

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

LOCALE GEOMETRY

B. J. DAY

LOCALE GEOMETRY

B. J. DAY

We commence with a locale \mathcal{L} (that is, a complete Heyting algebra) and introduce the notion of an \mathcal{L} -valued betweenness relation on a set. The concept of an \mathcal{L} -valued geometry is then formulated and the relevant versions of the Radon, Helly and Carathéodory theorems are proved.

Introduction. The abstract theory of join systems was developed by W. Prenowitz [8] and [9] as an aid to studying descriptive and spherical geometries. This notion of join system has since been further developed by V. W. Bryant and R. J. Webster [1] to enable the corresponding axiomatic treatment of such results as the Radon, Helly and Carathéodory theorems. It is this aspect of the theory with which the present article is concerned.

We commence this article by extending the notion of a join system so that it is no longer necessarily two-valued. More precisely, given a locale lattice \mathcal{L} , we introduce the notion of an \mathcal{L} -valued betweenness relation $(-, -, -): X \times X \times X \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ on a set X ; if $(x, y, z) = p \in \mathcal{L}$ we might say that the point z lies on the segment (x, y) with "probability p ". This loose description is related to theories of multivalued logic which arise in topos theory. Indeed, one can develop join systems in a reasonably complete topos in terms of multivalued join systems over the category of sets; see §4. These notions, in turn, give rise to the forms of the Radon, Helly and Carathéodory theorems discussed in §3.

We emphasize here that, in this preliminary article, we do not deal with multigroups (after W. Prenowitz) nor do we enter into all aspects of dimension theory (after V. W. Bryant and R. J. Webster). Also we leave the proof of the more basic elementary deductions as simple exercises for the reader; these results are used without reference.

1. \mathcal{L} -forms. Let \mathcal{L} be a locale and let X be a set. A *symmetric \mathcal{L} -form* on X is a function $X(-, -): X \times X \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ such that $X(x, x) = 1$, $X(x, y) = X(y, x)$, $\sup_y X(x, y) \wedge X(y, z) = X(x, z)$. A *functional* on X is a set map $A: X \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ such that $A = \sup_x A(x) \wedge X(x, -)$. A *singleton*, or *point* is a functional of the form $\bar{x} = X(x, -): X \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$. Thus each functional is an "expansion of singletons" or an "internal colimit of points". For notational convenience we shall represent \bar{x} simply by x unless we wish to emphasize the distinction.

The ordered set of functionals on X is denoted $\text{Fnl}(X, \mathcal{L})$; it

is a sublocale of \mathcal{L}^X . Note that if $A: X \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ is any functional then $A \geq x$ iff $A(x) = 1$.

A map of \mathcal{L} -forms $f: (X, X(-, -)) \rightarrow (X', X'(-, -))$ is a set map $f: X \rightarrow X'$ such that $X'(fx, fy) = X(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$.

2. Convexity spaces. An \mathcal{L} -preconvexity space is a set X equipped with a symmetric \mathcal{L} -form $X(-, -): X \times X \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ and a map $(-, -, -): X \times X \times X \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ which is functional in each variable separately. A map of preconvexity space is a map $f: X \rightarrow X'$ of \mathcal{L} -forms such that $(fx, fy, fz) = (x, y, z)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$. The resultant category is denoted $\mathcal{L}pc$.

Given $X \in \mathcal{L}pc$ we define the convolutions:

$$AB(x) = \sup_{y,z} A(y) \wedge B(z) \wedge (y, z, x)$$

$$A/B(x) = \sup_{y,z} A(y) \wedge B(z) \wedge (z, x, y).$$

Then $\bar{x}\bar{y} = (x, y, -)$ is the join of x to y , while $\bar{x}/\bar{y} = (y, -, x)$ is the extension of x by y .

An interesting consequence of these definitions is the following Kan-extension principle: If f and g are polynomials of n -variables in the convolution operations AB and A/B , and $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \geq g(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ for all points x_1, \dots, x_n then $f(A_1, \dots, A_n) \geq g(A_1, \dots, A_n)$ for all functionals A_1, \dots, A_n .

An \mathcal{L} -convexity space is an \mathcal{L} -preconvexity space which satisfies the following axioms:

- C1. (symmetry) $(x, y, z) = (y, x, z)$.
- C2. (idempotence) $(a, a, x) = X(a, x)$, $(a, x, a) = X(a, x)$.
- C3. (associativity) $\sup_w (y, v, w) \wedge (w, z, x) = \sup_w (v, z, w) \wedge (y, w, x)$.
- C4. (transposition) $\sup_w (z, w, y) \wedge (x, w, v) \leq \sup_w (x, y, w) \wedge (z, v, w)$.
- C5. (cancellation) $\sup_w (x, y, w) \wedge (x, z, w) = X(y, z) \vee (x, y, z) \vee (x, z, y)$.

The full subcategory of $\mathcal{L}pc$ comprising the \mathcal{L} -convexity spaces is denoted $\mathcal{L}c$.

The following propositions are immediate from the axioms.

PROPOSITION. $xy/xz = y/z \vee xy/z \vee y/xz$.

PROPOSITION. $AB = BA$, $(AB)C = A(BC)$, $A \leq AA$ and $A \leq A/A$, $(A/B)/C = A/BC$, $A(B/C) \leq AB/C$, and $A/(B/C) \leq AC/B$.

- PROPOSITION. (i) $xA/x = A \vee xA \vee A/x$,
 (ii) $xA/xB = A/B \vee xA/B \vee A/xB$,
 (iii) $x/xB = x/B$.

The following relations are easily deduced by iterated use of the preceding proposition:

LEMMA 2.1 (*Radon*).

$$\frac{x_0 \cdots x_n}{x_0 \cdots x_n} = \vee \left\{ x_{i_0} \cdots x_{i_r}, \frac{x_{i_0} \cdots x_{i_s}}{x_{i_{s+1}} \cdots x_{i_r}}; i_0, \dots, i_r \text{ all different} \right\}.$$

LEMMA 2.2 (*Carathéodory*). For $n \geq r$

$$\frac{x_0 \cdots x_n}{x_0 \cdots x_r} = \vee \left\{ x_{i_0} \cdots x_{i_p}, \frac{x_{i_0} \cdots x_{i_q}}{x_{i_{q+1}} \cdots x_{i_p}}; i_0, \dots, i_p \text{ all different and } p - q \leq r \right\}.$$

For the remainder of this section we shall suppose that X is a fixed \mathcal{L} -convexity space. A functional $A: X \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ is said to be *convex* if $AA = A$; note that singletons are convex (C2). The *convex hull* of a functional A is defined to be $\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} A^n$.

PROPOSITION. (i) If A_1, \dots, A_n are convex then so are $A_1 \cdots A_n$ and A_1/A_2 .

(ii) The convex hull of a functional A is the intersection in $\text{Fnl}(X, \mathcal{L})$ of all the convex functionals which contain A .

A functional $A: X \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ is said to be *linear* if it is convex and $A/A = A$. The *linear hull* of a functional A is defined to be $\bigvee_{m,n=1}^{\infty} A^m/A^n$ and is denoted by $[A]$.

PROPOSITION. (i) The linear hull of a functional A is the intersection in $\text{Fnl}(X, \mathcal{L})$ of all the linear functionals which contain A .

(ii) If A is convex then A/A is linear.

(iii) If A is convex then $[A] = A/A$.

(iv) $[x_0 \cdots x_n] = x_0 \cdots x_n/x_0 \cdots x_n$.

3. The Radon, Helly and Carathéodory theorems. Henceforth in this section we suppose that X is a fixed \mathcal{L} -convexity space. We shall also suppose that whenever we consider a set $\{x_0, \dots, x_n\}$ then the \bar{x}_i 's are distinct (recall that \bar{x}_i is denoted simply by x_i). The product functional of $M = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ is denoted by $M^* = x_1 \cdots x_n$.

A set $\{x_0, \dots, x_n\}$ of singletons is said to be *strongly dependent* if there exists an $i(0 \leq i \leq n)$ such that $[x_0 \cdots x_{i-1}x_{i+1} \cdots x_n](x_i) = 1$. If every set of $n + 2$ singletons is strongly dependent then we say that X has *dimension* $\leq n$.

THEOREM 3.1 (Radon). *If $\{x_0, \dots, x_{n+1}\}$ is a set of $n + 2$ singletons in a convexity space of dimension $\leq n$ then there exist disjoint nonempty subsets M and N of $\{x_0, \dots, x_{n+1}\}$ such that $M^* \wedge N^* \neq 0$.*

Proof. The $n + 2$ points lie in a space of dimension $\leq n$ so we may assume, without loss of generality, that $[x_1 \cdots x_{n+1}](x_0) = 1$. By Lemma 2.1 we have either $N^*(x_0) \neq 0$ where N is a subset of $\{x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}\}$ or $N^*/P^*(x_0) \neq 0$ where N and P are nonempty disjoint subsets of $\{x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}\}$. Thus the result follows from taking $M = x_0$ in the first case and $M = \{x_0, P\}$ in the second case. In the first case we have $N^*(x_0) \neq 0$ implies $x_0 \wedge N^* \neq 0$ since $x_0 \wedge N^* = 0$ implies $x_0(x_0) \wedge N^*(x_0) = 0$ implies $N^*(x_0) = 0$, and in the second case we have $N^*/P^*(x_0) \neq 0$ implies $\sup_{u,v} N^*(u) \wedge P^*(v) \wedge (v, x_0, u) \neq 0$ implies $\sup_u N^*(u) \wedge x_0P^*(u) \neq 0$ implies there exists a $u \in X$ such that $N^*(u) \wedge x_0P^*(u) \neq 0$.

THEOREM 3.2 (Helly). *If A_0, \dots, A_{n+1} is a family of $n + 2$ convex functionals on a convexity space of dimension $\leq n$ and any $n + 1$ of these functionals intersect with certainty then all the functionals have a nonzero intersection.*

Proof. For each $i(0 \leq i \leq n + 1)$ there exists, by hypothesis, a singleton x_i such that

$$x_i \leq A_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge A_{i-1} \wedge A_{i+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge A_{n+1}.$$

If $x_i = x_j$ for some $i \neq j$ then $x_i \leq A_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge A_{n+1}$ and the result follows. Otherwise the singletons x_i are distinct so that, by Theorem 3.1, there exist nonempty disjoint subsets M and N of $\{x_0, \dots, x_{n+1}\}$ such that $M^* \wedge N^* \neq 0$. Because $M^* \wedge N^* \leq A_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge A_{n+1}$ the result follows.

LEMMA 3.3. *If $x \leq x_0 \cdots x_n$ and $M^*/N^*(x) \neq 0$ where M and N are nonempty disjoint subsets of $\{x_0, \dots, x_n\}$ then there exists a proper subset P of $\{x_0, \dots, x_n\}$ such that $P^*(x) \neq 0$.*

Proof. The proof is by induction on the cardinal of N . Firstly, if $|N| = 1$, assume $N = x_0$ without loss of generality. Let $S = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$. Now $x \leq x_0 \cdots x_n$ implies $x_0 \leq x/S^*$. Moreover, if

$M^*/x_0(x) \neq 0$ where M is a nonempty subset of S then $S^*/x_0(x) \neq 0$. Thus $0 \neq S^*/x_0(x) \leq S^*/(x/S^*) \leq S^*/x(x)$ since S^* is convex. But $S^*/x(x) \neq 0$ implies $\sup_u S^*(u) \wedge (x, x, u) \neq 0$ implies $S^*(x) \neq 0$ so $x_1 \cdots x_n(x) \neq 0$. Now suppose $|N| = r + 1$ and $x \leq x_0 \cdots x_n$ and $M^*/N^*(x) \neq 0$. Without loss of generality let $N = \{x_0, \dots, x_r\}$. The conditions $x \leq x_0 \cdots x_n$ and $M^*/x_0 \cdots x_r(x) \neq 0$ imply that $x_1 \cdots x_n/x_1 \cdots x_r(x) \neq 0$ since $x \leq x_0 \cdots x_n$ implies $x_0 \leq x/x_1 \cdots x_n$. Thus $0 \neq M^*/x_0 \cdots x_r(x) \leq (x_1 \cdots x_n)/(x/x_1 \cdots x_n)x_1 \cdots x_r(x)$ implies $x_1 \cdots x_n/xx_1 \cdots x_r(x) \neq 0$. But $x_1 \cdots x_n/xx_1 \cdots x_r(x) = ((x_1 \cdots x_n/x_1 \cdots x_r)/x)(x)$ so $x_1 \cdots x_n/x_1 \cdots x_r(x) \neq 0$. Thus, by Lemma 2.2, either $P^*(x) \neq 0$ where P is a nonempty subset of $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ or $Q^*/R^*(x) \neq 0$ where Q and R are nonempty disjoint subsets of $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ and $|R| \leq r$.

THEOREM 3.4 (Carathéodory). *If $x \leq x_0 \cdots x_{n+1}$ for singletons in a convexity space of dimension $\leq n$ then there exists a proper subset P of $\{x_0, \dots, x_{n+1}\}$ such that $P^*(x) \neq 0$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality let us assume $x_0 \leq [x_1 \cdots x_{n+1}]$. Thus, by Lemma 2.2, either $M^*(x_0) \neq 0$ where M is a subset of $\{x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}\}$ or $M^*/N^*(x_0) \neq 0$ where M and N are nonempty disjoint subsets of $\{x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}\}$. In the first case $x_1 \cdots x_{n+1}(x) \neq 0$ and in the second case $x_1 \cdots x_{n+1}/N^*(x) \neq 0$. In order to establish these assertions let $S = \{x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}\}$. In the first case note that $M^*(x_0) \neq 0$ implies $S^*(x_0) \neq 0$. But $x \leq x_0 S^*$ implies $x_0 \leq x/S^*$ thus

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \neq S^*(x_0) &= \sup_u S^*(u) \wedge X(x_0, u) = \sup_u S^*(u) \wedge x_0(u) \\ &\leq \sup_u S^*(u) \wedge x/S^*(u) = \sup_{u \ v \ w} S^*(u) \wedge x(v) \wedge S^*(w) \wedge (w, u, v) \\ &= \sup_{u \ w} S^*(u) \wedge S^*(w) \wedge (w, u, x) = S^*(x) \end{aligned}$$

since S^* is convex. Thus $x_1 \cdots x_{n+1}(x) \neq 0$. In the second case we have to show that $\sup_{u, v} S^*(u) \wedge N^*(v) \wedge (v, x, u) = \sup_u S^*(u) \wedge xN^*(u) \neq 0$. But we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \neq \sup_u S^*(u) \wedge x_0 N^*(u) &\leq \sup_u S^*(u) \wedge (x/S^*)N^*(u) \\ &\leq \sup_u S^*(u) \wedge xN^*/S^*(u) = \sup_{u \ v \ w} S^*(u) \wedge xN^*(v) \wedge S^*(w) \wedge (w, u, v) \\ &= \sup_v S^*(v) \wedge xN^*(v) \end{aligned}$$

since S^* is convex, as required. Thus either $P^*(x) \neq 0$ where P is a nonempty subset of $\{x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}\}$ or $M^*/N^*(x) \neq 0$ where M and N are nonempty disjoint subsets of $\{x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}\}$. The first case is as required while in the second case the result follows from Lemma 3.3.

REMARK. In the case $\mathcal{L} = 2$ these results reduce to the generalizations of Radon, Helly and Carathéodory theorems discussed by Bryant and Webster [1].

4. Examples. Examples of \mathcal{L} -convexity spaces can be generated by various different processes. Perhaps the most basic of these arises from the fact that $\mathcal{L}c$ is closed under colimits in $\mathcal{L}pc$ and $\mathcal{L}c$ has a generator (namely the one-point space). Thus, by the special adjoint-functor theorem (Mac Lane [7]), the inclusion $\mathcal{L}c \subset \mathcal{L}pc$ has a right adjoint, so every \mathcal{L} -preconvexity space has a canonical associated convexity space.

If X is an \mathcal{L} -convexity space then X^Z is an \mathcal{L}^Z -convexity space for all sets Z . Thus it is consistent to define, in a topos \mathcal{E} (see Johnstone [6]) for which each $\mathcal{E}(Z, \Omega)$ is complete as a Heyting algebra, an Ω -convexity space as a map $(-, -, -): X \times X \times X \rightarrow \Omega$ in \mathcal{E} such that $\mathcal{E}(Z, X)$ is an $\mathcal{E}(Z, \Omega)$ -convexity space for all $Z \in \mathcal{E}$.

Another example arises as follows. Call a functional $A: X \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ left exact if $A(x) \wedge A(y) = \sup_a A(a) \wedge X(a, x) \wedge X(a, y)$ and $\sup_a A(a) = 1$; a left-exact functional is always linear. Given $X \in \mathcal{L}c$ define \hat{X} to be the set of all left-exact functionals from X to \mathcal{L} . On \hat{X} define $\hat{X}(A, B) = \sup_x A(x) \wedge B(x)$ and $(A, B, C) = \sup_{x, y, z} A(x) \wedge B(y) \wedge C(z) \wedge (x, y, z)$. Then \hat{X} is an \mathcal{L} -convexity space and $X \rightarrow \hat{X}$ is a map of \mathcal{L} -convexity spaces.

Finally, if $X \times X \times X \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_\lambda$, $\lambda \in \Lambda$, represents a set of convexity space structures on a set X , one for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, the induced map $X \times X \times X \rightarrow \prod_\lambda \mathcal{L}_\lambda$ is a convexity-space structure. This fact allows the construction of \mathcal{L} -valued convexity spaces from families of classical convexity spaces on X (see, for example, quasiconvexities [5]).

REFERENCES

1. V. W. Bryant and R. J. Webster, *Generalisations of the theorems of Radon, Helly and Carathéodory*, Monatsch. Math., **73** (1969), 309-315.
2. ———, *Convexity spaces I: The basic properties*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **37** (1972), 206-213.
3. ———, *Convexity spaces II: Separation*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **43** (1973), 321-327.
4. ———, *Convexity spaces III: Dimension*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **57** (1977), 382-392.
5. J. W. Green and W. Gustin, *Quasiconvex sets*, Canad. J. Math., **2** (1950), 489-507.
6. P. T. Johnstone, *Topos Theory*, London Math. Soc. Monographs No. 10, Academic Press, London, New York, San Francisco, 1977.
7. S. Mac Lane, *Categories for the working mathematician*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **5** Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1971.
8. Walter Prenowitz, *Descriptive geometries as multigroups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **59** (1946), 333-380.

9. Walter Prenowitz, *A contemporary approach to classical geometry*, H. E. Slaught Memorial Paper No. 9, Amer. Math. Monthly, **68** (1961), 1-67.

Received November 21, 1978.

UNIVERSITY OF SYDNEY
N.S.W. 2006, AUSTRALIA

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

DONALD BABBITT (Managing Editor)

University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90024

HUGO ROSSI

University of Utah
Salt Lake City, UT 84112

C. C. MOORE and ANDREW OGG

University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, CA 90007

R. FINN and J. MILGRAM

Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Please propose a heading for the odd numbered pages of less than 35 characters. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. 39. Supply name and address of author to whom proofs should be sent. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints to each author are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$84.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$42.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924, U.S.A. Older back numbers obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.).

8-8, 3-chome, Takadanobaba, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

Copyright © 1979 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics
Manufactured and first issued in Japan

Patrick Robert Ahern, <i>On a theorem of Hayman concerning the derivative of a function of bounded characteristic</i>	297
Walter Allegretto, <i>Finiteness of lower spectra of a class of higher order elliptic operators</i>	303
Leonard Asimow, <i>Superharmonic interpolation in subspaces of $C_c(X)$</i>	311
Steven F. Bellenot, <i>An anti-open mapping theorem for Fréchet spaces</i>	325
B. J. Day, <i>Locale geometry</i>	333
John Erik Fornæss and Steven Krantz, <i>Continuously varying peaking functions</i>	341
Joseph Leonide Gerver, <i>Long walks in the plane with few collinear points</i>	349
Joseph Leonide Gerver and Lawrence Thom Ramsey, <i>On certain sequences of lattice points</i>	357
John R. Graef, Yuichi Kitamura, Takaši Kusano, Hiroshi Onose and Paul Winton Spikes, <i>On the nonoscillation of perturbed functional-differential equations</i>	365
James A. Huckaba and James M. Keller, <i>Annihilation of ideals in commutative rings</i>	375
Anzelm Iwanik, <i>Norm attaining operators on Lebesgue spaces</i>	381
Surjit Singh Khurana, <i>Pointwise compactness and measurability</i>	387
Charles Philip Lanski, <i>Commutation with skew elements in rings with involution</i>	393
Hugh Bardeen Maynard, <i>A Radon-Nikodým theorem for finitely additive bounded measures</i>	401
Kevin Mor McCrimmon, <i>Peirce ideals in Jordan triple systems</i>	415
Sam Bernard Nadler, Jr., Joseph E. Quinn and N. Stavrakas, <i>Hyperspaces of compact convex sets</i>	441
Ken Nakamura, <i>An explicit formula for the fundamental units of a real pure sextic number field and its Galois closure</i>	463
Vassili Nestoridis, <i>Inner functions invariant connected components</i>	473
Vladimir I. Olikier, <i>On compact submanifolds with nondegenerate parallel normal vector fields</i>	481
Lex Gerard Oversteegen, <i>Fans and embeddings in the plane</i>	495
Shlomo Reisner, <i>On Banach spaces having the property G.L.</i>	505
Gideon Schechtman, <i>A tree-like Tsirelson space</i>	523
Helga Schirmer, <i>Fix-finite homotopies</i>	531
Jeffrey D. Vaaler, <i>A geometric inequality with applications to linear forms</i>	543
William Jennings Wickless, <i>T as an \mathcal{Q} submodule of G</i>	555
Kenneth S. Williams, <i>The class number of $Q(\sqrt{-p})$ modulo 4, for $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ a prime</i>	565
James Chin-Sze Wong, <i>On topological analogues of left thick subsets in semigroups</i>	571