

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

A TREE-LIKE TSIRELSON SPACE

GIDEON SCHECHTMAN

A TREE-LIKE TSIRELSON SPACE

GIDEON SCHECHTMAN

An example is given of a reflexive Banach space X such that $(X \oplus X \oplus \cdots \oplus X)_{i_1^n}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, are uniformly isomorphic to X . Some related examples are also given.

1. Introduction. In [4] Lindenstrauss observed that a Banach space X such that $(X \oplus X \oplus \cdots \oplus X)_{i_1^n}$ is isometric to a subspace of X for every n must contain an isometric copy of l_1 . This gives a very simple proof to the fact that there exists no separable reflexive Banach space which is isometrically universal for all the separable reflexive Banach spaces. Lindenstrauss asked whether the isomorphic version of this result is true; i.e., does the fact that X contains uniformly isomorphic images of $(X \oplus X \oplus \cdots \oplus X)_{i_1^n}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, imply that X contains l_1 isomorphically? An affirmative answer would give an alternative proof to the nonexistence of an isomorphically universal space in the family of all separable reflexive spaces as well as in the family of all spaces with a separable dual. (The nonexistence of these spaces was proved by W. Szlenk [8] by a completely different method.) Unfortunately the answer to Lindenstrauss' question is negative in a very strong sense.

THEOREM. *Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $\lambda > 1$. There exists a Banach space X with a 1-unconditional basis $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ with the following properties:*

- (a) X is reflexive.
- (b) X does not contain a subspace isomorphic to l_p (c_0 in the case $p = \infty$).

For every $n = 1, 2, \dots$ there exist n disjoint subsequences of the natural numbers N_1, N_2, \dots, N_n such that

- (c) $\{e_i\}_{i \in N_j}$; is isometrically equivalent to $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$, and
- (d) If $x_j \in [e_i]_{i \in N_j}$; $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ then

$$\lambda^{-1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \|x_j\|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \right\| \leq \lambda \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \|x_j\|^p \right)^{1/p}$$

$$\left(\lambda^{-1} \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \|x_j\| \leq \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \right\| \leq \lambda \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \|x_j\| \text{ if } p = \infty \right).$$

- (e) *There exists a $K < \infty$ such that X is K -isomorphic to $(X \oplus X \oplus \cdots \oplus X)_{i_1^n}$ for every n .*

The construction uses ideas from [9] and [1] as well as the basic

idea of James to construct Banach spaces on trees. The notations are standard and can be found in [5] or [6].

Proof of the theorem. We first deal with the case $p = \infty$. Let (T, \leq) be the set

$$T = \{(n, i); n = 0, 1, \dots, i = 1, \dots, 2^n\}.$$

With the partial order

$$(n, i) \leq (m, j) \text{ if and only if } n \leq m \text{ and } (i - 1)2^{m-n} < j \leq i2^{m-n}.$$

Let L be the linear space of all the functions on T which differ from zero only on a finite number of points of T . For $n = 0, 1, \dots$ and $i = 1, \dots, 2^n$ define $e_{n,i} \in L$ by

$$e_{n,i}(m, j) = \begin{cases} 1 & (n, i) = (m, j) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

And define the operators $P_{n,i}$, $S_{n,i}$, and P_n from L to L by

$$\begin{aligned} (P_{n,i}x)(m, j) &= \begin{cases} x(m, j) & (n, i) \leq (m, j), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad x \in L \\ (S_{n,i}x)(m, j) &= x(m + n, (i - 1)2^m + j), \quad x \in L \end{aligned}$$

and

$$P_n = \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} P_{n,i}.$$

Now, we define on L a sequence of norms $\|\cdot\|_n$ by induction

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\|_0 &= \|x\|_{l_1} = \sum_{n,i} |x(n, i)| \\ \|x\|_m &= \inf \left\{ \|x_0\|_{m-1} + \lambda \sum_{k=1}^K \max_{1 \leq i \leq 2^k} \|P_{k,i}x_k\|_{m-1} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

where the inf is taken over all finite sequence x_0, \dots, x_K in L which satisfy

$$\sum_{k=0}^K x_k = x \quad \text{and} \quad P_k x_k = x_k, \quad k = 0, \dots, K.$$

It is easy to prove by induction that for every $x \in L$ and every m

$$\|x\|_{c_0} \leq \|x\|_m \leq \|x\|_{m-1}.$$

So that we can define

$$\|x\| = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|x\|_m.$$

$\|\cdot\|$ is a norm. Let Y_m be the completion of L with respect to $\|\cdot\|_m$ and let Y be the completion of L with respect to $\|\cdot\|$.

LEMMA 1. (a) $\{e_{n,i}\}_{n=0, i=1}^{\infty, 2^n}$ is a 1-unconditional basis for Y_m and for Y .

(b) If R is a norm one projection on $l_1(T)$ such that $P_{k,i}R = RP_{k,i}$, for all $k = 0, 1, \dots$ and $i = 1, \dots, 2^k$, then R is a norm one projection on Y_m and on Y .

(c) $S_{n,j}$ is an isometry from $P_{n,j}Y_m$ (resp. $P_{n,j}Y$) onto Y_m (resp. Y) for all $n = 0, 1, \dots, j = 1, \dots, 2^n$.

(d) For every $x \in L$ the infimum in the definition of $\|x\|_m$ is attained.

(e) For every $x \in L$

$$\|x\| = \min \left\{ \|x_0\|_{l_1} + \lambda \sum_{k=1}^K \max_{1 \leq i \leq 2^k} \|P_{k,i}x_k\|; x = \sum_{k=0}^K x_k, P_k x_k = x_k \right\}.$$

Proof. (a) and (b) are proven by induction and passing to the limit. (d) is a simple consequence of (b) (for $R = I - P_n$). We prove now (e). For every $\{x_k\}_{k=0}^K$ such that $x = \sum_{k=0}^K x_k$ and $P_k x_k = x_k$, $k = 0, \dots, K$ and for all m

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\| &\leq \|x\|_m \leq \|x_0\|_{m-1} + \lambda \sum_{k=1}^K \max_{1 \leq i \leq 2^k} \|P_{k,i}x_k\|_{m-1} \\ &\leq \|x_0\|_{l_1} + \lambda \sum_{k=1}^K \max_{1 \leq i \leq 2^k} \|P_{k,i}x_k\|_{m-1}. \end{aligned}$$

So, passing to the limit and using (b) to prove that the infimum is attained, we get

$$\|x\| \leq \min \left\{ \|x\|_{l_1} + \lambda \sum_{k=1}^K \max_{1 \leq i \leq 2^k} \|P_{k,i}x_k\|; x = \sum_{k=0}^K x_k, P_k x_k = x_k \right\}.$$

In order to prove the other side inequality it is enough to prove that for all m and all $x \in L$

$$\|x\|_m \geq \min \left\{ \|x_0\|_{l_1} + \lambda \sum_{k=1}^K \max_{1 \leq i \leq 2^k} \|P_{k,i}x_k\|; x = \sum_{k=0}^K x_k, P_k x_k = x_k \right\}.$$

We prove this by induction on m . This is obvious for $m = 0$, assume it is true for $m - 1$ and assume that

$$\|x\|_m = \|x_0\|_{m-1} + \lambda \sum_{k=1}^K \max_{1 \leq i \leq 2^k} \|P_{k,i}x_k\|_{m-1}$$

where $x = \sum_{k=0}^K x_k$ and $P_k x_k = x_k$, $k = 0, \dots, K$.

By the induction hypothesis

$$\|x_0\|_{m-1} \geq \|y_0\|_{l_1} + \lambda \sum_{h=1}^H \max_{1 \leq i \leq 2^h} \|P_{h,i}y_h\|$$

for some $\{y_h\}_{h=0}^H$ such that $x_0 = \sum_{h=0}^H y_h$ and $P_h y_h = y_h$, $h = 0, \dots, H$. We assume as we may that $H = K$, then $x = y_0 + \sum_{k=1}^K (x_k + y_k)$, $P_k(x_k + y_k) = x_k + y_k$, $k = 1, \dots, K$ and

$$\|x\|_m \geq \|y_0\|_{l_1} + \lambda \sum_{k=1}^K \max_{1 \leq i \leq 2^k} \|P_{k,i}(x_k + y_k)\|.$$

To prove (c) it is clearly enough to show that for every x such that $P_{n,j}x = x$ and for every m

$$\|x\|_m = \min \left\{ \|x_n\|_{m-1} + \lambda \sum_{k=n+1}^K \max_{1 \leq i \leq 2^k} \|P_{k,i}x_k\|_{m-1} \right\}$$

where the minimum is over all the sequences $\{x_k\}_{k=n}^K$ such that $x = \sum_{k=n}^K x_k$ and $P_{n,j}P_k x_k = x_k$, $k = n, n + 1, \dots, K$.

Let x satisfy $P_{n,j}x = x$ and let $\{y_h\}_{h=0}^H$ be such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\|_m &= \|y_0\|_{m-1} + \lambda \sum_{h=1}^H \max_{1 \leq i \leq 2^h} \|P_{h,i}y_h\|_{m-1}, \\ x &= \sum_{h=0}^H y_h \quad \text{and} \quad P_h y_h = y_h, \quad h = 0, \dots, H. \end{aligned}$$

We can assume that $H > n$ and by (a), we can also assume that $P_{n,j}y_h = y_h$, $h = 0, \dots, H$.

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\|_m &= \|y_0\|_{m-1} + \lambda \sum_{h=1}^n \max_{1 \leq i \leq 2^h} \|P_{h,i}y_h\|_{m-1} + \lambda \sum_{h=n+1}^H \max_{1 \leq i \leq 2^h} \|P_{h,i}y_h\| \\ &= \|y_0\|_{m-1} + \lambda \sum_{h=1}^n \|y_h\|_{m-1} + \lambda \sum_{h=n+1}^H \max_{1 \leq i \leq 2^h} \|P_{h,i}y_h\|. \end{aligned}$$

If $\sum_{h=1}^n \|y_h\|_{m-1} > 0$ then since $\lambda > 1$

$$\|x\|_m > \|y_0 + y_1 + \dots + y_n\|_{m-1} + \lambda \sum_{h=n+1}^H \max_{1 \leq i \leq 2^h} \|P_{h,i}y_h\|$$

in contradiction to the fact that the minimum is attained at y_0, \dots, y_H . This concludes the proof of Lemma 1.

PROPOSITION 2. (a) For every $n = 0, 1, \dots$ and $\{y_i\}_{i=1}^{2^n}$ such that $P_{n,i}y_i = y_i$, $i = 1, \dots, 2^n$,

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq 2^n} \|y_i\| \leq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} y_i \right\| \leq \lambda \max_{1 \leq i \leq 2^n} \|y_i\|.$$

(b) Y does not contain an isomorphic image of c_0 .

Proof. (a) The left hand side follows from the 1-unconditionality of $\{e_{n,i}\}_{n=0, i=1}^{2^n}$. For the right hand side put

$$x_n = \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} y_i \quad \text{and} \quad x_k = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad k \neq n$$

then, by Lemma 1.e,

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} y_i \right\| \leq \lambda \max_{1 \leq i \leq 2^n} \|P_{n,i} x_n\| = \lambda \max_{1 \leq i \leq 2^n} \|y_i\| .$$

(b) Assume that Y contains an isomorph of c_0 . Since the unit vector basis of c_0 tends weakly to zero, we can assume that there exist a sequence $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of norm one elements in Y , an increasing sequence $\{m_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of positive integers and a constant K such that

$$(P_{m_n} - P_{m_{n+1}})u_n = u_n , \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

and

$$\max_{1 \leq n < \infty} |a_n| \leq \left\| \sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n u_n \right\| \leq K \max_{1 \leq n < \infty} |a_n|$$

for every sequence $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ such that $a_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. For every n let $1 \leq i_n \leq 2^{m_n}$ be such that

$$\|P_{m_n, i_n} u_n\| = \max_{1 \leq i \leq 2^{m_n}} \|P_{m_n, i} u_n\|$$

and put

$$v_n = P_{m_n, i_n} u_n .$$

By part (a) and Lemma 1.a.

$$1 = \|u_n\| \leq \lambda \|v_n\| \leq \lambda \|u_n\| \leq \lambda$$

and

$$\lambda^{-1} \max_{1 \leq n < \infty} |a_n| \leq \left\| \sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n v_n \right\| \leq \left\| \sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n u_n \right\| \leq K \max_{1 \leq n < \infty} |a_n|$$

for every sequence $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ such that $a_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We also have $P_{m_n, i_n} v_n = v_n$ $n = 1, 2, \dots$. By passing to a subsequence we can also assume that

$$P_{m_n, i_n} v_r = v_r \quad \text{for all } r \geq n .$$

This last property (with other m_n 's) remains true for every block basis of the u_n 's. Thus, by a theorem of James [3], we may assume that there exist an n , a $1 \leq j \leq 2^n$ and two normalized vectors w_1, w_2 in Y such that

$$(I - P_n)w_1 = w_1 , \quad P_{n,j}w_2 = w_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \|w_1 + w_2\| < \lambda - \varepsilon \quad \text{where}$$

$\varepsilon > 0$ satisfies $1 < \lambda - \varepsilon < 1 + \varepsilon/\lambda$. Let $\{x_k\}_{k=0}^K$ be such that $w_1 + w_2 = \sum_{k=0}^K x_k$, $P_k x_k = x_k$, $k = 0, \dots, K$ and

$$(*) \quad \|w_1 + w_2\| = \|x_0\|_{l_1} + \lambda \sum_{k=1}^K \max_{1 \leq i \leq 2^k} \|P_{k,i} x_k\|$$

(such x_k 's exist by Lemma 1.e). We can also assume that $K \geq n$ and that $\text{supp } x_k \subseteq \text{supp } (w_1 + w_2)$, $k = 0, \dots, K$. We first prove that

$$(**) \quad \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} P_n x_k \right\| \leq \frac{\lambda - \varepsilon}{\lambda}.$$

If this were not true then, since $P_{n,j} P_n x_k = P_n x_k$ for $k = 0, \dots, K$,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda - \varepsilon > \|w_1 + w_2\| &\geq \lambda \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \max_{1 \leq i \leq 2^k} \|P_{k,i} P_n x_k\| \\ &= \lambda \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|P_n x_k\| \geq \lambda \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} P_n x_k \right\| > \lambda - \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

From (**), we get that

$$(***) \quad \left\| P_n x_0 + \sum_{k=n}^K x_k \right\| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda}.$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| P_n x_0 + \sum_{k=n}^K x_k \right\| &= \left\| P_n x_0 + \sum_{k=n}^K P_n x_k \right\| \\ &\geq \left\| P_n \left(\sum_{k=0}^K x_k \right) \right\| - \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} P_n x_k \right\| \\ &= \|w_2\| - \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} P_n x_k \right\| \geq 1 - \frac{\lambda - \varepsilon}{\lambda} = \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, by Lemma 1.e, the equalities

$$w_1 = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (I - P_n) x_k, \quad P_k (I - P_n) x_k = (I - P_n) x_k, \quad k = 0, \dots, n-1$$

and

$$P_n x_0 + \sum_{k=n}^K x_k = P_n x_0 + \sum_{k=n}^K P_n x_k, \quad P_k x_k = x_k, \quad k = 0, n, n+1, \dots, K,$$

(*) and (***) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda - \varepsilon > \|w_1 + w_2\| &\geq \|(I - P_n) x_0\|_{l_1} + \lambda \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \max_{1 \leq i \leq 2^k} \|P_{k,i} (I - P_n) x_k\| \\ &\quad + \|P_n x_0\| + \lambda \sum_{k=n}^K \max_{1 \leq i \leq 2^k} \|P_{k,i} x_k\| \\ &\geq \|w_1\| + \left\| P_n x_0 + \sum_{k=n}^K x_k \right\| \geq 1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda} \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts the choice of ε . This concludes the proof of Proposition 2.

The space Y satisfies (b), (c) and (d) of the theorem for $p = \infty$ this follows from 2.b, 1.c and 2.a, respectively it is also not hard to

see that Y satisfies (e), however (a) is not satisfied, indeed, if $\{(n_k, i_k)\}_{k=1}^\infty$ is a totally ordered sequence in T then it is not difficult to see (using 1.e.) that $[e_{n_k, i_k}]_{k=1}^\infty$ is isometric to l_1 , so some additional work is needed.

Proof of theorem for $p = \infty$. Define on L a new norm by

$$|||x||| = |||x^2|||^{1/2} \quad x \in L$$

(for $x = \sum_{n,i} a_{n,i} e_{n,i}$ $|x|^\alpha$ is defined to be $\sum_{n,i} |a_{n,i}|^\alpha e_{n,i}$), and let X be the completion of L with respect to this norm. It is easy to check that $\{e_{n,i}\}_{n=0, i=1}^{2^n}$ constitutes a 1-unconditional basis for X . Now, if $\{x_m\}_{m=1}^M$ is a block basis of $\{e_{n,i}\}_{n=0, i=1}^{2^n}$ then

$$a \max_{1 \leq m \leq M} |a_m| \leq \left\| \sum_{m=1}^M a_m x_m \right\| \leq b \max_{1 \leq m \leq M} |a_m| \quad \text{for all } a_1, \dots, a_M$$

if and only if

$$a^{1/2} \max_{1 \leq m \leq M} |a_m| \leq \left\| \left\| \sum_{m=1}^M a_m |x_m|^{1/2} \right\| \right\| \leq b^{1/2} \max_{1 \leq m \leq M} |a_m| \quad \text{for all } a_1, \dots, a_M.$$

This proves that (b), (c) and (d) of the Theorem remain valid for X (with $\lambda^{1/2}$ instead of λ). In order to prove (a) it is enough, by James theorem [2] to prove that X does contain an isomorph of ℓ_1 . This in turn is a consequence of the following simple fact: if $\{x_m\}_{m=1}^M$ are disjointly supported with respect to $\{e_{n,i}\}_{n=0, i=1}^{2^n}$ then

$$\left\| \sum_{m=1}^M x_m \right\| \leq \left(\sum_{m=1}^M |||x_m|||^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

To prove (e) it is enough, in view of (c), (d) and Pelczynski's decomposition method [7], to prove that X is isomorphic to $X \oplus X$. Now, as we mentioned above for any totally ordered sequence $\{(n_k, i_k)\}_{k=1}^\infty$ in T $\{e_{n_k, i_k}\}_{k=1}^\infty$ in Y is equivalent to the unit vector basis in l_1 thus, $\{e_{n_k, i_k}\}_{k=1}^\infty$ in X is equivalent to the unit vector basis in l_2 . So, X contains a copy of ℓ_2 and therefore is isomorphic to each of its one co-dimensional subspaces. In particular to $[e_{n,i}]_{n=1, i=1}^{2^n}$ which, in turn is isomorphic to $X \oplus X$.

Proof of the theorem for $1 \leq p < \infty$. Let X and $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be the space and the basis which satisfy the theorem for $p = \infty$ and let $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be the biorthogonal basis of $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ then clearly X^* and $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ satisfy the theorem for $p = 1$.

For $p > 1$ define, for every eventually zero sequence $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$,

$$||\{a_i\}_{i=1}^\infty||_p = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^\infty |a_i|^p f_i \right\|^{1/p}.$$

Considerations similar to those in the proof of the $p = \infty$ case show that the completion of the space of finite sequences under $\|\cdot\|_p$ satisfies the theorem.

REMARK. It may be useful to know what is the dual norm to $\|\cdot\|$. Define on L a sequence of norms as follows

$$|x|_0 = \|x\|_{c_0}$$

$$|x|_m = \max \left\{ |x|_{m-1}, \lambda^{-1} \max_{1 \leq k < \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{2^m} |P_{k,i} x|_{m-1} \right\}$$

and define

$$|x| = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} |x|_m .$$

It can be shown that for every $x \in L$

$$|x| = \max \left\{ \|x\|_{c_0}, \lambda^{-1} \max_{1 \leq k < \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{2^k} |P_{k,i} x| \right\}$$

and that $\{[e_{n,i}]_{n=0, i=1}^N, |\cdot|\}$ is the dual of $\{[e_{n,i}]_{n=0, i=1}^N, \|\cdot\|\}$.

Once this duality is proved it can be used to simplify the proof of the theorem, in particular the proof of Proposition 2.b. We preferred, however, to give a proof which avoids the routine proof of the duality.

REFERENCES

1. T. Figiel and W. B. Johnson, *A uniformly convex Banach space which contains no l_p* , *Compositio Math.*, **29** (1974), 179-190.
2. R. C. James, *Bases and reflexivity of Banach spaces*, *Ann. of Math.*, **52** (1950), 518-527.
3. ———, *Uniformly non-square Banach spaces*, *Ann. of Math.*, **80** (1964), 542-571.
4. J. Lindenstrauss, *Notes on Klee's paper, "Polyhedral sections of convex bodies,"* *Israel J. Math.*, **4** (1966), 235-242.
5. J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach Spaces*, Springer Verlag, Lecture notes 338, 1973.
6. ———, *Classical Banach Spaces*, Vol. 1 Sequence spaces, Springer Verlag, Berlin.
7. A. Pełczyński, *Projections in certain Banach spaces*, *Studia Math.*, **19** (1960), 209-228.
8. W. Szlenk, *The non-existence of a separable reflexive Banach space universal for all separable reflexive Banach spaces*, *Studia Math.*, **30** (1968), 53-61.
9. B. S. Tsirelson, *Not every Banach space contains l_p or c_0* , *Funct. Ann. and its application*, **8** (1974), 138-141, (translated from Russian).

Received April 10, 1978 and in revised form July 10, 1978.

OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY
COLUMBUS, OH 43210

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

DONALD BABBITT (Managing Editor)

University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90024

HUGO ROSSI

University of Utah
Salt Lake City, UT 84112

C. C. MOORE and ANDREW OGG

University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, CA 90007

R. FINN and J. MILGRAM

Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Please propose a heading for the odd numbered pages of less than 35 characters. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. 39. Supply name and address of author to whom proofs should be sent. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints to each author are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$84.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$42.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924, U.S.A. Older back numbers obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.).
8-8, 3-chome, Takadanobaba, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

Copyright © 1979 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics
Manufactured and first issued in Japan

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 83, No. 2

April, 1979

| | |
|--|-----|
| Patrick Robert Ahern, <i>On a theorem of Hayman concerning the derivative of a function of bounded characteristic</i> | 297 |
| Walter Allegretto, <i>Finiteness of lower spectra of a class of higher order elliptic operators</i> | 303 |
| Leonard Asimow, <i>Superharmonic interpolation in subspaces of $C_c(X)$</i> | 311 |
| Steven F. Bellenot, <i>An anti-open mapping theorem for Fréchet spaces</i> | 325 |
| B. J. Day, <i>Locale geometry</i> | 333 |
| John Erik Fornæss and Steven Krantz, <i>Continuously varying peaking functions</i> | 341 |
| Joseph Leonide Gerver, <i>Long walks in the plane with few collinear points</i> | 349 |
| Joseph Leonide Gerver and Lawrence Thom Ramsey, <i>On certain sequences of lattice points</i> | 357 |
| John R. Graef, Yuichi Kitamura, Takaši Kusano, Hiroshi Onose and Paul Winton Spikes, <i>On the nonoscillation of perturbed functional-differential equations</i> | 365 |
| James A. Huckaba and James M. Keller, <i>Annihilation of ideals in commutative rings</i> | 375 |
| Anzelm Iwanik, <i>Norm attaining operators on Lebesgue spaces</i> | 381 |
| Surjit Singh Khurana, <i>Pointwise compactness and measurability</i> | 387 |
| Charles Philip Lanski, <i>Commutation with skew elements in rings with involution</i> | 393 |
| Hugh Bardeen Maynard, <i>A Radon-Nikodým theorem for finitely additive bounded measures</i> | 401 |
| Kevin Mor McCrimmon, <i>Peirce ideals in Jordan triple systems</i> | 415 |
| Sam Bernard Nadler, Jr., Joseph E. Quinn and N. Stavrakas, <i>Hyperspaces of compact convex sets</i> | 441 |
| Ken Nakamura, <i>An explicit formula for the fundamental units of a real pure sextic number field and its Galois closure</i> | 463 |
| Vassili Nestoridis, <i>Inner functions invariant connected components</i> | 473 |
| Vladimir I. Olikier, <i>On compact submanifolds with nondegenerate parallel normal vector fields</i> | 481 |
| Lex Gerard Oversteegen, <i>Fans and embeddings in the plane</i> | 495 |
| Shlomo Reisner, <i>On Banach spaces having the property $G.L$</i> | 505 |
| Gideon Schechtman, <i>A tree-like Tsirelson space</i> | 523 |
| Helga Schirmer, <i>Fix-finite homotopies</i> | 531 |
| Jeffrey D. Vaaler, <i>A geometric inequality with applications to linear forms</i> | 543 |
| William Jennings Wickless, <i>T as an \mathcal{G} submodule of G</i> | 555 |
| Kenneth S. Williams, <i>The class number of $Q(\sqrt{-p})$ modulo 4, for $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ a prime</i> | 565 |
| James Chin-Sze Wong, <i>On topological analogues of left thick subsets in semigroups</i> | 571 |