

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**ON ACTION OF  $SL(2)$  ON COMPLETE ALGEBRAIC  
VARIETIES**

A. BIAŁYNICKI-BIRULA

## ON ACTION OF $SL(2)$ ON COMPLETE ALGEBRAIC VARIETIES

A. BIAŁYNICKI-BIRULA

*Dedicated to Gerhard Hochschild on the occasion of his 65th birthday*

The aim of this paper is to provide full proofs of results announced in [2]. Some theorems are proved under weaker assumptions. The results lead to a decomposition theorem for actions of  $SL(2)$  similar to that proved in [1] for torus actions. However even in this case of  $SL(2)$  and in a greater extent in the case of actions of arbitrary semisimple groups the results are not so full and many questions are left open. Some of them are mentioned in the paper.

All considered algebraic varieties and morphisms are assumed to be defined over an algebraically closed field  $k$  (of any characteristic). Let  $G$  denote a connected semisimple algebraic group. Let  $\alpha: G \times X \rightarrow X$  be an action of  $G$  on a complete algebraic variety  $X$ . For  $g \in G$  and  $x \in X$  we shall write  $g(x)$  or  $gx$  instead of  $\alpha(g, x)$ . The subvariety of fixed points of the action is denoted by  $X^G$ . The orbit  $Gx$  of  $x \in X$  is said to be closed if it is closed in  $X$ . A closed orbit is said to be nontrivial if it is not composed of one point.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $G, X, \alpha$  be as above. Assume that there exists a dense orbit in  $X$ . Then  $X^G$  is finite (but possibly empty) and if the action of  $G$  on  $X$  is not trivial then  $X$  contains a nontrivial closed orbit.*

*Proof.* Let us assume first that  $X$  is normal. Then it follows from Lemma 8 of [9] that  $X$  can be covered by open quasi-projective  $G$ -invariant subvarieties. Since  $X$  is quasi-compact,  $X$  can be covered by a finite number of these and in order to prove that  $X^G$  is finite it is enough to prove that the result is true when  $X$  is quasi-projective. Let  $X$  be quasi-projective. Then  $X$  can be imbedded in a  $G$ -invariant way into some projective space  $P^n$  equipped with a (linear) action of  $G$ . We are going to fix such an imbedding and consider  $X$  as a locally-closed subset of  $P^n$ . Let  $a \in X^G \subset P^n$ . It is sufficient to prove that there is an open  $G$ -invariant neighborhood  $U$  of  $a$  in  $X$  such that  $U \cap X^G = \{a\}$ . It follows from Mumford conjecture (proved by Habush [5]) that there exists a  $G$ -invariant hypersurface  $V \subset P^n$  such that  $a \notin V$ . The closure  $\bar{X}$  of  $X$  in  $P^n$  is closed and the difference  $\bar{X} - V$  is affine. Since any two closed  $G$ -invariant orbits in  $\bar{X} - V$  have different images in the quotient  $(\bar{X} - V)/G$  (see [3]) and since  $\bar{X} - V$  contains a dense orbit, we have

$(X - V)^G = \{a\}$ . Thus we may take  $U = X - V$  and the proof of the first part of the theorem in the case where  $X$  is normal is complete.

Now we shall prove the second part of the theorem in the normal case. Assume that the action is not trivial. Let  $T \subset X$  be a non-trivial orbit of the smallest dimension. Then  $T \cup X^G$  is closed and hence complete. Let us assume that  $T$  is not closed. Then there exists  $a \in \bar{T} \cap X^G$ . Let  $U$  be a quasi-affine  $G$ -invariant neighborhood of  $a$  found as in the first part of the proof. Then  $T \subset U$  and hence  $T$  is quasi-affine. But the difference  $\bar{T} - T$  is a finite subset of  $X^G$  hence  $\dim \bar{T} = \dim T = 1$  (if a completion of a quasi-affine variety is finite then the variety is of dimension one). But any one-dimensional orbit of a semisimple group is isomorphic to  $P^1$  and this gives a contradiction, since we have assumed that the orbit is not closed. Thus the theorem is proved in the normal case.

In the general case it is enough to consider the normalization  $\eta: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  of  $X$  with the induced action of  $G$  on  $\tilde{X}$ . Since  $\eta(\tilde{X}^G) = X^G$  and  $\tilde{X}^G$  is finite (because  $X$  is normal and we may apply the theorem in this case),  $X^G$  is finite. Since  $\tilde{X}$  contains a nontrivial closed orbit,  $X$  also contains such an orbit.

**COROLLARY 2.** *If the action of  $G$  on a complete variety  $X$  has no nontrivial closed orbit, then the action is trivial.*

Theorem 1 shows the importance of closed orbits in the theory of actions of semisimple groups on complete varieties. It suggests that in this theory closed orbits (not only fixed points) play a role analogous to that of fixed points in the theory of actions of multiplicative or additive groups. It can be also noticed here that in the affine case, i.e., if an action of  $G$  on an affine variety  $Y$  is given, then  $Y$  contains exactly one closed orbit whenever it contains a dense orbit (as follows easily from the Mumford conjecture). In the complete case the analogous result is not valid. Moreover the closure of an orbit may contain an infinite number of closed orbit (see [8], p. 799).

**THEOREM 3.** *Suppose moreover that the variety  $X$  is projective and assume that  $X$  contains a dense orbit. Then  $X^G$  contains at most one fixed point.*

*Proof.* Assume that  $X$  is normal. Then there exists a  $G$ -invariant imbedding  $X \hookrightarrow P^n$ , where  $n$  is an integer and  $P^n$  is equipped with a (linear) action of  $G$ . Let this action be given by  $g \rightarrow A(g)$  where  $A(g) = (a_{ij}(g))$  is a  $(n + 1) \times (n + 1)$  matrix, and

$i, j = 0, \dots, n$ . If  $[a_0, \dots, a_n] \in P^n$  is a fixed point of the action

then, for any  $g \in G$ ,  $A(g) \begin{bmatrix} a_0 \\ \vdots \\ a_n \end{bmatrix} = \lambda(g)[a_0, \dots, a_n]$  for some  $\lambda(g) \in k$  and

hence  $\lambda(g) = 1$ , since  $G$  has no nontrivial characters. We may assume that the vector subspace of  $A^{n+1}$  composed of proper vectors of  $A(g)$  for all  $g \in G$  is spanned by  $e_0 = [1, 0, \dots, 0]$ ,  $e_1 = [0, 1, 0, \dots, 0]$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $e_k = [0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0]$ . Assume that  $[a_0, \dots, a_n]$  and  $[a'_0, \dots, a'_n] \in X^G \subset P^n$ . It follows from the above that  $a_{k+1} = \dots = a_n = 0$ ,  $a'_{k+1} = \dots = a'_n = 0$ . It follows from the Mumford conjecture that there are homogeneous  $G$ -invariant polynomials  $F, F' \in k[X_0, \dots, X_n]$  such that  $F[a_0, \dots, a_n] \neq 0$ ,  $F'[a'_0, \dots, a'_n] \neq 0$ . Of course, we may assume that  $\deg F = \deg F'$ . For  $c \in k$ ,  $F + cF'$  is a homogeneous  $G$ -invariant polynomial and for some  $c \in k$ ,  $(F + cF')(a_0, \dots, a_n) \neq 0$ ,  $(F + cF')(a'_0, \dots, a'_n) \neq 0$ . Hence both  $[a_0, \dots, a_n]$  and  $[a'_0, \dots, a'_n]$  belong to an open affine  $G$ -invariant subvariety of  $X$ . This contradicts existence of exactly one closed orbit in the affine case. Hence Theorem 3 is proved for  $X$  normal. If  $X$  is not normal then let us consider the normalization  $\eta: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  of  $X$ . Since  $\eta(\tilde{X}^G) = X^G$ , and  $\tilde{X}^G$  contains at most one point, so does  $X^G$ .

It would be interesting to know if Theorem 3 holds under weaker assumption that  $X$  is complete.

Let  $X^*$  be the union of all closed orbits of the action of  $G$  on  $X$ .

**PROPOSITION 4.**  $X^*$  is a closed subset of  $X$ .

*Proof.* Let us fix a Borel subgroup  $B \subset G$ . If  $a \in X$  belongs to a closed orbit, then the isotropy subgroup  $G_a \subset G$  contains a conjugate of  $B$ , i.e., the orbit of  $a$  contains a point with the isotropy group containing  $B$ . The set  $X^B$  is closed hence complete. Let  $\beta: G/B \times X^B \rightarrow X$  be a map defined by  $\beta(gB, a) = g(a)$ . It is easy to see that the map is a well defined morphism and it follows from the above that  $\beta(G/B \times X^B) = X^*$ . But since  $G/B \times X^B$  is complete, its image is also complete hence closed in  $X$ .

**THEOREM 5.**  $X^G$  is a union of some connected components of  $X^*$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $X$  is normal. Let  $a \in X^G$ . Then there exists an open  $G$ -invariant quasi-projective neighborhood  $U$  of  $a$  in  $X$  (Lemma 8 in [9]) and there is a  $G$ -invariant imbedding  $U \hookrightarrow P^n$ . It follows from the Mumford conjecture that there exists a quasi-affine  $G$ -invariant open neighborhood of  $a$  in  $X$ . Therefore the only

closed orbits of  $G$  in  $U$  are trivial. Hence  $X^G$  is open in  $X^*$ . Since  $X^G$  is also closed, the theorem is proved in case where  $X$  is normal. In the general case one uses the already proved result for the normalization of  $X$ .

In the sequel we are going to assume that there exists an open covering  $\{U_i\}$  ( $i = 1, \dots, k$ ) of  $X$  such that for each  $i$  there exists a  $G$ -invariant embedding  $U_i \hookrightarrow P^n$ , where  $n$  is an integer. It is known that if  $X$  is normal then it has this property. Let  $T$  be a maximal torus of  $G$  and let  $G_m \hookrightarrow T$  be a one-dimensional subtorus satisfying the condition:  $X^T = X^{G_m}$  (see [4] for existence and other pertinent results). Let  $X_{G_m}^+$  be the irreducible (and connected) component of  $X^T$  corresponding to the "big" cell in the decomposition of  $X$  determined by the action of  $G_m$ . Let

$$X_0 = \bigcup_{G_m \rightarrow G} X_{G_m}^+.$$

**THEOREM 6.** *Let  $G = \mathrm{SL}(2)$ . Then  $X_0$  is a connected and irreducible component of  $X^*$ .*

*Proof.* First, we prove that  $X_{G_m}^+ \subset X^*$ . Let  $a \in X_{G_m}^+$ . Assume that  $a \notin X^*$ . Then the isotropy group of  $a$  is either  $G_m$  or the normalizer of  $G_m$  in  $G$ . The induced action of  $G$  on the tangent space  $V$  at  $a$  to the orbit of  $G$  is linear and when diagonalized then it is given by  $t(x_1, x_2) = (tx_1, t^{-1}x_2)$ , for  $t \in G_m$  and  $(x_1, x_2) \in V$ . Hence  $a$  does not belong to a "big" cell. Thus  $X_{G_m}^+ \subset X^*$ .

Now, the only parabolic subgroups of  $\mathrm{SL}(2)$  are Borel subgroups and  $\mathrm{SL}(2)$ . Hence, there are only two types of closed orbits: fixed points and  $\mathrm{SL}(2)/B \approx P^1$ . Let us assume that the action is nontrivial. Then  $X_0 \cap X^G = \emptyset$ . (If  $a \in X^G$  then the induced action of  $G$  on the tangent space  $T_{a,X}$  is either trivial or the induced action of  $G_m \hookrightarrow G$  on  $T_{a,X}$  contains vectors of both positive and negative weights.) Therefore  $X_0 \subset X^* - X^G$ . Since  $X_0$  is irreducible,  $X_0$  is contained in a connected component of  $X^*$ .

Denote the component by  $Z$ . Fix a torus  $G_m = T \subset G$  and let  $B_1, B_2$  be the Borel subgroups containing  $T$ . Then  $X_{G_m}^+ \cup Z^{B_1} \cup Z^{B_2}$  (since the isotropy group of any point from  $X_{G_m}^+$  is parabolic and hence contains either  $B_1$  or  $B_2$ ). But  $X_{G_m}^+$  is connected and  $Z^{B_1} \cap Z^{B_2} = \emptyset$  (since  $Z^{B_1} \cap Z^{B_2} = Z^G$ ). Thus either  $X_{G_m}^+ \subset Z^{B_1}$  or  $X_{G_m}^+ \subset Z^{B_2}$ . We may assume that  $X_{G_m}^+ \subset Z^{B_1}$ . But  $Z^{B_1} \subset Z^T \subset X^T$ , and  $X_{G_m}^+$  is connected component of  $X^T$ , hence  $X_{G_m}^+$  is a connected component of  $Z^{B_1}$ . Orbit of any point from  $Z$  contains a point from  $Z^{B_1}$ . Hence  $GZ^{B_1} = Z$ . Moreover  $G/B_1$  contains exactly one fixed point for  $B_1$  and any orbit of a point from  $Z$  is isomorphic to  $G/B_1$ . Therefore there exists exactly one con-

nected component of  $Z^{B_1}$ , i.e.,  $Z^{B_1}$  is connected and we have proved that  $Z^{B_1} = G_{G_m}$ . Hence  $Z^{B_1}$  is irreducible and therefore  $Z$  is irreducible. Moreover we have obtained that  $X_0 = GX_{G_m}^+ = Z$  and  $X_0$  is a connected and irreducible component of  $X$ .

**COROLLARY 7.** *If the action is not trivial and  $\text{ch}(k) = 0$ , then  $X_0$  is isomorphic to  $X_{G_m} \times P^1$ .*

Let  $X^0$  be the subset of  $X$  composed of all points  $x \in X$  such that  $\overline{Gx} \cap X_0 \neq \emptyset$ .

**THEOREM 8.**  *$X^0$  coincides with the union of all "big" cells corresponding to actions of maximal subtori induced by the given action of  $G$  on  $X$ . Hence  $X^0$  is open. In fact  $X^0$  is the smallest  $G$ -invariant neighborhood of  $X_0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $U$  be the union of all "big" cells corresponding to actions of maximal subtori. Then  $U \subset X^0$ ,  $U$  is open and  $G$ -invariant. On the other hand,  $X^0$  is contained in any open  $G$ -invariant neighborhood of  $X_0$ . Thus  $U = X^0$  and the theorem is proved.

**COROLLARY 9.** *If  $\text{ch}(k) = 0$  and  $X_0$  is rational, then  $X$  is rational. In particular, if the number of closed orbits in  $X$  is finite, then  $X$  is rational.*

*Proof.* If the action is trivial, then the corollary is also trivial. Suppose that the action is nontrivial. If  $X_0$  is rational then,  $X_{G_m}^+$  is unirational and  $X_{G_m}^+ \times P^1$  is rational (Corollary 7). But  $X$  contains  $X_{G_m}^+ \times A^k$  (where  $k$  is a positive integer) as an open subset. Thus  $X$  is rational.

**DEFINITION 10.** Let  $G = SL(2)$  and  $X^* = X_1^* \cup \dots \cup X_r^*$  be the decomposition of  $X^*$  into connected components. Let

$$X_i = \{x \in X; \overline{(Gx)}_0 \subset X_i^*\}.$$

The decomposition  $\{X_i\}$  of  $X$  will be called the decomposition determined by the action of  $G$  on  $X$ . Subvarieties  $X_i$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, r$ , will be called cells of the decomposition. Exactly one cell of the decomposition is open in  $X$ . This cell corresponds to  $X_i^* = X_0$  and is equal to  $X^0$ . It will be called the "big" cell of the decomposition.

**THEOREM 11.** (a)  $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^r X_i$ ,  $X_i \cap X_j = \emptyset$  for  $i \neq j$ .

(b)  $X_i$  is locally closed.

(c)  $X_i \supset X_i^*$  and  $X_i$  is the smallest  $G$ -invariant neighborhood

of  $X_i^*$  in  $\bar{X}_i$ .

Moreover the decomposition  $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^r X_i$  described above is the only decomposition of  $X$  satisfying (c).

The theorem follows from Theorem 8 by induction on  $\dim X$ .

EXAMPLE. Assume that  $k$  is of characteristic 0. Let an action of  $SL(2)$  on  $P^n$  be given. Then the action is induced by a linear representation of  $SL(2)$  on  $A^{n+1}$  and the representation can be split into a direct sum  $V_0 \oplus V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_m$ , where  $m$  is an integer and  $V_i$  (for  $i = 0, \dots, m$ ) is a direct sum of irreducible representations of the dominant weight  $i$ . It is easy to check that in this case  $(P^n)^0 = P^n - \text{Proj}(V_0 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{m-1})$  and that the cells of the decomposition of  $P^n$  are of the form  $\text{Proj}(V_0 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_i) - \text{Proj}(V_0 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{i-1})$ . Therefore in some sense the decomposition of  $X$  described in Definition 10 and Theorem 11 can be considered as a generalization of the splitting of linear representations into a direct sum of sums of isomorphic irreducible representations.

#### REFERENCES

1. A. Bialynicki-Birula, *Some theorems on actions of algebraic groups*, Annals of Math., **98** (1973), 480-497.
2. ———, *On algebraic actions of  $SL(2)$* , Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Ser. math., phys. et astronom., (to appear).
3. M. Demazure, *Demonstration de la conjecture de Mumford (d'après W. Haboush)*, Seminaire Bourbaki, vol. 1974/75, expose 462, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 514, Springer Verlag.
4. E. Duma, *Decompositions of algebraic varieties given by actions of algebraic tori*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Ser. math., phys. et astronom., (to appear).
5. W. Habousch, *Reductive groups are geometrically reductive*, Annals of Math., **102** (1975), 67-83.
6. T. Kambayashi, *Projective representations of algebraic groups of transformations*, Amer. J. Math., **88** (1966), 199-205.
7. J. Konarski, *Decompositions of normal algebraic varieties determined by an action of a one-dimensional torus*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Ser. math., phys. et astronom., (to appear).
8. W. L. Роров (В. Л. Попов), *Къзцодномродные аффциные алгебрацкекце многообразця груплы  $SL(2)$* , Цзъ. Акад. Наук СССР, Серця математцеская 37 (1973), 792-832.
9. H. Sumihiro, *Equivariant completion*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ., **14** (1974), 1-28.

Received August 14, 1978.

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

## EDITORS

DONALD BABBITT (Managing Editor)

University of California  
Los Angeles, California 90024

HUGO ROSSI

University of Utah  
Salt Lake City, UT 84112

C. C. MOORE AND ANDREW OGG

University of California  
Berkeley, CA 94720

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics  
University of Southern California  
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. FINN AND J. MILGRAM

Stanford University  
Stanford, California 94305

## ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO  
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY  
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA  
STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII  
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO  
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH  
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON



Gert Einar Torsten Almkvist, <i>Invariants, mostly old ones</i> .....	1
Hyman Bass, <i>Groups of integral representation type</i> .....	15
A. Białynicki-Birula, <i>On action of <math>SL(2)</math> on complete algebraic varieties</i> .....	53
Frederick Paul Greenleaf and Martin Allen Moskowitz, <i>Groups of automorphisms of Lie groups: density properties, bounded orbits, and homogeneous spaces of finite volume</i> .....	59
Raymond Taylor Hoobler, <i>A cohomological interpretation of Brauer groups of rings</i> .....	89
Irving Kaplansky, <i>Superalgebras</i> .....	93
Jerrold Lewis Kleinstein and Alex I. Rosenberg, <i>Succinct and representational Witt rings</i> .....	99
E. R. Kolchin, <i>On universal extensions of differential fields</i> .....	139
Andy R. Magid, <i>Analytic subgroups of affine algebraic groups. II</i> .....	145
Calvin Cooper Moore, <i>The Mautner phenomenon for general unitary representations</i> .....	155
George Daniel Mostow, <i>On a remarkable class of polyhedra in complex hyperbolic space</i> .....	171
Brian Lee Peterson, <i>Extensions of pro-affine algebraic groups. II</i> .....	277
John Henry Reineohl, <i>Lie algebras and affine algebraic groups</i> .....	287
Maxwell Alexander Rosenlicht, <i>Differential valuations</i> .....	301
John Brendan Sullivan, <i>The second Lie algebra cohomology group and Weyl modules</i> .....	321
Moss Eisenberg Sweedler, <i>Right derivations and right differential operators</i> .....	327
Bostwick Frampton Wyman, <i>Time varying linear discrete-time systems. II. Duality</i> .....	361