $C(R^n)$ .

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.** The author would like to thank Dr. R. V. Nillsen of the University of Wollongong for several helpful discussions and also acknowledge the use of the Science Citation Indices.

#### REFERENCES

1. P. M. Anselone and J. Korevaar, *Translation invariant subspaces of finite dimension*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **15** (1964), 747-752.
2. W. A. Coppel, *Stability and Asymptotic Behavior of Differential Equations*, Heath, Boston, 1965.
3. R. E. Edwards, *Functional Analysis: Theory and Applications*, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York, 1965.
4. ———, *Fourier Series, A Modern Introduction*, Volume II, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York, 1967.
5. M. Engert, *Finite dimensional translation invariant subspaces*, Pacific J. Math., **32** (1970), 333-343.
6. J. L. Kelly, *General Topology*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, 1955.
7. P. G. Laird, *Entire mean periodic functions*, Canad. J. Math., **27** (1975), 805-818.
8. C. Loewner, *On some transformations invariant under Euclidean or non-Euclidean isometries*, J. Math. Mech., **8** (1959), 393-409.

Received January 4, 1977 and in revised form July 12, 1978.

UNIVERSITY OF WOLLONGONG  
WOLLONGONG, N. S. W. 2500  
AUSTRALIA



# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

## EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)

University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90024

CHARLES W. CURTIS

University of Oregon  
Eugene, OR 97403

C. C. MOORE

University of California  
Berkeley, CA 94720

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics  
University of Southern California  
Los Angeles, CA 90007

R. FINN and J. MILGRAM

Stanford University  
Stanford, CA 94305

## ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO  
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY  
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA  
STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII  
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO  
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH  
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

---

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

---

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. **39**. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints to each author are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

---

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$72.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$36.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924, U.S.A. Older back numbers obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.).  
8-8, 3-chome, Takadanobaba, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

Copyright © 1978 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics  
Manufactured and first issued in Japan



K. Adachi, <i>On the multiplicative Cousin problems for <math>N^P(D)</math></i> .....	297
Howard Banilower, <i>Isomorphisms and simultaneous extensions in <math>C(S)</math></i> ....	305
B. R. Bhonsle and R. A. Prabhu, <i>An inversion formula for a distributional finite-Hankel-Laplace transformation</i> .....	313
Douglas S. Bridges, <i>Connectivity properties of metric spaces</i> .....	325
John Patton Burgess, <i>A selection theorem for group actions</i> .....	333
Carl Claudius Cowen, <i>Commutants and the operator equations <math>AX = \lambda XA</math></i> .....	337
Thomas Curtis Craven, <i>Characterizing reduced Witt rings. II</i> .....	341
J. Csima, <i>Embedding partial idempotent <math>d</math>-ary quasigroups</i> .....	351
Sheldon Davis, <i>A cushioning-type weak covering property</i> .....	359
Micheal Neal Dyer, <i>Nonminimal roots in homotopy trees</i> .....	371
John Erik Fornæss, <i>Plurisubharmonic defining functions</i> .....	381
John Fuelberth and James J. Kuzmanovich, <i>On the structure of finitely generated splitting rings</i> .....	389
Irving Leonard Glicksberg, <i>Boundary continuity of some holomorphic functions</i> .....	425
Frank Harary and Robert William Robinson, <i>Generalized Ramsey theory. IX. Isomorphic factorizations. IV. Isomorphic Ramsey numbers</i> .....	435
Frank Harary and Allen John Carl Schwenk, <i>The spectral approach to determining the number of walks in a graph</i> .....	443
David Kent Harrison, <i>Double coset and orbit spaces</i> .....	451
Shiro Ishikawa, <i>Common fixed points and iteration of commuting nonexpansive mappings</i> .....	493
Philip G. Laird, <i>On characterizations of exponential polynomials</i> .....	503
Y. C. Lee, <i>A Witt's theorem for unimodular lattices</i> .....	509
Teck Cheong Lim, <i>On common fixed point sets of commutative mappings</i> .....	517
R. S. Pathak, <i>On the Meijer transform of generalized functions</i> .....	523
T. S. Ravisankar and U. S. Shukla, <i>Structure of <math>\Gamma</math>-rings</i> .....	537
Olaf von Grudzinski, <i>Examples of solvable and nonsolvable convolution equations in <math>\mathcal{K}'_p</math>, <math>p \geq 1</math></i> .....	561
Roy Westwick, <i>Irreducible lengths of trivectors of rank seven and eight</i> ....	575