

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**THE CENTRALIZER OF TENSOR PRODUCTS OF BANACH
SPACES (A FUNCTION SPACE REPRESENTATION)**

EHRHARD BEHREND

THE CENTRALIZER OF TENSOR PRODUCTS OF BANACH SPACES (A FUNCTION SPACE REPRESENTATION)

EHRHARD BEHREND

Let X, Y be real Banach spaces, $X \hat{\otimes}_\varepsilon Y$ their usual ε -tensor product. We represent $Z(X \hat{\otimes}_\varepsilon Y)$, the centralizer of $X \hat{\otimes}_\varepsilon Y$, as a space of real-valued functions on a suitable compact Hausdorff space. As a corollary we obtain Wicksstead's result: $Z(X \hat{\otimes}_\varepsilon Y)$ is the closure with respect to the strong operator topology of $Z(X) \otimes Z(Y)$. In addition it is shown that $Z(X \hat{\otimes}_\varepsilon Y)$ is in fact the uniform closure of $Z(X) \otimes Z(Y)$ provided the norm topology and the strong operator topology coincide on the centralizers of X and Y .

1. Introduction. Let X be a real Banach space. By $Z(X)$, the centralizer of X , we denote the set of M -bounded operators on X , i.e., the collection of those continuous linear operators $T: X \rightarrow X$ for which there is a $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$ such that Tx is contained in every open ball which contains $\pm \lambda x$ (for $x \in X$); cf [2], [3], [4], [5], [8]. $Z(X)$ is, as a Banach algebra, isometrically isomorphic to the space $C(K_X)$ of continuous real-valued functions on a suitable compact Hausdorff space $K_X: C(K_X) \cong Z(X)$ ([2], 4.8).

For example, if L is a locally compact Hausdorff space and $X: = C_0L: = \{f \mid f: L \rightarrow \mathbf{R}, f \text{ continuous, } f \text{ vanishes at infinity}\}$, provided with the supremum norm, then it is easy to see that $Z(X)$ is identical with the space of all multiplication operators $M_h, f \mapsto hf$ (all $f \in C_0L$), h a bounded continuous function. Therefore $Z(X)$ is isometrically isomorphic with $C^bL: = \{h \mid h: L \rightarrow \mathbf{R}, h \text{ continuous and bounded}\}$ so that $K_X = \beta L =$ the Stone-Ćech compactification of L (up to homeomorphism).

Centralizers of Banach spaces play an important role in a great number of papers (cf. for example the references in [2]). We will investigate the centralizer of tensor products. In particular we are interested in the relation between the centralizer of the tensor product and the centralizers of the factors. Let X and Y be real Banach spaces, $X \otimes Y$ their algebraic tensor product. For $\sum_{i=1}^r x_i \otimes y_i \in X \otimes Y$ we define

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^r x_i \otimes y_i \right\| := \sup \left\{ \left\| \sum_{i=1}^r f(x_i) \tilde{f}(y_i) \right\| \mid f \in X', \|f\| \leq 1, \tilde{f} \in Y', \|\tilde{f}\| \leq 1 \right\}$$

$$\left(= \sup \left\{ \left\| \sum_{i=1}^r f(x_i) y_i \right\| \mid f \in X', \|f\| \leq 1 \right\} \right)$$

$$= \sup \left\{ \left\| \sum_{i=1}^r \tilde{f}(y_i) x_i \right\| \mid \tilde{f} \in Y', \|\tilde{f}\| \leq 1 \right\};$$

we will use the same symbol $\| \cdot \|$ to denote the norm in all tensor products of Banach spaces which will appear in this paper — this is justified because we will not consider any other tensor product norms. $X \hat{\otimes}_s Y$ means the completion of $X \otimes Y$ provided with this norm.

It is not hard to see that, for $T \in Z(X)$ and $S \in Z(Y)$ we have $S \otimes T \in Z(X \hat{\otimes}_s Y)$ ([8], p. 564; note that Wickstead uses another but equivalent definition of M -boundedness and that he writes $\hat{\otimes}_s$ instead of $\hat{\otimes}_s$). Therefore $Z(X) \otimes Z(Y)$ may be thought of as a subspace of $Z(X \hat{\otimes}_s Y)$. We note that the tensor product norm of the operators in $Z(X) \otimes Z(Y)$ is exactly their operator norm. Wickstead proves ([8], Th. 3) that $Z(X \hat{\otimes}_s Y)$ is the strong closure of $Z(X) \otimes Z(Y)$. In general the strong closure may not be replaced by the uniform closure in this theorem. There are, however, important classes of Banach spaces for which $Z(X \hat{\otimes}_s Y)$ is the uniform closure of $Z(X) \otimes Z(Y)$. We will prove in §4 that this is the case if the strong operator topology and the norm topology are equivalent on the centralizers of X and Y .

We will proceed as follows: In §2 we will state without proof those results of the function module representation theory introduced in [5] which we will need in the sequel. We will show that $X \hat{\otimes}_s Y$ has a function module representation which is related to the function module representations of X and Y in a natural way, a theorem which will be of fundamental importance for the following considerations. Section 3 contains a discussion of those Banach spaces X for which the norm topology and the strong operator topology on $Z(X)$ are equivalent. In §4 we will show that $Z(X \hat{\otimes}_s Y)$ is isometrically isomorphic to a space of real-valued bounded (not necessarily continuous) functions on a suitable compact Hausdorff space. Finally, we investigate some consequences of this representation theorem. For example, we derive Wickstead's result as a corollary.

Note. In the first version of this paper Wickstead's theorem was used at a crucial point in the proof of Theorem 4.2. We are grateful to the referee for suggesting that we give an independent proof using the theory of function modules.

2. A function module representation of $X \hat{\otimes}_s Y$.

DEFINITION 2.1 ([5]). Let K be a compact Hausdorff space, $(W_k)_{k \in K}$ a family of Banach spaces indexed by the points of K . A closed subspace W of

$$\prod_{k \in K}^\infty W_k := \left\{ (w(k))_{k \in K} \mid (w(k))_{k \in K} \in \prod_{k \in K} W_k, \right. \\ \left. \|(w(k))_{k \in K}\| := \sup_{k \in K} \|w(k)\| < \infty \right\}$$

is called a *function module* in $\prod_{k \in K}^\infty W_k$ if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (a) $hw \in W$ for $h \in CK, w \in W$ ($(hw)(k) := h(k)w(k)$ for $k \in K$)
- (b) $k \mapsto \|w(k)\|$ is upper semi-continuous on K for $w \in W$
- (c) $W_k = \{w(k) \mid w \in W\}$ for $k \in K$.

Note. By [5], p. 621, $\{w(k) \mid w \in W\}$ is closed for each $k \in K$ if W is a closed subspace of $\prod_{k \in K}^\infty W_k$ and (a) and (b) are satisfied.

PROPOSITION 2.2. *Let W be as in the preceding definition. For $h \in CK$, the multiplication operator $M_h: W \rightarrow W, w \mapsto hw$, is well-defined by 2.1(a). We claim that $M_h \in Z(W)$. More generally, if $\alpha: K \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is a bounded function such that $M_\alpha(W) \subset W$, then $M_\alpha \in Z(W)$. In addition, M_α is contained in the strong operator closure of $\{M_h \mid h \in CK\}$.*

Proof. It is easy to see that $M_\alpha: W \rightarrow W$ is linear and continuous with $\|M_\alpha\| \leq \|\alpha\| := \sup\{\|\alpha(k)\| \mid k \in K\}$ ($\alpha: K \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ a bounded function such that $M_\alpha(W) \subset W$). M_α obviously satisfies the condition for M -bounded operators with $\lambda = \|\alpha\|$.

Let $w_1, \dots, w_n \in W, \varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrarily given. For every $k \in K, \alpha(k)w_i - \alpha w_i$ is in W and vanishes at k , so that, by 2.1(b), there is an open neighborhood U_k of k such that $\|(\alpha(k)w_i - \alpha w_i)(1)\| \leq \varepsilon$ for 1 in U_k (all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$). Let U_{k_1}, \dots, U_{k_r} be a finite covering of K . Then $\|hw_i - \alpha w_i\| \leq \varepsilon$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$, where $h := \sum_{j=1}^r \alpha(k_j)h_j$ and h_1, \dots, h_r is a suitable partition of unity subordinate to U_{k_1}, \dots, U_{k_r} . This proves that M_α is in the strong closure of $\{M_h \mid h \in CK\}$.

THEOREM 2.3. *Let X be a real Banach space, K_X a compact Hausdorff space such that $Z(X) \cong CK_X$ (note that K_X is uniquely determined up to homeomorphism). X can be identified with a function module in $\prod_{k \in K_X}^\infty X_k$ ($(X_k)_{k \in K_X}$ a family of Banach spaces, the component spaces) such that the operators in $Z(X)$ correspond to multiplication operators associated with the elements of CK_X . More precisely, there is a linear isometry $\omega: X \rightarrow \prod_{k \in K_X}^\infty X_k$ such that*

- (i) $\omega(X)$ is a function module in $\prod_{k \in K_X}^\infty X_k$.
- (ii) for $T \in Z(X), x \in X$ we have $\omega(Tx) = \tilde{T}\omega(x)$, where $\tilde{T} \in CK_X$

corresponds to T according to the isometry $Z(X) \cong CK_X$.

In addition we have

- (iii) $\{k \mid X_k \neq 0\}$ is dense in K_X .

Proof. (i) and (ii) are proved in [5] (Theorem 6 and Theorem 3; note that the maximal M -structure of X is just $Z(X)$ by [2], 4.8). (iii) can be verified as follows: If $\tilde{T} \in CK_X$ is an arbitrary function with corresponding operator $T \in Z(X)$, then we have $\|\tilde{T}\| = \|T\| = \sup \{\|Tx\| \mid \|x\| = 1\} = \sup \{\|\tilde{T}\omega(x)\| \mid \|x\| = 1\} \leq \sup \{\|\tilde{T}(k)\| \mid X_k \neq 0\}$. This implies that $\{k \mid X_k \neq 0\}$ is dense in K_X .

THEOREM 2.4. *Let X (resp. Y) be a function module in $\prod_{k \in K}^\infty X_k$ (resp. $\prod_{1 \in L}^\infty Y_1$), where K and L are compact Hausdorff spaces. For $\sum_{i=1}^r x_i \otimes y_i \in X \otimes Y$ let $\sum_{i=1}^r x_i \hat{\otimes} y_i$ be the element*

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^r x_i(k) \otimes y_i(1) \right)_{(k,1) \in K \times L}$$

of $\prod_{k,1}^\infty X_k \hat{\otimes}_\varepsilon Y_1$. Then

(i) $\|\sum_{i=1}^r x_i \otimes y_i\| = \|\sum_{i=1}^r x_i \hat{\otimes} y_i\|$ for $\sum_{i=1}^r x_i \otimes y_i \in X \otimes Y$ so that $X \hat{\otimes}_\varepsilon Y$ can be identified with a closed subspace of $\prod_{k,1}^\infty X_k \hat{\otimes}_\varepsilon Y_1$; further, it is not necessary to distinguish between $x \otimes y$ and $x \hat{\otimes} y$.

(ii) $X \hat{\otimes}_\varepsilon Y$ is a function module in $\prod_{k,1}^\infty X_k \hat{\otimes}_\varepsilon Y_1$.

Proof. (i) We will use the fact that the extreme points of the unit ball $S_1^{X'}$ (resp. $S_1^{Y'}$) of X' (resp. Y') are contained in the set of functionals of the form $x \mapsto f(x(k))$ (resp. $y \mapsto \tilde{f}(y(1))$) where $k \in K$, $f \in (X_k)'$, $\|f\| \leq 1$ (resp. $1 \in L$, $\tilde{f} \in (Y_1)'$, $\|\tilde{f}\| \leq 1$); [6].

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^r x_i \otimes y_i \right\| &= \sup \{ \sum F(x_i) \tilde{F}(y_i) \mid F \in X', \|F\| \leq 1, \tilde{F} \in Y', \|\tilde{F}\| \leq 1 \} \\ &= \sup \{ \sum F(x_i) \tilde{F}(y_i) \mid F \in \text{ex } S_1^{X'}, \tilde{F} \in \text{ex } S_1^{Y'} \} \\ &= \sup \{ \sum f(x_i(k)) \tilde{f}(y_i(1)) \mid k \in K, f \in (X_k)', \|f\| \leq 1, \\ &\quad 1 \in L, \tilde{f} \in (Y_1)', \|\tilde{f}\| \leq 1 \} \\ &= \sup \{ \|\sum x_i(k) \otimes y_i(1)\| \mid k \in K, 1 \in L \} \\ &= \|\sum x_i \hat{\otimes} y_i\|. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly one can prove that $\|\sum_{i=1}^r x_i(k) \otimes y_i\| = \sup_{1 \in L} \|\sum_{i=1}^r x_i(k) \otimes y_i(1)\|$ for $k \in K$ (where the norms are calculated in $X_k \hat{\otimes}_\varepsilon Y$ and $X_k \hat{\otimes}_\varepsilon Y_1$, respectively).

(ii) We only have to show that

(a) $h(\sum x_i \otimes y_i) \in X \hat{\otimes}_\varepsilon Y$ for $h \in C(K \times L)$, $\sum x_i \otimes y_i \in X \otimes Y$.

(b) $(k, 1) \mapsto \|\sum x_i(k) \otimes y_i(1)\|$ is upper semi-continuous for $\sum x_i \otimes y_i \in X \otimes Y$

(c) $X \otimes Y$ is dense in $X \hat{\otimes}_\varepsilon Y$.

(a), (b), and (c) easily imply that $(X \otimes_\varepsilon Y)^- = X \hat{\otimes}_\varepsilon Y$ is a function module (cf. the note at the end of 2.1).

(a) Let $h \in C(K \times L)$, $\sum x_i \otimes y_i \in X \otimes Y$. For $\varepsilon > 0$ there are $h_1, \dots,$

$h_n \in CK, g_1, \dots, g_n \in CL$ such that $\|\sum_{j=1}^n h_j \otimes g_j - h\| \leq \varepsilon$. We thus have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\hbar \sum x_i \otimes y_i - (\sum h_j \otimes g_j)(\sum x_i \otimes y_i)\| \\ &= \|\hbar \sum x_i \otimes y_i - \sum_{i,j} h_j x_i \otimes g_j y_i\| \leq \varepsilon \|\sum x_i \otimes y_i\|. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\sum_{i,j} h_j x_i \otimes g_j y_i \in X \otimes Y$ this implies that $\hbar \sum x_i \otimes y_i \in X \widehat{\otimes}_\varepsilon Y$.

(b) Let $a \in \mathbf{R}, (k_0, 1_0) \in K \times L, \sum_{i=1}^r x_i \otimes y_i \in X \otimes Y, \|\sum x_i(k_0) \otimes y_i(1_0)\| < a$. We have to show that there are neighbourhoods U of k_0, V of 1_0 such that $\|\sum x_i(k) \otimes y_i(1)\| < a$ for $k \in U, 1 \in V$.

At first we will prove that there is a neighborhood \tilde{V} of 1_0 such that $\|\sum x_i(k_0) \otimes y_i(1)\| < a - 2\eta$ for $1 \in \tilde{V}$ (where $\eta > 0$ is a number such that $\|\sum x_i(k_0) \otimes y_i(1_0)\| < a - 3\eta$). To this end we choose an (η/R) -net f_1, \dots, f_N in the dual unit ball of the linear hull of $x_i(k_0), \dots, x_r(k_0)$ ($R := \sum \|x_i\| \|y_i\| + 1$). It follows that, for $f \in (X_{k_0})', \|f\| \leq 1$, there is an $f_j \in \{f_1, \dots, f_N\}$ such that $\|\sum_i f_j(x_i(k_0))y_i(1) - \sum_i f(x_i(k_0))y_i(1)\| \leq \|f_j - f\| \sum x_i(k_0) \otimes y_i(1) \leq \|f_j - f\| R$ (all $1 \in L$), i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\sum x_i(k_0) \otimes y_i(1)\| &= \sup \{\|\sum f(x_i(k_0))y_i(1)\| \mid f \in (X_{k_0})', \|f\| \leq 1\} \\ &\leq \sup \{\|\sum f_j(x_i(k_0))y_i(1)\| \mid j = 1, \dots, N\} + \eta \end{aligned}$$

(all $1 \in L$).

For $j \in \{1, \dots, N\}, \sum_i f_j(x_i(k_0))y_i$ belongs to Y and $\|\sum_i f_j(x_i(k_0))y_i(1_0)\| \leq \|\sum_i x_i(k_0) \otimes y_i(1_0)\| < a - 3\eta$ so that by 2.1(b) there is a neighbourhood \tilde{V} of 1_0 with $\|\sum_i f_j(x_i(k_0))y_i(1)\| < a - 3\eta$ for $1 \in \tilde{V}$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, N\}$. For $1 \in \tilde{V}$ we thus have $\|\sum x_i(k_0) \otimes y_i(1)\| < a - 2\eta$.

We now choose a function $g \in CL$ such that $\|g\| = 1, g(1) = 1$ in a suitable neighborhood V of 1_0 contained in \tilde{V} and $g|_{LV} = 0$. We then have (cf. the proof of (i)) $\|\sum x_i(k_0) \otimes gy_i\| = \sup_{1 \in L} \|\sum x_i(k_0) \otimes g(1)y_i(1)\| \leq a - 2\eta$. Similarly to the first step of this proof we select an (η/R) -net $\tilde{f}_1, \dots, \tilde{f}_M$ in the dual unit ball of the linear hull of gy_1, \dots, gy_r (it follows that $\|\sum x_i(k) \otimes gy_i\| \leq \sup \{\|\sum_i \tilde{f}_j(gy_i)x_i(k)\| \mid j = 1, \dots, M\} + \eta$ for $k \in K$). For $j \in \{1, \dots, M\}$ we have $\sum_i \tilde{f}_j(gy_i)x_i \in X$ and $\|\sum_i \tilde{f}_j(gy_i)x_i(k_0)\| < a - \eta$. Therefore there is a neighborhood U of k_0 such that $\|\sum_i \tilde{f}_j(gy_i)x_i(k)\| < a - \eta$ for $k \in U, j = 1, \dots, M$. This yields

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{1 \in \tilde{V}} \|\sum x_i(k) \otimes y_i(1)\| &\leq \sup_{1 \in L} \|\sum x_i(k) \otimes (gy_i)(1)\| \\ &= \|\sum x_i(k) \otimes gy_i\| \\ &\leq \sup \{\|\sum_i \tilde{f}_j(gy_i)x_i(k)\| \mid j = 1, \dots, M\} + \eta \\ &< a \text{ for } k \in U. \end{aligned}$$

(c) This is obvious.

REMARK. For the rest of this paper we will assume that X and Y are real Banach spaces which are identified with function modules in $\prod_{k \in K_X} X_k$ resp. $\prod_{i \in K_Y} Y_i$ as described in 2.3. With this identification, $X \hat{\otimes}_\varepsilon Y$ is a function module in $\prod_{k,1} X_k \hat{\otimes}_\varepsilon Y_i$ by 2.4.

Another way of representing the centralizer as a space of real-valued continuous functions is the Dauns-Hofmann type theorem of Alfsen-Effros ([2], 4.9). The relationship between this and the function module approach (2.3(ii)) is shown by the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 2.5. Let $X, K_X, (X_k)_{k \in K_X}$ be as above, $K_X^* := \{k \mid k \in K_X, X_k \neq 0\}$.

(i) Every $h_0 \in C^b(K_X^*)$ has a unique continuous extension to K_X (so that $K_X = \beta K_X^*$).

(ii) Let E_X be the set of extreme points in the unit ball of X' . By [6] we have $E_X = \bigcup_{k \in K_X^*} E_{X_k}$. Let $\pi: E_X \rightarrow K_X^*$ be defined by $\pi(p) := k$ for $p \in E_{X_k}$. Then, for every bounded structurally continuous mapping $g: E_X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ there is a function $h \in C^b(K_X^*)$ such that $g = h \circ \pi$. Conversely, for $h \in C^b(K_X^*)$, $h \circ \pi$ is structurally continuous.

Proof. (i) Let $h_0 \in C^b(K_X^*)$ be given. We define $h: K_X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ by $h(k) := h_0(k)$ for $k \in K_X^*$ and $h(k) = 0$ for $k \in K_X \setminus K_X^*$. Let $x \in X$ be given and $\varepsilon > 0$. h is continuous on the closed set $D := \{k \mid \|x(k)\| \geq \varepsilon\} \subset K_X^*$ so that we may choose a continuous function $h_D: K_X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ such that $h|_D = h_D|_D$, $\|h\| = \|h_D\|$. We then have $h_D x \in X$ and $\|h_D x - hx\| \leq 2\varepsilon \|h\|$ so that we may conclude that $hx \in X^- = X$. 2.2 and 2.3(ii) imply that there is a function $h' \in CK_X$ such that $M_h = M_{h'}$. h' is obviously a continuous extension of h which is uniquely determined by 2.3 (iii).

(ii) Let $g: E_X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a bounded structurally continuous function. By [2], 4.9, there is a $T \in Z(X)$ such that $p \circ T = g(p)p$ for every $p \in E_X$. Let $\tilde{T} \in CK_X$ be that function which corresponds to T . We then have $\tilde{T}(k)p = g(p)p$ for p in E_{X_k} so that $\tilde{T} \circ \pi = g$. Conversely, let $\tilde{T} \in CK_X$ be given. For $p \in E_{X_k}$ we have $p \circ T = \tilde{T}(k)p = (\tilde{T} \circ \pi)(p)p$. By [2], 4.9 this implies that $\tilde{T} \circ \pi$ is structurally continuous.

3. Centralizer-norming systems. In view of the following considerations we want to single out those Banach spaces for which, in a sense, the centralizer is "not too great".

DEFINITION 3.1. Let X be a real Banach space. A finite family x_1, \dots, x_n in X is called a *centralizer-norming system* (abbreviated: *cns*) if there is a number $r > 0$ such that $\max \{\|Tx_i\| \mid i = 1, \dots, n\} \geq r$.

$r\|T\|$ for every $T \in Z(X)$. Obviously X has a *cns* iff the norm topology and the strong operator topology coincide on $Z(X)$.

EXAMPLES. (1) Let X be a Banach space for which $Z(X)$ is finite-dimensional (those spaces play an important role in the applications of M -structure to theorems of the Banach-Stone type; cf. [3], [4]). It is clear that X has a *cns* (in fact, X has a *cns* consisting of a single element).

We note that, for example, spaces which are smooth or strictly convex have one-dimensional centralizer and that $Z(X)$ is finite-dimensional for every reflexive space X ([4]).

(2) If L is a locally compact Hausdorff space, then C_0L has a *cns* iff L is compact. In this case we may choose $n = 1$ and $x_1 = 1$ (= the constant function assuming the value 1 at every point).

(3) Let A be a C^* -algebra with unit e , X the self-adjoint part of A . Then $\{e\}$ is a *cns* in X since $Z(X)$ is just the space of multiplication operators corresponding to the self-adjoint elements in the center of A ([2], Cor. 6.17).

(4) One might suggest that for Banach spaces X having a *cns* it is always possible to find a *cns* consisting of a single element. We will use the Borsuk-Ulam theorem from algebraic topology to prove that $\inf\{n \mid n \in \mathbf{N}, \text{ there exists a } \textit{cns} \text{ in } X \text{ consisting of } n \text{ elements}\}$ may be an arbitrarily large number:

For $m \in \mathbf{N}$ let S^m be the m -dimensional sphere (i.e., the surface of the unit ball in the $(m + 1)$ -dimensional Hilbert space), $X := \{f \mid f \in C(S^m), f(-x) = -f(x) \text{ for all } x \in S^m\}$. (X is just the space $C_\Sigma(S^m)$, where $\Sigma: S^m \rightarrow S^m$ is the homeomorphism $x \mapsto -x$; cf. [7], Chapter 3, p. 71). A routine computation shows that $T \in Z(X)$ iff there is a continuous function $h: S^m \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ such that $h(x) = h(-x)$ for all $x \in S^m$ and $Tf = hf$ for $f \in X$. Therefore a family f_1, \dots, f_n in X is a *cns* iff $\max\{\|f_i(x)\| \mid i = 1, \dots, n\} > 0$ for all $x \in S^m$. X obviously has a *cns* consisting of $m + 1$ -elements (for example, $f_i(x) :=$ the i th component of $x, x \in S^m, i = 1, \dots, m + 1$, defines a family of functions with this property). On the other hand, if g_1, \dots, g_m are arbitrary functions in X , there is an $x_0 \in S^m$ such that $g_1(x_0) = \dots = g_m(x_0) = 0$, i.e., g_1, \dots, g_m cannot be a *cns* ([1], p. 485).

We will need the fact that there is a characterization of centralizer-norming systems in terms of the function module representation 2.3:

LEMMA 3.2. *Let X be a real Banach space, X represented as a function module in $\prod_{k \in K_X}^\infty X_k$ as described in §2.*

A finite family x_1, \dots, x_n in X is a *cns* iff $\inf_k \max_i \|x_i(k)\| > 0$.

Proof. Suppose that x_1, \dots, x_n is a *cns* in X , i.e., there is a number $r > 0$ such that $\max_i \|Tx_i\| \geq r\|T\|$ for $T \in Z(X)$. We claim that $\max_i \|x_i(k)\| \geq r$ for $k \in K_X$. Assume that there is a $k_0 \in K_X$ such that $\|x_i(k_0)\| < r$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Since X is a function module, there is a neighborhood U of k_0 such that $\|x_i(k)\| \leq r' < r$ for $k \in U$ and $i = 1, \dots, n$. But then, for a suitable function $h \in CK_X$ (which corresponds to $M_h \in Z(X)$) we get $\max_i \|M_h x_i\| = \max_i \|h x_i\| \leq r' \|h\| < r \|M_h\|$, a contradiction.

The reverse conclusion is obvious.

In §4 we will also need a related definition, which by 3.2 is a local version of Definition 3.1.

DEFINITION 3.3. (X, K_X as in 3.2). Let k_0 be a point of K_X . A finite family x_1, \dots, x_n is called a *local centralizer-norming system* (local *cns*) at k_0 , if there are a number $r > 0$ and a neighborhood U of k_0 such that $\max_i \|x_i(k)\| \geq r$ for $k \in U$.

A simple compactness argument guarantees that X has a *cns* iff every point in K_X has a local *cns*.

EXAMPLE. Let L be a locally compact Hausdorff space, $X := C_0 L$. A point k in $K_X = \beta L$ has a local *cns* iff $k \in L$. However, every point k in K_X has a local *cns* provided $X_k \neq 0$. There are known to the author only very complicated examples of Banach spaces not having this property. We will say that X has the *local cns property* if every k with $X_k \neq 0$ has a local *cns*.

4. The structure of $Z(X \hat{\otimes}_\varepsilon Y)$. Let $X, K_X, (X_k)_{k \in K_X}, Y, K_Y, (Y_1)_{1 \in K_Y}$ be as in §2.

DEFINITION 4.1. $M(K_X \times K_Y) := \{\alpha \mid \alpha: K_X \times K_Y \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ a bounded function, $\alpha(k, 1) = 0$ whenever $X_k \hat{\otimes}_\varepsilon Y_1 = 0, M_\alpha(X \hat{\otimes}_\varepsilon Y) \subset X \hat{\otimes}_\varepsilon Y\}$. It is clear that $M(K_X \times K_Y)$ is Banach algebra (with $\|\alpha\| := \sup \{|\alpha(k, 1)| \mid k \in K_X, 1 \in K_Y\}$).

THEOREM 4.2. (i) *The mapping $\alpha \mapsto M_\alpha$ is an isometric algebra isomorphism from $M(K_X \times K_Y)$ onto $Z(X \hat{\otimes}_\varepsilon Y)$ so that we may identify these two spaces.*

(ii) *Let T be an operator in $Z(X \hat{\otimes}_\varepsilon Y)$. Then $T \in (Z(X) \otimes Z(Y))^-$ iff there is an $\alpha \in C(K_X \times K_Y)$ such that $T = M_\alpha$. It follows that $(Z(X) \otimes Z(Y))^- \cong C(K_X \times K_Y)$.*

Proof. (i) The mapping is well-defined by 2.2. For $(k, 1) \in$

$K_X \times K_Y$ such that $X_k \otimes_\varepsilon Y_l \neq 0, \varepsilon > 0$, there exist $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$ such that $\|x(k) \otimes y(1)\| = \|x(k)\| \|y(1)\| \geq 1 - \varepsilon, \|x\| \leq 1, \|y\| \leq 1$. This follows at once from 2.1(a), (b). Because of this fact we have $\|M_\alpha\| = \|\alpha\|$ for $\alpha \in M(K_X \times K_Y)$. The mapping $\alpha \mapsto M_\alpha$ is obviously an algebra homomorphism, and it remains to show that it is onto.

Let T be an M -bounded operator on $X \hat{\otimes}_\varepsilon Y$. By [2], 4.8, every element of $E_{X \hat{\otimes}_\varepsilon Y}$ is an eigenvector for T' . It can be shown that this is also true for every $p \otimes q$, where $(p, q) \in E_X \times E_Y$. The proof of this fact depends on elementary properties of tensor products and weak*-topologies. We refer the reader to [8], p. 506. Therefore there is a function $a: E_X \times E_Y \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ such that $(p \otimes q) \circ T = a(p, q)(p \otimes q)$ for $(p, q) \in E_X \times E_Y$. We claim that a is separately continuous. Let $p \in E_X$ be fixed and x a vector in X such that $p(x) = 1$. For $y \in Y$, the mapping $Y' \ni y' \mapsto (p \otimes y')(T(x \otimes y))$ is linear and weak*-continuous (by the Krein-Smulian theorem we have only to prove continuity on bounded sets, and this is obvious). So there is a vector $T_p y$ such that $y'(T_p y) = (p \otimes y')(T(x \otimes y))$ for every $y' \in Y'$. It is easy to see that $y \mapsto T_p y$ is linear and continuous. In fact we have $T_p \in Z(Y)$ since every $q \in E_Y$ is an eigenvector for T'_p (cf. [2], 4.8): $q \circ T_p(y) = (p \otimes q)(T(x \otimes y)) = a(p, q)(p \otimes q)(x \otimes y) = a(p, q)q(y)$. It follows that the corresponding eigenvalue for $q \in E_Y$ is $a(p, q)$ so that, by [2], 4.9, $q \mapsto a(p, q)$ must be structurally continuous. By symmetry, $p \mapsto a(p, q)$ has the same property for every $q \in E_Y$. By 2.4(ii) a induces a mapping $\alpha_0: K_X^* \times K_Y^* \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ which is separately continuous: $\alpha_0(k, 1) := a(p, q)$ for $p \in E_{X_k}, q \in E_{Y_1}, k \in K_X^*, 1 \in K_Y^*$ (note that $E_{X \hat{\otimes}_\varepsilon X} \subset \{p \otimes q \mid (p, q) \in E_X \times E_Y\}$; [8], p. 506). We thus have proved that $T = M_\alpha$, where $\alpha: K_X \times K_Y \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is defined by $\alpha(k, 1) = \alpha_0(k, 1)$ for $(k, 1) \in K_X^* \times K_Y^*$ and $\alpha(k, 1) = 0$ otherwise.

(ii) The operators in $Z(X) \otimes Z(Y)$ are by definition exactly the operators $M_\alpha, \alpha \in CK_X \otimes CK_Y (CK_X \otimes CK_Y$ regarded as a subspace of $C(K_X \times K_Y))$. For $\alpha \in C(K_X \times K_Y)$ we have $\|M_\alpha\| = \|\alpha\|$ (this follows at once from 2.3(iii); cf. also the proof of (i)) so that $(Z(X) \otimes Z(Y))^- = \{M_\alpha \mid \alpha \in (CK_X \otimes CK_Y)^-\} = \{M_\alpha \mid \alpha \in C(K_X \times K_Y)\} \cong C(K_X \times K_Y)$.

COROLLARY 4.3 (Wickstead). $Z(X \hat{\otimes}_\varepsilon Y)$ is the closure with respect to the strong operator topology of $Z(X) \otimes Z(Y)$.

Proof. This is a consequence of 4.2 and 2.2.

Because of 4.2 it is clear that in order to describe the relations between $Z(X) \otimes Z(Y)$ and $Z(X \hat{\otimes}_\varepsilon Y)$ when considering the norm topology we have to investigate the continuity properties of the functions $\alpha \in M(K_X \times K_Y)$. The following theorem asserts local continuity if there are local centralizer-norming systems:—

THEOREM 4.4. *Let $k_0 \in K_X$, $l_0 \in K_Y$. If k_0 has a local cns x_1, \dots, x_n in X and l_0 has a local cns y_1, \dots, y_m in Y , then all $\alpha \in M(K_X \times K_Y)$ are continuous at (k_0, l_0) .*

Proof. Let U (resp. V) be a neighborhood of k_0 (resp. l_0) such that $\max \{\|x_i(k)\| \mid i = 1, \dots, n\} \geq r$ for $k \in U$ (resp. $\max \{\|y_j(1)\| \mid j = 1, \dots, m\} \geq \tilde{r}$ for $1 \in V$) where $r \in \mathbf{R}$, $r > 0$ (resp. $\tilde{r} \in \mathbf{R}$, $\tilde{r} > 0$) is a suitable chosen number.

Now let α be a function in $M(K_X \times K_Y)$, $\varepsilon > 0$ arbitrary. For $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ the function $z_{ij} := \alpha(x_i \otimes y_j) - \alpha(k_0, l_0)(x_i \otimes y_j)$ is in $X \hat{\otimes}_\varepsilon Y$ and vanishes at (k_0, l_0) . Since the norm of the elements of $X \hat{\otimes}_\varepsilon Y$ is upper semi-continuous (2.4(ii)) there are neighborhoods U' of k_0 and V' of l_0 such that

$$\|z_{ij}(k, 1)\| = |\alpha(k, 1) - \alpha(k_0, l_0)| \|x_i(k)\| \|y_j(1)\| \leq \varepsilon r r'$$

for $k \in U'$, $1 \in V'$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, $j = 1, \dots, m$. It follows that $|\alpha(k, 1) - \alpha(k_0, l_0)| \leq \varepsilon$ for $(k, 1) \in (U \cap U') \times (V \cap V')$.

THEOREM 4.5. *Let X and Y be real Banach spaces such that the norm topology and the strong operator topology are equivalent on $Z(X)$ and $Z(Y)$ (i.e., X and Y have a cns). We will identify $Z(X) \otimes Z(Y)$ with a subspace of $Z(X \hat{\otimes}_\varepsilon Y)$. Then the following assertions are valid:*

- (i) $(Z(X) \otimes Z(Y))^- = Z(X \hat{\otimes}_\varepsilon Y)$
- (ii) $Z(X) \hat{\otimes}_\varepsilon Z(Y) = Z(X \hat{\otimes}_\varepsilon Y)$
- (iii) $K_{X \hat{\otimes}_\varepsilon Y} = K_X \times K_Y$ (up to homeomorphism)
- (iv) $X \hat{\otimes}_\varepsilon Y$ has a cns

(more precisely: if x_1, \dots, x_n is a cns in X and y_1, \dots, y_m is a cns in Y , then $\{x_i \otimes y_j \mid i = 1, \dots, n, j = 1, \dots, m\}$ is a cns in $X \hat{\otimes}_\varepsilon Y$).

Proof. (i) This is a consequence of 4.2(ii) and 4.4.

(ii) This follows from (i) since the norm of the operators in $Z(X) \otimes Z(Y)$ is their tensor product norm.

(iii) $C(K_{X \hat{\otimes}_\varepsilon Y}) \cong Z(X \hat{\otimes}_\varepsilon Y) \cong Z(X) \hat{\otimes}_\varepsilon Z(Y) \cong C(K_X) \hat{\otimes}_\varepsilon C(K_Y) \cong C(K_X \times K_Y)$. It follows that $K_{X \hat{\otimes}_\varepsilon Y} = K_X \times K_Y$ up to homeomorphism.

(iv) It is clear that $\inf \{\max_{i,j} \|x_i(k) \otimes y_j(1)\| \mid (k, 1) \in K_X \times K_Y\} > 0$. As in 3.2 it follows that $\{x_i \otimes y_j \mid i = 1, \dots, n, j = 1, \dots, m\}$ is a cns in $X \hat{\otimes}_\varepsilon Y$.

Finally, we want to point out that for Banach spaces which are not too pathological the difference between $Z(X \hat{\otimes}_\varepsilon Y)$ and $Z(X) \hat{\otimes}_\varepsilon Z(Y)$ is just the difference between $\beta(K_X^* \times K_Y^*)$ and $\beta K_X^* \times \beta K_Y^*$:—

THEOREM 4.6. *Let X and Y be Banach spaces having the local cns property. Then $K_{X \hat{\otimes}_\varepsilon Y} = \beta(K_X^* \times K_Y^*)$.*

Proof. By 4.2 and 4.4, $C(K_{X \hat{\otimes}_\varepsilon Y}) \cong Z(X \hat{\otimes}_\varepsilon Y) \cong C^b(K_X^* \times K_Y^*) \cong C(\beta(K_X^* \times K_Y^*))$. The Banach-Stone theorem implies that $K_{X \hat{\otimes}_\varepsilon Y} = \beta(K_X^* \times K_Y^*)$.

REFERENCES

1. P. Alexandroff and H. Hopf, *Topologie*, Chelsea Publ. Com., New York 1972.
2. E. M. Alfsen and E. G. Effros, *Structure in real Banach spaces II*, Ann. of Math., **96** (1972), 129-173.
3. E. Behrends, *An application of M -structure to theorems of the Banach-Stone type*, Tagungsberichte der Paderborner Funktionalanalysis-Tagung 1976, North Holland, Notas de mathematica (1977).
4. ———, *On the Banach-Stone theorem*, Math. Annalen, **233** (1978), 261-272.
5. F. Cunningham, *M -structure in Banach spaces*, Proc. of the Camber. Phil. Soc., **63** (1967), 613-629.
6. F. Cunningham and N. Roy, *Extreme functionals on an upper semicontinuous function space*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **42** (1974), 461-465.
7. H. E. Lacey, *The Isometrical Theory of Classical Banach Spaces*, Springer Verlag, 1974.
8. A. W. Wickstead, *The centraliser of $E \otimes_\lambda F$* , Pacific J. Math., **65** (1976), 563-571.

Received September 15, 1977 and in revised form May 5, 1978.

I. MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT
 DER FREIEN UNIVERSITÄT
 HÜTTENWEG 9
 D 1000
 BERLIN 33

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

DONALD BABBITT (Managing Editor)

University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90024

HUGO ROSSI

University of Utah
Salt Lake City, UT 84112

C. C. MOORE

University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, CA 90007

R. FINN and J. MILGRAM

Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Please propose a heading for the odd numbered pages of less than 35 characters. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. 39. Supply name and address of author to whom proofs should be sent. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints to each author are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$72.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$36.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924, U.S.A. Older back numbers obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.).

8-8, 3-chome, Takadanobaba, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

Copyright © 1979 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics
Manufactured and first issued in Japan

Ersan Akyildiz, <i>Vector fields and equivariant bundles</i>	283
Ehrhard Behrends, <i>The centralizer of tensor products of Banach spaces (a function space representation)</i>	291
Geoffrey R. Burton, <i>Congruent sections of a convex body</i>	303
John Warnock Carlson, <i>H-closed and countably compact extensions</i>	317
Robert Charles Carlson, <i>Eigenfunction expansions for selfadjoint integro-differential operators</i>	327
Robert Damiano, <i>Coflat rings and modules</i>	349
Eric Karel van Douwen and Washek (Vaclav) Frantisek Pfeffer, <i>Some properties of the Sorgenfrey line and related spaces</i>	371
Uri Elias, <i>Necessary conditions and sufficient conditions for difocality and disconjugacy of a differential equation</i>	379
V. L. (Vagn Lundsgaard) Hansen, <i>Polynomial covering spaces and homomorphisms into the braid groups</i>	399
Paul Hess, <i>Dedekind's problem: monotone Boolean functions on the lattice of divisors of an integer</i>	411
Alan Hopenwasser and David Royal Larson, <i>The carrier space of a reflexive operator algebra</i>	417
Kyung Bai Lee, <i>Spaces in which compacta are uniformly regular G_δ</i>	435
Claude Levesque, <i>A class of fundamental units and some classes of Jacobi-Perron algorithms in pure cubic fields</i>	447
Teck Cheong Lim, <i>A constructive proof of the infinite version of the Belluce-Kirk theorem</i>	467
Dorothy Maharam and A. H. Stone, <i>Borel boxes</i>	471
Roger McCann, <i>Asymptotically stable dynamical systems are linear</i>	475
Peter A. McCoy, <i>Approximation and harmonic continuation of axially symmetric potentials in E^3</i>	481
Takahiko Nakazi, <i>Extended weak-*Dirichlet algebras</i>	493
Carl L. Prather, <i>On the zeros of derivatives of balanced trigonometric polynomials</i>	515
Iain Raeburn, <i>An implicit function theorem in Banach spaces</i>	525
Louis Jackson Ratliff, Jr., <i>Two theorems on the prime divisors of zeros in completions of local domains</i>	537
Gloria Jean Tashjian, <i>Cartesian-closed coreflective subcategories of Tychonoff spaces</i>	547
Stephen Edwin Wilson, <i>Operators over regular maps</i>	559