

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**UNIFORM AND L_p APPROXIMATION FOR GENERALIZED
INTEGRAL POLYNOMIALS**

LE BARON O. FERGUSON

UNIFORM AND L_p APPROXIMATION FOR GENERALIZED INTEGRAL POLYNOMIALS

LE BARON O. FERGUSON

Let X be a compact Hausdorff space and denote the space of all real valued continuous functions on X by $C(X, \mathbf{R})$. With pointwise operations this space becomes a linear space which we norm by defining $\|f\| = \sup_{x \in X} |f(x)|$ whenever $f \in C(X, \mathbf{R})$. A subset \mathcal{F} of $C(X, \mathbf{R})$ is said to be point separating if for any two distinct points x and y in X there is an f in \mathcal{F} with $f(x) \neq f(y)$. Let $Z[\mathcal{F}]$ denote the ring of all polynomials in elements of \mathcal{F} which have integral coefficients. Thus an element q of $Z[\mathcal{F}]$ is an element of $C(X, \mathbf{R})$ with the special form

$$q = \sum_{j_1=0}^{r_1} \cdots \sum_{j_k=0}^{r_k} a_{j_1 \cdots j_k} f_1^{j_1} \cdots f_k^{j_k}$$

where the a 's are integers, the f 's belong to \mathcal{F} and the r 's are nonnegative integers. Such q are our integral polynomials. If X is a subset of n -dimensional Euclidean space and \mathcal{F} is taken to be the set of n coordinate projections, then the elements of $Z[\mathcal{F}]$ are polynomials in the usual sense.

The well known Stone-Weierstrass theorem states that the set of all polynomials in elements of a point separating \mathcal{F} are dense in $C(X, \mathbf{R})$. When the coefficients of the polynomials are constrained to be integers, the polynomials are no longer necessarily dense. The functions which can be approximated by elements of $Z[\mathcal{F}]$ were characterized by Hewitt and Zuckerman [3] as follows.

Let $B(X)$ denote the set of all q in $Z[\mathcal{F}]$ with $\|q\| < 1$ and set

$$J(X) = \{x \in X: q(x) = 0 \text{ for all } q \in B(X)\}.$$

Then their result reads as follows.

THEOREM 1. *An element $f \in C(X, \mathbf{R})$ is approximable by elements of $Z[\mathcal{F}]$ if and only if*

$$(*) \quad f(x) = q(x), \quad x \in J(X)$$

for some q in $Z[\mathcal{F}]$.

In this paper we establish two main results. The first is a version of Theorem 1 with approximation in L_p norms instead of the uniform norm. In the classical case, where X is a subset of

the real line and \mathcal{F} consists of the identity function, the "interpolatory" condition (*) no longer enters but a restriction on the "size" of X does. See the remarks following Theorem 2. The second result concerns the case where X is a product of subsets $\{X_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in I}$ of the real line and \mathcal{F} is taken to be the set of coordinate projections. In this case

$$J\left(\prod_{\lambda \in I} X_\lambda\right) = \prod_{\lambda \in I} J(X_\lambda).$$

See Theorem 6. Special cases of this result have been treated in Håstad [2] and Hewitt and Zuckerman [3].

It is possible to use Theorem 1 to obtain a result on approximation in L_p norms by polynomials with integral coefficients. Suppose that X is compact Hausdorff and μ is a finite regular positive Borel measure on X . We denote the space of real valued p th power integrable functions ($1 \leq p < \infty$) on X by $L_p(\mu)$.

THEOREM 2. *With the above notation, if $J(X)$ is a μ -null set, then every f in $L_p(\mu)$ is approximable by elements of $\mathbf{Z}[\mathcal{F}]$.*

Before giving the proof we note that the hypothesis that $J(X)$ be μ -null is satisfied in many interesting cases. If $X \subset \mathbf{R}$, then we define its transfinite diameter $d(X)$ by

$$d(X) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{z_1, \dots, z_n \in X} \left(\prod_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} |z_i - z_j| \right)^{2/n(n-1)}.$$

For a discussion of transfinite diameter and its properties, see Tsuji [5]. It can be shown (cf. Ferguson [1]) that if $X \subset \mathbf{R}$ and $d(X) < 1$, then $J(X)$ is finite, hence in the case where μ is Lebesgue measure and $d(X) < 1$, the hypothesis is satisfied. The transfinite diameter of an interval is $1/4$ of its length, hence the hypothesis is satisfied whenever X is an interval length less than 4 and μ is Lebesgue measure.

Proof of Theorem 2. As a well known consequence of Lusin's theorem we know that $C(X, \mathbf{R})$ is dense in $L_p(\mu)$, hence, we assume without loss of generality that f is continuous. By the (outer) regularity of μ there are open sets

$$V_1 \supset V_2 \supset \dots \supset J$$

such that $\mu(V_n) < 1/n$, all n , hence $\mu(\cap V_n) = 0$. For each n there is by Urysohn's lemma a ψ_n in $C(X, \mathbf{R})$ satisfying

$$0 \leq \psi_n \leq 1,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{supp } \psi_n &\subset V_n, \\ \psi_n &\equiv 1 \text{ on } J. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\psi_n \rightarrow 0$ off $\cap V_n$, hence almost everywhere. Setting $\varphi_n = 1 - \psi_n$ we have $\varphi_n \rightarrow 1$ almost everywhere, hence $\varphi_n f \rightarrow f$ almost everywhere. By Theorem 1, for each n there exists a sequence $q_{n,m}$ in $Z[\mathcal{F}]$ such that

$$q_{n,m} \longrightarrow \varphi_n f \quad \text{uniformly, } m \longrightarrow \infty.$$

It follows that $q_{n,n} \rightarrow f$ almost everywhere and that for sufficiently large n , $|q_{n,n}| \leq |f| + 1$. By the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem $q_{n,n} \rightarrow f$ in L_p -norm.

In Theorems 1 and 2 we see the crucial role which the set $J(X)$ plays in this approximation problem. In the special case where X is a product of subsets of the real line, we can write $J(X)$ as the product of the J 's of the corresponding factors. Since the proof is long, we break it up into several stages of increasing generality.

The following result is a strengthening of Hewitt and Zuckerman [3, Thm. 6.7] and Håstad [2, Thm. 5] who prove it when the factor spaces are intervals.

When X is a product of subsets of R , we take \mathcal{F} to be the family of coordinate projections. Thus if

$$X = \prod_{i=1}^n X_i$$

then

$$\mathcal{F} = \{\pi_1, \dots, \pi_n\}$$

where π_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, is given by

$$\pi_i(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_i.$$

For an arbitrary infinite product $X = \prod_{\lambda \in A} X_\lambda$, we let $\mathcal{F} = \{\pi_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in A}$ where π_λ sends each x in X into its λ th coordinate. Thus, at least in the finite case, the elements of $Z[\mathcal{F}]$ are the usual polynomials in n variables with integral coefficients.

THEOREM 3. *Let n be a positive integer and X_1, \dots, X_n be compact subsets of R , each with transfinite diameter $d(X_i) < 1$. We let $X = \prod_{i=1}^n X_i$ and define \mathcal{F} as above. Then*

$$J\left(\prod_{i=1}^n X_i\right) = \prod_{i=1}^n J(X_i),$$

where each $J(X_i)$ is defined by taking the corresponding family \mathcal{F} to consist simply of the identity function.

Proof. We first need to know that for each i ($1 \leq i \leq n$) there is a polynomial $q^{(i)}$ in a single variable with integral coefficients (i.e., $q^{(i)} \in \mathbf{Z}[t]$) such that $\|q^{(i)}\|_{X_i} = \sup_{t \in X_i} |q^{(i)}(t)| < 1$ and for t in X_i , $q^{(i)}(t) = 0$ if and only if $t \in J(X_i)$. We can see this as follows. If $B(X) = \{0\}$ (actually impossible here) then take $q^{(i)} \equiv 0$. Otherwise let $0 \neq q_1 \in B(X)$. Then q_1 has at most finitely many zeros and for each of the elements t_1, \dots, t_k of $(Z_{q_1} \cap X_i) \setminus J(X_i)$ (where Z_{q_1} denotes the set of zeros of q_1), if such there be, let q_2, \dots, q_{k+1} be elements of $B(X)$ with $q_{i+1}(t_i) \neq 0$ ($1 \leq i \leq k$). Then for a large enough positive even integer m we can take $q^{(i)}$ to be the polynomial

$$q_1^m + \dots + q_{k+1}^m.$$

We remark that $q^{(i)} \geq 0$ although we will not need this fact.

Since each $(q^{(i)} \cdot \pi_i) \in B(X)$ we have

$$(1) \quad J(X) \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^n \{x \in X: (q^{(i)} \cdot \pi_i)(x) = 0\} = \prod_{i=1}^n J(X_i).$$

If some $J(X_i)$ is void, then by (1) so is $J(X)$ and then theorem holds. We therefore suppose that all $J(X_i)$ are nonvoid.

To establish the reverse inclusion in (1) we shall prove that if $f \in C(X, \mathbf{R})$ and f is approximable on X by elements of $\mathbf{Z}[\mathcal{F}]$, then f can be interpolated on $\prod_{i=1}^n J(X_i)$ by an element of $\mathbf{Z}[\mathcal{F}]$. The reverse inclusion in (1) is then a consequence of Theorem 1 as follows. We suppose that

$$y \in \left[\prod_{i=1}^n J(X_i) \right] \setminus J(X)$$

and derive a contradiction. Let γ be any transcendental real number. Clearly there exists a φ in $C(X, \mathbf{R})$ with $\varphi(J(X)) \subset \{0\}$ and $\varphi(y) = \gamma$. Then by Theorem 1, φ is approximable on X ; hence, by what we are going to prove φ is interpolable on $\prod_{i=1}^n J(X_i)$. This is a contradiction since $y \in \prod_{i=1}^n J(X_i)$ and $q(y)$ is therefore algebraic for all q in $\mathbf{Z}[\mathcal{F}]$.

Now let f be an element of $C(X, \mathbf{R})$ which is approximable on X . We will be done once we show that f is interpolated on $\prod_{i=1}^n J(X_i)$ by an element of $\mathbf{Z}[\mathcal{F}]$. For $1 \leq i \leq n$ let $\alpha_i = |\inf X_i|$, $\beta_i = |\sup X_i|$ and

$$J(X_i) = \{u_{i,1}, \dots, u_{i,r_i}\}.$$

(As we have mentioned before, the sets $J(X_i)$ are finite since

$d(X_i) < 1$ by hypothesis.) Let

$$\gamma = \max\{1, \alpha_1, \beta_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \beta_n\}$$

and let ε be any positive number. Since f is approximable there exists a polynomial q_ε , with integral coefficients, in the functions π_1, \dots, π_n such that

$$\|f - q_\varepsilon\| < \varepsilon.$$

Thus for every point $(u_{1,j_1}, \dots, u_{n,j_n})$ in $\prod_{i=1}^n J(X_i)$ we have

$$(2) \quad |f(u_{1,j_1}, \dots, u_{n,j_n}) - q_\varepsilon(u_{1,j_1}, \dots, u_{n,j_n})| < \varepsilon.$$

Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ be any n nonnegative integers. If in (2) we multiply through by $u_{1,j_1}^{\lambda_1}, \dots, u_{n,j_n}^{\lambda_n}$ and sum over all possible value of j_i we have

$$(3) \quad \left| \sum_{j_1=1}^{r_1} \dots \sum_{j_n=1}^{r_n} u_{1,j_1}^{\lambda_1} \dots u_{n,j_n}^{\lambda_n} f(u_{1,j_1}, \dots, u_{n,j_n}) - \sum_{j_1=1}^{r_1} \dots \sum_{j_n=1}^{r_n} u_{1,j_1}^{\lambda_1} \dots u_{n,j_n}^{\lambda_n} q_\varepsilon(u_{1,j_1}, \dots, u_{n,j_n}) \right| < \varepsilon \gamma^{\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n} r_1 \dots r_n.$$

We claim that the second sum in (3) is an integer. Indeed, for each i ($1 \leq i \leq n$), the set $\{u_{i,1}, \dots, u_{i,r_i}\} = J(X_i) = J_0(X_i)$ where $J_0(X_i)$ is the union of all complete sets of conjugate algebraic integers which lie completely in X_i . See Ferguson [1] for the last equality. The minimal polynomial of each conjugate set has integral coefficients; hence the entire set $J_0(X_i)$ is the set of roots of a monic polynomial with integral coefficients. Thus the elementary symmetric polynomials in $\{u_{i,1}, \dots, u_{i,r_i}\}$ are integers. Notice that each sum

$$(4) \quad \sum_{j_n=1}^{r_n} u_{n,j_n}^{\lambda_n} q_\varepsilon(u_{1,j_1}, \dots, u_{n,j_n})$$

can be viewed as a symmetric polynomial in the variables $\{u_{n,1}, \dots, u_{n,r_n}\}$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}[u_{1,j_n}, \dots, u_{n-1,j_{n-1}}]$. By the standard theorem on symmetric polynomials (cf, Jacobson [4, Thm. 9, p. 109]), it can be written as a polynomial in the elementary symmetric polynomials of $\{u_{n,1}, \dots, u_{n,r_n}\}$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}[u_{1,j_1}, \dots, u_{n-1,j_{n-1}}]$. Since, as we have seen, these elementary symmetric polynomials are integers, it is clear that the sum in (4) is of the form $q'(u_{1,j_1}, \dots, u_{n-1,j_{n-1}})$ where q' is a polynomial with integral coefficients. Proceeding by induction we see that the entire second sum in (3) is an integer, as claimed. Next notice that the first sum in (3) is independent of ε hence is a limit of a sequence of integers, hence an integer itself. If we take ε to be small enough to make the right

hand side of (3) less than unity, the two sums in (3) will be equal. Thus setting

$$\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2^{\gamma r_1 + \dots + r_n} r_1 \dots r_n}$$

forces

$$(5) \quad \sum_{j_1=1}^{r_1} u_1^{\lambda_1} \left\{ \sum_{j_2=1}^{r_2} \dots \sum_{j_n=1}^{r_n} u_2^{\lambda_2} \dots u_n^{\lambda_n} (f(u_{1,j_1}, \dots, u_{n,j_n}) - q_\varepsilon(u_{1,j_1}, \dots, u_{n,j_n})) \right\} = 0$$

for $0 \leq \lambda_i \leq r_i - 1$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$). Now we view (5) as a system of equations where the "unknowns" are the quantities within the braces and the coefficients are $u_1^{\lambda_1}$. The u_{1,j_1} ($1 \leq j_1 \leq r_1$) are distinct by the way they were defined and $\det(u_1^{\lambda_1})$ ($1 \leq j_1 \leq r_1$, $0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq r_1 - 1$) is a Vandermonde determinant, hence nonzero. It follows that the quantities within the braces are all zero. Repeating this argument $n - 1$ times, we obtain

$$f(u_{1,j_1}, \dots, u_{n,j_n}) = q_\varepsilon(u_{1,j_1}, \dots, u_{n,j_n})$$

for all $(u_{1,j_1}, \dots, u_{n,j_n})$ in $\prod_{i=1}^n J(X_i)$.

This theorem can be strengthened in two ways. We will first relax the condition that $d(X_i) < 1$, all i . Then we will also allow the factor spaces to be infinite in number. We need the following generalization of Håstad [2, Thm. 7] to infinite dimensions. It may be of interest in its own right.

THEOREM 4. *Let $\{X_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be a family of compact subsets of \mathbf{R} with $d(X_\lambda) \geq 1$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and set $X = \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} X_\lambda$. We define the projections $\{\pi_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ and the family \mathcal{F} as above. Then $J(X) = X$, hence an element of $C(X, \mathbf{R})$ is approximable if and only if it already lies in $\mathbf{Z}[\mathcal{F}]$.*

Proof. Suppose that $q \in B(X)$. Then q is a polynomial in only finitely many π_λ , say $\pi_{\lambda_1}, \dots, \pi_{\lambda_n}$. We must prove that $q \equiv 0$. We proceed by induction on n . If $n = 1$ then q is an element of $\mathbf{Z}[x_{\lambda_1}]$ with $\|q\|_{X_{\lambda_1}} < 1$. As we can see in Ferguson [1], since $d(X_{\lambda_1}) \geq 1$ we have $q \equiv 0$ on X_{λ_1} . For $n > 1$ we assume that $q \not\equiv 0$ and derive a contradiction. Write

$$q(x) = q_m(\tilde{x})x_{\lambda_n}^m + q_{m-1}(\tilde{x})x_{\lambda_n}^{m-1} + \dots + q_0(\tilde{x})$$

where \tilde{x} is a generic element of $\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} X_{\lambda_i}$, $q_i \in \mathbf{Z}[x_{\lambda_1}, \dots, x_{\lambda_{n-1}}] \setminus \{0\}$ ($0 \leq i \leq m$) and x_{λ_n} is a generic element of X_{λ_n} . For a fixed \tilde{x} , q is

an element of $Z[x_{\lambda_n}]$ with uniform norm <1 ; hence its leading coefficient $q_m(\tilde{x})$ satisfies $|q_m(\tilde{x})| < 1$. Indeed, if not then we could divide q by its leading coefficient and thereby obtain a monic polynomial on X_{λ_n} with uniform norm <1 , but this contradicts $d(X_{\lambda_n}) \geq 1$. Thus $\|q_m\|_{\prod_{i=1}^n X_{\lambda_i}} < 1$ and by the induction hypothesis $q_m \equiv 0$ which is a contradiction.

After the following, we have established the conclusion of Theorem 3 for all possible values of the $d(X_i)$. Here we use that $J(X_i) = X_i$ if $d(X_i) \geq 1$. This result generalizes Håstad [2, Thm. 6]. The present method of proof is different from his.

THEOREM 5. *If in Theorem 3 we relax the hypothesis $d(X_i) < 1$, all i , to $d(X_i) < 1$ for at least one i , then the conclusion still holds.*

Proof. Let $X = \prod_{i=1}^n X_i$ and $\tilde{x} \in X \setminus \prod_{i=1}^n J(X_i)$. Then there exists i_0 such that $\tilde{x}_{i_0} \in J(X_{i_0})$. Also $d(X_{i_0}) < 1$ since $d(X_i) \geq 1$ implies $J(X_i) = X_i$. Without loss of generality we assume that $i_0 = 1$. Since $d(X_1) < 1$ there exists q in $Z[t]$ with $\|q\|_{X_1} < 1$ and $J(X_1) = Z_q \cap X_1$ which can be established as in the beginning of the proof of Theorem 3. Then $\|q \cdot \pi_1\|_X < 1$ and $(q \cdot \pi_1)(\tilde{x}) \neq 0$ which shows that $\tilde{x} \in J(X)$. Thus we have established that

$$\prod_{i=1}^n J(X_i) \supset J(X) .$$

For the reverse inclusion let $q \in Z[\mathcal{F}]$ with $\|q\|_X < 1$. Without loss of generality we assume that

$$d(X_i) < 1 \qquad 1 \leq i \leq k$$

and

$$d(X_i) \geq 1 \qquad (k + 1) \leq i \leq n .$$

Then as we have seen before, $J(X_i)$ is finite for $1 \leq i \leq k$ and we can write

$$J(X_i) = \{u_{i,1}, \dots, u_{i,r_i}\} .$$

The elementary symmetric polynomials for the elements of $J(X_i)$ ($1 \leq i \leq k$) are integers, as we saw in the proof of Theorem 3. Form the polynomial

$$(*) \qquad \sum_{j_1=1}^{\tau_1} \dots \sum_{j_k=1}^{\tau_k} q^{2l}(u_{1,j_1}, \dots, u_{k,j_k}, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n) .$$

For a sufficiently large positive integer l , this polynomial will have

uniform norm < 1 on X since $\|q\|_X < 1$. For each $i (1 \leq i \leq k)$ it is clearly a symmetric polynomial in $\{u_{i,1}, \dots, u_{i,r_i}\}$ hence by the same induction argument as in the proof of Theorem 3 it is actually a polynomial \tilde{q} in $\mathbf{Z}[x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n]$. But

$$\|\tilde{q}\|_{\prod_{i=k+1}^n X_i} < 1$$

hence by Theorem 4 we have $\tilde{q} \equiv 0$. Since every summand in (*) is nonnegative, this implies that

$$q(u_{1,j_1}, \dots, u_{k,j_k}, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n) = 0$$

for every possible choice of j_1, \dots, j_k and x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n . Thus

$$J(X) \supset \prod_{i=1}^k J(X_i) \times \prod_{i=k+1}^n X_i = \prod_{i=1}^n J(X_i)$$

where the last equality follows from the fact that $d(X_i) \geq 1$ implies $J(X_i) = X_i$.

The following generalizes Hewitt and Zuckerman [3, Thm. 6.8] who proved it when the factor spaces are intervals of length < 4 . Our proof is a modification of theirs.

THEOREM 6. *Let $\{X_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in A}$ be a family of compact subsets of \mathbf{R} and define \mathcal{F} as above. Then*

$$(1) \quad J\left(\prod_{\lambda \in A} X_\lambda\right) = \prod_{\lambda \in A} J(X_\lambda).$$

Proof. Let $X = \prod_{\lambda \in A} X_\lambda$ and $J_\lambda = J(X_\lambda)$, $\lambda \in A$. Suppose first that some J_λ is empty, say for $\lambda = \tilde{\lambda}$. Then as at the beginning of the proof of Theorem 3, it is easy to see that there is a q in $\mathbf{Z}[\mathcal{F}]$ such that $\|q\|_{X_{\tilde{\lambda}}} < 1$ and $q > 0$ on $X_{\tilde{\lambda}}$. Then $(q \cdot \pi_{\tilde{\lambda}}) \in \mathbf{Z}[\mathcal{F}]$, $\|q \cdot \pi_{\tilde{\lambda}}\|_X < 1$ and $(q \cdot \pi_{\tilde{\lambda}}) > 0$ on X which shows that both sides of (1) are empty hence equal. Thus we can assume that every J_λ is nonvoid. Select an element u_λ from each J_λ .

Given a finite subset Φ of A , let L_Φ be the set of all x in X such that $x_\lambda = \mu_\lambda$ for $\lambda \notin \Phi$. Let $K_\Phi = L_\Phi \cap (\prod_{\lambda \in A} J_\lambda)$.

Suppose that $f \in C(X, \mathbf{R})$ and f is approximable on X . Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a q_ε in $\mathbf{Z}[\mathcal{F}]$ such that $\|q_\varepsilon - f\|_X < \varepsilon$. Let A_0 be the set of indices of the variables occurring in q_ε . Let Φ be any finite subset of A and $S' = \Phi \cup A_0$. We define a function f' in the variables $\{x_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in S'}$ as follows. For x' in $X_0 = \pi_{\lambda \in S'} X_\lambda$ let $f'(x') = f(x)$ where $x_\lambda = x'_\lambda$ if $\lambda \in \Phi$ and $x_\lambda = u_\lambda$ if $\lambda \notin \Phi$. Write $S = \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n, \dots, \lambda_k\}$ where $d(X_{\lambda_i}) < 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $d(X_{\lambda_i}) \geq 1$ for

$(n + 1) \leq i \leq k$. As in the proof of Theorem 3, we set

$$J(X_{\lambda_i}) = \{u_{i,1}, \dots, u_{i,r_i}\} \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Continuing as in that proof we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (*) \quad & \left| \sum u_{j_1}^{\lambda_1} \cdots u_{j_n}^{\lambda_n} f'(u_{1,j_1}, \dots, u_{n,j_n}, x_{n+1}, \dots, x_k) \right. \\
 & \left. - \sum u_{j_1}^{\lambda_1} \cdots u_{j_n}^{\lambda_n} q'_\varepsilon(u_{1,j_1}, \dots, u_{n,j_n}, x_{n+1}, \dots, x_k) \right| \\
 & < \varepsilon \gamma^{\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n} r_1 \cdots r_n
 \end{aligned}$$

where x_{n+1}, \dots, x_k are generic elements of $X_{\lambda_{n+1}}, \dots, X_{\lambda_k}$ (respectively), the λ_i 's are arbitrary nonnegative integers and the summations are over all possible j_i 's as before. By essentially the same induction argument about symmetric polynomials as before, we see that the second sum in (*) is an element of $\mathbb{Z}[x_{n+1}, \dots, x_k]$, say \hat{q}_ε . For all sufficiently small ε , if q'_ε and \tilde{q}'_ε satisfy (*), then the corresponding elements \tilde{q}'_ε and \hat{q}'_ε of $\mathbb{Z}[x_{n+1}, \dots, x_k]$ satisfy

$$\|\tilde{q}'_\varepsilon - \hat{q}'_\varepsilon\| < 1$$

where the norm is taken over $\tilde{X} = \prod_{i=n+1}^k X_{\lambda_i}$. By Theorem 4, $J(\tilde{X}) = \tilde{X}$ hence $q'_\varepsilon - \hat{q}'_\varepsilon \equiv 0$ on \tilde{X} . Thus the first sum in (*) equals the second sum for any choice of x_{n+1}, \dots, x_k and sufficiently small ε . Continuing the argument as in the proof of Theorem 3, we finally conclude that

$$f' \equiv q'_\varepsilon \quad \text{on} \quad \prod_{i=1}^k J(X_{\lambda_i}) \times \prod_{i=n+1}^k X_{\lambda_i},$$

i.e.,

$$f' \equiv q'_\varepsilon \quad \text{on} \quad \prod_{\lambda \in S} J_\lambda$$

since $X_{\lambda_i} = J_{\lambda_i}$, $(n + 1) \leq i \leq k$. Thus

$$f(x) = q_\varepsilon(x) \quad x \in K_S$$

and, in particular, f is interpolated on K_ϕ .

We claim that $K_\phi \subset J(X)$. Indeed, if not, then there is a point y in $K_\phi \setminus J(X)$. Let γ be any transcendental number. There is an element φ of $C(X, \mathbb{R})$ with $\varphi(y) = \gamma$ and $\varphi(J(X)) = \{0\}$, by Tietze's extension theorem. Such a φ is approximable by Theorem 1. By the above paragraph, φ is interpolated by an element of $\mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{F}]$ on K_ϕ but this is a contradiction since $q(y)$ is an algebraic number for every q in $\mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{F}]$. This contradiction establishes the claim.

Thus we have

$$\prod_{\lambda \in A} J_\lambda = (\cup K_\phi)^- \subset J(X)$$

where the union is taken over all finite subsets Φ of A . The reverse inclusion is easily established as in the proof of the previous theorem.

REFERENCES

1. L. B. O. Ferguson, *Uniform approximation by polynomials with integral coefficients I & II*, Pacific J. Math., **27** (1968), 53-69 and **26** (1968), 273-281.
2. Matts Håstad, *Uniform approximation with Diophantine sideconditions of continuous functions*, Ark. Mat., **3** (1958), 487-493.
3. Edwin Hewitt and H. S. Zuckerman, *Approximation by polynomials with integral coefficients, a reformulation of the Stone-Weierstrass theorem*, Duke Math. J., **26** (1959), 305-324.
4. Nathan Jacobson, *Lectures in Abstract Algebra, Vol. 1: Basic Concepts*, The University Series in Higher Mathematics, D. Van Nostrand Co., Inc., Princeton, New Jersey, 1951.
5. M. Tsuji, *Potential Theory in Modern Function Theory*, Maruzen, Tokyo, 1959.

Received December 29, 1978. Research sponsored by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research, Air Force Systems Command, USAF, under Grant No. AFOSR 78-3599. The United States Government is authorized to reproduce and distribute reprints for governmental purposes notwithstanding any copyright notation hereon.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
RIVERSIDE, CA 92521

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

DONALD BABBITT (Managing Editor)

University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

HUGO ROSSI

University of Utah
Salt Lake City, UT 84112

C. C. MOORE and ANDREW OGG

University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. FINN AND J. MILGRAM

Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

Michael James Beeson, <i>Goodman's theorem and beyond</i>	1
Robert S. Cahn and Michael E. Taylor, <i>Asymptotic behavior of multiplicities of representations of compact groups</i>	17
Douglas Michael Campbell and Vikramaditya Singh, <i>Valence properties of the solution of a differential equation</i>	29
J.-F. Colombeau, Reinhold Meise and Bernard Perrot, <i>A density result in spaces of Silva holomorphic mappings</i>	35
Marcel Ern�, <i>On the relativization of chain topologies</i>	43
Le Baron O. Ferguson, <i>Uniform and L_p approximation for generalized integral polynomials</i>	53
Kenneth R. Goodearl and David E. Handelman, <i>Homogenization of regular rings of bounded index</i>	63
Friedrich Haslinger, <i>A dual relationship between generalized Abel-Gon�arov bases and certain Pincherle bases</i>	79
Miriam Hausman, <i>Generalization of a theorem of Landau</i>	91
Makoto Hayashi, <i>2-factorization in finite groups</i>	97
Robert Marcus, <i>Stochastic diffusion on an unbounded domain</i>	143
Isabel Dotti de Miatello, <i>Extension of actions on Stiefel manifolds</i>	155
C. David (Carl) Minda, <i>The hyperbolic metric and coverings of Riemann surfaces</i>	171
Somashekhar Amrith Nainpally and Mohan Lal Tikoo, <i>On T_1-compactifications</i>	183
Chia-Ven Pao, <i>Asymptotic stability and nonexistence of global solution for a semilinear parabolic equation</i>	191
Shigeo Segawa, <i>Harmonic majoration of quasibounded type</i>	199
Sze-Kai Tsui and Steve Wright, <i>The splitting of operator algebras</i>	201
Bruce Williams, <i>Hopf invariants, localization and embeddings of Poincar� complexes</i>	217
Leslie Wilson, <i>Nonopenness of the set of Thom-Boardman maps</i>	225
Alicia B. Winslow, <i>There are 2^c nonhomeomorphic continua in $\beta R^n - R^n$</i>	233