

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

LEVEL SETS OF DERIVATIVES

R. P. BOAS AND GERALD THOMAS CARGO

LEVEL SETS OF DERIVATIVES

R.P. BOAS, JR., AND G.T. CARGO

We consider real-valued functions defined on intervals on the real line R , and we denote the extended real line by \bar{R} .

The theme of this paper is the idea that, when a function has a derivative that is equal to some $A \in \bar{R}$ on a dense set, the derivative can take other (finite) values only on a rather thin set. Our most general result shows that, in particular, the hypothesis "the derivative is equal to A on a dense set" can be replaced by "at each point of a dense set, at least one Dini derivate equals A ." As corollaries we obtain unified and rather simple proofs of some more special known results, which we now state.

A function can be discontinuous at each point of a dense set and yet be continuous at each point of a co-meager (residual) subset of its domain. However, the following theorem of Fort [4] shows that such a function cannot be differentiable at each point of a nonmeager set.

THEOREM F. *If $f: I \rightarrow R$ where I is an open interval and if f is discontinuous at each point of a dense subset of I , then the set of points where f has a (finite) derivative is meager in I .*

(For a different proof, see [1], p. 131; two rediscoveries are in [3] and [10].)

Recently, Cargo [2] used harmonic analysis to prove

THEOREM C. *If f is a real-valued function of finite variation defined on a compact interval I , and if, for some $A \in R$, $f'(x) = A$ on a dense subset of I , then the set of those points at which f has a (finite) derivative different from A is meager in I .*

In 1903 W. H. Young [11] proved

THEOREM Y. *If $f: I \rightarrow R$ where I is an open interval, then the set of all points at which at least one of the Dini derivatives of f is infinite is a G_δ subset of I .*

In this paper we use real-variable methods to establish a result (Theorem 2) that includes Theorems F and C (without the hypothesis

of finite variation) as corollaries. We also give a short, elementary proof of Theorem Y, observe that Theorem F is an easy consequence of Theorem Y, and then prove a theorem (Theorem 3) that has Theorems 2, Y, F, and C as corollaries.

2. The main theorems.

THEOREM 1. *Let $f: I \rightarrow R$ where I is an interval, and let $A \in \bar{R}$. If $f'(x) = A$ on a dense subset of I , then the set of those points at which f has a (finite) derivative different from A is meager in I .*

Note that Theorem C is an immediate consequence of Theorem 1. Since each interval is a Baire space with respect to the inherited metric, we have

COROLLARY 1. *If $f: I \rightarrow R$ has a (finite) derivative at each point of the interval I , if $A \in R$, and if $f'(x) = A$ on a dense subset of I , then the set of points at which $f'(x) = A$ is nonmeager and co-meager in I ; and, hence, each subinterval of I contains uncountably many points at which $f'(x) = A$.*

Theorem 1 is a special case of, but easier to prove than, the following result.

THEOREM 2. *Let $f: I \rightarrow R$ where I is an interval, and let $A \in \bar{R}$. If at each point of a dense subset of I at least one of the Dini derivatives of f has the value A , then the set of those points at which f has a (finite) derivative different from A is meager in I .*

Clearly, Theorem C is a corollary of Theorem 2.

To prove that Theorem F is a corollary of Theorem 2, suppose that a function f is discontinuous at each point of a dense subset of an open interval I . Let F denote the set of points in I at which f has a (finite) derivative. We want to prove that F is meager in I . Let $D_{+\infty}(D_{-\infty})$ denote the set of points in I at which at least one Dini derivate of f is equal to $+\infty(-\infty)$. Then $D_{+\infty} \cup D_{-\infty}$ is dense in I , since f is clearly continuous at any point at which all Dini derivatives are finite. Hence, each open subinterval of I contains an open interval in which either $D_{+\infty}$ or $D_{-\infty}$ is dense. Call an open subinterval of I distinguished if either $D_{+\infty}$ or $D_{-\infty}$ is dense in the subinterval, and let G denote the union of all distinguished intervals. Our previous observation shows that $I \setminus G$ is nowhere dense in I . Clearly, G is separable since R is separable. According to Lindelöf's

covering theorem, $G = \bigcup_n G_n$ where $\{G_1, G_2, \dots\}$ is a countable set of (not necessarily disjoint) distinguished intervals. According to Theorem 2, each $F \cap G_n$ is meager in G_n and, hence, in I . Finally, $F = \{F \cap (I \setminus G)\} \cup \bigcup_n (F \cap G_n)$ is meager in I , as desired.

Proofs of Theorems 1 and 2. In each theorem, it is enough to consider the set S where $f'(x) < A$, since the set where $f'(x) > A$ is the set where $(-f)'(x) < -A$. If A is finite, S is contained in $\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty \bigcup_{m=1}^\infty E_{n,m}$ where $E_{n,m}$ consists of all points x in I such that $y \in I$ and $0 < |y - x| < 1/n$ imply that $(f(y) - f(x))/(y - x) < A - 1/m$; if $A = +\infty$, replace $A - 1/m$ by m . To show that S is meager in I , we have only to show that each $E_{n,m}$ is nowhere dense.

Suppose that some $E_{N,M}$ is dense in some open interval J . In Theorem 1, there is a dense set of points x at which $f'(x) = A$; let x_0 be such a point in J . Since $E_{N,M}$ is also dense in J , for each positive k , there exists $x_k \in E_{N,M} \setminus \{x_0\}$ such that $x_k \rightarrow x_0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, if k is so large that $|x_0 - x_k| < 1/N$, then $(f(x_k) - f(x_0))/(x_k - x_0) < A - 1/M$ (or $< M$ if $A = +\infty$). Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$, we get $f'(x_0) \leq A - 1/M$ (or $\leq M$), contradicting $f'(x_0) = A$. Therefore, each $E_{n,m}$ is nowhere dense.

In Theorem 2, at each point of a dense set least one of the Dini derivatives has the value A ; let x_0 be a point of the dense set that is also in J . Then there exists, for each positive integer k , a point $z_k \in J \setminus \{x_0\}$ such that, as $k \rightarrow \infty$, $z_k \rightarrow x_0$ and $(f(z_k) - f(x_0))/(z_k - x_0) \rightarrow A$. As for Theorem 1, for each positive integer k , there exists a point $x_k \in E_{N,M} \setminus \{x_0\}$ between x_0 and z_k . For all sufficiently large k , we have $0 < |x_0 - x_k| < 1/N$ and $0 < |z_k - x_k| < 1/N$. Hence, since $x_k \in E_{N,M}$, for all sufficiently large k , we have $(f(x_0) - f(x_k))/(x_0 - x_k) < A - 1/M$ (or M) and $(f(z_k) - f(x_k))/(z_k - x_k) < A - 1/M$ (or M). Clearly,

$$\frac{f(z_k) - f(x_0)}{z_k - x_0} = \frac{f(z_k) - f(x_k)}{z_k - x_k} \frac{z_k - x_k}{z_k - x_0} + \frac{f(x_k) - f(x_0)}{x_k - x_0} \frac{x_k - x_0}{z_k - x_0};$$

and the right-hand side of the last equation is a convex combination of the two difference quotients, each of which is less than $A - 1/M$ (or M) for all sufficiently large k . Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain $A \leq A - 1/M$ (or M), which is a contradiction; and, again, each $E_{n,m}$ is nowhere dense in I .

The original proof of Theorem Y is quite complicated (see [11] or [9], pp. 402-404). We now give a simple, elementary proof.

Proof of Theorem Y. For each positive integer n , let F_n denote the set of all $x \in I$ such that $|(f(y) - f(x))/(y - x)| \leq n$ whenever $y \in I$ and $0 < |y - x| < 1/n$. Also, let F denote the set of all points at which each Dini derivate of f is finite. Then it is geometrically

clear (and not difficult to prove analytically) that $F = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$. Once we prove that each F_n is closed in I we shall be done. Suppose that n is a positive integer and that x is a limit point of F_n in I . We want to prove that $x \in F_n$. Let y be a point of I such that $0 < |y - x| < 1/n$. We want to prove that

$$(1) \quad \left| \frac{f(y) - f(x)}{y - x} \right| \leq n.$$

Since x is a limit point of F_n , there exists a sequence z_1, z_2, z_3, \dots of points of $F_n \setminus \{x, y\}$ such that $z_k \rightarrow x$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Next, note that, for each positive integer k ,

$$(2) \quad \frac{f(y) - f(z_k)}{y - z_k} = \frac{f(y) - f(x)}{y - x} \frac{y - x}{y - z_k} + \frac{f(x) - f(z_k)}{x - z_k} \frac{x - z_k}{y - z_k}.$$

Since $z_k \rightarrow x$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ and $z_k \in F_n$ for each k , it follows that

$$\left| \frac{f(x) - f(z_k)}{x - z_k} \right| \leq n$$

for all sufficiently large k . From $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (x - z_k)/(y - z_k) = 0$, we conclude that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(x) - f(z_k)}{x - z_k} \frac{x - z_k}{y - z_k} = 0.$$

Finally, since $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (y - x)/(y - z_k) = 1$, we see from (2) that

$$(3) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(y) - f(z_k)}{y - z_k} = \frac{f(y) - f(x)}{y - x}.$$

Since $z_k \in F_n$ for each k and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |y - z_k| = |y - x| < 1/n$, it follows that

$$(4) \quad \left| \frac{f(y) - f(z_k)}{y - z_k} \right| \leq n \text{ for all sufficiently large } k.$$

From (3) we obtain

$$(5) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{f(y) - f(z_k)}{y - z_k} \right| = \left| \frac{f(y) - f(x)}{y - x} \right|.$$

We conclude from (4) and (5) that (1) holds, as desired.

Thus, $F = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$ is an F_σ subset of I , and $I \setminus F$ is a G_δ subset of I , that is, the set of all points at which at least one of the Dini derivatives of f is infinite is a G_δ subset of I . This completes the proof of Theorem Y.

Next, we shall prove that Theorem F is a simple consequence

of Theorem Y. As we noted above, the set of discontinuities of f is a subset of the set of all points at which at least one of the Dini derivates of f is infinite. Since the former set is dense in I , so is the latter. By Theorem Y, the latter set is a G_δ subset of I . Since a dense G_δ subset is co-meager (see [8], p. 135), it follows that the set of points at which all four Dini derivates are finite is meager in I . Finally, the set of points at which f has a (finite) derivative is meager in I because it is a subset of the latter set.

3. An extension. Next, we shall prove a theorem that has Theorem 2 as a direct corollary. If the domain of a real-valued function f contains an open interval containing a real number x , we define the set $D(f; x)$ of derivates of f at x to consist of all $A \in \bar{R}$ for which there exists a sequence x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots of real numbers distinct from x and converging to x such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (f(x_n) - f(x)) / (x_n - x) = A$ (see [7], pp. 115-116). The set $D^+(f; x)$ of right derivates of f at x and the set $D_-(f; x)$ of left derivates of f at x are defined in the obvious way. Clearly, $D(f; x) = D^+(f; x) \cup D_-(f; x)$. One can prove that $D(f; x)$ is a closed subset of \bar{R} and, if f is continuous in a neighborhood of x , that $D(f; x)$ is an interval. The usual Dini derivates are extreme unilateral derivates (see [7], p. 116). For example, the upper right (Dini) derivate of f at x is just the largest element of $D^+(f; x)$, that is

$$f^+(x) = \limsup_{u \rightarrow x^+} \frac{f(u) - f(x)}{u - x} = \max D^+(f; x).$$

Of course, f has a derivative at x in the extended sense if and only if $D(f; x)$ consists of just one point of \bar{R} .

THEOREM 3. *Let $f: I \rightarrow R$ where I is an open interval, and let $A \in \bar{R}$. Then the set of x such that $D(f; x)$ contains at least one element of $\{A, +\infty, -\infty\}$ is a G_δ subset of I .*

Proof. If $A = +\infty$ or $A = -\infty$, the desired conclusion follows from Theorem Y, which we just proved.

Suppose that $A \in R$. Let F denote the set of all points at which each derivate of f is finite; let D_A denote the set of all $x \in I$ such that $A \in D(f; x)$; and, for each positive integer n , let E_n denote the set of all $x \in I$ such that

$$\left| \frac{f(y) - f(x)}{y - x} - A \right| \geq \frac{1}{n}$$

whenever $y \in I$ and $0 < |y - x| < 1/n$.

First, let us prove that $I \setminus D_A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$. Suppose that $x \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$. Then $x \in E_n$ for some positive integer n . If $x_k \rightarrow x$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ where $x_k \in I \setminus \{x\}$ for each k , then $0 < |x_k - x| < 1/n$ for all sufficiently large k ; hence, since $x \in E_n$,

$$\left| \frac{f(x_k) - f(x)}{x_k - x} - A \right| \geq \frac{1}{n}$$

for all sufficiently large k . Thus, $(f(x_k) - f(x))/(x_k - x)$ cannot converge to A as $k \rightarrow \infty$, that is, $x \in I \setminus D_A$. Next, suppose that $x \in I \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$. Then, for each positive integer n , $x \in I \setminus E_n$; and, hence, there exists $y_n \in I$ such that $0 < |y_n - x| < 1/n$ and

$$\left| \frac{f(y_n) - f(x)}{y_n - x} - A \right| < \frac{1}{n}.$$

Then $y_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $y_n \in I \setminus \{x\}$ for each n , and $(f(y_n) - f(x))/(y_n - x) \rightarrow A$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$; consequently, $x \in D_A$, that is, $x \notin I \setminus D_A$, as desired.

Next, let us prove that, for each positive integer n , $F \cap E_n$ is closed in F . Let $x_0 \in F$ be a limit point of $F \cap E_n$. We want to prove that $x_0 \in E_n$. Given $y \in I$ such that $0 < |y - x_0| < 1/n$, it will suffice to prove that

$$(6) \quad \left| \frac{f(y) - f(x_0)}{y - x_0} - A \right| \geq \frac{1}{n}.$$

Since x_0 is a limit point of $F \cap E_n$, there exists a sequence x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots of points of $E_n \setminus \{x_0, y\}$ such that $x_k \rightarrow x_0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Now, clearly, f is continuous at x_0 since $x_0 \in F$. Hence,

$$(7) \quad f(x_k) \longrightarrow f(x_0) \quad \text{as } k \longrightarrow \infty.$$

Since $x_k \rightarrow x_0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, it follows that $0 < \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |y - x_k| = |y - x_0| < 1/n$. Thus, there exists a positive integer k_1 , such that $0 < |y - x_k| < 1/n$ if $k > k_1$. Since $x_k \in E_n$ for each k , it follows that

$$(8) \quad \left| \frac{f(y) - f(x_k)}{y - x_k} - A \right| \geq \frac{1}{n} \text{ whenever } k > k_1.$$

From (7) we obtain

$$(9) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{f(y) - f(x_k)}{y - x_k} - A \right| = \left| \frac{f(y) - f(x_0)}{y - x_0} - A \right|;$$

and (9) combined with (8) yields (6). Thus, each $F \cap E_n$ is closed in F .

Since $F \cap (I \setminus D_A) = F \cap \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (F \cap E_n)$, it follows that $F \cap (I \setminus D_A)$ is an F_σ subset of F . By Theorem Y, F is an F_σ subset

of I . Moreover, if U is an F_σ subset of V , and V is an F_σ subset of W , then U is an F_σ subset of W (see [8], p. 63). Hence, $F \cap (I \setminus D_A)$ is an F_σ subset of I . Finally, by De Morgan's law, $I \setminus \{F \cap (I \setminus D_A)\} = \{I \setminus F\} \cup D_A$ is a G_δ subset of I , that is, the set of x such that $D(f; x)$ contains at least one element of $\{A, +\infty, -\infty\}$ is a G_δ subset of I . This completes the proof of the theorem.

Next, let us prove a corollary of Theorem 3 that, in turn, has Theorem 2 as a direct corollary.

COROLLARY 2. *Let $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ where I is an interval, and let $A \in \bar{\mathbb{R}}$. If, at each point of a dense subset of I , A is a derivate of f , then the set of those points at which f has a (finite) derivative different from A is meager in I .*

Proof. Without loss of generality we may, and do, assume that I is open.

Since $D_A = \{x \in I: A \in D(f; x)\}$ is, by hypothesis, dense in I and $D_A \subset D_A \cup (I \setminus F)$ where F is the set of all points at which each Dini derivate of f is finite, it follows that $D_A \cup (I \setminus F)$ is dense in I . According to Theorem 3, $D_A \cup (I \setminus F)$ is a G_δ subset of I . Since $D_A \cup (I \setminus F)$ is a dense G_δ subset of I , it is co-meager in I , that is, $I \setminus \{D_A \cup (I \setminus F)\} = \{I \setminus D_A\} \cap F$ is meager in I . Since the subset of I where $f'(x)$ exists (finite) and $f'(x) \neq A$ is a subset of $\{I \setminus D_A\} \cap F$, it, too, must be meager in I .

4. **Conclusion.** We note that a trivial modification of the proof of Theorem 2 yields Corollary 2 directly. Also, "finite" may be deleted in the statements of Theorems 1 and 2.

When this investigation was in the final stages, we discovered that it overlaps some recent research of Garg [5]. In particular, our Theorem 1 follows from Garg's Proposition 3.9 and also from his Corollary 5.2.

While this paper was in press, we learned of Filipczak's paper [3a]. Our Theorem 2 is a corollary of his lemma (p. 74). However, our Theorem 3 is in some sense stronger than that lemma since it asserts that a potentially smaller set is residual.

Finally, it should be pointed out that our observation that Fort's theorem is an easy consequence of Young's theorem was anticipated by Garg [6] in 1962.

REFERENCES

1. R. P. Boas, Jr., *A Primer of Real Functions*, 2nd ed., The Mathematical Association of America, 1972.

2. G. T. Cargo, *Some topological analogues of the F. and M. Riesz uniqueness theorem*, J. London Math. Soc., (2) **12** (1975), 67-74.
3. S. Četković, *Un théorème de la théorie des fonctions*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, **245** (1957), 1692-1694.
- 3a. F. M. Filipczak, *On the derivative of a discontinuous function*, Colloquium Math., **13** (1964), 73-79.
4. M. K. Fort, Jr., *A theorem concerning functions discontinuous on a dense set*, Amer. Math. Monthly, **58** (1951), 408-410.
5. K. M. Garg, *On bilateral derivatives and the derivative*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **210** (1975), 295-329.
6. ———, *On the derivability of functions discontinuous at a dense set*, Rev. Math. Pures Appl., **7** (1962), 175-179.
7. Casper Goffman, *Real Functions*, Rinehart and Company, New York, 1953.
8. H. Hahn, *Reelle Funktionen*, Akademische Verlagsgesellschaft M. B. H., Leipzig, 1932.
9. E. W. Hobson, *The theory of functions of a real variable and the theory of Fourier's series*, Vol. I, 3rd ed., Cambridge University Press, London, 1927.
10. S. Markus [Marcus], *Points of discontinuity and points of differentiability* (in Russian), Rev. Math. Pures Appl., **2** (1957), 471-474.
11. W. H. Young, *On the infinite derivatives of a function of a single variable*, Arkiv för Matematik, Astronomi och Fysik, **1** (1903), 201-204.

Received September 8, 1978.

NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY
EVANSTON, IL 602201
AND
SYRACUSE UNIVERSITY
SYRACUSE, NY 13210

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

DONALD BABBITT (Managing Editor)

University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

HUGO ROSSI

University of Utah
Salt Lake City, UT 84112

C. C. MOORE and ANDREW OGG

University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. FINN AND J. MILGRAM

Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

Richard Neal Ball, <i>Topological lattice-ordered groups</i>	1
Stephen Berman, <i>On the low-dimensional cohomology of some infinite-dimensional simple Lie algebras</i>	27
R. P. Boas and Gerald Thomas Cargo, <i>Level sets of derivatives</i>	37
James K. Deveney and John Nelson Mordeson, <i>Splitting and modularly perfect fields</i>	45
Robert Hugh Gilman and Ronald Mark Solomon, <i>Finite groups with small unbalancing 2-components</i>	55
George Grätzer, Andras Hajnal and David C. Kelly, <i>Chain conditions in free products of lattices with infinitary operations</i>	107
Benjamin Rigler Halpern, <i>Periodic points on tori</i>	117
Dean G. Hoffman and David Anthony Klarner, <i>Sets of integers closed under affine operators—the finite basis theorem</i>	135
Rudolf-Eberhard Hoffmann, <i>On the sobrification remainder ${}^s X - X$</i>	145
Gerald William Johnson and David Lee Skoug, <i>Scale-invariant measurability in Wiener space</i>	157
Michael Keisler, <i>Integral representation for elements of the dual of $ba(S, \Sigma)$</i>	177
Wayne C. Bell and Michael Keisler, <i>A characterization of the representable Lebesgue decomposition projections</i>	185
Wadi Mahfoud, <i>Comparison theorems for delay differential equations</i>	187
R. Daniel Mauldin, <i>The set of continuous nowhere differentiable functions</i>	199
Robert Wilmer Miller and Mark Lawrence Teply, <i>The descending chain condition relative to a torsion theory</i>	207
Yoshiomi Nakagami and Colin Eric Sutherland, <i>Takesaki's duality for regular extensions of von Neumann algebras</i>	221
William Otis Nowell, <i>Tubular neighborhoods of Hilbert cube manifolds</i>	231
Mohan S. Putcha, <i>Generalization of Lentin's theory of principal solutions of word equations in free semigroups to free product of copies of positive reals under addition</i>	253
Amitai Regev, <i>A primeness property for central polynomials</i>	269
Saburoou Saitoh, <i>The Rudin kernels on an arbitrary domain</i>	273
Heinrich Steinlein, <i>Some abstract generalizations of the Ljusternik-Schnirelmann-Borsuk covering theorem</i>	285