# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

A TREE-LIKE TSIRELSON SPACE

GIDEON SCHECHTMAN

Vol. 83, No. 2

April 1979

# A TREE-LIKE TSIRELSON SPACE

# GIDEON SCHECHTMAN

An example is given of a reflexive Banach space X such that  $(X \oplus X \oplus \cdots \oplus X)_{l_1^n}$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \cdots$ , are uniformly isomorphic to X. Some related examples are also given.

1. Introduction. In [4] Lindenstrauss observed that a Banach space X such that  $(X \oplus X \oplus \cdots \oplus X)_{l_1^n}$  is isometric to a subspace of X for every n must contain an isometric copy of  $l_1$ . This gives a very simple proof to the fact that there exists no separable reflexive Banach space which is isometrically universal for all the separable reflexive Banach spaces. Lindenstrauss asked whether the isomorphic version of this result is true; i.e., does the fact that X contains uniformly isomorphic images of  $(X \oplus X \oplus \cdots \oplus X)_{l_1^n}$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \cdots$ , imply that X contains  $l_1$  isomorphically? An affirmative answer would give an alternative proof to the nonexistence of an isomorphically universal space in the family of all separable reflexive spaces as well as in the family of all spaces with a separable dual. (The nonexistence of these spaces was proved by W. Szlenk [8] by a completely different method.) Unfortunately the answer to Lindenstrauss' question is negative in a very strong sense.

THEOREM. Let  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  and  $\lambda > 1$ . There exists a Banach space X with a 1-unconditional basis  $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  with the following properties:

(a) X is reflexive.

(b) X does not contain a subspace isomorphic to  $l_p$  ( $c_0$  in the case  $p = \infty$ ).

For every  $n = 1, 2, \cdots$  there exist n disjoint subsequences of the natural numbers  $N_1, N_2, \cdots, N_n$  such that

(c)  $\{e_i\}_{i \in N_i}$ ; is isometrically equivalent to  $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ , and

(d) If  $x_j \in [e_i]_{i \in N_j}$ ;  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$  then

$$egin{aligned} \lambda^{-1} igg(\sum_{j=1}^n ||x_j||^pigg)^{1/p} &\leq \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n x_j 
ight\| &\leq \lambda igg(\sum_{j=1}^n ||x_j||^pigg)^{1/p} \ igg(\lambda^{-1} \max_{1\leq j\leq n} ||x_j|| &\leq \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n x_j 
ight\| &\leq \lambda \max_{1\leq j\leq n} ||x_j|| \;\; if \;\; p \;= \; \infty \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

(e) There exists a  $K < \infty$  such that X is K-isomorphic to  $(X \bigoplus X \bigoplus \cdots \bigoplus X)_{l_p^n}$  for every n.

The construction uses ideas from [9] and [1] as well as the basic

idea of James to construct Banach spaces on trees. The notations are standard and can be found in [5] or [6].

Proof of the theorem. We first deal with the case  $p = \infty$ . Let  $(T, \leq)$  be the set

$$T = \{(n, i); n = 0, 1, \dots, i = 1, \dots, 2^n\}$$
.

With the partial order

$$(n, i) \leq (m, j)$$
 if and only if  $n \leq m$  and  $(i - 1)2^{m-n} < j \leq i2^{m-n}$ .

Let L be the linear space of all the functions on T which differ from zero only on a finite number of points of T. For  $n = 0, 1, \cdots$ and  $i = 1, \dots, 2^n$  define  $e_{n,i} \in L$  by

$$e_{n,i}(m, j) = egin{cases} 1 & (n, i) = (m, j) \ 0 & ext{otherwise} \ . \end{cases}$$

And define the operators  $P_{n,i}$ ,  $S_{n,i}$ , and  $P_n$  from L to L by

$$(P_{n,i}x)(m, j) = \begin{cases} x(m, j) & (n, i) \leq (m, j) , x \in L \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
$$(S_{n,i}x)(m, j) = x(m + n, (i - 1)2^m + j) , x \in L$$

and

$$P_n = \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} P_{n,i}$$
 .

Now, we define on L a sequence of norms  $||\cdot||_n$  by induction

$$||x||_0 = ||x||_{l_1} = \sum_{n,i} |x(n, i)|$$
  
 $||x||_m = \inf \left\{ ||x_0||_{m-1} + \lambda \sum_{k=1}^K \max_{1 \le i \le 2^k} ||P_{k,i}x_k||_{m-1} \right\}$ 

where the inf is taken over all finite sequence  $x_0, \dots, x_K$  in L which satisfy

$$\sum\limits_{k=0}^K x_k = x \quad ext{and} \quad P_k x_k = x_k ext{ , } \quad k = 0, \ \cdots, \ K$$

It is easy to prove by induction that for every  $x \in L$  and every m

$$||x||_{c_0} \leq ||x||_m \leq ||x||_{m-1}$$
.

So that we can define

$$||x|| = \lim_{m \to \infty} ||x||_m$$
.

 $||\cdot||$  is a norm. Let  $Y_m$  be the completion of L with respect to  $||\cdot||_m$  and let Y be the completion of L with respect to  $||\cdot||$ .

LEMMA 1. (a)  $\{e_{n,i}\}_{n=0,i=1}^{\infty}$  is a 1-unconditional basis for  $Y_m$  and for Y.

(b) If R is a norm one projection on  $l_1(T)$  such that  $P_{k,i}R = RP_{k,i}$ , for all  $k = 0, 1, \cdots$  and  $i = 1, \cdots, 2^k$ , then R is a norm one projection on  $Y_m$  and on Y.

(c)  $S_{n,j}$  is an isometry from  $P_{n,j}Y_m$  (resp.  $P_{n,j}Y$ ) onto  $Y_m$ (resp. Y) for all  $n = 0, 1, \dots, j = 1, \dots, 2^n$ .

(d) For every  $x \in L$  the infimum in the definition of  $||x||_m$  is attained.

(e) For every  $x \in L$ 

$$||x|| = \min \left\{ ||x_0||_{l_1} + \lambda \sum_{k=1}^K \max_{1 \le i \le 2^k} ||P_{k,i}x_k||; x = \sum_{k=0}^K x_k, P_k x_k = x_k \right\}$$

*Proof.* (a) and (b) are proven by induction and passing to the limit. (d) is a simple consequence of (b) (for  $R = I - P_n$ ). We prove now (e). For every  $\{x_k\}_{k=0}^K$  such that  $x = \sum_{k=0}^K x_k$  and  $P_k x_k = x_k$ ,  $k = 0, \dots, K$  and for all m

$$egin{aligned} ||x|| &\leq ||x||_{m} \leq ||x_{0}||_{m-1} + \lambda \sum\limits_{k=1}^{K} \max\limits_{1 \leq i \leq 2^{k}} ||P_{k,,i}x_{k}||_{m-1} \ &\leq ||x_{0}||_{l_{1}} + \lambda \sum\limits_{k=1}^{K} \max\limits_{1 \leq i \leq 2^{k}} ||P_{k,i}x_{k}||_{m-1} \ . \end{aligned}$$

So, passing to the limit and using (b) to prove that the infimum is attained, we get

$$||x|| \leq \min \left\{ ||x||_{l_1} + \lambda \sum_{k=1}^K \max_{1 \leq i \leq 2^k} ||P_{k,i}x_k||; x = \sum_{k=0}^K x_k, P_k x_k = x_k 
ight\}$$
 .

In order to prove the other side inequality it is enough to prove that for all m and all  $x \in L$ 

$$||x||_m \ge \min \left\{ ||x_0||_{l_1} + \lambda \sum_{k=1}^K \max_{1 \le i \le 2^k} ||P_{k,i}x_k||; x = \sum_{k=0}^K x_k, P_k x_k = x_k \right\}$$

We prove this by induction on m. This is obvious for m = 0, assume it is true for m - 1 and assume that

$$||x||_{m} = ||x_{0}||_{m-1} + \lambda \sum_{k=1}^{K} \max_{1 \leq i \leq 2^{k}} ||P_{k,i}x_{k}||_{m-1}$$

where  $x = \sum_{k=0}^{K} x_k$  and  $P_k x_k = x_k$ ,  $k = 0, \dots, K$ . By the induction hypothesis

$$||x_{\mathfrak{d}}||_{\mathtt{m-1}} \geq ||y_{\mathfrak{d}}||_{t_{1}} + \lambda \sum_{\mathtt{h=1}}^{H} \max_{1 \leq i \leq 2^{h}} ||P_{\mathtt{h},i}y_{\mathtt{h}}||$$

for some  $\{y_k\}_{h=0}^H$  such that  $x_0 = \sum_{k=0}^H y_k$  and  $P_k y_k = y_k$ ,  $h = 0, \dots, H$ . We assume as we may that H = K, then  $x = y_0 + \sum_{k=1}^K (x_k + y_k)$ ,  $P_k(x_k + y_k) = x_k + y_k$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, K$  and

$$||x||_{m} \ge ||y_{0}||_{l_{1}} + \lambda \sum_{k=1}^{K} \max_{1 \le i \le 2^{k}} ||P_{k,i}(x_{k} + y_{k})||$$
 .

To prove (c) it is clearly enough to show that for every x such that  $P_{n,j}x = x$  and for every m

$$||x||_{m} = \min \left\{ ||x_{n}||_{m-1} + \lambda \sum_{k=n+1}^{K} \max_{1 \leq i \leq 2^{k}} ||P_{k,i}x_{k}||_{m-1} 
ight\}$$

where the minimum is over all the sequences  $\{x_k\}_{k=n}^K$  such that  $x = \sum_{k=n}^K x_k$  and  $P_{n,j}P_kx_k = x_k$ ,  $k = n, n + 1, \dots, K$ .

Let x satisfy  $P_{n,j}x = x$  and let  $\{y_h\}_{h=0}^H$  be such that

$$egin{aligned} ||x||_{m} &= ||y_{0}||_{m-1} + \lambda \sum\limits_{h=1}^{H} \max\limits_{1 \leq i \leq 2^{h}} ||P_{h,i}y_{h}||_{m-1} \;, \ x &= \sum\limits_{h=0}^{H} y_{h} \; \; ext{ and } \; \; P_{h}y_{h} = y_{h} \;, \; \; h = 0, \, \cdots, \, H \;. \end{aligned}$$

We can assume that H > n and by (a), we can also assume that  $P_{n,j}y_h = y_h$ ,  $h = 0, \dots, H$ .

$$egin{aligned} ||x||_{m} &= ||y_{0}||_{m-1} + \lambda \sum_{h=1}^{n} \max_{1 \leq i \leq 2^{h}} ||P_{h,i}y_{h}||_{m-1} + \lambda \sum_{h=n+1}^{H} \max_{1 \leq i \leq 2^{h}} ||P_{h,i}y_{h}|| \ &= ||y_{0}||_{m-1} + \lambda \sum_{h=1}^{n} ||y_{h}||_{m-1} + \lambda \sum_{h=n+1}^{H} \max_{1 \leq i \leq 2^{h}} ||P_{h,i}y_{h}|| \ . \end{aligned}$$

If  $\sum_{h=1}^{n} ||y_h||_{m-1} > 0$  then since  $\lambda > 1$ 

$$||x||_m > ||y_0 + y_1 + \cdots + y_n||_{m-1} + \lambda \sum_{h=n+1}^H \max_{1 \le i \le 2^h} ||P_{h,i}y_h||_{m-1}$$

in contradiction to the fact that the minimum is attained at  $y_0, \dots, y_H$ . This concludes the proof of Lemma 1.

PROPOSITION 2. (a) For every  $n = 0, 1, \cdots$  and  $\{y_i\}_{i=1}^{2^n}$  such that  $P_{n,i}y_i = y_i$ ,  $i = 1, \cdots, 2^n$ ,

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq 2^n} ||y_i|| \leq \left\|\sum_{i=1}^{2^n} y_i\right\| \leq \lambda \max_{1 \leq i \leq 2^n} ||y_i|| \ .$$

(b) Y does not contain an isomorphic image of  $c_0$ .

**Proof.** (a) The left hand side follows from the 1-unconditionality of  $\{e_{n,i}\}_{n=0,i=1}^{\infty}$ . For the right hand side put

$$x_n = \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} y_i$$
 and  $x_k = 0$  for  $k 
eq n$ 

then, by Lemma 1.e,

$$\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{2^n} y_i\right\| \leq \lambda \max_{1 \leq i \leq 2^n} \left\|P_{n,i} x_n\right\| = \lambda \max_{1 \leq i \leq 2^n} \left\|y_i\right\|.$$

(b) Assume that Y contains an isomorph of  $c_0$ . Since the unit vector basis of  $c_0$  tends weakly to zero, we can assume that there exist a sequence  $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  of norm one elements in Y, an increasing sequence  $\{m_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  of positive integers and a constant K such that

$$(P_{m_n} - P_{m_{n+1}})u_n = u_n$$
,  $n = 1, 2, \cdots$ 

and

$$\max_{1 \le n < \infty} |a_n| \le \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n u_n \right\| \le K \max_{1 \le n < \infty} |a_n|$$

for every sequence  $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  such that  $a_n \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ . For every n let  $1 \leq i_n \leq 2^{m_n}$  be such that

$$||P_{m_n,i_n}u_n|| = \max_{1 \le i \le 2} ||P_{m_n,i}u_n||$$

and put

$$v_n = P_{m_n, i_n} u_n$$
.

By part (a) and Lemma 1.a.

$$1 = ||u_n|| \leq \lambda ||v_n|| \leq \lambda ||u_n|| \leq \lambda$$

and

$$\lambda^{-1} \max_{\mathbf{1} \leq n < \infty} |a_n| \leq \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n v_n \right\| \leq \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n u_n \right\| \leq K \max_{1 \leq n < \infty} |a_n|$$

for every sequence  $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  such that  $a_n \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ . We also have  $P_{m_n,i_n}v_n = v_n$   $n = 1, 2, \cdots$ . By passing to a subsequence we can also assume that

$$P_{m_n,i_n}v_r=v_r$$
 for all  $r\geq n$  .

This last property (with other  $m_n$ 's) remains true for every block basis of the  $u_n$ 's. Thus, by a theorem of James [3], we may assume that there exist an n, a  $1 \leq j \leq 2^n$  and two normalized vectors  $w_1$ ,  $w_2$  in Y such that

$$(I-P_n)w_1=w_1$$
,  $P_{n,j}w_2=w_2$  and  $||w_1+w_2||<\lambda-arepsilon$  where

arepsilon>0 satisfies  $1<\lambda-arepsilon<1+arepsilon/\lambda$ . Let  $\{x_k\}_{k=0}^K$  be such that  $w_1+w_2=\sum_{k=0}^K x_k,\ P_k x_k=x_k,\ k=0,\ \cdots,\ K$  and

(\*) 
$$||w_1 + w_2|| = ||x_0||_{l_1} + \lambda \sum_{k=1}^K \max_{1 \le t \le 2^k} ||P_{k,t}x_k||$$

(such  $x_k$ 's exist by Lemma 1.e). We can also assume that  $K \ge n$ and that  $\operatorname{supp} x_k \subseteq \operatorname{supp} (w_1 + w_2)$ ,  $k = 0, \dots, K$ . We first prove that

$$(**) \qquad \qquad \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} P_n x_k \right\| \leq rac{\lambda - arepsilon}{\lambda} \, .$$

If this were not true then, since  $P_{n,j}P_nx_k = P_nx_k$  for  $k = 0, \dots, K$ ,

$$egin{aligned} \lambda-arepsilon>||w_1+w_2||&\geq\lambda\sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\max_{1\leq i\leq 2^k}||P_{k,i}P_nx_k||\ &=\lambda\sum_{k=1}^{n-1}||P_nx_k||&\geq\lambda\left|\left|\sum_{k=1}^{n-1}P_nx_k
ight|
ight|>\lambda-arepsilon\ . \end{aligned}$$

From (\*\*), we get that

$$(***) \qquad \qquad \left\| P_n x_0 + \sum_{k=n}^K x_k \right\| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda} \,.$$

Indeed,

$$egin{aligned} &\left\| P_n x_0 + \sum\limits_{k=n}^K x_k 
ight\| = \left\| P_n x_0 + \sum\limits_{k=n}^K P_n x_k 
ight\| \ &\geq \left\| P_n igg( \sum\limits_{k=0}^K x_k ig) 
ight\| - \left\| \sum\limits_{k=1}^{n-1} P_n x_k 
ight\| \ &= \left\| w_2 
ight\| - \left\| \sum\limits_{k=1}^{n-1} P_n x_k 
ight\| \geq 1 - rac{\lambda - arepsilon}{\lambda} = rac{arepsilon}{\lambda} \ . \end{aligned}$$

Now, by Lemma 1.e, the equalities

$$w_1 = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (I - P_n) x_k$$
,  $P_k (I - P_n) x_k = (I - P_n) x_k$ ,  $k = 0, \dots, n-1$ 

and

$$P_n x_0 + \sum_{k=n}^K x_k = P_n x_0 + \sum_{k=n}^K x_k$$
,  $P_k x_k = x_k$ ,  $k = 0, n, n + 1, \dots, K$ ,

(\*) and (\*\*\*) we get

$$egin{aligned} \lambda - arepsilon > ||w_1 + w_2|| &\geq ||(I - P_n)x_0||_{l_1} + \lambda \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \max_{1 \leq i \leq 2^k} ||P_{k,i}(I - P_n)x_k|| \ &+ ||P_n x_0|| + \lambda \sum_{k=n}^K \max_{1 \leq i \leq 2^k} ||P_{k,i}x_k|| \ &\geq ||w_1|| + \left\|P_n x_0 + \sum_{k=n}^K x_k\right\| \geq 1 + rac{arepsilon}{\lambda} \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts the choice of  $\varepsilon$ . This concludes the proof of Proposition 2.

The space Y satisfies (b), (c) and (d) of the theorem for  $p = \infty$  this follows from 2.b, 1.c and 2.a, respectively it is also not hard to

see that Y satisfies (e), however (a) is not satisfied, indeed, if  $\{(n_k, i_k)\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  is a totally ordered sequence in T then it is not difficult to see (using 1.e.) that  $[e_{n_k,i_k}]_{k=1}^{\infty}$  is isometric to  $l_1$ , so some additional work is needed.

Proof of theorem for  $p = \infty$ . Define on L a new norm by

 $|||x||| = |||x|^2||^{1/2} \quad x \in L$ 

(for  $x = \sum_{n,i} a_{n,i} e_{n,i} |x|^{\alpha}$  is defined to be  $\sum_{n,i} |a_{n,i}|^{\alpha} e_{n,i}$ ), and let X be the completion of L with respect to this norm. It is easy to check that  $\{e_{n,i}\}_{m=0,i=1}^{\infty}$  constitutes a 1-unconditional basis for X. Now, if  $\{x_m\}_{m=1}^{M}$  is a block basis of  $\{e_{n,i}\}_{m=0,i=1}^{\infty}$  then

$$a\max_{1\le m\le M}|a_m|\le \left|\left|\sum_{m=1}^Ma_mx_m\right|\right|\le b\max_{1\le m\le M}|a_m|$$
 for all  $a_1,\cdots,a_M$ 

if and only if

$$a^{1/2} \max_{1 \leq m \leq M} |a_m| \leq \left| \left| \left| \sum_{m=1}^M a_m |x_m|^{1/2} \right| \right| \right| \leq b^{1/2} \max_{1 \leq m \leq M} |a_m| \quad ext{for all} \quad a_1, \cdots, a_M \;.$$

This proves that (b), (c) and (d) of the Theorem remain valid for X (with  $\lambda^{1/2}$  instead of  $\lambda$ ). In order to prove (a) it is enough, by James theorem [2] to prove that X does contain an isomorph of  $\prec_1$ . This in turn is a consequence of the following simple fact: if  $\{x_m\}_{m=1}^M$  are disjointly supported with respect to  $\{e_{n,i}\}_{m=0}^{\infty}, \stackrel{2^n}{i=1}$  then

$$\left|\left|\left|\sum_{m=1}^{M} x_{m}\right|\right|\right| \leq \left(\sum_{m=1}^{M} |||x_{m}|||^{2}\right)^{1/2}$$
 .

To prove (e) it is enough, in view of (c), (d) and Pelczynski's decomposition method [7], to prove that X is isomorphic to  $X \oplus X$ . Now, as we mentioned above for any totally ordered sequence  $\{(n_k, i_k)\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  in  $T \ \{e_{n_k, i_k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  in Y is equivalent to the unit vector basis in  $l_1$  thus,  $\{e_{n_k, i_k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  in X is equivalent to the unit vector basis in  $l_2$ . So, X contains a copy of  $\angle_2$  and therefore is isomorphic to each of its one co-dimensional subspaces. In particular to  $[e_{n,i}]_{n=1,i=1}^{\infty}$  which, in turn is isomorphic to  $X \oplus X$ .

Proof of the theorem for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . Let X and  $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  be the space and the basis which satisfy the theorem for  $p = \infty$  and let  $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  be the biorthogonal basis of  $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  then clearly  $X^*$  and  $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  satisfy the theorem for p = 1.

For p > 1 define, for every eventually zero sequence  $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ ,

$$||\{a_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}||_p = \left|\left|\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}|a_i|^pf_i
ight|
ight|^{1/p}$$
 .

Considerations similar to those in the proof of the  $p = \infty$  case show that the completion of the space of finite sequences under  $|| \cdot ||_p$  satisfies the theorem.

REMARK. It may be useful to know what is the dual norm to  $||\cdot||$ . Define on L a sequence of norms as follows

$$ert x ert_{0} = ert ert x ert_{c_{0}} 
onumber \ ert x ert_{m} = \max \left\{ ert x ert_{m-1}, \, \lambda^{-1} \max_{1 \leq k < \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{2^{n}} ert P_{k,i} x ert_{m-1} 
ight\}$$

and define

$$|x| = \lim_{m \to \infty} |x|_m$$
 .

It can be shown that for every  $x \in L$ 

$$|x| = \max \left\{ ||x||_{c_0}, \, \lambda^{-1} \max_{1 \leq k < \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{2^k} |P_{k,i}x| 
ight\}$$

and that  $\{[e_{n,i}]_{n=0,i=1}^{N}, |\cdot|\}$  is the dual of  $\{[e_{n,i}]_{n=0,i=1}^{N}, ||\cdot||\}$ .

Once this duality is proved it can be used to simplify the proof of the theorem, in particular the proof of Proposition 2.b. We prefered, however, to give a proof which avoids the routine proof of the duality.

## References

1. T. Figiel and W. B. Johnson, A uniformly convex Banach space which contains no  $l_p$ , Compositio Math., **29** (1974), 179-190.

2. R. C. James, Bases and reflexivity of Banach spaces, Ann. of Math., **52** (1950), 518-527.

3. \_\_\_\_, Uniformly non-square Banach spaces, Ann. of Math., 80 (1964), 542-571.

J. Lindenstrauss, Notes on Klee's paper, "Polyhedral sections of convex bodies," Israel
 J. Math., 4 (1966), 235-242.

5. J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach Spaces*, Springer Verlag, Lecture notes 338, 1973.

 Classical Banach Spaces, Vol. 1 Sequence spaces, Springer Verlag, Berlin.
 A. Pelczynski, Projections in certain Banach spaces, Studia Math., 19 (1960), 209-228.

8. W. Szlenk, The non-existence of a separable reflexive Banach space universal for all separable reflexive Banach spaces, Studia Math., **30** (1968), 53-61.

9. B. S. Tsirelson, Not every Banach space contains  $l_p$  or  $c_0$ , Funct. Ann. and its application, 8 (1974), 138-141, (translated from Russian).

Received April 10, 1978 and in revised form July 10, 1978.

Ohio State University Columbus, OH 43210

## PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

#### EDITORS

DONALD BABBITT (Managing Editor)

University of California Los Angeles, CA 90024

HUGO ROSSI University of Utah Salt Lake City, UT 84112

C. C. MOORE and ANDREW OGG University of California Berkeley, CA 94720

#### J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics University of Southern California Los Angeles, CA 90007

R. FINN and J. MILGRAM Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305

#### ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

#### B. H. NEUMANN

K. YOSHIDA

#### SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

F. WOLF

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF OREGON UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Please propose a heading for the odd numbered pages of less than 35 characters. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. **39**. Supply name and address of author to whom proofs should be sent. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints to each author are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$84.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$42.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924, U.S.A. Older back numbers obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.). 8-8, 3-chome, Takadanobaba, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

> Copyright © 1979 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics Manufactured and first issued in Japan

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol. 83, No. 2 April, 1979

Patrick Robert Ahern, <i>On a theorem of Hayman concerning the derivative of a function of bounded characteristic</i>	297
Walter Allegretto, <i>Finiteness of lower spectra of a class of higher order elliptic operators</i>	303
Leonard Asimow, Superharmonic interpolation in subspaces of $C_c(X)$	31
Steven F. Bellenot, An anti-open mapping theorem for Fréchet spaces	325
B. J. Day, <i>Locale geometry</i>	333
John Erik Fornaess and Steven Krantz, <i>Continuously varying peaking</i> <i>functions</i>	34
Joseph Leonide Gerver, <i>Long walks in the plane with few collinear points</i>	349
Joseph Leonide Gerver and Lawrence Thom Ramsey, <i>On certain sequences of lattice points</i>	35'
John R. Graef, Yuichi Kitamura, Takaŝi Kusano, Hiroshi Onose and Paul Winton Spikes, <i>On the nonoscillation of perturbed functional-differential</i>	
equations	365
James A. Huckaba and James M. Keller, <i>Annihilation of ideals in commutative rings</i>	375
Anzelm Iwanik, Norm attaining operators on Lebesgue spaces	38
Surjit Singh Khurana, <i>Pointwise compactness and measurability</i>	38′
Charles Philip Lanski, <i>Commutation with skew elements in rings with</i>	
involution	39
Hugh Bardeen Maynard, A Radon-Nikodým theorem for finitely additive bounded measures	40
Kevin Mor McCrimmon, <i>Peirce ideals in Jordan triple systems</i>	41:
Sam Bernard Nadler, Jr., Joseph E. Quinn and N. Stavrakas, <i>Hyperspaces of compact convex sets</i>	44
Ken Nakamula, An explicit formula for the fundamental units of a real pure sextic number field and its Galois closure	44
Vassili Nestoridis, Inner functions invariant connected components	47
Vladimir I. Oliker, On compact submanifolds with nondegenerate parallel	
normal vector fields	48
Lex Gerard Oversteegen, <i>Fans and embeddings in the plane</i>	49
Shlomo Reisner, <i>On Banach spaces having the property G.L</i>	50.
Gideon Schechtman, A tree-like Tsirelson space	52.
Helga Schirmer, <i>Fix-finite homotopies</i>	53
Jeffrey D. Vaaler, A geometric inequality with applications to linear forms	54
William Jennings Wickless, $T$ as an $\mathcal{G}$ submodule of $G$	55
Kenneth S. Williams, <i>The class number of</i> $Q(\sqrt{-p})$ <i>modulo</i> 4, <i>for</i> $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ <i>a prime</i>	56
James Chin-Sze Wong, On topological analogues of left thick subsets in	
semigroups	57