Pacific Journal of Mathematics

UNICOHERENT PLANE PEANO SETS ARE σ-UNICOHERENT

WILFRIDO MARTÍNEZ T. AND ADALBERTO GARCIA-MAYNEZ CERVANTES

Vol. 82, No. 2 February 1979

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS
Vol. 82, No. 2, 1979

UNICOHERENT PLANE PEANO SETS ARE σ-UNICOHERENT

WILFRIDO MARTÍNEZ T. AND A. GARCÍA-MÁYNEZ

A *Peano space* is a connected, locally connected and locally compact metric space. A *region* in a space X is an open and connected subset of X. A space X is *σ-connected* if every sequence $A_1, A_2, \cdots$ of closed, mutually disjoint subsets of X, with at least two of them nonempty, fails to cover X. A connected space X is *unicoherent* (resp., *σ-unicoherent*) if for every pair H, K of closed and connected (resp., and σ-connected) sets with union X, the intersection $H \cap K$ is connected (resp., σ-connected).

THEOREM. Let X be a plane Peano space. Then the following properties are equivalent:

(a) X is unicoherent;

(b) There exists a cover of X formed by unicoherent regions $U_1 \subset U_2 \subset \cdots$ with compact closures;

(c) X is σ-unicoherent, and

(d) If $M_1, M_2, \cdots$ is a sequence of closed, mutually disjoint subsets of X such that $X - M_i$ is connected for every i, then $X - (M_1 \cup M_2 \cup \cdots)$ is connected.

1. **Introduction.** It is a well known fact that every unicoherent Peano continuum X satisfies the following property, which we shall call *property* A:

If $M_1, M_2, \cdots$ is a sequence of closed, mutually disjoint subsets of X such that $X - M_i$ is connected for every i, then $X - \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} M_i$ is connected.

It has been proved that certain unicoherent, noncompact Peano spaces also satisfy this property. In 1923, Miss A. Mullikin ([7]) proved that the plane has property A. (In 1924, S. Mazurkiewicz ([6]) simplified considerably Miss Mullikin's proof). In 1952, van Est ([10]) proved all Euclidean spaces also have property A. Recently, in 1971, J. H. V. Hunt ([4]) gave an example of a unicoherent, noncompact Peano space (contained in R^3) which does not have this property, and proposed the problem of finding a class of Peano spaces with property A and containing all Euclidean spaces. Finally, in 1973, E. D. Tymchatyn and Hunt himself ([9])[1] discovered such a class, described by the following theorem:

[1] The authors are indebted to Professor Hunt for his many helpful comments.

Every Peano space with the following property (which we shall call *B*):

There exists a cover of the space formed by unicoherent regions $U_1 \subset \bar{U}_1 \subset U_2 \subset \bar{U}_2 \subset U_3 \subset \cdots$ *with compact closures*[2],
has also property A.

Analyzing Hunt's example quoted above one wonders if there exists a plane Peano space which is unicoherent but does not have property A. In 3.2 below, we give a negative answer to this question, because for plane Peano spaces, unicoherence, property A, property B and σ-unicoherence[3] are all proved to be equivalent. In the proof we use the theorem of Tymchatyn-Hunt quoted before.

2. Definitions and preliminary results. A *Peano space* is a connected, locally connected and locally compact metric space. A *continuum* is a compact and connected space. The space X is a *semicontinuum* if for every pair of points $a, b \in X$ there exists a continuum in X containing a, b. A *region in a space* X is a connected and open subspace of X. A connected space X is *unicoherent* if for every pair H, K of closed connected sets with union X, the intersection $H \cap K$ is connected. A space X is *σ-connected* if every sequence $A_1, A_2, \cdots$ of closed, mutually disjoint subsets of X at least two of which are nonempty, fails to cover X. A space X is *locally σ-connected* if for every $x \in X$ and every neighborhood V of x, there exists a σ-connected neighborhood of x contained in V. A connected space X is *σ-unicoherent* if for each pair H, K of closed σ-connected sets with union X, the intersection $H \cap K$ is σ-connected.

We shall state without proof some results needed in the proof of the main Theorem 3.2. The first of them is obvious. For the others, we give a reference.

2.1. *Let A, X be subsets of R^2 such that $A \subset X$. If $R^2 - X$ has no bounded components, then every bounded component of $R^2 - A$ is contained in X.*

2.2. *Let U be a proper open set in a Hausdorff continuum X and let T be a component of U. Then* $\operatorname{Fr} T \cap \operatorname{Fr} U \neq \Phi$. (See, for instance, [2], 2.48.)

2.3. *Let $X \subset S^2$ be unicoherent and locally connected. Then $S^2 - X$ is a semicontinuum.* (See [1], page 75, Th. 1.)

[2] Since the regions U_n form a cover and each $\bar{U}_n$ is compact, it is clearly equivalent to assume that $U_1 \subset U_2 \subset \cdots$.

[3] This last concept was introduced by A. Garcia-Máynez in [3].

2.4. *Let X be connected, locally σ-connected and completely normal. If X is σ-unicoherent, then X satisfies property* A. (This can be obtained easily from Theorem 3.2 in [3]).

2.5. *Let X be connected and locally connected. If X satisfies property* A, *then X is unicoherent.*

Proof. By Theorem 3 in [8], it is enough to prove that if R, S are regions in X with union X, then $R \cap S$ is connected. But then $A = X - R$ and $B = X - S$ are disjoint, closed and nonseparating subsets of X. Since X has property A, the set $X - (A \cup B) = R \cap S$ is connected.

3. **Main theorem.** Before proving the main theorem, we shall prove a result which we have not found in the literature.

3.1. *Let $X \subset R^2$ be connected and locally connected.*

(a) *If X is unicoherent, then $R^2 - X$ has no bounded components.*

(b) *If X is a G_δ and $R^2 - X$ has no bounded components, then X is unicoherent.*

Proof. (a) Identify S^2 with $R^2 \cup \{\infty\}$. According to 2.3, $S^2 - X$ is a semicontinuum. Proceeding by contradiction, assume $R^2 - X$ has a bounded component H. Select a point $q \in H$. Let L be a continuum in $S^2 - X$ containing ∞, q. Let T be the component of $L - \{\infty\}$ containing q. Since $T \subset R^2 - X$ and $T \cap H \neq \Phi$, we must have $T \subset H$. Therefore, T is bounded, that is, $\infty \notin T^-$. But according to 2.2, every component of $L - \{\infty\}$ contains ∞ in its closure, a contradiction.

(b) We proceed again by contradiction assuming X is not unicoherent. There exists then an essential mapping $f: X \to S^1$. According to (3), page 84 in [1], there exists a simple closed curve $J \subset X$ such that $f|J: J \to S^1$ is essential. Let D be the bounded component of $R^2 - J$. Necessarily, $D - X \neq \Phi$, because $D \subset X$ would imply that $f|J \cup D$ is nonessential (because $J \cup D$ is a disk and hence is contractible) and, therefore, $f|J$ would be also nonessential. There exists, therefore, a component H of $R^2 - X$ intersecting D. Then, $H \subset D$ and H is bounded, a contradiction.

The Example 3 described in [5] is a connected and locally connected subset X of R^2 which is an infinite countable union of mutually disjoint closed segments $X_1, X_2, \cdots$, all lying in a square. A direct analysis shows X is not unicoherent. According to Miss Mullikin's theorem quoted in the introduction, $R^2 - X$ is connected (because each $R^2 - X_i$ is connected). This proves, incidentally, that we cannot eliminate the hypothesis "X is a G_δ" in part (b) of Theorem 3.1.

We are now in a position to prove the main result of this paper.

3.2. *Let X be a Peano subspace of R^2. Then the following propositions are equivalent*:

(a) *X is unicoherent*;

(b) *X has property* B;

(c) *X is σ-unicoherent, and*

(d) *X has property* A.

(We shall give a cyclic proof (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b) $\Rightarrow$ (c) $\Rightarrow$ (d) $\Rightarrow$ (a)).

Proof (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b). For this we shall need the following lemma.

LEMMA. *Let $X \subset R^2$ be Peano and unicoherent; let V be an X-region*[4] *with compact X-closure and let $\{L_j\}_{j \in M}$ be the family of bounded components of $R^2 - V$. Then $U = V \cup (\bigcup_{j \in M} L_j)$ is a unicoherent X-region with compact X-closure.*

Proof. By 3.1, $R^2 - X$ has no bounded components and then, by 2.1, each $L_j \subset X$. Hence, $U \subset X$. Each L_j is also a component of $X - V$ and since X is connected and locally connected, $U = V \cup (\bigcup_{j \in M} L_j)$ is connected (because no L_j can be separated from V).

$R^2 - U$ is the only nonbounded component of $R^2 - V$ (because V is bounded). Therefore, $R^2 - U$ is closed in $R^2 - V$, that is, there exists a closed set K in R^2 such that $R^2 - U = K \cap (R^2 - V)$. Also, $X - U = X \cap (R^2 - U) = X \cap K \cap (R^2 - V) = K \cap (X - V)$. That is, $X - U$ is X-closed and U is X-open.

Since the X-closure of V is compact, it coincides with V^- (the closure of V in R^2) and $V^- \subset X$. Further, by 1.47.2 in [2],

$$\operatorname{Fr}\left(\bigcup_{j \in M} L_j\right) \subset \left(\bigcup_{j \in M} \operatorname{Fr} L_j\right)^- \subset V^- .$$

Therefore,

$$U^- = V^- \cup \left(\bigcup_{j \in M} L_j\right)^- = V^- \cup \left(\bigcup_{j \in M} L_j\right) \subset \operatorname{Fr}\left(\bigcup_{j \in M} L_j\right)$$
$$= V^- \cup \left(\bigcup_{j \in M} L_j\right) \subset X .$$

Hence, the X-closure of U coincides with U^- and, being bounded, it is compact.

Finally, 3.1 implies that U is unicoherent, because U is a G_δ in R^2 (since U is U^--open and U^- is a G_δ in R^2) and $R^2 - U$ has no bounded components (in fact, $R^2 - U$ is connected and unbounded).

[4] We shall use the prefix "X-" to indicate that the corresponding concept refers to the relative topology of X.

We come back now to the proof of (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b).

Let $\{V_\beta\}_{\beta \in L}$ be an X-cover of X with X-regions with compact X-closure. Since R^2 is hereditarily Lindelöf, there exists a countable subfamily $\{V_1, V_2, \cdots\}$ of $\{V_\beta\}_{\beta \in L}$ covering X. Let $\{H_a\}_{a \in K}$ be the family of bounded components of $R^2 - V_1$. By previous lemma, $U_1 = V_1 \cup (\bigcup_{a \in K} H_a)$ is a unicoherent X-region with compact X-closure. Let $\{V_{i_1}, V_{i_2}, \cdots, V_{i_m}\}$ be a subfamily of $\{V_1, V_2, \cdots\}$ such that $V_2' = \bigcup_{j=1}^{m} V_{i_j}$ is an X-region (with compact X-closure) containing V_2 and U_1^-. Applying the lemma again, we can find a unicoherent X-region U_2 with compact X-closure and such that $U_2 \supset V_2'$. Proceeding inductively, we can get an X-cover $\{U_1, U_2, \cdots\}$ formed by unicoherent X-regions with compact X-closures and such that the X-closure of U_i is contained in U_{i+1} for each $i = 1, 2, \cdots$. This proves X satisfies property B.

(b) $\Rightarrow$ (c) This follows from the Tymchatyn-Hunt theorem in [9].

(c) $\Rightarrow$ (d) This is a corollary of 2.4.

(d) $\Rightarrow$ (a) This follows from 2.5.

References

1. S. Eilenberg, *Transformations continues en circonférence et la topologie du plan*, Fund. Math., **26** (1936), 61-112.

2. A. García-Máynez, *Introducción a la topología de conjuntos*, México, Trillas, 1971.

3. ———, *On σ-unicoherence* (to appear in the Bol. Soc. Mat. Max.).

4. J. H. V. Hunt, *A counter-example on unicoherent Peano spaces*, Coll. Math., **23** (1971), 263-266.

5. B. Knaster, A. Lelek and J. Mycielski, *Sur les décompositions d'ensembles connexes*, Coll. Math., **6** (1958), 227-246.

6. S. Mazurkiewicz, *Remarque sur un Théorème de M. Mullikin*, Fund. Math., **6** (1924), 37-38.

7. A. M. Mullikin, *Certain theorems relating to plane connected point sets*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **24** (1923), 144-162.

8. A. H. Stone, *Incidence relations in unicoherent spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **65** (1949), 427-447.

9. E. D. Tymchatyn and J. H. V. Hunt, *The theorem of Miss Mullikin-Mazurkiewicz-van Est for unicoherent Peano spaces*, Fund. Math., **77** (1973), 285-287.

10. W. T. van Est, *A generalization of a theorem of Miss Anna Mullikin*, Fund. Math., **39** (1952), 179-188.

Received September 15, 1977 and in revised form December 15, 1977.

Instituto de Matematicas, UNAM
Circuito Exterior, C. U.
Mexico 20, D. F.

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

DONALD BABBITT (Managing Editor)
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90024

HUGO ROSSI
University of Utah
Salt Lake City, UT 84112

C. C. MOORE and ANDREW OGG
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

J. DUGUNDJI
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, CA 90007

R. FINN and J. MILGRAM
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH B. H. NEUMANN F. WOLF K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Please propose a heading for the odd numbered pages of less than 35 characters. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. **39**. Supply name and address of author to whom proofs should be sent. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints to each author are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: $84.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: $42.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924, U.S.A. Older back numbers obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION
Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.).
8-8, 3-chome, Takadanobaba, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

Copyright © 1979 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics
Manufactured and first issued in Japan

Pacific Journal of Mathematics
Vol. 82, No. 2 February, 1979

Krishnaswami Alladi and Paul Erdős, *On the asymptotic behavior of large prime factors of integers* 295
Alfred David Andrew, *A remark on generalized Haar systems in L_p, $1 < p < \infty$* 317
John M. Baker, *A note on compact operators which attain their norm* 319
Jonathan Borwein, *Weak local supportability and applications to approximation* 323
Tae Ho Choe and Young Soo Park, *Wallman's type order compactification* 339
Susanne Dierolf and Ulrich Schwanengel, *Examples of locally compact noncompact minimal topological groups* 349
Michael Freedman, *A converse to (Milnor-Kervaire theorem) $\times R$ etc...* 357
George Golightly, *Graph-dense linear transformations* 371
H. Groemer, *Space coverings by translates of convex sets* 379
Rolf Wim Henrichs, *Weak Frobenius reciprocity and compactness conditions in topological groups* 387
Horst Herrlich and George Edison Strecker, *Semi-universal maps and universal initial completions* 407
Sigmund Nyrop Hudson, *On the topology and geometry of arcwise connected, finite-dimensional groups* 429
K. John and Václav E. Zizler, *On extension of rotund norms. II* 451
Russell Allan Johnson, *Existence of a strong lifting commuting with a compact group of transformations. II* 457
Bjarni Jónsson and Ivan Rival, *Lattice varieties covering the smallest nonmodular variety* 463
Grigori Abramovich Kolesnik, *On the order of Dirichlet L-functions* 479
Robert Allen Liebler and Jay Edward Yellen, *In search of nonsolvable groups of central type* 485
Wilfrido Martínez T. and Adalberto Garcia-Maynez Cervantes, *Unicoherent plane Peano sets are σ-unicoherent* 493
M. A. McKiernan, *General Pexider equations. I. Existence of injective solutions* 499
M. A. McKiernan, *General Pexider equations. II. An application of the theory of webs* 503
Jan K. Pachl, *Measures as functionals on uniformly continuous functions* 515
Lee Albert Rubel, *Convolution cut-down in some radical convolution algebras* 523
Peter John Slater and William Yslas Vélez, *Permutations of the positive integers with restrictions on the sequence of differences. II* 527
Raymond Earl Smithson, *A common fixed point theorem for nested spaces* 533
Indulata Sukla, *Generalization of a theorem of McFadden* 539
Jun-ichi Tanaka, *A certain class of total variation measures of analytic measures* 547
Kalathoor Varadarajan, *Modules with supplements* 559
Robert Francis Wheeler, *Topological measure theory for completely regular spaces and their projective covers* 565