

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**REPRESENTATIONS NAIMARK-RELATED TO
*-REPRESENTATIONS; A CORRECTION: “WHEN IS A
REPRESENTATION OF A BANACH *-ALGEBRA
NAIMARK-RELATED TO A *-REPRESENTATION?”**

BRUCE ALAN BARNES

REPRESENTATIONS NAIMARK-RELATED TO *-REPRESENTATIONS; A CORRECTION

BRUCE A. BARNES

Let A be a Banach $*$ -algebra. A theorem is proved concerning a sufficient condition for a continuous representation of A on a Hilbert space H to be Naimark-related to a $*$ -representation of A on H . One corollary of this result is that a continuous (topologically) irreducible representation of A on H is Naimark-related to a $*$ -representation of A on H if and only if some coefficient of the representation is a nonzero positive functional of A .

One purpose of the paper is to correct in part a previously published result the proof of which contains a serious gap.

1. Introduction. Professor John Bunce has brought to my attention a gap in the proof of Theorem 3 in my paper [2]. Briefly, the problem is as follows: Let A be a Banach $*$ -algebra, and let π be a continuous essential representation of A (not in general a $*$ -representation) on a Hilbert space H . What is established at the beginning of the proof of [2, Theorem 3] is the existence of a π -invariant subspace H_0 of H and an inner-product on H_0 with the property that

$$\langle \pi(f)\xi, \eta \rangle = \langle \xi, \pi(f^*)\eta \rangle \quad (\xi, \eta \in H, f \in A).$$

At this point in the proof results are applied to this inner-product which may be applied only when this form is closable on H ; see [4, pp. 313-315]. It is not proved in [2] that this form is closable, hence the gap in the proof. Although Theorem 3 and its corollaries have not been established in [2], we know of no counter-examples to these statements. All of the results of [2] outside of § 4 (including Theorem 1 and Theorem 7) are to our knowledge correct.

The aim of this note is to partially correct the error. Here we prove a result similar to [2, Theorem 3], and derive from it several corollaries. In particular, it is shown that a continuous, essential, (topologically) cyclic, separable representation of a C^* -algebra is Naimark-related to a $*$ -representation of the algebra; and that a continuous (topologically) irreducible representation of a Banach $*$ -algebra A is Naimark-related to a $*$ -representation of A if and only if some nonzero coefficient of the representation is a positive functional on A .

2. The results. We use the same notation as in [2]. In parti-

cular, we use the terminology cyclic and irreducible as synonymous with what some authors call topologically cyclic and topologically irreducible. Given a representation (π, H) of the Banach $*$ -algebra A , a coefficient of π is a functional on A of the form $f \rightarrow (\pi(f)\xi, \eta)$ for some $\xi, \eta \in H$.

The representation π is essential if for any $\xi \in H$ the condition $\pi(f)\xi = 0$ for all $f \in A$ implies $\xi = 0$. If α is a positive functional on A , then

$$K_\alpha = \{f \in A: \alpha(f^*f) = 0\}.$$

THEOREM. *Assume that π is a continuous representation of A on a Hilbert space H and that π is cyclic with cyclic vector $\xi_0 \in H$. Furthermore, assume that $\{\eta_m: m \in S\}$ is a collection of vectors in H , S being a finite or countably infinite index set, such that*

(i) $\alpha_m(f) = (\pi(f)\xi_0, \eta_m)$ is

a positive functional for all $m \in S$; and

(ii) $\text{span}\{\bigcup_{m \in S} (\pi(A)^*\eta_m)\}$ is

dense in H . Then π is Naimark-related to a $*$ -representation of A on H .

Proof. Choose positive numbers $\{\lambda_m: m \in S\}$ so that $\alpha = \sum_{m \in S} \lambda_m \alpha_m$ converges in the norm of the dual space of A , and

$$\eta_0 = \sum_{m \in S} \lambda_m \eta_m \text{ converges in } H.$$

Then by (i) α is a positive functional on A , and

$$\alpha(f) = (\pi(f)\xi_0, \eta_0) \quad (f \in A).$$

First we verify that

$$K_\alpha = \{f \in A: \pi(f)\xi_0 = 0\}.$$

That K_α is the larger of these two sets is immediate. Now assume that $f \in K_\alpha$. Then $\alpha(f^*f) = 0$ implies $\alpha_m(f^*f) = 0$ for all $m \in S$. Thus

$$0 = \alpha_m(gf) = (\pi(f)\xi_0, \pi(g)^*\eta_m)$$

for all $g \in A$ and all $m \in S$. Thus by (ii) we have $\pi(f)\xi_0 = 0$.

Now proceeding as in [2, (I)], define an inner-product on $\pi(A)\xi_0$ by

$$\langle \pi(f)\xi_0, \pi(g)\xi_0 \rangle = \alpha(g^*f) \quad (f, g \in A).$$

For $\xi, \eta \in \pi(A)\xi_0$, we use the notation $\tau(\xi, \eta) = \langle \xi, \eta \rangle$ and $\tau[\xi] = \tau(\xi, \xi)$. We prove that τ is a closable form [4, p. 315]. Assume $\{\xi_n\} \subset$

domain of $\tau = \pi(A)\xi_0$, and

$$\xi_n \longrightarrow 0(\text{in } H), \tau[\xi_n - \xi_m] \longrightarrow 0$$

as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$. We must show that $\tau[\xi_n] \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} |\tau[\xi_n]| &\leq |\tau(\xi_n - \xi_m, \xi_n)| + |\tau(\xi_m, \xi_n)| \\ &\leq \tau[\xi_n - \xi_m]^{1/2} \tau[\xi_n]^{1/2} + |\tau(\xi_m, \xi_n)|. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. Since $\tau[\xi_n - \xi_m] \rightarrow 0$, $\tau[\xi_n]$ is a Cauchy sequence, we may choose $M > 0$ such that $\tau[\xi_n] \leq M$ for $n \geq 1$. Choose N a positive integer such that $\tau[\xi_n - \xi_m] < \varepsilon$ whenever $n, m \geq N$. For $n, m \geq N$,

$$(1) \quad \tau[\xi_n] \leq (M\varepsilon)^{1/2} + |\tau(\xi_m, \xi_n)|.$$

For each n choose $f_n \in A$ such that $\xi_n = \pi(f_n)\xi_0$. Then $\tau(\xi_m, \xi_n) = \alpha(f_n^* f_m) = (\pi(f_n^* f_m)\xi_0, \eta_0) = (\pi(f_m)\xi_0, \pi(f_n^*)^* \eta_0) \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$ for each fixed n . This fact together with (1) shows that $\tau[\xi_n] \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Let $\bar{\tau}$ denote the closure of the form τ . By [4, Theorem 2.23, p. 331] there exists a self-adjoint operator U with $\mathcal{D}(U) = \mathcal{D}(\bar{\tau})$ such that

$$\bar{\tau}(\xi, \eta) = (U\xi, U\eta) \quad (\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{D}(U)).$$

Next we prove that $\mathcal{N}(U) = \{0\}$. By [4, Corollary 2.27, p. 332] $\pi(A)\xi_0$ is a core of U (this means that the set $\{(\pi(f)\xi_0, U\pi(f)\xi_0) : f \in A\}$ is dense in the graph of U [4, p. 166]). Suppose $\xi \in \mathcal{N}(U)$. Choose $\{f_n\} \subset A$ such that

$$\pi(f_n)\xi_0 \longrightarrow \xi \text{ and } U\pi(f_n)\xi_0 \longrightarrow 0.$$

Then $\alpha(f_n^* f_n) = \|\pi(f_n)\xi_0\|^2 \rightarrow 0$. Thus $\alpha_m(f_n^* f_n) \rightarrow 0$ for each m . Therefore for each $m \in S$ and all $g \in A$

$$|\alpha_m(gf_n)| \leq \alpha_m(gg^*)^{1/2} \alpha_m(f_n^* f_n)^{1/2} \longrightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. It follows that for each m

$$(\pi(f_n)\xi_0, \pi(g)^* \eta_m) = (\pi(gf_n)\xi_0, \eta_m) = \alpha_m(gf_n) \longrightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore $(\xi, \pi(g)^* \eta_m) = 0$ for all $g \in A$ and all $m \in S$, so by (ii), $\xi = 0$.

Since $\mathcal{N}(U) = \{0\}$, U has dense range. Then again using the fact that $\pi(A)\xi_0$ is a core of U , we have that $U\pi(A)\xi_0$ is dense in H .

Now we complete the proof that π is Naimark-related to a *-representation of A . By [2, (I)] we have

$$\tau(\pi(f)\xi, \eta) = \tau(\xi, \pi(f^*)\eta) \quad (\xi, \eta \in \pi(A)g_0, f \in A).$$

For $f \in A$ define $\varphi_0(f)$ on $U\pi(A)\xi_0$ by

$$\varphi_0(f)U\xi = U\pi(f)\xi \quad (\xi \in \pi(A)\xi_0).$$

A routine calculation using the observation above shows that for all $f \in A$ and all $\xi, \eta \in U\pi(A)\xi_0$

$$(\varphi_0(f)\xi, \eta) = (\xi, \varphi_0(f^*)\eta).$$

By [5, Prop. 5] there exists a unique extension of φ_0 to a *-representation φ of A on H (=the closure of $U\pi(A)\xi_0$). Let $\xi \in \mathcal{D}(U)$. Again using that $\pi(A)\xi_0$ is a core of U , choose $\{f_n\} \subset A$ such that

$$\pi(f_n)\xi_0 \longrightarrow \xi \text{ and } U\pi(f_n)\xi_0 \longrightarrow U\xi.$$

Then for any $f \in A$

$$\pi(f)\pi(f_n)\xi_0 \longrightarrow \pi(f)\xi,$$

and

$$U\pi(f)\pi(f_n)\xi_0 = \varphi_0(f)U\pi(f_n)\xi_0 \longrightarrow \varphi(f)U\xi.$$

Since U is closed, $\pi(f)\xi \in \mathcal{D}(U)$, and $U\pi(f)\xi = \varphi(f)U\xi$. Thus π is Naimark-related to φ .

COROLLARY 1. *Let (π, H) be a continuous irreducible representation of A . Then π is Naimark-related to a *-representation of A on H if and only if some coefficient of π is a nonzero positive functional on A .*

Proof. Assume that $\xi_0, \eta_0 \in H$ with $f \rightarrow (\pi(f)\xi_0, \eta_0)$ a nonzero positive functional on A . Since π is irreducible, ξ_0 is a cyclic vector for π . Now $\pi(A)^*\eta_0$ is a nonzero subspace of H with $(\pi(A)^*\eta_0)^\perp$ a closed π -invariant subspace. Then since π is irreducible, $\pi(A)^*\eta_0$ is dense in H . By the theorem, π is Naimark-related to a *-representation of A .

Conversely, assume that U is a closed densely defined operator on H , φ is a *-representation of A on H , and

$$U\pi(f)\xi = \varphi(f)U\xi \quad (\xi \in \mathcal{D}(U), f \in A).$$

Then U^*U is densely defined [4, Theorem 3.24, p. 275]. Choose a vector $\xi_0 \in \mathcal{D}(U)$, $\xi_0 \neq 0$, such that $U\xi_0 \in \mathcal{D}(U^*)$. Set $\eta_0 = U^*U\xi_0$. Then for $f \in A$,

$$\begin{aligned} (\pi(f)\xi_0, \eta_0) &= (\pi(f)\xi_0, U^*U\xi_0) \\ &= (U\pi(f)\xi_0, U\xi_0) \\ &= (\varphi(f)U\xi_0, U\xi_0). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $f \rightarrow (\pi(f)\xi_0, \eta_0)$ is a nonzero positive functional on A .

For group representations the result analagous to Corollary 1 is the following.

COROLLARY 2. *Assume that G is a locally compact group. Let (π, H) be a bounded weakly continuous irreducible representation of G on a Hilbert space H . Then π is Naimark-related to a weakly continuous unitary representation of G (on H) if and only if some coefficient of π is a (nonzero) positive definite function.*

If A is a C^* -algebra, we use the notation \bar{A} to denote the von-Neumann enveloping algebra of A [3, 12.1.5].

COROLLARY 3. *Let A be a C^* -algebra. Let π be an essential continuous cyclic representation of A on a separable Hilbert space H . Then π is Naimark-related to a *-representation of A on H .*

Proof. By [1, Theorem 1] π extends to an ultraweakly continuous representation $\bar{\pi}$ of \bar{A} on H . Let ξ_0 be a cyclic vector for π . Assume $\xi \in H$ and $\xi \perp (\pi(A)^*H)$. Then $(\bar{\pi}(A)\xi) \perp H$, so that $\xi = 0$. Therefore $\pi(A)^*H$ is dense in H . Choose a sequence $\{\zeta_m\} \subset H$ such that

$$\text{span} \left(\bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} (\pi(A)^*\zeta_m) \right) \text{ is dense in } H.$$

For each m , set

$$\beta_m(f) = (\bar{\pi}(f)\xi_0, \zeta_m) \quad (f \in \bar{A}).$$

Since β_m is a normal functional on \bar{A} , by the Polar Decomposition Theorem [3, p. 240] there exists a partial isometry $u_m \in \bar{A}$ and a positive functional α_m on \bar{A} such that

$$\beta_m(f) = \alpha_m(u_m f) \text{ and } \alpha_m(f) = \beta_m(u_m^* f) \quad (f \in \bar{A}).$$

Let $\eta_m = (\bar{\pi}(u_m^*))^*\zeta_m$ for each m . Then for each m and all $f \in \bar{A}$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_m(f) &= (\bar{\pi}(u_m^* f)\xi_0, \zeta_m) \\ &= (\bar{\pi}(f)\xi_0, (\bar{\pi}(u_m^*))^*\zeta_m) \\ &= (\bar{\pi}(f)\xi_0, \eta_m). \end{aligned}$$

Also, $(\bar{\pi}(f)\xi_0, \zeta_m) = \beta_m(f) = \alpha_m(u_m f) = (\bar{\pi}(f)\xi_0, (\bar{\pi}(u_m))^*\eta_m)$ for all $f \in \bar{A}$. Therefore $(\bar{\pi}(u_m))^*\eta_m = \zeta_m$. It follows that

$$\text{span} \left(\bigcup_{m=1}^{+\infty} (\bar{\pi}(\bar{A})^*\eta_m) \right) \text{ is dense in } H.$$

By the theorem $\bar{\pi}$ is Naimark-related to a $*$ -representation of \bar{A} on H . This completes the proof.

REFERENCES

1. B. A. Barnes, *The similarity problem for representations of a B^* -algebra*, Michigan Math. J., **22** (1975), 25-32.
2. ———, *When is a representation of a Banach $*$ -algebra Naimark-related to a $*$ -representation?*, Pacific J. Math., **72** (1977), 5-25.
3. J. Dixmier, *Les C^* -Algèbres et Leurs Représentations*, Cahiers Scientifique, Fasc. 29, Gautier-Villars, Paris, 1964.
4. T. Kato, *Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1966.
5. T. W. Palmer, *$*$ -Representations of U^* -algebras*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., **20** (1971), 929-933.

Received February 27, 1979 and in revised form July 17, 1979.

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
EUGENE, OR 97403

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

DONALD BABBITT (Managing Editor)

University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90024

HUGO ROSSI

University of Utah
Salt Lake City, UT 84112

C. C. MOORE and ANDREW OGG

University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, CA 90007

R. FINN and J. MILGRAM

Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Please propose a heading for the odd numbered pages of less than 35 characters. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. **39**. Supply name and address of author to whom proofs should be sent. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints to each author are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$84.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$42.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924, U.S.A. Old back numbers obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.).

8-8, 3-chome, Takadanobaba, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

Copyright © 1980 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics
Manufactured and first issued in Japan

Graham Donald Allen, David Alan Legg and Joseph Dinneen Ward, <i>Hermitian liftings in Orlicz sequence spaces</i>	379
George Bachman and Alan Sultan, <i>On regular extensions of measures</i>	389
Bruce Alan Barnes, <i>Representations Naimark-related to *-representations; a correction: "When is a representation of a Banach *-algebra Naimark-related to a *-representation?"</i>	397
Earl Robert Berkson, <i>One-parameter semigroups of isometries into H^p</i>	403
M. Brodmann, <i>Piecewise catenarian and going between rings</i>	415
Joe Peter Buhler, <i>A note on tamely ramified polynomials</i>	421
William Lee Bynum, <i>Normal structure coefficients for Banach spaces</i>	427
Lung O. Chung, <i>Biharmonic and polyharmonic principal functions</i>	437
Vladimir Drobot and S. McDonald, <i>Approximation properties of polynomials with bounded integer coefficients</i>	447
Giora Dula and Elyahu Katz, <i>Recursion formulas for the homology of $\Omega(X \vee Y)$</i>	451
John A. Ernest, <i>The computation of the generalized spectrum of certain Toeplitz operators</i>	463
Kenneth R. Goodearl and Thomas Benny Rushing, <i>Direct limit groups and the Keesling-Mardešić shape fibration</i>	471
Raymond Heitmann and Stephen Joseph McAdam, <i>Good chains with bad contractions</i>	477
Patricia Jones and Steve Chong Hong Ligh, <i>Finite hereditary near-ring-semigroups</i>	491
Yoshikazu Katayama, <i>Isomorphisms of the Fourier algebras in crossed products</i>	505
Meir Katchalski and Andrew Chiang-Fung Liu, <i>Symmetric twins and common transversals</i>	513
Mohammad Ahmad Khan, <i>Chain conditions on subgroups of LCA groups</i>	517
Helmut Kröger, <i>Padé approximants on Banach space operator equations</i>	535
Gabriel Michael Miller Obi, <i>An algebraic extension of the Lax-Milgram theorem</i>	543
S. G. Pandit, <i>Differential systems with impulsive perturbations</i>	553
Richard Pell, <i>Support point functions and the Loewner variation</i>	561
J. Hyam Rubinstein, <i>Dehn's lemma and handle decompositions of some 4-manifolds</i>	565
James Eugene Shirey, <i>On the theorem of Helley concerning finite-dimensional subspaces of a dual space</i>	571
Oved Shisha, <i>Tchebycheff systems and best partial bases</i>	579
Michel Smith, <i>Large indecomposable continua with only one composant</i>	593
Stephen Tefteller, <i>Existence of eigenvalues for second-order differential systems</i>	601