

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**A CHARACTERIZATION OF DIMENSION OF TOPOLOGICAL  
SPACES BY TOTALLY BOUNDED PSEUDOMETRICS**

JEROEN BRUIJNING

# A CHARACTERIZATION OF DIMENSION OF TOPOLOGICAL SPACES BY TOTALLY BOUNDED PSEUDOMETRICS

JEROEN BRUIJNING

**For a compact metrizable space  $X$ , for a metric  $d$  on  $X$ , and for  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the number  $N(\varepsilon, X, d)$  is defined as the minimum number of sets of  $d$ -diameter not exceeding  $\varepsilon$  required to cover  $X$ . A classical theorem characterizes the topological dimension of  $X$  in terms of the numbers  $N(\varepsilon, X, d)$ . In this paper, two extensions of this result are given: (i) a direct one, to separable metrizable spaces, involving totally bounded metrics; (ii) a more complicated one, involving the set of continuous totally bounded pseudometrics on the space as well as a special order on this set.**

The dimension function involved is the so-called Katětov dimension, i.e., covering dimension with respect to covers by cozero sets. Let  $d$  be a metric for the compact metrizable space  $X$ . Define

$$k(X, d) = \sup \left\{ \inf \left\{ -\frac{\log N(\varepsilon, X, d)}{\log \varepsilon} \mid \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0 \right\} \mid \varepsilon_0 > 0 \right\}.$$

Then we have the classical

**THEOREM A** (*L. Pontrjagin and L. Schnirelmann* [4]).

$$\dim X = \inf \{k(X, d) \mid d \text{ is a metric for } X\}.$$

**REMARK.** The number  $\log N(\varepsilon, X, d)$  is often referred to as the  $\varepsilon/2$ -entropy of  $X$  (with respect to  $d$ ).

The extension of Theorem A to separable metrizable spaces is given by Theorem 2, while the general case is covered by Theorem 1. The referee has pointed out that Lemma 5 below, needed in the proof of Theorem 1, can be derived from two theorems by Katětov ([3], Theorems 1.9 and 1.16). The author wishes to thank Professor J. Nagata for drawing his attention to Theorem A and to the problem of finding its generalization.

2. **Definitions and notations.** All spaces considered will be nonempty. A zero set (cozero set) in a space  $X$  is a set of the form  $f^{-1}(\{0\})$  ( $f^{-1}((0, 1])$ ), where  $f: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is continuous. The symbols  $U$ ,  $U_i$ ,  $V$ ,  $V_i$ , etc. will denote cozero sets throughout;  $F$ ,  $F_i$ ,  $F_j^k$  etc. will denote zero sets. If  $\mathcal{A} = \{A_\gamma \mid \gamma \in \Gamma\}$  is a collection of subsets of  $X$ , the order of  $\mathcal{A}$  ( $\text{ord } \mathcal{A}$ ) is defined as  $\sup\{|\mathcal{A}'| \mid \mathcal{A}' \subset \mathcal{A} \text{ and}$

$\cap \mathcal{U}' \neq \emptyset$ .  $\dim X$  will be the Katětov dimension of  $X$ , i.e.,

$\dim X \leq n$  iff every finite cover  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_1, \dots, U_k\}$  has a finite refinement  $\mathcal{V} = \{V_1, \dots, V_l\}$  with  $\text{ord } \mathcal{V} \leq n + 1$ ;

$\dim X = n$  iff  $\dim X \leq n$  but not  $\dim X \leq n - 1$ ;

$\dim X = \infty$  iff not  $\dim X \leq n$  for any  $n$ .

Note that in the above definition,  $U_i$  and  $V_j$  are cozerosets by notation. For normal spaces, Katětov dimension coincides with ordinary covering dimension [1, p. 268].

A continuous pseudometric on a space  $X$  is a continuous function  $d: X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  which is symmetric, satisfies the triangle inequality and has the property that  $d(x, x) = 0$  for all  $x \in X$ . A pseudometric  $d$  is totally bounded iff for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a finite  $\varepsilon$ -net in  $X$  with regard to  $d$ .  $\mathcal{R}$  will be the set of all totally bounded, continuous pseudometrics on  $X$ . For  $d \in \mathcal{R}$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $x \in X$ ,  $U_\varepsilon^d(x)$  is defined as the set  $\{y \in X \mid d(x, y) < \varepsilon\}$ . This is a cozeroset. On  $\mathcal{R}$  we introduce the following relation:  $d_1 > d_2$  iff for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $U_\delta^{d_1}(x) \subset U_\varepsilon^{d_2}(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ . For  $d \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $A \subset X$ , the diameter of  $A$  with regard to  $d$  is the number  $d\text{-diam } A = \sup\{d(x, y) \mid x, y \in A\}$ . We define  $|d| = d\text{-diam } X$ .  $|d|$  is always finite. Finally, if  $\mathcal{U}$  is a cover of  $X$  and  $d \in \mathcal{R}$ , we say that  $\mathcal{U}$  is  $d$ -uniform iff there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that the cover  $\{U_\varepsilon^d(x) \mid x \in X\}$  refines  $\mathcal{U}$ .

3. An extension of Theorem A. For  $d \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , let  $N(\varepsilon, X, d)$  be defined as the minimum number of sets of  $d$ -diameter not exceeding  $\varepsilon$  required to cover  $X$ . Put

$$k(X, d) = \sup \left\{ \inf \left\{ -\frac{\log N(\varepsilon, X, d)}{\log \varepsilon} \mid \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0 \right\} \mid \varepsilon_0 > 0 \right\},$$

just as in the introduction.

Then we have

**THEOREM 1.** *If  $k(X, d)$  is defined as above, then*

$$\dim X = \sup\{\inf\{k(X, d) \mid d > d_0, d \in \mathcal{R}\} \mid d_0 \in \mathcal{R}\}.$$

Before we give the proof, we will state and prove a few lemmas.

**LEMMA 1.** *Let  $\delta > 0$ , and let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_1, \dots, U_k\}$  be a cover of  $X$ . Then there exists  $d \in \mathcal{R}$  such that  $\mathcal{U}$  is  $d$ -uniform and  $|d| \leq \delta$ .*

*Proof.* For the sake of completeness, we include an elementary proof. Let  $f_i: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be continuous, with  $f_i^{-1}((0, 1]) =$

$U_i(1 \leq i \leq k)$ .

Define  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^k$  by the formula

$$f(x) = \left( \frac{f_1(x)}{\sum_{i=1}^k f_i(x)}, \dots, \frac{f_k(x)}{\sum_{i=1}^k f_i(x)} \right).$$

Define  $d_1: X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  by  $d_1(x, y) = \|f(x) - f(y)\|$ . It is not difficult to show that  $d_1 \in \mathcal{R}$ . Now

$$f(X) \subset \mathcal{A} = \{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k) \mid \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i = 1 \text{ and } \lambda_i \geq 0(1 \leq i \leq k)\}.$$

Denoting the set  $\{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k) \in \mathcal{A} \mid \lambda_j > 0\}$  by  $V_j$ , we have  $U_j = f^{-1}(V_j)$  ( $1 \leq j \leq k$ ).  $\{V_1, \dots, V_k\}$  is an open cover of the compact set  $\mathcal{A}$ , so there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that the cover  $\{U_\varepsilon(p) \cap \mathcal{A} \mid p \in \mathcal{A}\}$  refines  $\{V_1, \dots, V_k\}$ . Let  $x \in X$ . Then there exists  $j, 1 \leq j \leq k$ , such that  $U_\varepsilon(f(x)) \subset V_j$ . It follows that  $U_\varepsilon^{d_1}(x) \subset f^{-1}(V_j) = U_j$ . Thus  $\mathcal{U}$  is  $d_1$ -uniform. Finally putting  $d = \delta/|d_1| \cdot d_1$  we get the desired element of  $\mathcal{R}$ .

LEMMA 2. (a) Let  $d_1, d_2 \in \mathcal{R}$ . Then  $d_1 + d_2 \in \mathcal{R}$ .

(b) Let  $d_i \in \mathcal{R}(i \in \mathbf{N})$  and let  $\sum_{i=1}^\infty |d_i| < \infty$ . Then  $\sum_{i=1}^\infty d_i \in \mathcal{R}$ .

*Proof.* (a) It is easy to see that  $d_1 + d_2$  is a continuous pseudometric. To prove that it is totally bounded, let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$  be an  $\varepsilon/3$ -net for  $(X, d_1)$ . Let, for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ ,  $\{y_i^1, \dots, y_i^{n_i}\}$  be an  $\varepsilon/3$ -net for  $U_{\varepsilon/3}^{d_1}(x_i)$ , with regard to  $d_2$  (the restriction of  $d_2$  to any subset of  $X$  is again totally bounded, as can be proved in a standard manner). Put  $Y = \{y_j^i \mid 1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq n_i\}$ . It is not difficult to prove that  $Y$  is an  $\varepsilon$ -net for  $X$  with respect to  $d_1 + d_2$ . This proves (a).

(b)  $\sum_{i=1}^\infty d_i$  is, as a uniform limit of continuous functions, itself continuous. It is easily seen to be a pseudometric. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and  $N \in \mathbf{N}$  so, that  $\sum_{i>N} |d_i| < \varepsilon/2$ . Since by (a),  $\sum_{i=1}^N d_i \in \mathcal{R}$ , there exists a finite  $\varepsilon/2$ -net for  $X$  with respect to  $\sum_{i=1}^N d_i$ . The same set is easily proved to be an  $\varepsilon$ -net for  $(X, \sum_{i=1}^\infty d_i)$ , which proves (b).

LEMMA 3. Let  $Y$  be a dense subset of  $X$ , and let  $d \in \mathcal{R}$ . Then  $k(X, d) = k(Y, d \mid Y \times Y)$ .

*Proof.* It is easy to see that  $N(\varepsilon, X, d) = N(\varepsilon, Y, d \mid Y \times Y)$  for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ . From this the result follows by the very definition of  $k(X, d)$  and  $k(Y, d \mid Y \times Y)$ .

Now we are ready to go on with the proof of Theorem 1. For shortness, denote  $\sup\{\inf\{k(X, d) \mid d > d_0, d \in \mathcal{R}\} \mid d_0 \in \mathcal{R}\}$  by  $k(X)$ . First we prove:  $k(X) \geq \dim(X)$ . This will follow from the following

LEMMA 4. Let  $n \geq 0$  and  $\dim X \geq n$ . Then there exists  $d_0 \in \mathcal{R}$  such that, for all  $d \in \mathcal{R}$  with  $d > d_0$ ,  $k(X, d) \geq n$ . (This formulation also takes care of the case  $\dim X = \infty$ .)

*Proof of Lemma 4.* Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_1, \dots, U_k\}$  be a cover such that every refinement  $\mathcal{V} = \{V_1, \dots, V_l\}$  of  $\mathcal{U}$  has order  $\geq n + 1$ . By Lemma 1, there is a  $d_0 \in \mathcal{R}$  such that  $\mathcal{U}$  is  $d_0$ -uniform. Let  $d > d_0$ ,  $d \in \mathcal{R}$ . Then there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that the cover  $\{U_\delta^d(x) \mid x \in X\}$  refines  $\mathcal{U}$ .

Consider the equivalence relation  $\sim$  on  $X$  defined by  $x \sim y$  iff  $d(x, y) = 0$ . Let  $X'$  be the set of equivalence classes, and  $\phi: X \rightarrow X'$  the natural projection. Define  $d': X' \times X' \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  by  $d'(\phi(x), \phi(y)) = d(x, y)$ . This definition turns  $(X', d')$  into a totally bounded metric space. Since  $d$  is continuous,  $\phi$  is continuous if we equip  $X'$  with the metric topology. Furthermore, if  $A \subset X$ , then  $d\text{-diam } A = d'\text{-diam } \phi(A)$ ; and if  $B \subset X'$ , then  $d'\text{-diam } B = d\text{-diam } \phi^{-1}(B)$ . It follows that  $N(\varepsilon, X, d) = N(\varepsilon, X', d')$  for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , thus  $k(X, d) = k(X', d')$ . Let  $(X'', d'')$  be the metric completion of  $(X', d')$ . Since  $(X', d')$  is totally bounded,  $(X'', d'')$  is compact. From Lemma 3 it follows that  $k(X', d') = k(X'', d'')$ . From Theorem A we deduce  $k(X'', d'') \geq \dim X''$ . Combining the above results, we infer  $k(X, d) \geq \dim X''$ .

What is left to prove, is that  $\dim X'' \geq n$ . So suppose  $\dim X'' \leq n - 1$ . Then there is an open cover  $\mathcal{W} = \{W_1, \dots, W_s\}$  (consisting of cozerosets) such that  $\text{ord } \mathcal{W} \leq n$  and  $d''\text{-diam } W_i < \delta$  for  $1 \leq i \leq s$ . Then  $\{\phi^{-1}(W_i) \mid 1 \leq i \leq s\}$  is a refinement of  $\mathcal{U}$ , consisting of cozerosets, with order  $\leq n$ . This is a contradiction. Thus  $k(X, d) \geq \dim X'' \geq n$ , which completes the proof of Lemma 4.

Next we will prove:  $k(X) \leq \dim X$ . If  $\dim X = \infty$ , we have nothing to prove. So suppose  $\dim X = n < \infty$ .

Then the result will follow from

LEMMA 5. Let  $d_0 \in \mathcal{R}$ , and  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ . Then there exists  $d \in \mathcal{R}$ ,  $d > d_0$ , such that  $k(X, d) \leq n + \varepsilon_0$ .

*Proof.* First we prove the following

*Claim.* There exist  $d^* \in \mathcal{R}$ ,  $d^* > d_0$ , and  $\mathcal{F}_k = \{F_1^k, \dots, F_{m_k}^k\}$  ( $k \geq 0$ ) such that

(i)  $\mathcal{F}_k$  is a cover and  $\text{ord } \mathcal{F}_k \leq n + 1$  ( $k \geq 0$ )

(ii)  $d^*\text{-diam } F_i^k \leq 1/k$  ( $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m_k$ )

(iii) For every  $\mathcal{F}' \subset \mathcal{F}_k$  with  $\bigcap \mathcal{F}' = \emptyset$ , the cover  $\{X \setminus F \mid F \in \mathcal{F}'\}$  is  $d^*$ -uniform ( $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ).

*Proof of Claim.* We will construct inductively sequences  $(d_k)_{k=0}^\infty$  of elements of  $\mathcal{R}$  and  $(\mathcal{F}_k)_{k=0}^\infty$  of cozero covers of  $X$  in the following way:  $d_0$  is given, put  $\mathcal{F}_0 = \{X\}$ ; let  $k \in N$ , and suppose  $d_0, \dots, d_{k-1}$  and  $\mathcal{F}_0, \dots, \mathcal{F}_{k-1}$  have been defined in such a way that

(a)  $\mathcal{F}_l = \{F_1^l, \dots, F_{m_l}^l\}$  is a cover and  $\text{ord } \mathcal{F}_l \leq n + 1$  ( $0 \leq l < k$ )

(b)  $(d_0 + \dots + d_{k-1})\text{-diam } F_i^l < 1/l$  ( $0 < l < k, 0 \leq i \leq m_l$ )

(c) For every  $\mathcal{F}' \subset \mathcal{F}$  such that  $\bigcap \mathcal{F}' = \emptyset$ , the cover  $\{X \setminus F \mid F \in \mathcal{F}'\}$  is  $d_l$ -uniform ( $0 < l < k$ )

(d)  $|d_l| \leq 2^{-l}$  ( $0 < l < k$ ).

Since  $d_0 + \dots + d_{k-1} \in \mathcal{R}$ , by Lemma 2, and since  $\dim X = n$ , there exists a cover  $\mathcal{F}_k = \{F_1^k, \dots, F_{m_k}^k\}$  of  $X$  such that  $\text{ord } \mathcal{F}_k \leq n + 1$  and  $(d_0 + \dots + d_{k-1})\text{-diam } F_i^k < 1/k$  ( $1 \leq i \leq m_k$ ): simply take  $\mathcal{F}_k$  to be a suitable shrinking of a finite cover  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_1, \dots, U_s\}$  with  $\text{ord } \mathcal{U} \leq n + 1$  and  $(d_0 + \dots + d_{k-1})\text{-diam } U_i < 1/k$  (compare e.g., [1, p. 267]).

Let  $0 < \delta < \min\{2^{-k}, \min\{1/l - (d_0 + \dots + d_{k-1})\text{-diam } F \mid 0 < l \leq k, F \in \mathcal{F}_l\}\}$ .

Let  $\{\mathcal{U}_1, \dots, \mathcal{U}_t\}$  be the set of all covers of the form  $\{X \setminus F \mid F \in \mathcal{F}'\}$ , where  $\mathcal{F}' \subset \mathcal{F}_k$  and  $\bigcap \mathcal{F}' = \emptyset$ . By Lemma 1, there exist  $d^i \in \mathcal{R}$  such that  $|d^i| \leq \delta/t$  and  $\mathcal{U}_i$  is  $d^i$ -uniform ( $1 \leq i \leq t$ ). Put  $d_k = d^1 + \dots + d^t$ . It is not difficult to prove that for these choices of  $\mathcal{F}_k$  and  $d_k$  the conditions (a)-(d) are satisfied for  $k$  instead of  $k - 1$ . This completes the inductive construction.

Now put  $d^* = \sum_{i=0}^\infty d_i$ . By Lemma 2,  $d^* \in \mathcal{R}$ . It is easy to see that  $d^* > d_0$ . The conditions (i)-(iii) are readily verified. This proves our claim.

Now, let as before  $\sim$  be the equivalence relation on  $X$  defined by  $x \sim y$  iff  $d^*(x, y) = 0$ . Let  $X'$  be the set of equivalence classes and  $\phi: X \rightarrow X'$  be projection. Let  $d': X' \times X' \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be defined by  $d'(\phi(x), \phi(y)) = d^*(x, y)$ . Again  $\phi$  is continuous. Let  $(X'', d'')$  be the (compact) completion of  $(X', d')$ . We will prove:  $\dim X'' \leq n$ . It will suffice to show that, for every  $k \in N$ , there exists a closed cover of  $X''$  with order  $\leq n + 1$  and such that its elements have  $d''$ -diameter not exceeding  $1/k$ . So, let  $k \in N$ . Define  $G_i = \text{Cl}(\phi(F_i^k))$  ( $1 \leq i \leq m_k$ ), where the closure is taken in  $X''$ , and put  $\mathcal{G} = \{G_1, \dots, G_{m_k}\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{G}$  is a closed cover of  $X''$ , and  $d''\text{-diam } G_i = d''\text{-diam } \phi(F_i^k) = d'\text{-diam } \phi(F_i^k) = d^*\text{-diam } F_i^k \leq 1/k$ .

It is left to prove that  $\text{ord } \mathcal{G} \leq n + 1$ . Let  $\mathcal{G}' \subset \mathcal{G}, |\mathcal{G}'| = n + 2$ . For convenience we assume that  $\mathcal{G}' = \{G_1, \dots, G_{n+2}\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}' = \{F_1^k, \dots, F_{n+2}^k\}$ . Since  $\text{ord } \mathcal{F}_k \leq n + 1, \bigcap \mathcal{F}' = \emptyset$ . Thus the cover  $\{X \setminus F_i^k \mid 1 \leq i \leq n + 2\}$  is  $d^*$ -uniform and there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for all  $x \in X$   $U_\delta^{d^*}(x) \subset X \setminus F_i^k$  for some  $i$  with  $1 \leq i \leq n + 2$ .

Suppose  $\bigcap \mathcal{G}' \neq \emptyset$ , say  $z \in \bigcap \mathcal{G}'$ . Since  $G_i = \text{Cl}(\phi(F_i^k))$ , there exists  $x_i \in F_i^k$  such that  $d''(\phi(x_i), z) < \delta/2$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n + 2$ ). Thus

$d^*(x_i, x_j) = d'(\phi(x_i), \phi(x_j)) < \delta$  for  $1 \leq i, j \leq n + 2$ . It follows that  $U_\delta^{d^*}(x_1) \cap F_i^k \neq \emptyset$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n + 2$ ), which is a contradiction. So  $\cap \mathcal{S}' = \emptyset$ , and  $\text{ord } \mathcal{S} \leq n + 1$ . This proves  $\dim X'' \leq n$ .

Thus  $\phi: X \rightarrow X''$  is a continuous map into the compact metric space  $X''$ , which satisfies  $\dim X'' \leq n$ . By Theorem A, there exists a metric  $d'$  on  $X''$  with  $k(X'', d') \leq n + \epsilon_0$ . Put  $d(x, y) = d'(\phi(x), \phi(y))$  for  $x, y \in X$ . From the compactness of  $X''$  and the continuity of  $\phi$  it follows that  $d \in \mathcal{R}$ . Also  $d' > d''$  on  $X''$ , again since  $X''$  is compact. From the formulas  $d^*(x, y) = d''(\phi(x), \phi(y))$  and  $d(x, y) = d'(\phi(x), \phi(y))$  it follows then that  $d > d^*$ . Since  $d^* > d_0$ , we also have  $d > d_0$ . Furthermore, just as before,  $k(X, d) = k(X'', d') \leq n + \epsilon_0$ . This completes the proof of Lemma 5.

Combining Lemma 4 and Lemma 5, finally, we get the proof of Theorem 1.

REMARK. If  $X$  is a compact, nonempty, metrizable space, then

(a) all (pseudo) metrics on  $X$  are totally bounded

(b) for every two metrics  $d_1$  and  $d_2$ , we have  $d_1 > d_2$

(c) for every metric  $d$  and every pseudometric  $d'$ ,  $d' > d$  implies that  $d'$  is a metric, compatible with the topology.

(N. B. all these (pseudo) metrics are supposed to be continuous.)

We did prove:

$$\dim X = \sup\{\inf\{k(X, d) \mid d > d_0, d \in \mathcal{R} \mid d_0 \in \mathcal{R}\}\}.$$

It follows, that for fixed  $d_1 \in \mathcal{R}$

$$\dim X = \sup\{\inf\{k(X, d) \mid d > d_0, d \in \mathcal{R}\} \mid d_0 > d_1, d_0 \in \mathcal{R}\}.$$

(Here the fact that the pseudo-order  $>$  is directed (cf. Lemma 1) is needed.) Now, if we take  $d_1$  to be a fixed metric for  $X$ , we infer from (a)-(c):

$$\begin{aligned} \dim X &= \sup\{\inf\{k(X, d) \mid d > d_0, d \in \mathcal{R}\} \mid d_0 > d_1, d_0 \in \mathcal{R}\} \\ &= \inf\{k(X, d) \mid d \text{ is a metric for } X\} \end{aligned}$$

which is Theorem A. Thus our result includes Theorem A as a special case.

4. The separable metrizable case. In the case of a separable metrizable space  $X$  another, more direct generalization of Theorem A is available. Namely, we have

THEOREM 2. *Let  $X$  be a nonempty, separable metrizable space. Then  $\dim X = \inf\{k(X, d) \mid d \text{ is a totally bounded metric for } X\}$ .*

*Proof.* Denote  $k(X) = \inf\{k(X, d) \mid d \text{ is a totally bounded metric for } X\}$ . First we prove:  $k(X) \leq \dim X$ . If  $\dim X = \infty$ , we have nothing to prove. So suppose  $\dim X = n \geq 0$ . Let  $\tilde{X}$  be a metrizable compactification of  $X$  with  $\dim \tilde{X} = n$  [2, p. 65]. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $d_0$  be a metric for  $\tilde{X}$  such that  $k(\tilde{X}, d_0) \leq n + \varepsilon$  (Theorem A). The restriction of  $d_0$  to  $X$  is totally bounded, and by Lemma 3,  $k(X, d_0|_{X \times X}) = k(\tilde{X}, d_0) \leq n + \varepsilon$ . Thus  $k(X) \leq n = \dim X$ .

Next we prove:  $k(X) \geq \dim X$ . Let  $d$  be any totally bounded metric for  $X$ . The completion  $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{d})$  of  $(X, d)$  is then compact, so  $k(\tilde{X}, \tilde{d}) \geq \dim X$ , again by Theorem A. By Lemma 3,  $k(X, d) = k(\tilde{X}, \tilde{d})$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

#### REFERENCES

1. R. Engelking, *Outline of General Topology*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1968.
2. W. Hurewicz and H. Wallman, *Dimension Theory*, Princeton, 1948.
3. M. Katětov, *On the dimension of non-separable metric spaces II*, Czechosl. Math. J., (6) **81** (1956), 485-516 (Russian).
4. L. Pontrjagin and L. Schnirelmann, *Sur une propriété métrique de la dimension*, Ann. of Math., (2) **33** (1932), 152-162.

Received June 7, 1978 and in revised form February 20, 1979.

UNIVERSITEIT VAN AMSTERDAM  
ROETERSSTRAAT 15, AMSTERDAM





# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

## EDITORS

DONALD BABBITT (Managing Editor)

University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90024

HUGO ROSSI

University of Utah  
Salt Lake City, UT 84112

C. C. MOORE and ANDREW OGG

University of California  
Berkeley, CA 94720

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics  
University of Southern California  
Los Angeles, CA 90007

R. FINN and J. MILGRAM

Stanford University  
Stanford, CA 94305

## ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO  
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY  
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA  
STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII  
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO  
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH  
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

---

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

---

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Please propose a heading for the odd numbered pages of less than 35 characters. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. 39. Supply name and address of author to whom proofs should be sent. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints to each author are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

---

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$84.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$42.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924, U.S.A. Older back numbers obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.).

8-8, 3-chome, Takadanobaba, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

Copyright © 1979 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics  
Manufactured and first issued in Japan

Somesh Chandra Bagchi and Alladi Sitaram, <i>Spherical mean periodic functions on semisimple Lie groups</i> .....	241
Billy Joe Ball, <i>Quasicompactifications and shape theory</i> .....	251
Maureen A. Bardwell, <i>The <math>o</math>-primitive components of a regular ordered permutation group</i> .....	261
Peter W. Bates and James R. Ward, <i>Periodic solutions of higher order systems</i> .....	275
Jeroen Bruijning, <i>A characterization of dimension of topological spaces by totally bounded pseudometrics</i> .....	283
Thomas Farmer, <i>On the reduction of certain degenerate principal series representations of <math>SP(n, C)</math></i> .....	291
Richard P. Jerrard and Mark D. Meyerson, <i>Homotopy with <math>m</math>-functions</i> .....	305
James Edgar Keesling and Sibe Mardesic, <i>A shape fibration with fibers of different shape</i> .....	319
Guy Loupias, <i>Cohomology over Banach crossed products. Application to bounded derivations and crossed homomorphisms</i> .....	333
Rainer Löwen, <i>Symmetric planes</i> .....	367
Alan L. T. Paterson, <i>Amenable groups for which every topological left invariant mean is invariant</i> .....	391
Jack Ray Porter and R. Grant Woods, <i>Ultra-Hausdorff <math>H</math>-closed extensions</i> .....	399
Calvin R. Putnam, <i>Operators satisfying a <math>G_1</math> condition</i> .....	413
Melvin Gordon Rothenberg and Jonathan David Sondow, <i>Nonlinear smooth representations of compact Lie groups</i> .....	427
Werner Rupp, <i>Riesz-presentation of additive and <math>\sigma</math>-additive set-valued measures</i> .....	445
A. M. Russell, <i>A commutative Banach algebra of functions of generalized variation</i> .....	455
Judith D. Sally, <i>Superregular sequences</i> .....	465
Patrick Shanahan, <i>On the signature of Grassmannians</i> .....	483