

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

COMPACT AND WEAKLY COMPACT DERIVATIONS OF C^* -ALGEBRAS

CHARLES A. AKEMANN AND STEVE WRIGHT

COMPACT AND WEAKLY COMPACT DERIVATIONS OF C^* -ALGEBRAS

CHARLES A. AKEMANN AND STEVE WRIGHT

In a forthcoming paper, the second-named author asks if every compact derivation of a C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} into a Banach \mathcal{A} -module X is the uniform limit of finite-rank derivations. We answer this question affirmatively in the present paper when $X = \mathcal{A}$ by characterizing the structure of compact derivations of C^* -algebras. In addition, the structure of weakly compact derivations of C^* -algebras is determined, and as immediate corollaries of these results, necessary and sufficient conditions are given for a C^* -algebra to admit a nonzero compact or weakly compact derivation.

To fix our notation, we recall some basic definitions. A derivation of a C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} is a linear map $\delta: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ for which $\delta(ab) = a\delta(b) + \delta(a)b$, $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$. If $x \in \mathcal{A}$, the map $a \rightarrow ax - xa$, $a \in \mathcal{A}$, defines a derivation of \mathcal{A} which we denote by adx .

By an ideal of a C^* -algebra, we always mean a uniformly closed, two-sided ideal.

A C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} is said to *act atomically* on a Hilbert space H if there exists an orthogonal family $\{P_\alpha\}$ of projections in $B(H)$, each commuting with \mathcal{A} , such that $\bigoplus_\alpha P_\alpha$ is the identity operator on H , $\mathcal{A}P_\alpha$ acts irreducibly on $P_\alpha(H)$, and $\mathcal{A}P_\alpha$ is not unitarily equivalent to $\mathcal{A}P_\beta$ for $\alpha \neq \beta$.

If $\{\mathcal{A}_n\}$ is a sequence of C^* -algebras, $\bigoplus_n \mathcal{A}_n$ denotes the C^* -direct sum of the \mathcal{A}_n 's, i.e., $\bigoplus_n \mathcal{A}_n$ is the C^* -algebra of all uniformly bounded sequences $\{a_n\}$, $a_n \in \mathcal{A}_n$, equipped with pointwise operations and the norm $\|\{a_n\}\| = \sup_n \|a_n\|$. $\widehat{\bigoplus_n \mathcal{A}_n}$ denotes the C^* -subalgebra of $\bigoplus_n \mathcal{A}_n$ formed by all sequences $\{a_n\}$ with $\|a_n\| \rightarrow 0$.

Acknowledgment. The second-named author wishes to express his deep gratitude to V. S. Sunder for the warm hospitality he extended to that author during a stay at the University of California at Santa Barbara, which resulted in the initiation of the present work.

2. Compact derivations. The following lemma is due to Ho ([3], Corollary 1):

LEMMA 2.1. *Let H denote an infinite dimensional Hilbert space, $B(H)$ the algebra of all bounded linear operators on H . If δ is a compact derivations of $B(H)$, then $\delta \equiv 0$.*

Let $M_n = n \times n$ complex matrices, and let $\mathcal{A} = \hat{\bigoplus}_n M_n$ denote the restricted C^* -direct sum of $\{M_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$. If $x = (x_n) \in \mathcal{A}$, then adx is a compact derivation of \mathcal{A} and is the uniform limit of the finite-rank derivations $\delta_n = ad(x_1, \dots, x_n, 0, 0, \dots)$. The following theorem, which determines the structure of compact derivations of C^* -algebras, shows that this seemingly very special example actually typifies the behavior of an arbitrary compact derivation.

Recall that a projection p of a C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} is said to be *finite-dimensional* if $p\mathcal{A}p$ is finite-dimensional, and *has dimension* n if $p\mathcal{A}p$ has dimension n .

THEOREM 2.2. *Let \mathcal{A} be a C^* -algebra, $\delta: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ a compact derivation. Then there is an orthogonal sequence $\{x_n\}$ of minimal, finite-dimensional, central projections of \mathcal{A} and an element d of \mathcal{A} such that $\delta = add$ and $\sum_n x_n d$ converges uniformly to d .*

Proof. Let π denote the reduced atomic representation of \mathcal{A} ([5], p. 35). π is constructed as follows: partition the class of irreducible representations of \mathcal{A} according to unitary equivalence, and from each equivalence class, choose a representation π_α , acting on a Hilbert space H_α . Then $\pi = \bigoplus_\alpha \pi_\alpha$, with π acting on $H = \bigoplus_\alpha H_\alpha$. Since π is a faithful $*$ -representation of \mathcal{A} , we may hence assume with no loss of generality that \mathcal{A} acts atomically on a Hilbert space $H = \bigoplus_\alpha H_\alpha$.

Letting \mathcal{A}^- denote the closure of \mathcal{A} in the weak operator topology, we assert that δ extends to a compact derivation $\tilde{\delta}$ of \mathcal{A}^- . Identifying \mathcal{A} in the usual way with a subalgebra of \mathcal{A}^{**} , the enveloping von Neumann algebra of \mathcal{A} , we may extend the inclusion $\mathcal{A} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}^{**}$ to a representation π_w of \mathcal{A}^{**} onto \mathcal{A}^- which is $\sigma(\mathcal{A}^{**}, \mathcal{A}^*)$ -ultraweakly continuous ([6], p. 53). Let $1 - z$ be the support projection of $\ker \pi_w$. Then z is central in \mathcal{A}^{**} and $\mathcal{A}^{**}z$ is isomorphic to \mathcal{A}^- via the isomorphism $az \rightarrow \pi_w(a)$, $a \in \mathcal{A}^{**}$. Now $\delta^{**}|_{\mathcal{A}^{**}z}$ is a compact derivation of $\mathcal{A}^{**}z$. Thus, if we define $\tilde{\delta}: \mathcal{A}^- \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^-$ by

$$\tilde{\delta}: \pi_w(az) \longrightarrow \pi_w(z\delta^{**}(a)), a \in \mathcal{A}^{**},$$

it follows that $\tilde{\delta}$ is a compact derivation of \mathcal{A}^- which extends δ .

Since \mathcal{A} acts atomically on $H = \bigoplus_\alpha H_\alpha$, by Corollary 4 of [2], $\mathcal{A}^- = \bigoplus_\alpha B(H_\alpha)$. Let q_α = the orthogonal projection of H onto H_α . Since $\tilde{\delta}$ is ultraweakly continuous and q_α commutes with $\delta(\mathcal{A}) = \tilde{\delta}(\mathcal{A})$, q_α commutes with $\tilde{\delta}(\mathcal{A}^-)$, so that if $\tilde{\delta}_\alpha$ denotes the restriction of $\tilde{\delta}$ to $B(H_\alpha)$, then $\tilde{\delta} = \bigoplus_\alpha \tilde{\delta}_\alpha$.

Since $\tilde{\delta}$ is compact, its restriction $\tilde{\delta}_\alpha$ is a compact derivation

of $B(H_{\alpha_n})$. Furthermore, the compactness of $\tilde{\delta}$ implies that for each $\varepsilon > 0$, $\{\alpha: \|\tilde{\delta}_\alpha\| > \varepsilon\}$ is finite (see Lemma 3.2 in the next section). In particular, $\{\alpha: \|\tilde{\delta}_\alpha\| > 0\}$ is countable, say $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$, and setting $\tilde{\delta}_n = \tilde{\delta}_{\alpha_n}$, we have $\lim_n \|\tilde{\delta}_n\| = 0$. Since $\tilde{\delta}_n \neq 0$, we conclude by Lemma 2.1 that H_{α_n} is finite-dimensional for each n .

We assert next that $\tilde{\delta}(\mathcal{A}^-) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$. This will follow from the identification of \mathcal{A}^- with $\mathcal{A}^{**}z$ via π_w as defined above, provided $\delta^{**}(\mathcal{A}^{**}) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$. But by the Kaplansky density theorem, the unit ball \mathcal{A}_1^{**} of \mathcal{A}^{**} is the $\sigma(\mathcal{A}^{**}, \mathcal{A}^*)$ -closure of the unit ball \mathcal{A}_1 of \mathcal{A} , and so by [1], Theorem 6, p. 486, and the compactness of δ^* ,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^{**}(\mathcal{A}_1^{**}) &= \delta^{**}(\sigma(\mathcal{A}^{**}, \mathcal{A}^*)\text{-closure of } \mathcal{A}_1) \\ &\subseteq \text{uniform closure of } \delta^{**}(\mathcal{A}_1) \\ &= \text{uniform closure of } \delta(\mathcal{A}_1) \end{aligned}$$

so that $\tilde{\delta}(\mathcal{A}^-) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$.

Let $q_n = q_{\alpha_n}$. We claim that $q_n \in \mathcal{A}$. This is true since $\mathcal{A} \cap B(H_{\alpha_n})$ is a nonzero ideal of $B(H_{\alpha_n})$ (it contains the range of $\tilde{\delta}_n \neq 0$, since $\tilde{\delta}(\mathcal{A}^-) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$), whence $B(H_{\alpha_n}) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$. Set $x_n = q_n$.

It follows that $\{x_n\}$ is an orthogonal sequence of minimal, finite-dimensional, central projections in \mathcal{A} . Choose $d_n \in B(H_{\alpha_n}) = \mathcal{A}x_n$ such that $\tilde{\delta}_n = ad d_n$ and $\|d_n\| \leq \|\tilde{\delta}_n\|$. Since d_n is in $B(H_{\alpha_n})$, $\{d_n\}$ is an orthogonal sequence, and since $\|\tilde{\delta}_n\| \rightarrow 0$, $\sum_n d_n$ converges uniformly to an element $d \in \mathcal{A}$. But then

$$\begin{aligned} \delta &= \tilde{\delta}|_a = \bigoplus_n \tilde{\delta}_n|_a = \bigoplus_n ad d_n|_a \\ &= ad\left(\bigoplus_n d_n\right)|_a = ad d|_a. \end{aligned}$$

COROLLARY 2.3. *Every compact derivation of a C^* -algebra is the uniform limit of finite-rank derivations of that algebra.*

COROLLARY 2.4. *A C^* -algebra admits nonzero compact derivations if and only if it contains nonzero finite-dimensional central projections.*

Motivated by the concept of strong amenability of C^* -algebras, in [7] a derivation δ of a unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} was called *strongly inner* if $\delta = adx$ for x in the uniformly closed convex hull of $\{\delta(u)u^*: u \text{ a unitary element of } \mathcal{A}\}$. Thus by Corollary 2.3 above and Corollary 2.5 of [7], we deduce

COROLLARY 2.5. *Every compact derivation of a unital C^* -algebra is strongly inner.*

3. **Weakly compact derivations.** In this section, the structure of weakly compact derivations of C^* -algebras is determined.

Let H be a Hilbert space, $B(H)$ the algebra of bounded linear operators on H , and let \mathcal{K} denote the ideal of compact operators in $B(H)$. The first theorem gives an analog of Ho's theorem for weakly compact derivations of $B(H)$.

THEOREM 3.1. *Let δ be a derivation of $B(H)$. The following are equivalent:*

- (1) δ is weakly compact.
- (2) The range of δ is contained in \mathcal{K} .
- (3) $\delta = ad T$ with $T \in \mathcal{K}$ (and $\|T\| \leq \|\delta\|$).

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). Since δ is inner, $\delta(\mathcal{K}) \subseteq \mathcal{K}$, and $\mathcal{K}^{**} = B(H)$, whence $\delta = (\delta|_{\mathcal{K}})^{**}$. Now $\delta|_{\mathcal{K}}$ is weakly compact, so by Theorem 2, p. 482 of [1], $\delta = (\delta|_{\mathcal{K}})^{**}$ maps $B(H)$ into \mathcal{K} .

(2) \Rightarrow (3). This is an immediate consequence of Lemma 3.2 of [4].

(3) \Rightarrow (1). By considering real and imaginary parts of T , we may assume that T is self-adjoint. Since T is compact, the spectral theorem allows us to approximate T uniformly by linear combinations of finite-rank projections, and so we may approximate $\delta = ad T$ uniformly by linear combinations of derivations of the form $ad p$, p a finite-rank projection. By [1], Corollary 4, p. 483, we may hence assume that T is a finite-rank projection. But then $\delta = ad T$ is a sum of derivations of the form $ad p$, p a rank-one projection, so we assume that $T = p$ is a rank-one projection.

Let X denote the Banach space $H \oplus H$ endowed with the norm $\|(x, y)\| = \max\{\|x\|, \|y\|\}$. Simple matricial computations show the existence of a one-dimensional subspace S of $pB(H) + B(H)p$ such that $(pB(H) + B(H)p)/S$ is isometrically Banach space isomorphic to X , and is hence reflexive. It follows that $pB(H) + B(H)p$ is reflexive. Since $\delta = ad p$ maps $B(H)$ into $pB(H) + B(H)p$, we conclude by [1], Corollary 3, p. 483 that δ is weakly compact.

LEMMA 3.2. *Let $\{H_\alpha\}$ be a family of Hilbert spaces, and let $\delta: \bigoplus_\alpha B(H_\alpha) \rightarrow \bigoplus_\alpha B(H_\alpha)$ be a weakly compact derivation. Then for all $\varepsilon > 0$, $\{\alpha: \|\delta|_{B(H_\alpha)}\| > \varepsilon\}$ is finite.*

Proof. Suppose the lemma is false. Then there exists a sequence $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=2}^\infty$ of indices and $a = (a_\alpha) \in \bigoplus_\alpha B(H_\alpha)$ such that $\|\delta(a)_{\alpha_n}\| > 1$, for all n .

Since any compression of δ is weakly compact, we can assume that δ acts on $\bigoplus_n B(H_{\alpha_n})$. Let $\bigoplus_n \hat{B}(H_{\alpha_n})$ denote the restricted direct sum

of $\{B(H_{\alpha_n})\}$. Then since $\|\delta(a)_{\alpha_n}\| > 1$, for all n , there is a linear functional f such that $f(\delta(a)) = 1$ and f vanishes on $\bigoplus_n \widehat{B(H_{\alpha_n})}$.

Define $\{b_k\} \subseteq \bigoplus_n B(H_{\alpha_n})$ by

$$(b_k)_{\alpha_n} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } n < k, \\ a_{\alpha_n}, & \text{if } n \geq k. \end{cases}$$

Then $b_k \rightarrow 0$ in the weak operator topology (WOT), and so $\delta(b_k) \rightarrow 0(\text{WOT})$ (since δ is inner, it is WOT-continuous), whence by weak compactness of δ , $\delta(b_k) \rightarrow 0$ weakly. But $\delta(b_k) - \delta(a) \in \widehat{\bigoplus_n B(H_{\alpha_n})}$, for all k , and so by the choice of f , $f(\delta(b_k)) = f(\delta(a)) = 1$, for all k , a contradiction.

The next theorem determines the structure of weakly compact derivations.

THEOREM 3.3. *Let $\delta: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be a derivation of a C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} . The following are equivalent:*

(1) δ is weakly compact.

(2) There exists a sequence $\{I_n\}$ of orthogonal ideals of \mathcal{A} such that each I_n is isomorphic to the C^* -algebra \mathcal{K}_n of compact operators on a Hilbert space H_n , and an element $d \in \widehat{\bigoplus_n I_n} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ with $\delta = ad$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). We use Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 3.2 to adopt the proof of Theorem 2.2 to the present situation. As before, we may assume that \mathcal{A} acts atomically on $H = \bigoplus_\alpha H_\alpha$. As in the proof of Theorem 2.2, we extend δ to a weakly compact derivation $\tilde{\delta}$ of \mathcal{A}^- and use Lemma 3.2 to deduce the existence of a countable set $\{\alpha_n\}$ of indices such that $\tilde{\delta}_\alpha \equiv 0$ except when $\alpha = \alpha_n$, $\tilde{\delta}_n = \tilde{\delta}_{\alpha_n}$ is a weakly compact derivation of $B(H_{\alpha_n})$, and $\|\tilde{\delta}_n\| \rightarrow 0$. By the weak compactness of $\tilde{\delta}$ and [1], Theorem 2, p. 482, we also deduce as before that $\tilde{\delta}(\mathcal{A}^-) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$. By Theorem 3.1, $\tilde{\delta}_n$ has range in $\mathcal{K}_n =$ compact operators in $B(H_{\alpha_n})$ and $\tilde{\delta}_n = ad c_n$ for $c_n \in \mathcal{K}_n$ with $\|c_n\| \leq \|\tilde{\delta}_n\|$. Since $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{K}_n$ is a nonzero ideal of $\mathcal{A}q_{\alpha_n} \cong \mathcal{K}_n$ (it contains the range of $\tilde{\delta}_n \neq 0$), $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{K}_n$ is a nonzero ideal of \mathcal{K}_n , whence $\mathcal{K}_n \subseteq \mathcal{A}$. Thus $I_n = \mathcal{K}_n$ and $d = \sum_n c_n$ satisfy the conditions of (2) for δ .

(2) \Rightarrow (1). Since $d = \sum_n d_n \in \bigoplus_n I_n$, $\delta = ad d$ is the uniform limit of the derivations $\delta_n = ad(\sum_1^n d_k)$, and so it suffices to show that each $ad d_n$ is weakly compact.

We suppress the k 's and assume with no loss of generality that $d \geq 0$. Theorem 3.1 implies that every inner derivation of \mathcal{K} is weakly compact, and so $ad d|_I$ is weakly compact. It hence follows by induction and the formula

$$ad d^{n+1} = d^n ad d + (ad d^n)d$$

that $ad d^n|_I$ is weakly compact for all positive integers n . We conclude that $ad d^{1/2}|_I$ is weakly compact; but since

$$ad d(a) = ad d^{1/2}(ad^{1/2}) + ad d^{1/2}(d^{1/2}a)$$

and $d^{1/2}a, ad^{1/2} \in I$, for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$, it follows that $ad d$ is weakly compact on \mathcal{A} .

COROLLARY 3.4. *A C^* -algebra admits nonzero weakly compact derivations if and only if it contains a nonzero ideal isomorphic to the C^* -algebra of compact operators on a Hilbert space.*

The next two corollaries determine the von Neumann algebras which admit nonzero compact or weakly compact derivations. We first preface them with the following remarks.

Let R be a von Neumann algebra, and let $R = R_I \oplus R_{II} \oplus R_{III}$ be the decomposition of R into its type I, II, and III parts. Since R_I is type I, there exists a family $\{p_\alpha\}$ of pairwise orthogonal central projections in R_I such that $\bigoplus_\alpha p_\alpha = \text{identity of } R_I$ and $p_\alpha R_I \cong p_\alpha Z_I \otimes B(H_\alpha)$ (\cong denotes isomorphism), where H_α is a Hilbert space and $Z_I = \text{center of } R_I$ ([6], §2.3). We set $R_\alpha = p_\alpha R_I$ ($Z_\alpha = p_\alpha Z_I$) and call $\{R_\alpha\}$ ($\{Z_\alpha\}$) the *discrete components* of R_I (Z_I).

COROLLARY 3.5. *A von Neumann algebra R admits a nonzero weakly compact derivation if and only if a discrete component of the center of its type I part contains a one-dimensional projection.*

Proof. (\Rightarrow). Let $\delta: R \rightarrow R$ be a nonzero weakly compact derivation. We show first that $\delta \equiv 0$ on R_{II} and R_{III} . Suppose $\delta \not\equiv 0$ on R_{II} . δ maps R_{II} into R_{II} , so $\delta|_{R_{II}}$ is a nonzero weakly compact derivation of R_{II} . Hence by Corollary 3.4, R_{II} contains an ideal \mathcal{I} isomorphic to the C^* -algebra of compact operators on some Hilbert space H . If $\mathcal{I}^{-\sigma}$ denotes the ultraweak closure of \mathcal{I} , then $\mathcal{I}^{-\sigma}$ is an ultraweakly closed ideal of R_{II} such that $\mathcal{I}^{-\sigma} \cong \mathcal{I}^{**} \cong B(H)$, and so $\mathcal{I}^{-\sigma}$ is a type I direct summand of R_{II} , which is impossible. The same argument shows that $\delta \equiv 0$ on R_{III} .

We conclude that $\delta|_{R_I}$ is a nonzero weakly compact derivation of R_I , so there is no loss of generality by assuming that $R = Z \otimes B(H)$ for an abelian von Neumann algebra Z and a Hilbert space H . Applying Corollary 3.4 and reasoning as before, we find a projection $p \in Z$ such that $pZ \otimes B(H) \cong B(K)$ for some Hilbert space K . Thus $pZ \otimes B(H)$ is a factor, whence pZ is one-dimensional.

(\Leftarrow). If p is a one-dimensional projection of the discrete component Z_α corresponding to $Z_\alpha \otimes B(H_\alpha)$ and T_α is a nonzero compact operator on H_α , it is immediate from Theorem 3.1 that $ad(p \otimes T_\alpha)$

is a nonzero weakly compact derivation of R .

COROLLARY 3.6. *A von Neumann algebra admits a nonzero compact derivation if and only if its type I part has a nonzero finite-dimensional discrete component.*

REFERENCES

1. N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, *Linear Operators, Part I*, Interscience, New York, 1963.
2. J. Glimm and R. V. Kadison, *Unitary operators in C^* -algebras*, Pacific J. Math., **10** (1960), 547-556.
3. Y. Ho, *A note on derivations*, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica, **5** (1977), 1-5.
4. B. E. Johnson and S. K. Parrot, *Operators commuting with a von Neumann algebra modulo the compact operators*, J. Functional Anal., **11** (1972), 39-61.
5. R. V. Kadison and J. R. Ringrose, *Derivations and automorphisms of operator algebras*, Commun. Math. Phys., **4** (1967), 32-63.
6. S. Sakai, *C^* -algebras and W^* -algebras*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1971.
7. S. Wright, *Banach-module-valued derivations on C^* -algebras*, to appear in Illinois J. Math.

Received November 17, 1978. The first author was partially supported by NSF grant MCS 78-01870. The second author was partially supported by an Oakland University faculty research fellowship.

OAKLAND UNIVERSITY
ROCHESTER, MI 48063

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

DONALD BABBITT (Managing Editor)

University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90024

HUGO ROSSI

University of Utah
Salt Lake City, UT 84112

C. C. MOORE and ANDREW OGG

University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, CA 90007

R. FINN and J. MILGRAM

Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Please propose a heading for the odd numbered pages of less than 35 characters. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. 39. Supply name and address of author to whom proofs should be sent. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints to each author are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$84.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$42.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924, U.S.A. Older back numbers obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.).
8-8, 3-chome, Takadanobaba, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

Copyright © 1979 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics
Manufactured and first issued in Japan

Charles A. Akemann and Steve Wright, <i>Compact and weakly compact derivations of C^*-algebras</i>	253
Dwight Richard Bean, Andrzej Ehrenfeucht and George Frank McNulty, <i>Avoidable patterns in strings of symbols</i>	261
Richard Clark Brown, <i>Notes on generalized boundary value problems in Banach spaces. I. Adjoint and extension theory</i>	295
Kenneth Alexander Brown and John William Lawrence, <i>Injective hulls of group rings</i>	323
Jacob Burbea, <i>The Schwarzian derivative and the Poincaré metric</i>	345
Stefan Andrus Burr, <i>On the completeness of sequences of perturbed polynomial values</i>	355
Peter H. Chang, <i>On the characterizations of the breakdown points of quasilinear wave equations</i>	361
Joseph Nicholas Fadyn, <i>The projectivity of $\text{Ext}(T, A)$ as a module over $E(T)$</i>	383
Donald Eugene Maurer, <i>Arithmetic properties of the idèle discriminant</i>	393
Stuart Rankin, Clive Reis and Gabriel Thierrin, <i>Right subdirectly irreducible semigroups</i>	403
David Lee Rector, <i>Homotopy theory of rigid profinite spaces. I</i>	413
Raymond Moos Redheffer and Wolfgang V. Walter, <i>Comparison theorems for parabolic functional inequalities</i>	447
H. M. (Hari Mohan) Srivastava, <i>Some generalizations of Carlitz's theorem</i>	471
James Alan Wood, <i>Unbounded multipliers on commutative Banach algebras</i>	479
T. Yoshimoto, <i>Vector-valued ergodic theorems for operators satisfying norm conditions</i>	485
Jerry Searcy and B. Andreas Troesch, <i>Correction to: "A cyclic inequality and a related eigenvalue problem"</i>	501
Leslie Wilson, <i>Corrections to: "Nonopenness of the set of Thom-Boardman maps"</i>	501