

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**RESOLUTION OF SIGN AMBIGUITIES IN JACOBI AND
JACOBSTHAL SUMS**

RONALD JAMES EVANS

RESOLUTION OF SIGN AMBIGUITIES IN JACOBI AND JACOBSTHAL SUMS

RONALD J. EVANS

Let p be a prime $\equiv 1 \pmod{16}$. We obtain extensions of known congruences involving parameters of biotic Jacobi sums \pmod{p} . These extensions are used to give an elementary proof of an important congruence of Hasse relating parameters of quartic and octic Jacobi sums \pmod{p} . This proof leads directly to an elementary resolution of sign ambiguities of parameters of certain quartic, octic, and biotic Jacobi and Jacobsthal sums. E. Lehmer's work on ambiguities in quartic sums is thereby extended.

1. Introduction and notation. Throughout this paper, $p = 16f + 1$ is a prime with fixed primitive root g . Fix a character $\chi \pmod{p}$ of order 16 such that $\chi(g) = \beta$, where $\beta = e^{2\pi i/16}$. Let $m = \text{ind}_g 2$, so that $2 \equiv g^m \pmod{p}$.

For characters $\lambda, \psi \pmod{p}$, define the Jacobi sums

$$J(\lambda, \psi) = \sum_{n \pmod{p}} \lambda(n)\psi(1-n)$$

and

$$K(\lambda) = \lambda(4)J(\lambda, \lambda).$$

For $\alpha \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, define the Jacobsthal sums

$$\varphi_n(\alpha) = \sum_{\nu \pmod{p}} \left(\frac{\nu}{p}\right) \left(\frac{\nu^n + \alpha}{p}\right),$$

where the factors in the summands are Legendre symbols.

It is well known [8, Lemma 2] that if the character λ has order $2n$, then

$$K(\lambda) = J(\lambda, \lambda^n).$$

Simple consequences of this formula are the following (see [1, Theorems 2.5 and 2.7]):

$$(1) \quad \varphi_n(\alpha) = \lambda(-1) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \lambda^{n+1+2j}(\alpha) K(\lambda^{2j+1}),$$

and

$$K(\lambda) = \lambda(-1)J(\lambda, \lambda^{n-1}),$$

where the character λ has order $2n$.

Using this formula for $K(\lambda)$ and [11, eqs. (3.4), (3.6), (3.10), (3.13)], we see that

$$(2) \quad K(\chi^4) = -x + 2iy,$$

$$(3) \quad K(\chi^2) = -a + ib\sqrt{2},$$

$$(4) \quad K(\chi) = c_0 + c_2\sqrt{2} + ic_1\sqrt{2 - \sqrt{2}} + ic_3\sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2}},$$

and

$$(5) \quad J(\chi, \chi^2) = \sum_{i=0}^7 d_i \beta^i.$$

The integers x and $|y|$ are uniquely determined by the conditions

$$(6) \quad p = x^2 + 4y^2, \quad x \equiv 1 \pmod{4},$$

and the integers a and $|b|$ are uniquely determined by the conditions

$$(7) \quad p = a^2 + 2b^2, \quad a \equiv 1 \pmod{4}.$$

By [2, Theorem 3.5], c_0 , $|c_2|$, and $\{|c_1|, |c_3|\}$ are uniquely determined by the conditions

$$(8) \quad p = c_0^2 + 2c_1^2 + 2c_2^2 + 2c_3^2, \quad c_0 \equiv -1 \pmod{8}, \\ 2c_0c_2 = c_1^2 - c_3^2 - 2c_1c_3.$$

See also [4, p. 338] and [9]. No simple criteria in terms of m are known to determine *in general* the signs of the ambiguous parameters in (2), (3), (4).

In §2, we extend the congruence in (8) by characterizing $c_0 \pmod{16}$. This result is then used to give an elementary proof of the congruence

$$(9) \quad y \equiv 2b + m \pmod{16}.$$

Hasse [5, p. 232] gave a proof of (9) using deep results from class field theory. Also in §2, we characterize $c_2 \pmod{8}$, thus extending the known congruence $c_2 \equiv m \pmod{4}$ [2, Theorem 3.6]. The congruences proved in §2 are used in §3, for certain m , to resolve sign ambiguities in the quartic, octic, and biotic Jacobi sums in (2), (3), and (4), and in the corresponding Jacobsthal sums $\varphi_2(\alpha)$, $\varphi_4(\alpha)$, and $\varphi_8(\alpha)$.

The cyclotomic number (i, j) of order 16 is defined to be the number of integers $n \pmod{p}$ for which both n/g^i and $(1+n)/g^j$

are 16th power residues. In [3], the numbers 256 (i, j) are expressed as linear combinations of the parameters in (2) – (5). We will frequently use these formulas. The idea to apply the cyclotomic numbers of order 16 to resolve sign ambiguities originated in [7, p. 110].

2. Congruences for parameters of Jacobi sums. We record and then justify the following congruences:

- (10) $y \equiv -m \pmod{8}$;
- (11) $c_2 \equiv m \equiv b \pmod{4}$;
- (12) $a \equiv x - 4b \pmod{32}$, when $4 \mid m$;
- (13) $a \equiv \begin{cases} (3 - p)/2 \pmod{16}, & \text{if } 4 \mid m \\ (p - 23)/2 \pmod{32}, & \text{if } 2 \parallel m ; \end{cases}$
- (14) $x \equiv \begin{cases} (5 - 3p)/2 \pmod{64}, & \text{if } 8 \mid m \\ (-3p - 59)/2 \pmod{64}, & \text{if } 4 \parallel m \\ (53 - 3p)/2 \pmod{64}, & \text{if } 2 \parallel m . \end{cases}$

When $2 \parallel m$, (10) follows from [7, p. 108, eq. (32)], and when $4 \mid m$, (10) is a special case of [1, Theorem 3.17]. The first congruence in (11) follows from [2, Theorem 3.6] and the second follows from [1, Theorem 3.15]. By (6), (7), and (10), $x^2 \equiv a^2 + 2b^2 \pmod{64}$ when $4 \mid m$. Thus (12) follows, with the aid of (11). Finally, (13) and (14) are special cases of [1, Theorem 3.14] and [1, Theorem 3.16], respectively.

Theorem 1 below extends (14).

THEOREM 1. *We have*

$$x \equiv \begin{cases} (p + 1)/2 \pmod{128} & \text{if } 2 \mid f, 8 \mid m \\ (p - 63)/2 \pmod{128} & \text{if } 2 \nmid f, 8 \mid m \\ (9p - 71)/2 \pmod{256} & \text{if } 2 \mid f, 4 \parallel m \\ (p - 127)/2 \pmod{256} & \text{if } 2 \nmid f, 4 \parallel m \\ -8y + (p + 145)/2 \pmod{128}, & \text{if } 2 \mid f, 2 \parallel m \\ 8y + (p + 17)/2 \pmod{128}, & \text{if } 2 \nmid f, 2 \parallel m . \end{cases}$$

Proof. The result can be deduced using (6) and (10). For example, in the case $2 \mid f, 4 \parallel m$, we have $4y^2 \equiv 64 \pmod{512}$ by (10). Hence by (6) $x^2 \equiv p - 64 \pmod{512}$ and the result follows.

In the next theorem, we characterize $c_0 \pmod{16}$, thus extend-

ing (8). Recall from (11) that $b \equiv m \pmod{4}$.

THEOREM 2. *We have*

$$c_0 \equiv \begin{cases} -1 \pmod{16}, & \text{if } (8|m, 8|b) \text{ or } (4||m, 4||b) \text{ or } (2 \nmid f, 2||m) \\ 7 \pmod{16}, & \text{if } (8|m, 4||b) \text{ or } (4||m, 8|b) \text{ or } (2|f, 2||m). \end{cases}$$

Proof. We shall use the formulas for 256 (i, j) found in [3]. First suppose that $8|m$. We must show that

$$(15) \quad c_0 \equiv 2b - 1 \pmod{16}.$$

Assume that $2|f$. Then

$$2p + 2 + 4x + 8a + 16c_0 = 256\{(1, 2) + (3, 6)\} \equiv 0 \pmod{256}.$$

By (12), $8a$ can be replaced by $8x - 32b$ above. Then (15) follows with use of (14). Now assume that $2 \nmid f$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} 2p - 30 + 4x - 64y + 8a - 16c_0 &= 256\{(3, 0) + (2, 2) \\ &+ (1, 1) - (2, 0)\} \equiv 0 \pmod{256}. \end{aligned}$$

By (12), $8a$ can be replaced by $8x - 32b$ above. Then (15) follows, with use of (10) and (14).

Next suppose that $4||m$. We must show that

$$(16) \quad c_0 \equiv 2b + 7 \pmod{16}.$$

Assume that $2|f$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} 2p + 2 + 4x + 8a + 64y + 16c_0 &= 256\{(3, 11) + (1, 8) \\ &+ (2, 8) - (2, 10)\} \equiv 0 \pmod{256}. \end{aligned}$$

By (12), $8a$ may be replaced by $8x - 32b$ above. Then (16) follows, with use of (10) and (14). Now assume that $2 \nmid f$. Then

$$2p + 2 + 4x - 24a - 16c_0 = 256\{(3, 5) + (2, 1)\} \equiv 0 \pmod{256}.$$

By (12), $24a$ may be replaced by $24x - 96b$ above. Then (16) follows, with use of (14).

Finally, suppose that $2||m$. Then $2||c_2$ by (11). Since by (8), $c_0 \equiv -1 \pmod{8}$ and

$$16f + 1 = p \equiv c_0^2 + 2c_2^2 + 2(c_1^2 + c_3^2) \pmod{32},$$

the result follows.

We now give an elementary proof of (9), the congruence $y \equiv 2b + m \pmod{16}$. Our proof will use the useful and easily proved

fact [6, p. 426] that the cyclotomic number $(0, j)$ is odd if and only if $j \equiv m \pmod{16}$.

THEOREM 3. *We have $y \equiv 2b + m \pmod{16}$.*

Proof. Whiteman [11, p. 411] has given an elementary proof in the case $8 \mid m$. It remains to consider the cases $2 \parallel m$ and $4 \parallel m$. There is no loss of generality in assuming that $m \equiv 2$ or $m \equiv 4 \pmod{16}$.

Case 1. $m \equiv 2 \pmod{16}$, $2 \mid f$.

Since $(0, 2)$ is odd,

$$4(p+1) - 8x + 64b - 32y = 256\{(0, 2) - (0, 6) + 2(4, 8) + 2(4, 10)\} \equiv 256 \pmod{512}.$$

By (14), $8x$ can be replaced by $212 - 12p$ above, and the result follows.

Case 2. $m \equiv 2 \pmod{16}$, $2 \nmid f$.

Since $(0, 2)$ is odd,

$$8x + 28 - 4p - 32y - 32a - 64b = 256\{(0, 2) - (0, 6) - 2(4, 2) - 2(4, 0)\} \equiv 256 \pmod{512}.$$

Using (13) and (14), we deduce that $y + 2b \equiv 10 \pmod{16}$. Since $2 \parallel b$ by (11), it follows that $y \equiv 2b + 2 \pmod{16}$.

Case 3. $m \equiv 4 \pmod{16}$, $2 \mid f$.

Since $(0, 4)$ is odd,

$$32c_0 + 32y - 14x - p - 17 = 256\{(0, 4) - 2(2, 10)\} \equiv 256 \pmod{512}.$$

By Theorem 1, $2x \equiv 9p - 71 \pmod{512}$, and by Theorem 2, $c_0 \equiv 2b + 7 \pmod{16}$. We thus deduce that $y \equiv 2b + 4 \pmod{16}$.

Case 4. $m \equiv 4 \pmod{16}$, $2 \nmid f$.

Since $(0, 4)$ is odd,

$$2p - 62 - 4x + 32y - 32a + 32c_0 = 256\{(0, 0) - (0, 4) + 2(2, 0)\} \equiv 256 \pmod{512}.$$

By Theorem 1, $2x \equiv p - 127 \pmod{512}$, and by Theorem 2, $c_0 \equiv 2b +$

$7 \pmod{16}$. By (13), $2a \equiv 3 - p \pmod{32}$. We thus deduce that $y \equiv 2b + 4 \pmod{16}$.

In the next theorem, we characterize $c_2 \pmod{8}$, thus extending (11).

THEOREM 4. *We have*

$$c_2 \equiv \begin{cases} b \pmod{8}, & \text{if } 8 \mid m, 2 \mid f \text{ or } 4 \parallel m, 2 \nmid f \\ (-1)^{f+(m-2)/4} b \pmod{8}, & \text{if } 2 \parallel m \\ b + 4 \pmod{8}, & \text{if } 4 \parallel m, 2 \mid f \text{ or } 8 \mid m, 2 \nmid f. \end{cases}$$

Proof. First suppose that $2 \parallel m$. It suffices to consider the case $m \equiv 2 \pmod{8}$. When $2 \mid f$,

$$256\{(2, 4) - (4, 10)\} = 32(c_2 - b),$$

and so $c_2 \equiv b \pmod{8}$. When $2 \nmid f$,

$$256\{(4, 2) - (2, 6)\} = 32(b + c_2),$$

and so $c_2 \equiv -b \pmod{8}$.

Finally, suppose that $4 \mid m$. Cyclotomic numbers are not needed in this case. By (11), $4 \mid b$ and $4 \mid c_2$. By Theorem 2, $c_0 \equiv 2(b + m) - 1 \pmod{16}$, so $c_0^2 \equiv 4(b + m)(b + m - 1) + 1 \pmod{32}$. Hence, by (8),

$$p - 1 = 16f \equiv 4(b + m)(b + m - 1) + 2(c_1^2 + c_3^2) \pmod{32}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{cases} 4 \mid c_1, 4 \mid c_3, & \text{if } 4f \equiv b + m \pmod{8} \\ 2 \parallel c_1, 2 \parallel c_3, & \text{if } 4f \not\equiv b + m \pmod{8}. \end{cases}$$

Also by (8), $2c_0c_2 = c_1^2 - c_3^2 - 2c_1c_3$, so

$$\begin{cases} 8 \mid c_2, & \text{if } 4f \equiv b + m \pmod{8} \\ 4 \parallel c_2, & \text{if } 4f \not\equiv b + m \pmod{8}. \end{cases}$$

We thus obtain the result.

Incidentally, Theorem 4 and (11) yield the following criterion for the octic character of 2 modulo primes $p = 16f + 1$:

2 is an octic residue \pmod{p} iff $4 \mid b$ and $b \equiv c_2 + 4f \pmod{8}$.

Of course, simpler criteria (in terms of y) are known [10].

3. Resolution of sign ambiguities. We begin with quartic

Jacobi and Jacobsthal sums in the case $4 \parallel m$, i.e., 2 is a quartic but not octic residue (mod p). Without loss of generality, let $m \equiv 4 \pmod{16}$. Note that $4 \mid b$, by (11).

THEOREM 5. *Let ψ be a character (mod p) of order 4 chosen such that $\psi(g) = i$. Suppose that $m \equiv 4 \pmod{16}$. When $p \nmid \alpha$, define $r = \text{ind}_g \alpha$. Then*

$$K(\psi) = -x + 2iy$$

and

$$\varphi_2(\alpha) = \begin{cases} -(-1)^{r/2}2x, & \text{if } 2 \mid r \\ (-1)^{(r-1)/2}4y, & \text{if } 2 \nmid r \end{cases}$$

where x and y are uniquely determined by the conditions

$$p = x^2 + 4y^2, \quad x \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \quad \text{and } y \equiv \begin{cases} 4 \pmod{16}, & \text{if } 8 \mid b \\ -4 \pmod{16}, & \text{if } 4 \parallel b. \end{cases}$$

Proof. The evaluation of $K(\psi)$ follows from (2), (6), and Theorem 3. The evaluation of $\varphi_2(\alpha)$ then follows with use of (1).

For quartic sums $K(\psi)$ and $\varphi_2(\alpha)$ modulo primes $\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ for which 2 is not a quartic residue, the sign ambiguities have been resolved (in terms of m) by E. Lehmer [7], [8]. The signs remain undetermined for $K(\psi)$ and $\varphi_2(\alpha)$ modulo primes $\equiv 1 \pmod{16}$ for which 2 is an octic residue, and also modulo primes $\equiv 9 \pmod{16}$ for which 2 is a quartic residue.

An evaluation of $\varphi_6(\alpha)$ modulo primes $\equiv 1 \pmod{12}$, up to some undetermined signs, is given in [1, Theorem 4.8]. However, for the particular primes $\equiv 1 \pmod{12}$ for which 2 is not a quartic residue and the primes $\equiv 1 \pmod{48}$ for which 2 is a quartic but not octic residue, $\varphi_6(\alpha)$ can be completely determined. This is because $K(\psi)$ is completely determined for these primes, and consequently so is $\varphi_6(\alpha)$ by [1, eqs. (4.3) and (4.5)].

We now consider octic and bioctic Jacobi and Jacobsthal sums in the case $2 \parallel m$. Without loss of generality, let $m \equiv 2 \pmod{16}$. The signs of y and b are simply determined, since $y \equiv -2 \pmod{8}$ by (10) and $b \equiv -1 + y/2 \pmod{8}$ by Theorem 3.

THEOREM 6. *Let λ be a character (mod p) of order 8 chosen such that $\lambda(g) = e^{2\pi i/8}$. Suppose that $m \equiv 2 \pmod{16}$. When $p \nmid \alpha$, define $r = \text{ind}_g \alpha$. Then*

$$K(\lambda) = -a + ib\sqrt{2}$$

and

$$\varphi_4(\alpha) = \begin{cases} -(-1)^{r/4}4a, & \text{if } 4|r \\ 0 & , \text{ if } 2||r \\ 4b & , \text{ if } r \equiv 1 \text{ or } r \equiv 3 \pmod{8} \\ -4b & , \text{ if } r \equiv 5 \text{ or } r \equiv 7 \pmod{8} , \end{cases}$$

where a and b are uniquely determined by the conditions

$$p = a^2 + 2b^2, \quad a \equiv 1 \pmod{4},$$

$$\text{and } b \equiv \begin{cases} 2 \pmod{8}, & \text{if } y \equiv 6 \pmod{16} \\ -2 \pmod{8}, & \text{if } y \equiv -2 \pmod{16} . \end{cases}$$

Proof. The evaluation of $K(\lambda)$ follows from (3), (7), and Theorem 3. The evaluation of $\varphi_4(\alpha)$ then follows with use of (1).

The octic sums $K(\lambda)$ and $\varphi_4(\alpha)$ that remain ambiguous are those modulo primes $\equiv 9 \pmod{16}$, and modulo primes $\equiv 1 \pmod{16}$ for which 2 is a quartic residue.

THEOREM 7. *Let χ be a character \pmod{p} of order 16 chosen such that $\chi(g) = e^{2\pi i/16}$. Suppose that $m \equiv 2 \pmod{16}$. When $p \nmid \alpha$, define $r = \text{ind}_q \alpha$. Then*

$$K(\chi) = c_0 + c_2\sqrt{2} + ic_1\sqrt{2 - \sqrt{2}} + ic_3\sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2}}$$

and

$$(-1)^f \varphi_8(\alpha) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{r/8}8c_0, & \text{if } 8|r \\ 0 & , \text{ if } 4||r \\ 8c_2 \text{ or } -8c_2 & \text{according as } r \equiv \pm 2 \text{ or } \pm 6 \pmod{16} \\ 8c_1 & , \text{ if } r \equiv 1 \text{ or } r \equiv 7 \pmod{16} \\ -8c_1 & , \text{ if } r \equiv 9 \text{ or } r \equiv 15 \pmod{16} \\ 8c_3 & , \text{ if } r \equiv 3 \text{ or } r \equiv 5 \pmod{16} \\ -8c_3 & , \text{ if } r \equiv 11 \text{ or } r \equiv 13 \pmod{16} , \end{cases}$$

where c_0 and c_2 are uniquely determined by the conditions

$$p = c_0^2 + 2c_1^2 + 2c_2^2 + 2c_3^2, \quad c_0 \equiv -1 \pmod{8}, \quad 2c_0c_2 = c_1^2 - c_3^2 - 2c_1c_3, \quad \text{and}$$

$$(17) \quad (-1)^f c_2 \equiv \begin{cases} 2 \pmod{8}, & \text{if } y \equiv 6 \pmod{16} \\ -2 \pmod{8}, & \text{if } y \equiv -2 \pmod{16} . \end{cases}$$

Proof. The evaluation of $K(\chi)$ follows from (4), (8), and Theorems 3 and 4. The evaluation of $\varphi_8(\alpha)$ then follows with the aid

of (1) (see [2, Theorem 3.9]).

Theorem 7 gives only a partial resolution of signs, because while c_0 and c_2 are determined, c_1 and c_3 are not. Theorem 8 below shows that c_1 and c_3 can also be determined in Theorem 7 if one makes the additional assumption that $2|f$, i.e., $p \equiv 1 \pmod{32}$. We assume without loss of generality that $m \equiv 2 \pmod{32}$.

THEOREM 8. *If in Theorem 7 the additional assumptions $2|f$ and $m \equiv 2 \pmod{32}$ are made, then the evaluations of $K(\chi)$ and $\varphi_8(\alpha)$ are valid with c_0, c_1, c_2 , and c_3 uniquely determined by the conditions in (17) together with the conditions*

$$(18) \quad \begin{cases} 4|c_1 \text{ and } 2||c_3, & \text{if } y \equiv 6 \pmod{16} \\ 4|c_3 \text{ and } 2||c_1, & \text{if } y \equiv -2 \pmod{16} \end{cases}$$

and

$$(19) \quad c_1 + c_3 \equiv (y + 2b - 2)/4 \pmod{8}.$$

Proof. The conditions in (18) are easily proved with use of (17). Hasse [5, p. 233] proved that when $p \equiv 1 \pmod{32}$,

$$(20) \quad y + 2b - 4(c_1 + c_3) \equiv m \pmod{32}.$$

Thus (19) follows from (20). To see that the signs of c_1 and c_3 are uniquely determined by (17), (18), and (19), note first that $c_1 + c_3 \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{8}$ by (18), so that the sign of $c_1 + c_3$ is determined by (19). The result now follows because the sign of $2c_1c_3 = c_1^2 - c_3^2 - 2c_0c_2$ is determined by (17) and (18).

The biocytic sums $K(\chi)$ and $\varphi_8(\alpha) \pmod{p}$ that remain ambiguous are those for which $p \equiv 17 \pmod{32}$, and those for which $p \equiv 1 \pmod{32}$ with 2 a quartic residue \pmod{p} .

Note added in proof. Congruences (9) and (20) are the cases $n=4$ and $n=5$ of a general congruence $\pmod{2^n}$ conjectured by J. B. Muskat in 1971. The author has recently obtained an elementary proof of Muskat's conjecture.

REFERENCES

1. B. C. Berndt and R. J. Evans, *Sums of Gauss, Jacobi, and Jacobsthal*, J. Number Theory, (to appear, 1979).
2. ———, *Sums of Gauss, Eisenstein, Jacobi, Jacobsthal, and Brewer*, Illinois J. Math., (to appear, 1979).

3. R. J. Evans, *The cyclotomic numbers of order sixteen*, Math. Comp., **33** (1979), 827-835.
4. R. E. Giudici, J. B. Muskat, and S. F. Robinson, *On the evaluation of Brewer's character sums*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **171** (1972), 317-347.
5. H. Hasse, *Der 2ⁿ-te Potenzcharakter von 2 im Körper der 2ⁿ-ten Einheitswurzeln*, Rend. Circ. Mat. di Palermo, Serie II, **7** (1958), 185-243.
6. E. Lehmer, *On residue difference sets*, Canad. J. Math., **5** (1953), 425-432.
7. ———, *On the number of solutions of $u^k + D \equiv w^2 \pmod{p}$* , Pacific J. Math., **5** (1955), 103-118.
8. ———, *On Jacobi functions*, Pacific J. Math., **10** (1960), 887-893.
9. J. B. Muskat and Y. C. Zee, *On the uniqueness of solutions of certain Diophantine equations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **49** (1975), 13-19.
10. A. L. Whiteman, *The sixteenth power residue character of 2*, Canad. J. Math., **6** (1954), 364-373.
11. ———, *The cyclotomic numbers of order sixteen*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **86** (1957), 401-413.

Received April 5, 1978, and in revised form July 10, 1978.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO
LA JOLLA, CA 92093

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

DONALD BABBITT (Managing Editor)

University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

HUGO ROSSI

University of Utah
Salt Lake City, UT 84112

C. C. MOORE

University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. FINN AND J. MILGRAM
Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

Thomas E. Armstrong, <i>Simplicial subdivision of infinite-dimensional compact cubes</i>	1
Herbert Stanley Bear, Jr., <i>Approximate identities and pointwise convergence</i>	17
Richard David Bourgin, <i>Partial orderings for integral representations on convex sets with the Radon-Nikodým property</i>	29
Alan Day, Herbert S. Gaskill and Werner Poguntke, <i>Distributive lattices with finite projective covers</i>	45
Heneri Amos Murima Dzinotyiweyi and Gerard L. G. Sleijpen, <i>A note on measures on foundation semigroups with weakly compact orbits</i>	61
Ronald James Evans, <i>Resolution of sign ambiguities in Jacobi and Jacobsthal sums</i>	71
John Albert Fridy, <i>Tauberian theorems via block dominated matrices</i>	81
Matthew Gould and Helen H. James, <i>Automorphism groups retracting onto symmetric groups</i>	93
Kurt Kreith, <i>Nonlinear differential equations with monotone solutions</i>	101
Brian William McEnnis, <i>Shifts on indefinite inner product spaces</i>	113
Joseph B. Miles, <i>On entire functions of infinite order with radially distributed zeros</i>	131
Janet E. Mills, <i>The idempotents of a class of 0-simple inverse semigroups</i>	159
Edward Jean Moulis, Jr., <i>Generalizations of the Robertson functions</i>	167
Richard A. Moynihan and Berthold Schweizer, <i>Betweenness relations in probabilistic metric spaces</i>	175
Stanley Ocken, <i>Perturbing embeddings in codimension two</i>	197
Masilamani Sambandham, <i>On the average number of real zeros of a class of random algebraic curves</i>	207
Jerry Searcy and B. Andreas Troesch, <i>A cyclic inequality and a related eigenvalue problem</i>	217
Roger R. Smith and Joseph Dinneen Ward, <i>M-ideals in $B(U_p)$</i>	227
Michel Talagrand, <i>Deux généralisations d'un théorème de I. Namioka</i>	239
Jürgen Voigt, <i>On Y-closed subspaces of X, for Banach spaces $X \subset Y$; existence of alternating elements in subspaces of $C(J)$</i>	253
Sidney Martin Webster, <i>On mapping an n-ball into an (n + 1)-ball in complex spaces</i>	267
David J. Winter, <i>Triangulable subalgebras of Lie p-algebras</i>	273