Pacific Journal of Mathematics

DEDEKIND'S PROBLEM: MONOTONE BOOLEAN FUNCTIONS ON THE LATTICE OF DIVISORS OF AN INTEGER

PAUL HESS

Vol. 81, No. 2

December 1979

DEDEKIND'S PROBLEM: MONOTONE BOOLEAN FUNCTIONS ON THE LATTICE OF DIVISORS OF AN INTEGER

PAUL HESS

This paper is concerned with the combinatorial problem of counting the number of distinct collections of divisors of an integer N having the property that no divisor in a collection is a multiple of any other. It is shown that if N factors into primes $N = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \cdots p_n^{a_n}$ the number of distinct collections of divisors with the stated property does not exceed $(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i - n + 3)^M$, where M is the maximum coefficient in the expansion of the polynomial

 $(1+x+x^2+\cdots+x^{a_1})(1+x+x^2+\cdots+x^{a_2})\cdots(1+x+x^2+\cdots+x^{a_n})$.

In the special case where N is squarefree the problem is equivalent to that of counting the number of "Sperner families" on n letters, for which G. Hansel obtained the upper bound 3^{M_n} , where M_n is the binomial coefficient $\binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}$; the result in this paper is then a generalization of Hansel's theorem to the non-squarefree case.

The problem has also been formulated as that of counting the number of families consisting of incomparable subsets of a set of n objects (the objects of course corresponding to the primes in the number-theoretic formulation), with the variation that each object may appear in a set with a specifically limited number of repetitions (these limits corresponding to the prime exponents).

NOTATION. Given *n* letters x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n , and *n* positive integers a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n , consider the lattice consisting of all terms $(x_1^{j_1}x_2^{j_2}\cdots x_n^{j_n})$ in the polynomial $\prod_{i=1}^n (\sum_{k=0}^{\alpha_i} x_i^k)$, with the partial ordering defined $(x_1^{j_1}x_2^{j_2}\cdots x_n^{j_n}) \subseteq (x_1^{k_1}x_2^{k_2}\cdots x_n^{k_n})$ if $j_i \leq k_i$ for all *i*. A single term $X = (x_1^{j_1}x_2^{j_2}\cdots x_n^{j_n})$ in this lattice will be referred to as a "set", the empty set ϕ denoting the term with all exponents j_1, j_2, \dots, j_n equal to zero. If $X = (x_1^{j_1}x_2^{j_2}\cdots x_n^{j_n})$, the notation (X, x_k^c) will indicate the set $(x_1^{j_1}x_2^{j_2}\cdots x_n^{j_n})$, and the exponent sum $j_1 + j_2 + \cdots + j_n$ will be written |X|.

A monotone Boolean function is defined to be a function taking the values 0 or 1 on each set of this lattice with the property that $f(X) \leq f(Y)$ if $X \subseteq Y$. The problem of counting the number of monotone Boolean functions on this lattice is then equivalent to the problem concerning collections of divisors of N stated at the beginning.

(1) The lattice defined above can be partitioned into chains, constructed inductively:

If n = 1, the chain covering consists of the single chain $\phi \subseteq (x_1) \subseteq (x_1^2) \subseteq \cdots \subseteq (x_1^{a_1})$.

If n > 1, assume the chain covering has already been constructed on the n-1 letters x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} . Each chain $C: X_1 \subseteq X_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq X_r$ of the covering on n-1 letters gives rise to the chains

$$X_{1} \subseteq X_{2} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq X_{r} \subseteq (X_{r}, x_{n}) \subseteq (X_{r}, x_{n}^{2}) \subseteq \cdots \subseteq (X_{r}, x_{n}^{a_{n}})$$

$$(X_{1}, x_{n}) \subseteq (X_{1}, x_{n}^{2}) \subseteq \cdots \subseteq (X_{1}, x_{n}^{a_{n}}) \subseteq (X_{2}, x_{n}^{a_{n}}) \subseteq \cdots \subseteq (X_{r-1}, x_{n}^{a_{n}})$$

$$(X_{2}, x_{n}) \subseteq (X_{2}, x_{n}^{2}) \subseteq \cdots \subseteq (X_{r-1}, x_{n}^{a_{n}-1})$$

$$\vdots$$

terminating in

 $(X_{r-1}, x_n) \subseteq \cdots \subseteq (X_{r-1}, x_n^{a_n - (r-2)})$ if $2 \leq r \leq a_n$ or in

$$(X_{a_n}, x_n) \subseteq (X_{a_n+1}, x_n) \subseteq \cdots (X_{r-1}, x_n)$$
 if $r > a_n$.

If r = 1, the chain C gives rise only to the chain

$$X_1 \subseteq (X_1, x_n) \subseteq \cdots \subseteq (X_1, x_n^{a_n})$$
.

EXAMPLES. If n = 1, $a_1 = 2$, the covering consists of the single chain $\phi \subseteq (x_1) \subseteq (x_1^2)$.

If n = 2, $a_1 = 2$, $a_2 = 4$, the covering consists of the three chains

$$\phi \subseteq (x_1) \subseteq (x_1^2) \subseteq (x_1^2 x_2) \subseteq (x_1^2 x_2^2) \subseteq (x_1^2 x_2^3) \subseteq (x_1^2 x_2^4)$$

 $(x_2) \subseteq (x_2^2) \subseteq (x_2^3) \subseteq (x_2^4) \subseteq (x_1 x_2^4)$
 $(x_1 x_2) \subseteq (x_1 x_2^2) \subseteq (x_1 x_2^3)$.

An easy induction on n suffices to show that each chain contains a set X for which the exponent sum

$$|X| = egin{cases} & \left| X
ight| = egin{cases} & \left| \sum\limits_{i=1}^n a_i/2 \quad ext{if } \sum\limits_{i=1}^n a_i \ ext{is even} \ & \left(\sum\limits_{i=1}^n a_i + 1
ight) \! \middle/ \! 2 \quad ext{if } \sum\limits_{i=1}^n a_i \ ext{is odd} \end{cases}$$

and that all sets in the lattice appear once and only once in the coverning. It follows that the number of chains in the covering is given by M, the maximum coefficient in the expansion of the polynomial $\prod_{i=1}^{n} (\sum_{k=0}^{\alpha_i} x_i^k)$. (The coefficient of x^j in this polynomial is the number of sets in the lattice with exponent sum j.)

A theorem of Dilworth [2], states that a partially ordered set with k but not k + 1 incomparable elements can be covered by k chains. The chain covering defined above is the covering whose existence is guaranteed by Dilworth's theorem.

The set function σ . If three sets $X \subseteq Y \subseteq Z$ appear in succession within a chain, we define $\sigma(X)$ to be the set X + (Z - Y). $\sigma(X)$ is undefined if X is not at least three places from the end of its chain.

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{EXAMPLES.} & \phi \subseteq (x_1) \subseteq (x_1^2); \, \sigma(\phi) = (x_1) \\ & (x_1^2 x_2^3) \subseteq (x_1^2 x_2^4) \subseteq (x_1^2 x_2^4 x_3); \, \sigma(x_1^2 x_2^3) = (x_1^2 x_2^2 x_3) \end{array}$$

If $X \subseteq Y \subseteq Z$ are three sets in succession within a chain in the covering, it is easy to see that if $\sigma(X) = Y$, then all the letters in Z are also letters in Y. This situation will be abbreviated " $\sigma(X) =$ next", and we note that the length l of the longest possible sequence in a chain of the form $\cdots X_{i+1} \subseteq X_{i+2} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq X_{i+l} \cdots$ where $X_{i+1} \neq \phi$ and all X in the sequence are composed of the same letters, is $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i - n + 1$.

Within the chain covering (1), define an ordering of the chains as follows: If n = 1, C_1 is the single chain $\phi \subseteq (x_1) \subseteq (x_1^2) \subseteq \cdots \subseteq (x_1^{a_1})$, and inductively if n > 1, and $C'_1, C'_2, \cdots C'_k$ are the ordered chains in the covering for the n - 1 letters x_1, \cdots, x_{n-1} , and if C'_j gives rise to the chains $C_{j_1}, C_{j_2}, \cdots, C_{j_{l_j}}$ in the covering on n letters in the sequence in which they appear in the definition (1), then let $C_{11}, C_{12}, \cdots, C_{1l_1}; C_{21}, C_{22}, \cdots, C_{2l_2}; \cdots; C_{k1}, C_{k2}, \cdots, C_{kl_k}$ be the ordering of the chains C_1, C_2, \cdots, C_M in the n-letter covering. (In other words, simply order the chains as they appear in the inductive definition). An easy induction on n then establishes the following property of the function σ : (2) If $\sigma(X)$ is defined and " \neq next", and X appears in chain $C_i, \sigma(X)$ in chain C_j , then j > i.

Proof of (2). Induction on *n*. The statement is true for n = 1 vacuously. Consider the chain on n - 1 letters $X_1 \subseteq X_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq X_r$ giving rise to the chains on *n* letters

$$X_{1} \subseteq X_{2} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq X_{r} \subseteq (X_{r}, x_{n}) \subseteq \cdots \subseteq (X_{r}, x_{n}^{a_{n}})$$
$$(X_{1}, x_{n}) \subseteq (X_{1}, x_{n}^{2}) \subseteq \cdots \subseteq (X_{1}, x_{n}^{a_{n}}) \subseteq (X_{2}, x_{n}^{a_{n}}) \subseteq \cdots \subseteq (X_{n-1}, x_{n}^{a_{n}})$$
$$\vdots$$
$$(X_{j-1}, x_{n}) \subseteq \cdots (X_{j-1}, x_{n}^{a_{n}-(j-2)}) \subseteq (X_{j}, x_{n}^{a_{n}-(j-2)}) \subseteq \cdots \subseteq (X_{r-1}, x_{n}^{a_{n}-(j-2)})$$

In the first chain above, if $\sigma(X_k)$ is defined and " \neq next", $k \leq r-2$, so that $\sigma(X_k)$ is in a later n-1 chain by induction, therefore in a later *n*-chain. $\sigma(X_r)$ "= next" and the same holds for $\sigma(X_r, x_n)$, $\sigma(X_r, x_n^2)$, etc. $\sigma(X_{r-1}) = (X_{r-1}, x_n)$ which is in a later *n*-chain. In subsequent chains, $\sigma(X_{j-1}, x_n^{a_n^{-(j-1)}}) = (X_j, x_n^{a_n^{-(j-1)}})$ which appears in the chain immediately following. $\sigma(X_i, x_n^{a_n^{-(j-2)}})$, where $i \ge j - 1$, if defined and " \neq next", is the set $(\sigma(X_i), x_n^{a_n^{-(j-2)}})$ where $\sigma(X_i)$ " \neq next". By induction, $\sigma(X_i)$ is in a later n-1 chain so that $(\sigma(X_i), x_n^{a_n^{-(j-2)}})$ is in a later *n*-chain, which completes the proof of the assertion.

(3) If C is a chain in the covering and f is a monotone Boolean function already defined on all sets $\sigma(W)$, where W is any set in the chain C for which $\sigma(W)$ is defined and " \neq next", then the number of possible definitions for f on the chain C does not exceed $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i - n + 3$.

Proof of (3). Let the chain C consist of l sets $W_1 \subseteq W_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq$ W_i . Suppose $\sigma(W)$ is undefined or " = next" for all W in the chain C. Then if $l \ge 3$, $W_2 \ne \phi$ and $W_2 \cdots W_l$ are sets consisting of the same letters. Then the number of ways of defining a monotone Boolean function on the chain is at most $l+1 \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i - n + 3$. Otherwise, let W_m be the W farthest to the right in the chain for which $f(\sigma(W)) = 0$, and W_k the W farthest to the left for which $f(\sigma(W)) = 1$. Either m or k exists. If k does not exist, then m does. In this case $f(\sigma(W_m)) = 0$ and since $W_m \subseteq \sigma((W_m))$, f is undetermined only on the portion of the chain $W_{m+1}, W_{m+2}, \dots, W_{m+l}$. But σ is undefined or "=next" on these sets, so that $W_{m+2} \cdots W_l$ are sets consisting of the same letters (or $W_{m+1} \cdots W_l$ is shorter than 3 sets in length). Thus f is undetermined on at most $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i - n + 2$ sets and the number of ways of defining f is at most $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i - n +$ 3 (either 0 throughout the chain, or $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i - n + 2$ choices for the position of the 1 farthest to the left). A similar argument takes care of the case where m does not exist and k does. If m and kboth exist, first suppose m < k. Then we have f = 0 on the sets W_m, W_{m-1}, \cdots , down to W_1 , and f = 1 on the sets W_{k+2}, W_{k+3}, \cdots up to W_i . In this case $W_{m+2} \cdots W_{k-1} W_k W_{k+1}$ are all sets consisting of the same letters, so that the length of the segment on which f is undetermined, (k+1) - (m+1) + 1, is at most $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i - n + 2$, and as before the number of possible definitions of f on the chain is at most $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i - n + 3$. The final possibility is $m \ge k$, but by definition of m and k, $m \neq k$ and obviously m cannot exceed k + 1. The situation is then: $W_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq W_k \subseteq W_m \subseteq W_{m+1} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq W_l, \ m = k+1$, $f(\sigma(W_k)) = 1$ and $f(\sigma(W_m)) = 0$ so that f = 1 on the sets $W_{m+1} \cdots W_l$, f = 0 on the sets W, \dots, W_k, W_m , and f is completely predetermined on the chain in this case.

Conclusion. $(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i - n + 3)^M$, where M is the maximal coeffi-

cient in the expansion of $(1 + x + \cdots + x^{a_1})(1 + x + \cdots + x^{a_2})\cdots$ $(1 + x + \cdots + x^{a_n})$ is an upper bound on the number of monotone Boolean functions on the lattice of divisors of $N = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \cdots p_n^{a_n}$.

Proof. Let C_1, C_2, \dots, C_M be the ordered chains in the covering. On the last chain, the function σ is undefined or "=next" throughout. (Otherwise, according to (2), for X in the chain $C_M, \sigma(X)$ would appear in a later chain which is impossible.) It then follows from (3) that the number of ways of defining f on C_M does not exceed $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i - n + 3$. On chain C_{M-1} , if X is a set in this chain for which $\sigma(X)$ is defined and " \neq next", then according to (2) $\sigma(X)$ appears in the chain C_M . Thus $f(\sigma(X))$ is already defined for all such X in the chain C_{M-1} , and from (3) there are at most $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i - n + 3$ possible definitions of f on C_{M-1} . Continuing in this way to the first chain C_1 gives the upper bound stated.

References

1. G. Hansel, Sur le nombre des fonctions booléennes monotones de n variables, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, **262**, 1088.

2. R. P. Dilworth, A decomposition theorem for partially ordered sets, Annals of Mathematics, January, 1950.

Not cited in this paper, but related:

3. D. Kleitman, On Dedekind's Problem: The Number of Monotone Boolean Functsons, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 21 (1969), 677-682.

4. H. N. Shapiro, On the counting problem for Monotone Boolean functions, Comm. Pure and Applied Math., XXIII, (1970), 299-312.

Received April 6, 1978 and in revised form September 14, 1978.

COOPER UNION EIGHTH STREET AND FOURTH AVENUE NEW YORK, NY 10003

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

DONALD BABBITT (Managing Editor)

University of California Los Angeles, CA 90024

Hugo Rossi

University of Utah Salt Lake City, UT 84112

C. C. MOORE University of California Berkeley, CA 94720 J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics University of Southern California Los Angeles, CA 90007

R. FINN and J. MILGRAM Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

K. Yoshida

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

F. WOLF

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF OREGON UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Please propose a heading for the odd numbered pages of less than 35 characters. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. **39**. Supply name and address of author to whom proofs should be sent. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints to each author are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$72.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$36.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924, U.S.A. Older back numbers obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.). 8-8, 3-chome, Takadanobaba, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

> Copyright © 1979 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics Manufactured and first issued in Japan

Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol. 81, No. 2 December, 1979

Ersan Akyildiz, Vector fields and equivariant bundles	283
Ehrhard Behrends, The centralizer of tensor products of Banach spaces (a	
function space representation)	291
Geoffrey R. Burton, <i>Congruent sections of a convex body</i>	303
John Warnock Carlson, <i>H-closed and countably compact extensions</i>	317
Robert Charles Carlson, <i>Eigenfunction expansions for selfadjoint</i>	
integro-differential operators	327
Robert Damiano, Coflat rings and modules	349
Eric Karel van Douwen and Washek (Vaclav) Frantisek Pfeffer, Some	
properties of the Sorgenfrey line and related spaces	371
Uri Elias, Necessary conditions and sufficient conditions for disfocality and	
disconjugacy of a differential equation	379
V. L. (Vagn Lundsgaard) Hansen, <i>Polynomial covering spaces and</i>	
homomorphisms into the braid groups	399
Paul Hess, Dedekind's problem: monotone Boolean functions on the lattice	
of divisors of an integer	411
Alan Hopenwasser and David Royal Larson, <i>The carrier space of a reflexive</i>	
operator algebra	417
Kyung Bai Lee, Spaces in which compacta are uniformly regular G_{δ}	435
Claude Levesque, A class of fundamental units and some classes of	
Jacobi-Perron algorithms in pure cubic fields	447
Teck Cheong Lim, A constructive proof of the infinite version of the	
Belluce-Kirk theorem	467
Dorothy Maharam and A. H. Stone, <i>Borel boxes</i>	471
Roger McCann, Asymptotically stable dynamical systems are linear	475
Peter A. McCoy, Approximation and harmonic continuation of axially	
symmetric potentials in E^3	481
Takahiko Nakazi, <i>Extended weak-*Dirichlet algebras</i>	493
Carl L. Prather, On the zeros of derivatives of balanced trigonometric	
polynomials	515
Iain Raeburn, An implicit function theorem in Banach spaces	525
Louis Jackson Ratliff, Jr., Two theorems on the prime divisors of zeros in	
completions of local domains	537
Gloria Jean Tashijan, Cartesian-closed coreflective subcategories of	
Tychonoff spaces	547
Stephen Edwin Wilson Operators over regular maps	559