

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**CARTESIAN-CLOSED COREFLECTIVE SUBCATEGORIES OF
TYCHONOFF SPACES**

GLORIA JEAN TASHJIAN

CARTESIAN-CLOSED COREFLECTIVE SUBCATEGORIES OF TYCHONOFF SPACES

GLORIA TASHJIAN

Let \mathcal{S} be a class of spaces in the category of Tychonoff spaces and let $co(\mathcal{S})$ be its coreflective hull in that category, with coreflector c .

Let τ be the topology of uniform convergence on the set of continuous maps $C(X, Y)$.

For $\kappa \geq \aleph_0$ let $\mathcal{S}(\kappa)$ be the collection of all Tychonoff spaces which are pseudo- κ -compact and m -discrete for every $m < \kappa$.

THEOREM. The following are equivalent:

(a) $co(\mathcal{S})$ is cartesian-closed and the exponential objects for $S \in \mathcal{S}$ and $Y \in co(\mathcal{S})$ are the spaces $c\tau C(S, Y)$.

(b) The projection $\pi: c(S \times T) \rightarrow S$ is z -closed for each $S, T \in \mathcal{S}$.

(c) Either $co(\mathcal{S})$ is the category of discrete spaces, or there exists $\kappa \geq \aleph_0$ and a finitely productive subfamily \mathcal{S}' of $\mathcal{S}(\kappa)$ such that $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{S}' \subseteq co(\mathcal{S})$.

Furthermore, if \mathcal{S} is map-invariant, then (a) implies that all spaces in \mathcal{S} are pseudocompact.

Several examples are given.

0. Introduction. Coreflective hulls of families of Tychonoff spaces are examined to characterize those which are cartesian-closed, that is, have an exponential law $Z^{X \times Y} = (Z^X)^Y$, especially where the exponential spaces are defined in a natural way using topologies of uniform convergence on the hom-sets $C(X, Y)$. The main result implies that if the coreflective hull of a class is cartesian-closed in this way, then that class must be a subclass of either the pseudo-compact spaces or the \aleph_0 -discrete spaces. Hence, the most important examples of such subcategories are contained in the pseudocompactly-generated class of spaces. Other equivalent conditions, involving finite productivity and fine uniform structures, are given for these subcategories.

I would like to thank Professor A. W. Hager of Wesleyan University for his help and encouragement in the preparation of this paper.

1. Background. The reader is referred to [8], [9], [12], and [13] for the material in this section. All subcategories are assumed to be full, isomorphism-closed, and to contain a nonempty space.

DEFINITION. A category \mathcal{C} having finite products is *cartesian-closed* if, for each object $X \in \mathcal{C}$, the product functor $P_X: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ defined by $P_X(Y) = X \times Y$ has a right adjoint $E_X: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, written $E_X(Y) = Y^X$.

The \mathcal{C} -objects X^Y are called exponentials, and they satisfy the condition on hom-sets:

$$\mathcal{C}(Z \times X, Y) = \mathcal{C}(Z, Y^X)$$

for all $X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{C}$.

Another characterization is more useful. The existence of a right adjoint to P_X is equivalent to the existence of \mathcal{C} -objects Y^X , for each Y , and \mathcal{C} -morphisms $e_Y: Y^X \times X \rightarrow Y$ such that:

(1) $\{e_Y: Y \in \mathcal{C}\}$ is natural in Y , and

(2) given $Z \in \mathcal{C}$ and morphism $f: Z \times X \rightarrow Y$, there exists a unique morphism $g: Z \rightarrow Y^X$ which makes the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y^X \times X & \xrightarrow{e_Y} & Y \\ & \swarrow g \times i \quad \searrow f & \\ & Z \times X & \end{array}$$

(i is the identity map on X .)

Any topological category, such as the category of Tychonoff spaces (*Tych*) and its coreflective subcategories, is cartesian-closed if and only if its product preserves sums and quotients, by a theorem of Herrlich in [8]. The product in *Tych* preserves sums, but not quotients, so *Tych* is not cartesian-closed. A more explicit reason for this is given by Arens in [1]: if X is a Tychonoff space for which there is a weakest topology on $C(X, [0, 1])$ making the evaluation map $e: X \times C(X, [0, 1]) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ continuous, then X must be locally compact.

Now if \mathcal{C} is a coreflective subcategory of *Tych*, then it has finite products, denoted by $X \otimes Y$. These are the coreflections of the usual topological products $X \times Y$. Again, the products $X \otimes Y$ preserve sums.

Let $C(X, Y)$ be the set of continuous maps from X into Y . It is easy to verify that, in a cartesian-closed coreflective subcategory of *Tych*, each exponential space Y^X must have the same cardinality as $C(X, Y)$. Therefore, one may assume without loss of generality that the underlying set of Y^X is $C(X, Y)$ and that the map $e_X: Y^X \otimes X \rightarrow Y$ is the ordinary evaluation map $e_X(f, x) = f(x)$.

If \mathcal{S} is a subfamily of $Tych$, let $co(\mathcal{S})$ denote its coreflective hull in $Tych$, consisting of all quotients of sums of members of \mathcal{S} .

DEFINITION 1. (i) For $X, Y \in Tych$ let $\tau C(X, Y)$ be the function space equipped with the topology of uniform convergence on X with respect to the fine uniformity on Y .

(ii) If \mathcal{S} is a family of Tychonoff spaces, let $\tau_{\mathcal{S}}C(X, Y)$ be the function space equipped with the topology projectively generated by all functions \bar{f} defined as follows: given $S \in \mathcal{S}$ and a continuous map $f: S \rightarrow X$, define $\bar{f}: C(X, Y) \rightarrow \tau C(S, Y)$ by $\bar{f}(g) = g \circ f$.

The topologies τ defined in (i) are Tychonoff since they are associated with Hausdorff uniformities. The topologies in (ii) are also well-defined Tychonoff structures if \mathcal{S} contains a nonempty space. In general, $\tau_{\mathcal{S}}$ is weaker than τ . If \mathcal{S} is map-invariant, then $\tau_{\mathcal{S}}C(X, Y)$ has the topology of uniform convergence on \mathcal{S} subspaces of X .

For example, let \mathcal{K} be the class of all compact spaces in $Tych$. The result of Steenrod in [17], translated to $Tych$, is that $co(\mathcal{K})$ is cartesian-closed and, for $X, Y \in co(\mathcal{K})$, $Y^X = k\tau_{\mathcal{K}}C(X, Y)$, where $k: Tych \rightarrow co(\mathcal{K})$ is the coreflector. Other examples of cartesian-closed coreflective subcategories of $Tych$ having similar exponential spaces are given in [3] and [18]. These examples are all contained in $co(\mathcal{K})$.

2. Cartesian-closed subcategories of $Tych$. The main problem of this paper is to characterize the coreflective, cartesian-closed subcategories of $Tych$ in which topologies of uniform convergence are used to form the exponentials. Specifically, we want to characterize the coreflections $c: Tych \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ such that:

- (a) \mathcal{C} is cartesian-closed, and
- (b) the class $\{X \in \mathcal{C}: c\tau C(X, Y) = Y^X \text{ for all } Y \in \mathcal{C}\}$ inductively generates \mathcal{C} .

We first show that if $co(\mathcal{S})$ is cartesian-closed, then the exponentials Y^S , for $S \in \mathcal{S}$ and $Y \in co(\mathcal{S})$, determine all other exponentials Y^X in $co(\mathcal{S})$.

LEMMA 1. Let $c: Tych \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be a coreflection and let $\mathcal{C} = co(\mathcal{S})$. Suppose that for each $S \in \mathcal{S}$ the functor $S \otimes _ : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ has a right adjoint, denoted by $Y \mapsto Y^S$. Then \mathcal{C} is cartesian-closed and, for $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$, the exponential Y^X is given as follows: let σ be the topology on $C(X, Y)$ projectively generated by all functions $\bar{f}: C(X, Y) \rightarrow Y^S$, for $S \in \mathcal{S}$, arising from a continuous map $f: S \rightarrow X$ by $\bar{f}(g) = g \circ f$. Then $Y^X = c\sigma C(X, Y)$.

Proof. Since \mathcal{S} contains a nonempty space, the functions \bar{f} separate the points of $C(X, Y)$, so σ is a well-defined Tychonoff topology and $Y^X \in \mathcal{C}$.

It suffices to show that the sets $C(Z \otimes X, Y)$ and $C(Z, Y^X)$ are in bijective correspondence in a natural way, for $X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{C}$.

(a) First, suppose $h: Z \otimes X \rightarrow Y$ is continuous. For each $z \in Z$ the restriction of h to $\{z\} \times X$ is continuous, so we may define a function $h': Z \rightarrow Y^X$ by $h'(z)(x) = h(z, x)$ for $z \in Z, x \in X$. (We have used the fact that the underlying set of Y^X is $C(X, Y)$.) To show that h' is continuous, we must show that, given $S \in \mathcal{S}$ and a continuous map $f: S \rightarrow X$, the composition $\bar{f} \circ h': Z \rightarrow Y^X \rightarrow Y^S$ is continuous. Let $i: Z \rightarrow Z$ be the identity map. Then $i \times f: Z \otimes S \rightarrow Z \otimes X$ is continuous, so the map $j = h \circ (i \times f): Z \otimes S \rightarrow Y$ is continuous. Since the functor $S \otimes _ : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ has a right adjoint, the associated map $j': Z \rightarrow Y^S$ is continuous, where $j'(z)(s) = j(z, s)$. But $j' = \bar{f} \circ h'$, so h' is continuous. This defines a one-to-one function $h \mapsto h'$ from $C(Z \otimes X, Y)$ into $C(Z, Y^X)$.

(b) Now suppose that $g': Z \rightarrow Y^X$ is continuous, and let $g: Z \otimes X \rightarrow Y$ be the function $g(z, x) = g'(z)(x)$. We must show that g is continuous.

Since \mathcal{S} generates \mathcal{C} there exists a quotient map $q: \Sigma S_a \rightarrow Z \otimes X$, where ΣS_a is a sum of spaces S_a in \mathcal{S} . It suffices to show that $g \circ q$ is continuous. Let $S = S_a$ for some a . Let π_X and π_Z be the projections of $X \otimes Z$ onto X and Z , respectively. Let $q_X = \pi_X \circ q: S \rightarrow X$ and $q_Z = \pi_Z \circ q: S \rightarrow Z$.

Now the map $\bar{q}_X: Y^X \rightarrow Y^S$ is continuous by definition of Y^X . So, we have the continuous composition:

$$S \xrightarrow{q_Z} Z \xrightarrow{g'} Y^X \xrightarrow{\bar{q}_X} Y^S.$$

Let $r': S \rightarrow Y^S$ be this composition. Then by the assumption on $S \in \mathcal{S}$, the map $r: S \otimes S \rightarrow Y$ defined by $r(s, t) = r'(s)(t)$ is continuous. Let $d: S \rightarrow S \otimes S$ be the injection onto the diagonal. Then d is continuous, and $r \circ d = g \circ q|_S$. So, the restriction of $g \circ q$ to each summand $S = S_a$ is continuous, so g is continuous since q is a quotient map.

Therefore, the natural correspondence $h \mapsto h'$ is a bijection from $C(Z \otimes X, Y)$ onto $C(Z, Y^X)$. So, for each $X \in \mathcal{C}$, the functor $X \otimes _ : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ has a right adjoint $Y \mapsto Y^X$ with $Y^X = c\sigma C(X, Y)$.

Lemma 1 may be applied to the topologies τ and $\tau_{\mathcal{S}}$ given in Definition 1:

COROLLARY 1. *Let $c: \text{Tych} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be a coreflection. Suppose that there exists $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ such that:*

- (i) $\mathcal{C} = \text{co}(\mathcal{S})$, and
 - (ii) for each $S \in \mathcal{S}$, the functor $S \otimes _ : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ has a right adjoint $Y \mapsto Y^S$ where $Y^S = \text{c}\tau C(S, Y)$.
- Then \mathcal{C} is cartesian-closed and $Y^X = \text{c}\tau_{\mathcal{C}} C(X, Y)$ for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$.

If \mathcal{C} is cartesian-closed, the exponential Y^X must be the coarsest space in \mathcal{C} for which the evaluation map $e: Y^X \otimes X \rightarrow Y$ is continuous. It is known that $e: \tau C((X, Y)) \times X \rightarrow Y$ is continuous for $X, Y \in \text{Tych}$. (Theorem 10 (e), Chapter 7 of [11].)

LEMMA 2. *Let $c: \text{Tych} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be a cartesian closed coreflection. Let \mathcal{S} be a subclass of \mathcal{C} which inductively generates \mathcal{C} . Then $\text{c}\tau_{\mathcal{C}} C(X, Y)$ is finer than Y^X , for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$.*

Proof. For $S \in \mathcal{S}$, $\tau C(S, Y) = \tau_{\mathcal{C}} C(S, Y)$, and by the remark above, $e: \text{c}\tau C(S, Y) \otimes S \rightarrow Y$ is continuous, so $\text{c}\tau C(S, Y) \rightarrow Y^S$ is continuous.

For $X \in \mathcal{C}$, an argument similar to the one given in Lemma 1 to show that the evaluation map is continuous may be used here to show that $e: \text{c}\tau_{\mathcal{C}} C(X, Y) \otimes X \rightarrow Y$ is continuous. Hence $\text{c}\tau_{\mathcal{C}} C(X, Y)$ is finer than Y^X for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$.

It should be stressed that the topology $\tau_{\mathcal{C}}$ depends on the generating family \mathcal{S} , so that the upper bound for Y^X in Lemma 2 is sharper for smaller families \mathcal{S} .

DEFINITION 2. Let $c: \text{Tych} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be a cartesian-closed coreflection. Define the subclass \mathcal{S}_c of \mathcal{C} by

$$\mathcal{S}_c = \{X \in \mathcal{C} : Y^X = \text{c}\tau C(X, Y) \ \forall Y \in \mathcal{C}\}.$$

LEMMA 3. *Let $c: \text{Tych} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be a cartesian-closed coreflection. If $S \in \mathcal{S}_c$ and T is a continuous image of S , then $cT \in \mathcal{S}_c$. In particular, \mathcal{S}_c is quotient-invariant.*

Proof. Let $f: S \rightarrow T$ be a continuous map onto T . Then $f: S \rightarrow cT$ is continuous. Let $Y \in \mathcal{C}$. Since \mathcal{C} is cartesian-closed, the natural map $\tilde{f}: Y^{cT} \rightarrow Y^S$ is continuous, and $Y^S = \text{c}\tau C(S, Y)$ since $S \in \mathcal{S}_c$.

Let V be a fine uniform neighborhood of the diagonal in Y . For $h \in Y^{cT}$, it suffices to show that the set

$$U_h \equiv \{g \in Y^{cT} : (g(t), h(t)) \in V \ \forall t \in cT\}$$

is open in Y^{cT} . Now the set

$$U_{h \circ f} \equiv \{j \in Y^S : (j(s), h \circ f(s)) \in V \ \forall s \in S\}$$

belongs to τ , so it is open in Y^S . Therefore $\bar{f}^{-1}(U_{hf})$ is open in Y^{cT} . But

$$\bar{f}^{-1}(U_{hf}) = \{g \in Y^{cT} : (g \circ f(s), h \circ f(s)) \in V \ \forall s \in S\},$$

and this set is just U_h , since f is onto. Hence U_h is open, so $Y^{cT} \rightarrow c\tau C(cT, Y)$ is continuous. By Lemma 2 the inverse is also continuous, so $Y^{cT} = c\tau C(cT, Y)$, and therefore $cT \in \mathcal{S}_\tau$.

We will show that the class \mathcal{S}_τ is also finitely productive in *Tych*.

DEFINITION 3. A function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is *z-closed* if $f(Z)$ is closed in Y for any zero set Z in X .

If $X \in \textit{Tych}$, let αX be the (topologically) fine uniform space associated with X .

THEOREM 1. Let $c: \textit{Tych} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be a cartesian-closed coreflection. Let \mathcal{S}_τ be the subclass of \mathcal{C} given in Definition 2. Then:

- (i) All uniform products $\alpha S \times \alpha T$ are topologically fine for $S, T \in \mathcal{S}_\tau$.
- (ii) The projections $\pi: S \otimes S \rightarrow T$ are *z-closed* for all $S, T \in \mathcal{S}_\tau$.
- (iii) \mathcal{S}_τ is finitely productive in *Tych*.

Proof. We first show that if $S, T \in \mathcal{S}$ and $Z \in \mathcal{C}$ and if $f: S \otimes T \rightarrow Z$ is continuous, then $f: \alpha S \times \alpha T \rightarrow \alpha Z$ is uniformly continuous.

To do this, it suffices to show that the families $\{f_y: y \in T\}$ and $\{f_x: x \in S\}$ are equi-uniform on αS and αT , respectively, where $f_y(x) = f_x(y) = f(x, y)$. Clearly, the functions f_y and f_x are uniformly continuous on αS and αT since they are the restrictions of f to the subspaces $S \times \{y\}$ and $\{x\} \times T$ of $S \otimes T$.

The family $\{f_y: y \in T\}$ will be equi-uniform on the fine space αS if it is equi-continuous at each point of S , by Theorem 38, Chapter 3 of [10]. So, let $x_0 \in S$ and let V be a uniform neighborhood of the diagonal for αZ . The function $\bar{f}: S \rightarrow Z^T$ is continuous. Let U be the basic neighborhood of $\bar{f}(x_0)$ in Z^T associated with V :

$$U = \{g \in Z^T : (g(y), \bar{f}(x_0)(y)) \in V \ \forall y \in T\}.$$

Then $\bar{f}^{-1}(U) = \{x \in S : (f_y(x), f_y(x_0)) \in V \ \forall y \in T\}$, and this set is a neighborhood of x_0 by continuity of \bar{f} . It follows that $\{f_y: y \in T\}$ is equi-continuous at x_0 . Hence, the family is equi-uniform on αS .

By symmetry of the product $S \otimes T$, it follows that $\{f_x: x \in S\}$ is equi-uniform on αT . Therefore, $f: \alpha S \times \alpha T \rightarrow \alpha Z$ is uniformly continuous.

For (i), we simply note that if $S, T \in \mathcal{S}$, $Z \in Tych$, and if $f: S \times T \rightarrow Z$ is continuous, then $f: S \otimes T \rightarrow cZ$ is continuous, so it follows from the argument above that $f: \alpha S \times \alpha T \rightarrow acZ$ is uniformly continuous. Therefore, $\alpha S \times \alpha T$ is topologically fine.

It also follows from the argument above that $S \otimes T = S \times T$ for $S, T \in \mathcal{S}$.

For (ii), we use a result of Hager ([7]) and Noble ([15]): if $\alpha X \times \alpha Y$ is topologically fine, then the projections from the topological product $X \times Y$ onto X and Y are both z -closed. For $S, T \in \mathcal{S}_\tau$, we know that $S \times T = S \otimes T$, and $\alpha S \times \alpha T$ is fine by (i), so the projections from $S \otimes T$ onto S and T are z -closed.

For (iii), let $S, T \in \mathcal{S}_\tau$ and $Y \in \mathcal{C}$. We must show that $Y^{S \times T} = c\tau C(S \times T, Y)$. Let $F: Y^{S \times T} \rightarrow (Y^S)^T$ be the natural correspondence; by the exponential law, F is a homeomorphism.

Let $f \in Y^{S \times T}$ and let U_f be a basic τ -neighborhood of f associated with some uniform cover \mathcal{U} of αY . We will show that U_f is a neighborhood of f in $Y^{S \times T}$. Let \mathcal{V} star-refine \mathcal{U} . Now \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{U} determine covers \mathcal{V}_S and \mathcal{U}_S of Y^S belonging to the uniformity of uniform convergence, and \mathcal{V}_S star-refines \mathcal{U}_S . Since the topology on Y^S contains τ , for $S \in \mathcal{S}_\tau$, the covers \mathcal{V}_S and \mathcal{U}_S belong to the fine uniformity associated with Y^S , so \mathcal{V}_S in turn determines a cover \mathcal{V}_{ST} of $(Y^S)^T$ belonging to the uniformity of uniform convergence. Since $T \in \mathcal{S}_\tau$, the members of \mathcal{V}_{ST} are open sets in $(Y^S)^T$.

Now, returning to the set U_f , we have

$$U_f = \{j \in Y^{S \times T}: j(s, t) \in st(f(s, t), \mathcal{U}) \quad \forall (s, t) \in S \times T\}.$$

Let $g = F(f)$ and let V_g be the basic neighborhood of g in \mathcal{V}_{ST} , so

$$V_g = \{j \in (Y^S)^T: j(t) \in st(g(t), \mathcal{V}_S) \quad \forall t \in T\}.$$

Let $U_{g(t)}$ be the basic neighborhood of $g(t)$ from the cover \mathcal{U}_S , so

$$U_{g(t)} = \{h \in Y^S: h(s) \in st(g(t)(s), \mathcal{U}) \quad \forall s \in S\}.$$

Now \mathcal{V}_S star-refines \mathcal{U}_S , so that

$$V_g \subseteq \{j \in (Y^S)^T: j(t) \in U_{g(t)} \quad \forall t \in T\}.$$

Hence $V_g \subseteq \{j \in (Y^S)^T: j(t)(s) \in st(g(t)(s), \mathcal{U}) \quad \forall s \in S, t \in T\}$.

Therefore, $F^{-1}(V_g) \subseteq U_f$, so the continuity of F implies that U_f is a neighborhood of f in $Y^{S \times T}$. Hence, the topology of $Y^{S \times T}$ contains τ , so using Lemma 2 it follows that $S \times T \in \mathcal{S}_\tau$. This shows that the subclass \mathcal{S}_τ of \mathcal{C} is finitely productive in $Tych$.

DEFINITION 4. Let κ be an infinite cardinal.

(a) A space X is *pseudo- κ -compact* if each locally finite family of open subsets of X has power less than κ .

(b) A space X is *κ -discrete* if every intersection of κ or fewer open subsets of X is open.

For $\kappa \geq \aleph_0$ let $\mathcal{S}(\kappa)$ be the class of all Tychonoff spaces which are pseudo- κ -compact and μ -discrete for every $\mu < \kappa$. For example, $\mathcal{S}(\aleph_0)$ is the class of all pseudocompact spaces. If κ is singular then $\mathcal{S}(\kappa)$ consists of the discrete spaces of power less than κ , but if κ is regular then $\mathcal{S}(\kappa)$ contains nondiscrete spaces.

Let \mathcal{D} be the collection of all discrete spaces. Any coreflective subcategory of *Tych* contains \mathcal{D} .

THEOREM 2. Let $c: \text{Tych} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be a cartesian-closed coreflection. Suppose that there exists a subfamily \mathcal{S} of \mathcal{C} such that:

- (1) $Y^S = c\tau C(S, Y)$ for all $S \in \mathcal{S}$, $Y \in \mathcal{C}$, and
- (2) \mathcal{S} inductively generates \mathcal{C} .

Then either $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{D}$ or there exists $\kappa \geq \aleph_0$ such that $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{S}(\kappa)$. If \mathcal{S} is map-invariant in *Tych*, then $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{S}(\aleph_0)$, the pseudo-compact spaces.

Proof. Suppose \mathcal{S} contains a nondiscrete space X . By Theorem 1, $\alpha X \times \alpha X$ is fine. By a result of Isbell (Theorem 32, Chapter 7 of [10]), this implies that there exists $\kappa \geq \aleph_0$ such that $X \in \mathcal{S}(\kappa)$. Now if $Y \in \mathcal{S}$ and $Y \neq X$, then $\alpha X \times \alpha Y$ is fine, so by the same theorem in [10], $Y \in \mathcal{S}(\kappa)$ also. Therefore $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{S}(\kappa)$.

Now suppose that \mathcal{S} is map-invariant, and suppose that there exists a nonpseudocompact space $X \in \mathcal{S}$. By the first part, either X is discrete or there exists $\kappa > \aleph_0$ such that $X \in \mathcal{S}(\kappa)$. In either case, X admits \aleph_0 . Also, X is infinite, so the countable discrete space N is a continuous image of X . Since \mathcal{S} is map-invariant, N and all other countable spaces belong to \mathcal{S} . However, if N^* is the one-point compactification of N , then the projection $\pi: N \times N^* \rightarrow N^*$ is not z -closed, and this contradicts Theorem 1 (ii). Therefore $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{S}(\aleph_0)$.

The only possibilities for a subcategory \mathcal{C} satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem 2 are the subcategories of either the pseudo-compactly-generated spaces or the \aleph_0 -discrete spaces.

We now consider sufficient conditions for cartesian-closedness.

THEOREM 3. Let $c: \text{Tych} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be a coreflection and let \mathcal{S} be a generating family for \mathcal{C} . If the projections $\pi: S \otimes T \rightarrow S$ are z -

closed for all $S, T \in \mathcal{S}$, then \mathcal{C} is cartesian-closed and its exponentials are defined by $Y^X = c\tau_{\mathcal{S}}C(X, Y)$ for $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$.

Proof. By Corollary 1 it suffices to show that for each $S \in \mathcal{S}$ the functor $S \otimes _$ has a right adjoint $Y \mapsto Y^S$ defined by $Y^S = c\tau C(S, Y)$. By Lemma 2, it is enough to show that for $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$ and $S \in \mathcal{S}$, if $f: X \otimes S \rightarrow Y$ is continuous, then the associated map $\bar{f}: X \rightarrow Y^S$ is also continuous.

First, suppose $X \in \mathcal{S}$. Let $x_0 \in X$ and let U be a basic neighborhood of $\bar{f}(x_0)$ in $\tau C(S, Y)$. Then U is associated with a continuous pseudometric d on Y , so that

$$U = \{g \in Y^S: d(g(s), \bar{f}(x_0)(s)) < 1 \ \forall s \in S\}.$$

Now $\bar{f}(x_0)(s) = f(x_0, s)$, so

$$\bar{f}^{-1}(U) = \{x \in X: d(f(x, s), f(x_0, s)) < 1 \ \forall s \in S\}.$$

Then $X - \bar{f}^{-1}(U) = \{x \in X: \exists s \in S \ni d(f(x, s), f(x_0, s)) \geq 1\}$.

We will show that $X - \bar{f}^{-1}(U)$ is closed, using the following composition of maps:

$$X \otimes S \xrightarrow{G_f} S \times Y \xrightarrow{j} Y \otimes Y \xrightarrow{d} R.$$

Here G_f , the graph of f , is the map $(x, s) \mapsto (s, f(x, s))$; the map j is the product of $\bar{f}(x_0)$ with the identity map on Y . Let F be the above composition. Since all maps involved are continuous, so is F . Also, $X - \bar{f}^{-1}(U) = \pi_X(F^{-1}([1, \infty)))$. Now $F^{-1}([1, \infty))$ is a zero set in X , so its projection onto X is closed if $X \in \mathcal{S}$, by assumption. So, $\bar{f}^{-1}(U)$ is open, so $\bar{f}: X \rightarrow \tau C(S, Y)$ is continuous. Then if $Y^S = c\tau C(S, Y)$, $\bar{f}: X \rightarrow Y^S$ is continuous.

In general, for $X \in \mathcal{C}$, there exists a subfamily $\{T_a\}$ of \mathcal{S} and a quotient map $q: \Sigma T_a \rightarrow X$. Let H be the composition:

$$\Sigma(T_a \otimes S) \xrightarrow{j} (\Sigma T_a) \otimes S \xrightarrow{q \times i} X \otimes S \xrightarrow{f} Y,$$

where j is the natural bijection and i is the identity map on S . Then H is continuous. Let H_a be the restriction of H to $T_a \otimes S$. Then $H_a: T_a \otimes S \rightarrow Y$ is continuous, so by the first part, the associated map $\bar{H}_a: T_a \rightarrow Y^S$ is continuous. Taking sums, the map $\Sigma \bar{H}_a: \Sigma T_a \rightarrow Y^S$ is continuous, and it is not hard to verify that $\Sigma \bar{H}_a = \bar{f} \circ q$. Therefore \bar{f} is continuous since q is a quotient map.

We now summarize these results.

THEOREM 4. *Let \mathcal{S} be a family of Tychonoff spaces. The following are equivalent:*

(a) $co(\mathcal{S})$ is cartesian-closed and $Y^S = \tau C(S, Y)$ for $S \in \mathcal{S}$, $Y \in co(\mathcal{S})$.

(b) $co(\mathcal{S})$ is cartesian-closed and $Y^X = \tau_{\mathcal{S}} C(X, Y)$ for $X, Y \in co(\mathcal{S})$.

(c) The projections $\pi: S \otimes T \rightarrow S$ are z -closed for $S, T \in \mathcal{S}$.

(d) Either $co(\mathcal{S}) = \mathcal{D}$ or there exists $\kappa \geq \aleph_0$ such that all finite products of spaces in \mathcal{S} belong to $\mathcal{S}(\kappa) \cap co(\mathcal{S})$.

Furthermore, if \mathcal{S} is map-invariant, then conditions (a)-(d) are equivalent to:

(e) All finite products of members of \mathcal{S} belong to $\mathcal{S}(\aleph_0) \cap co(\mathcal{S})$.

Proof. We have already seen that conditions (a), (b) and (c) are equivalent.

Suppose \mathcal{S} satisfies (d) or (e). If $co(\mathcal{S}) = \mathcal{D}$, then clearly \mathcal{D} is cartesian-closed, so (a) holds. Otherwise, $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{S}(\kappa)$ for some $\kappa \geq \aleph_0$, and if $X, Y \in \mathcal{S}$, then $X \times Y \in \mathcal{S}(\kappa)$. This implies that the uniform product $\alpha X \times \alpha Y$ is topologically fine, by a result in Chapter 7 of [10]. Then, by a result in [7] and [15], the projections from $X \times Y$ onto X and Y are z -closed. Since $X \times Y = X \otimes Y$ for $X, Y \in \mathcal{S}$, condition (c) follows.

Now suppose that \mathcal{S} satisfies (a). Let \mathcal{S}_{τ} be the subfamily of $co(\mathcal{S})$ given in Definition 2. Then $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{S}_{\tau}$, so $co(\mathcal{S}) = co(\mathcal{S}_{\tau})$. By Theorem 2, either $co(\mathcal{S}) = \mathcal{D}$ or there exists $\kappa \geq \aleph_0$ such that $\mathcal{S}_{\tau} \subseteq \mathcal{S}(\kappa)$. Suppose $co(\mathcal{S}) \neq \mathcal{D}$. By Theorem 1 \mathcal{S}_{τ} is finitely productive, so if $X, Y \in \mathcal{S}$ then $X \times Y \in \mathcal{S}_{\tau} \subseteq co(\mathcal{S}) \cap \mathcal{S}(\kappa)$. Hence (d) holds.

If \mathcal{S} is map-invariant, then $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{S}(\aleph_0)$ by Theorem 2, and also $\mathcal{S}_{\tau} \subseteq \mathcal{S}(\lambda)$ for some $\lambda \geq \aleph_0$. If $co(\mathcal{S}) \neq \mathcal{D}$, then \mathcal{S} contains an infinite pseudocompact space, and any such space is not κ -discrete for any infinite cardinal κ , so it cannot belong to $\mathcal{S}(\kappa)$ for any $\kappa > \aleph_0$. Hence $\lambda = \aleph_0$, so $\mathcal{S}_{\tau} \subseteq \mathcal{S}(\aleph_0)$. The rest of condition (e) follows from the finite productivity of \mathcal{S}_{τ} .

This result may be stated as follows: $co(\mathcal{S}) \neq \mathcal{D}$ is cartesian-closed with exponentials obtained from $\tau_{\mathcal{S}}$ if and only if there exists $\kappa \geq \aleph_0$ and a finitely productive family $\hat{\mathcal{S}} \subseteq \mathcal{S}(\kappa)$ such that $\hat{\mathcal{S}} \subseteq \mathcal{S} \subseteq co(\mathcal{S})$.

EXAMPLES. (1) Let \mathcal{F} be the collection of all pseudocompact spaces which have pseudocompact product with any other pseudocompact space. (This class is characterized by Frolík in [4].) It is easy to see that \mathcal{F} is finitely productive; in fact, \mathcal{F} is productive by a result of Noble in [14]. In any event, $co(\mathcal{F})$ is cartesian-closed.

(2) If $co(\mathcal{S})$ is cartesian-closed and $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{S}(\aleph_0)$, it does not follow that $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{T}$. In [5] a space X is constructed so that its finite, but not infinite, powers are pseudocompact. If \mathcal{S} is the set of all finite powers of X , then $co(\mathcal{S})$ is cartesian-closed. Because \mathcal{T} is productive, $\mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{T} = \emptyset$. (This space X is similar to spaces constructed in [2]; all are subspaces of βN .)

(3) Let κ be an uncountable regular cardinal. Let $\mathcal{K}(\kappa)$ be the collection of all spaces which are κ -compact (every open cover has a subcover of power less than κ) and μ -discrete for all $\mu < \kappa$. Then $\mathcal{K}(\kappa) \subseteq \mathcal{S}(\kappa)$, and $\mathcal{K}(\kappa)$ is finitely productive, so its coreflective hull is cartesian-closed.

COROLLARY 2. *Let $c: Tych \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be a cartesian-closed coreflection. If $Y^X = c\tau C(X, Y)$ for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$, then $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{D}$.*

Proof. If $\mathcal{C} \neq \mathcal{D}$, then by Theorem 4 there exists $\kappa \geq \aleph_0$ such that $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{S}(\kappa)$. This is impossible since \mathcal{C} contains all discrete spaces, but no discrete space of power κ or greater belongs to $\mathcal{S}(\kappa)$.

We conclude with some problems which appear to be unsettled:

(1) Is $co(\mathcal{S}(\kappa))$ cartesian-closed for any $\kappa \geq \aleph_0$? For $\kappa = \aleph_0$, the class $\mathcal{S}(\aleph_0)$ is not finitely productive: there exists a pseudocompact space X such that $X \times X$ is not pseudocompact. (This example is due to Novák in [16], and it also appears in Chapter 9 of [6].) Therefore, if $co(\mathcal{S}(\aleph_0))$ is cartesian-closed, then there exists a space Y in $co(\mathcal{S}(\aleph_0))$ such that $Y^X \neq c\tau C(X, Y)$.

(2) If $\mathcal{C} \neq \mathcal{D}$ and \mathcal{C} is coreflective and cartesian-closed, is $\mathcal{C} \subseteq co(\mathcal{S}(\kappa))$ for some $\kappa \geq \aleph_0$? This question can probably be answered in the negative by a counterexample.

REFERENCES

1. R. Arens, *A topology for spaces of transformations*, Annals of Math., **47** (1946), 480-495.
2. W. W. Comfort, *A nonpseudocompact product space whose finite subproducts are pseudocompact*, Math. Annalen, **170** (1967), 41-44.
3. S. P. Franklin, *Spaces in which sequences suffice*, Fund. Math., **57** (1965), 107-115.
4. Z. Frolík, *The topological product of two pseudocompact spaces*, Czech. Math. J., **10** (85), (1960), 339-348.
5. ———, *On two problems of W. W. Comfort*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae, **8** (1967), 139-144.
6. L. Gillman and M. Gerison, *Rings of Continuous functions*, Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York, 1960.
7. A. W. Hager, *Projections of zero sets*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **140** (1969), 87-94.
8. H. Herrlich, *Cartesian-closed topological categories*, Math. Colloq. Univ. Cape Town, **9** (1974), 1-16.
9. H. Herrlich and L. D. Nel, *Cartesian closed topological hulls*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **62** (1977), 215-222.

10. J. Isbell, *Uniform Spaces*, American Math. Soc., Providence, 1964.
11. J. L. Kelley, *General Topology*, Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York, 1955.
12. J. F. Kennison, *Reflective functors in general topology and elsewhere*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **118** (1965), 303-315.
13. S. MacLane, *Categories for the Working Mathematician*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1971.
14. N. Noble, *Countably compact and pseudocompact products*, Czech. Math. J., **19** (94), (1969), 390-397.
15. ———, *Products with closed projections*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **140** (1969), 381-391.
16. J. Novák, *On the cartesian product of two compact spaces*, Fund. Math., **40** (1953), 106-112.
17. N. E. Steenrod, *A convenient category of topological spaces*, Michigan Math. J., **14** (1967), 133-152.
18. O. Wyler, *Convenient categories for topology*, General Topology and its Applications, **3** (1973), 225-242.

Received September 19, 1977 and in revised form June 6, 1978.

CLARK UNIVERSITY
WORCESTER, MA 01610

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

DONALD BABBITT (Managing Editor)

University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90024

HUGO ROSSI

University of Utah
Salt Lake City, UT 84112

C. C. MOORE

University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, CA 90007

R. FINN and J. MILGRAM

Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Please propose a heading for the odd numbered pages of less than 35 characters. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. 39. Supply name and address of author to whom proofs should be sent. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints to each author are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$72.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$36.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924, U.S.A. Older back numbers obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.).

8-8, 3-chome, Takadanobaba, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

Copyright © 1979 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics
Manufactured and first issued in Japan

Ersan Akyildiz, <i>Vector fields and equivariant bundles</i>	283
Ehrhard Behrends, <i>The centralizer of tensor products of Banach spaces (a function space representation)</i>	291
Geoffrey R. Burton, <i>Congruent sections of a convex body</i>	303
John Warnock Carlson, <i>H-closed and countably compact extensions</i>	317
Robert Charles Carlson, <i>Eigenfunction expansions for selfadjoint integro-differential operators</i>	327
Robert Damiano, <i>Coflat rings and modules</i>	349
Eric Karel van Douwen and Washek (Vaclav) Frantisek Pfeffer, <i>Some properties of the Sorgenfrey line and related spaces</i>	371
Uri Elias, <i>Necessary conditions and sufficient conditions for difocality and disconjugacy of a differential equation</i>	379
V. L. (Vagn Lundsgaard) Hansen, <i>Polynomial covering spaces and homomorphisms into the braid groups</i>	399
Paul Hess, <i>Dedekind's problem: monotone Boolean functions on the lattice of divisors of an integer</i>	411
Alan Hopenwasser and David Royal Larson, <i>The carrier space of a reflexive operator algebra</i>	417
Kyung Bai Lee, <i>Spaces in which compacta are uniformly regular G_δ</i>	435
Claude Levesque, <i>A class of fundamental units and some classes of Jacobi-Perron algorithms in pure cubic fields</i>	447
Teck Cheong Lim, <i>A constructive proof of the infinite version of the Belluce-Kirk theorem</i>	467
Dorothy Maharam and A. H. Stone, <i>Borel boxes</i>	471
Roger McCann, <i>Asymptotically stable dynamical systems are linear</i>	475
Peter A. McCoy, <i>Approximation and harmonic continuation of axially symmetric potentials in E^3</i>	481
Takahiko Nakazi, <i>Extended weak-*Dirichlet algebras</i>	493
Carl L. Prather, <i>On the zeros of derivatives of balanced trigonometric polynomials</i>	515
Iain Raeburn, <i>An implicit function theorem in Banach spaces</i>	525
Louis Jackson Ratliff, Jr., <i>Two theorems on the prime divisors of zeros in completions of local domains</i>	537
Gloria Jean Tashjian, <i>Cartesian-closed coreflective subcategories of Tychonoff spaces</i>	547
Stephen Edwin Wilson, <i>Operators over regular maps</i>	559