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In this work a Lax-Milgram type theorem is proved for quadratic spaces over a division ring $K$ with involution $\ast$, say, whose center contains an ordered domain $P$ such that for every element $a$ in $K$, $aa^\ast = |a|^2$, (where $|a|$, the absolute value of $a$, is in $P^+$ which is the set of positive elements of $P$), and for every element $b$ in $P^+$ there exists an element $c$ in $P^+$, denoted by $b^{1/2}$, such that $c^2 = b$. Specifically, with the above assumptions on $K$, the following is proved:

Let $(H_i, \Phi_i)$ $i = 1, 2$ be quadratic spaces over $K$ such that for each $u$ in $H_2$ $\sup \Phi(u, v)(\Phi(v, v))^{-1}$ exists and equals $|\Phi(u, u)|^{1/2}$. Let $B: H_1 \times H_2 \rightarrow K$ be an orthocontinuous bilinear form satisfying:

(i) $\inf_{x \in P^+} \sup_{y \in P^+} |B(x, y)| (|\Phi_1(x, x)|^{1/2} |\Phi_2(y, y)|^{1/2})^{-1} = \gamma$ exists and $\gamma - \delta$ is in $P^+$ for some $\delta$ in $P^+$.

(ii) $\sup |B(x, y)|$ exists and is in $P^+$ for all $y \neq 0 x \in H$.

Then given any orthocontinuous linear functional $\phi$ on $H_2$ whose kernel is splitting there exists a unique element $x_0$ in $H_1$ such that $\phi(y) = B(x_0, y)$ for all $y$ in $H_2$.

Moreover

$$\delta^{-1} \sup_{y \in P^+} |\phi(y)| (|\Phi_1(y, y)|^{1/2})^{-1} - |\Phi_1(x_0, x_0)|^{1/2} \in P^+ \cup \{0\}.$$ 

1. Introduction. Motivated by what he referred to as “happy accidents in the Hilbert space theory that correlate algebraic and topological considerations” Piziak [3] proposed an algebraic approach to the study of sesquilinear forms in infinite dimensions. In this approach he introduced the notion of quadratic spaces by the means of which he obtained an algebraic generalization of some Hilbert space results. He proved an algebraic version of the Riesz-Frechet Representation Theorem and discussed continuity all in the algebraic context of a vector space over a division ring in which no natural topology is present.

We here consider an algebraic extension of the Lax-Milgram Theorem, a variant of the Riesz Representation Theorem.

Our results are of pure algebra. We have not assumed a topology either on the division ring or on the vector space which we considered. It is thus interesting to note that these results imply their analogous standard topological results in the context of Hilbert space.
2. Preliminaries.

**Definition 2.1.** [2], [3]. A quadratic space is a triple \((K, H, \Phi)\) where \(K\) is a division ring with involution, \(^*,\) \(H\) is a left vector space over \(K\), and \(\Phi\) is a nondegenerate orthosymmetric \(^*-\)sesquilinear form on \(H\) with respect to the involutive anti-automorphism \(^*\) of \(K\).

Where there is no confusion we shall denote a quadratic space simply by \(H\) or \((H, \Phi)\).

**Definition 2.2.** Let \(H\) be a quadratic space. For \(x, y\) in \(H\) we say \(x\) is orthogonal to \(y\) and write \(x \perp y\) if \(\Phi(x, y) = 0\).

We note that since \(\Phi\) is orthosymmetric \(x \perp y\) implies \(y \perp x\) and vice-versa.

**Notation 2.3.** For any subset \(M\) of \(H\), put

\[ M^1 = \{ y \in H: \Phi(x, y) = 0 \text{ for all } x \in M \} . \]

It is easy to see that \(M^1\) is a subspace of \(H\) for every subset \(M\) of \(H\). A vector \(x\) in \(H\) is said to be isotropic if \(x \perp x\) and anisotropic otherwise. If every nonzero vector in \(H\) is anisotropic then \(H\) is called an anisotropic quadratic space.

**Definition 2.4.** We call a subspace \(M\) of \(H\) \(1\)-closed iff \(M = M^1\). We say \(M\) splits \(H\) if \(H = M \oplus M^1\) and we say \(M\) is semi-simple if \(M \cap M^1 = \{0\}\).

3. Lax-Milgram theorem. The Lax-Milgram states, [4]:

Let \(H\) be a Hilbert space (real or complex) and \(B\) a bilinear form on \(H\) such that

(i) \(|B(x, y)| \leq \rho \|x\| \|y\|\) for all \(x, y \in H\) and some positive real constant \(\rho\).

(ii) There exists a positive real number \(\delta\) such that \(B(x, x) > \delta \|x\|^2\) for every \(x \in H\).

Then there exists a unique bounded linear operator \(T\) on \(H\) such that

(a) \(\langle x, y \rangle = B(x, Ty)\) for all \(x, y \in H\).
(b) \(\|T\| \leq \delta^{-1}\).

(Here \(\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\) denotes the inner product on \(H\).)

An observation of this theorem shows that condition (i) implies that \(B\) is continuous and (ii) implies that

(ii) (a) \(B\) is positive definite.

(ii) (b) The maps \(B_s(\cdot), B^s(\cdot): H \to C\) defined by \(B_s(y) = B(x, y)\);
$B^*(x) = B(x, y)$ are nontrivial for each $x$ (resp. each $y$) in $H$ i.e., $B^*(x) = 0$ for all $x$ in $H$ implies $y = 0$ and $B_x(y) = 0$ for all $y$ in $H$ implies $x = 0$.

Also the conclusion of the theorem can be reframed thus:

Then given any bounded linear functional $f$ on $H$ there exists a unique vector $u_0$ in $H$ such that $f(u) = B(u_0, u)$ for all $u$ in $H$ and $\|u_0\| \leq \delta^{-1}\|f\|$.

We shall now generalize this result to quadratic spaces.

**Definition 3.1.** Let $(H_i, \Phi_i), i = 1, 2$ be quadratic spaces. Let $L: H_1 \to H_2$ be a linear transformation such that $L(M^{1\perp}) \subseteq L(M)^{1\perp}$ for every subspace $M$ of $H_1$. Then $L$ is said to be orthocontinuous.

**Proposition 3.2.** [2], [3]. Let $(H_i, \Phi_i), i = 1, 2$ be quadratic spaces and $L: H_1 \to H_2$ be a linear transformation. Then the following are equivalent:

(i) $M = M^{1\perp}$ implies $L^{-1}(M) = L^{-1}(M)^{1\perp}$ for all subspaces $M$ of $H_2$.

(ii) If $M$ is a $\perp$-closed subspace of $H_2$ then $L^{-1}(M)$ is a $\perp$-closed subspace of $H_1$.

(iii) $L(M^{1\perp}) \subseteq L(M)^{1\perp}$ for all subspaces $M$ of $H_1$.

(iv) $L^{-1}(M)^{1\perp} \subseteq L^{-1}(M^{1\perp})$ for all subspaces $M$ of $H_2$.

(v) $L$ is orthocontinuous.

**Definition 3.3.** [2], [3]. Let $(K, H, \Phi)$ be a quadratic space. A linear map $\phi: H \to K$ is called an orthocontinuous linear functional if $(\text{Ker } \phi)^{1\perp} = \text{Ker } \phi$.

Now, in Hilbert space a bilinear form $B(x, y)$ is continuous iff there exists a continuous linear transformation $L$ on $H$ such that $B(x, y) = \langle Lx, y \rangle$ for all $x, y$ in $H$. Motivated by this fact we make the following definition:

**Definition 3.4.** Let $(H_i, \Phi_i), i = 1, 2$ be quadratic spaces. A bilinear form $B: H_1 \times H_2 \to K$ is said to be orthocontinuous if there exists an orthocontinuous linear operator $L: H_1 \to H_2$ such that $B(x, y) = \Phi_2(Lx, y)$ for every $(x, y)$ in $H_1 \times H_2$.

**Proposition 3.5.** Let $(H_i, \Phi_i), i = 1, 2$ be quadratic space and $B: H_1 \times H_2 \to K$ be an orthocontinuous bilinear form. Then the mappings $B_\cdot(y): H_2 \to K, B^*(\cdot): H_1 \to K$ are orthocontinuous.

**Proof.** Let $L$ be as in 3.4.

$\text{Ker } B_\cdot(y) = \{y: B(x, y) = 0\}$
Also

\[ \text{Ker } B^\nu(\cdot) = \{ x : B(x, y) = 0 \} = \{ x : \Phi_2(Lx, y) = 0 \} = \{ x : Lx \in y^\perp \} = L^{-1}(y^\perp) \] a $\perp$-closed subspace

since $L$ is orthocontinuous.

We note that the orthocontinuity of $B$ implies that of $B_x$ and $B^\nu$. The converse is not true in general, however. This is a consequence of [3, Theorem 3].

**Proposition 3.6.** Let $(H_i, \Phi_i), i = 1, 2$ be quadratic spaces. Let $M = \{ 0 \} \times H_2 \cong H_2$ and $\pi : H_1 \times H_2 \to H_2$ be the projection $\pi((x, y)) = y$ for all $(x, y)$ in $H_1 \times H_2$. Then $\pi$ maps $\perp$-closed subspaces of $H_1 \times H_2$ onto $\perp$-closed subspaces of $H_2$.

**Proof.** We first note that any subspace of $H_1 \times H_2$ of the form $A \times B$ where $A$ is a subspace of $H_1$ and $B$ a subspace of $H_2$ is $\perp$-closed in $H_1 \times H_2$ iff $A$ is $\perp$-closed in $H_1$ and $B$ is $\perp$-closed in $H_2$. Hence a subspace $B$ of $H_2$ is $\perp$-closed iff $\pi^{-1}(B)$ is $\perp$-closed. We also note that $(H_1 \times H_2, \Phi_1 \oplus \Phi_2)$ is a quadratic space [2] and that $M$ is a semi-simple splitting subspace. Let $\Phi_M$ be the restriction of $\Phi_1 \oplus \Phi_2$ to $M$. Then $(M, \Phi_M)$ is a quadratic space. Since $\pi$ is a homomorphism there exists an isomorphism $\phi : H_1 \times H_2/\Phi_1 \times \{ 0 \} \to M$. For $u, v$ in $H_1 \times H_2/\Phi_1 \times \{ 0 \}$ define

\[ \Psi(u, v) = \Phi_M(\phi(u), \phi(v)) \].

Then since $\phi$ is $1$-$1$ onto we have that $\Psi$ is a nondegenerate orthosymmetric and $*$-sesquilinear form on $H_1 \times H_2/\Phi_1 \times \{ 0 \}$. Let $A \subseteq H_1 \times H_2/\Phi_1 \times \{ 0 \}$. If $u$ is in $A^\perp$ then

\[ \Phi_M(\phi(u), \phi(v)) = 0 \] for all $v$ in $A$

\[ \iff \phi(u) \in \phi(A)^\perp \].

Thus $\phi(A^\perp) = \phi(A)^\perp$. Also if $B \subseteq M$ then there exists $A \subseteq H_1 \times H_2/\Phi_1 \times \{ 0 \}$ such that $\phi(A) = B$. Suppose $B = B^{1\perp}$ then

\[ A = \phi^{-1}(B) = \phi^{-1}(B^{1\perp}) = \phi^{-1}(\phi(A)^{1\perp}) \]
Hence \( \phi, \phi^{-1} \) map \( \perp \)-closed subspaces onto \( \perp \)-closed subspaces. Now consider the following diagram where \( p \) is the canonical projection.

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
H_1 \times H_2 & \xrightarrow{p} & H_1 \times H_2 / H_1 \times \{0\} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
& \phi \downarrow & \\
& & M
\end{array}
\]

A subspace \( B \) of \( H_1 \times H_2 / H_1 \times \{0\} \) is \( \perp \)-closed iff \( p^{-1}(B) \) is \( \perp \)-closed. Indeed let \( B \) be \( \perp \)-closed then \( \phi(B) \) is \( \perp \)-closed and \( \pi^{-1}(\phi(B)) = p^{-1}(B) \) is \( \perp \)-closed since \( \pi \) being a projection is orthocontinuous. Also if \( p^{-1}(B) \) is \( \perp \)-closed then since \( p^{-1}(B) = \pi^{-1}(\phi(B)) \) we must have that \( \phi(B) \) is \( \perp \)-closed by the observation at the beginning of the proof. Hence \( B = \phi^{-1}(\phi(B)) \) is \( \perp \)-closed. Finally if \( A \) is \( \perp \)-closed in \( H_1 \times H_2 \), let \( B \) be such that \( p^{-1}(B) = A \). Then

\[
\pi(A) = \phi(p(A)) = \phi(p(p^{-1}(B))) = \phi(B)
\]

which is \( \perp \)-closed.

**Lemma 3.7.** Let \( B \) be an orthocontinuous bilinear form on \( H_1 \times H_2 \). Let \( L \) be such that \( B(x, y) = \Phi_2(Lx, y) \) by Definition 3.4. If \( B_\varepsilon(\cdot), \ B'(\cdot) \) are nontrivial for each \( x \) in \( H_1 \) and \( y \) in \( H_2 \), then \( L \) is 1-1 and onto.

**Proof.** Suppose \( Lx_1 = Lx_2 \). Then

\[
\Phi_2(Lx_1 - Lx_2, v) = 0 \quad \text{for all } v \text{ in } H_2,
\]

therefore

\[
B(x_1 - x_2, v) = \Phi_2(L(x_1 - x_2), v) = \Phi_2(Lx_1 - Lx_2, v) = 0
\]

for all \( v \) in \( H_2 \). Since \( B_\varepsilon(\cdot) \) is nontrivial we must have that \( x_1 - x_2 = 0 \) or \( x_1 = x_2 \). Thus \( L \) is 1-1. To show that \( L \) is onto we note that since \( L \) is orthocontinuous its graph, \( G(L) \), is a \( \perp \)-closed
subspace of \((H_1 \times H_2, \Phi_1 \oplus \Phi_2)\). Hence \(\pi(G(L))\) is \(\perp\) closed in \(H_2\). Suppose \(L(H_1) = \pi(G(L)) \neq H_2\). Then there exists a nonzero vector \(x\) in \(H_2\) such that for all \(x\) in \(H_1\), \(\Phi_2(Lx, z) = 0\) \([4]\). Thus

\[
0 = \Phi_2(Lx, z) = B(x, z) B^*(x)
\]

for every \(x\) in \(H_1\). Since \(B^*(\cdot)\) is nontrivial we must have \(z = 0\). This contradiction establishes the result.

**Lemma 3.8.** Let \(S: H_1 \to H_2\) be an orthocontinuous linear transformation from a quadratic space \(H_1\) to a quadratic space \(H_2\). If \(S^{-1}\) exists then \((S^*)^{-1}\) exists and \((S^*)^{-1} = (S^{-1})^*\).

**Proof.** Since \(\text{Im} (S^*) = \text{Ker} (S)^\perp\) and \(\text{Im} (S) = \text{Ker} (S^*)^\perp\) \([3]\), and since \(S\) is 1-1 onto because of the existence of \(S^{-1}\), we must have that \(S^*\) is 1-1 and onto and hence that \((S^*)^{-1}\) exists. Also since \(S\) is orthocontinuous the graph of \(S^{-1}\) is \(\perp\) closed in \((H_2 \times H_1, \Phi_2 \oplus \Phi_1)\). So \(S^{-1}\) is a CDD transformation \([2]\). Let \(x\) be in the domain of \(S^{-1}\) and \(y\) in the domain of \((S^*)^{-1}\). Then since \(S\) is CDD we have that

\[
\begin{align*}
\Phi_2(x, (S^*)^{-1}y) &= \Phi_2(Su, (S^*)^{-1}y) \\
&= \Phi_1(u, S^*(S^*)^{-1}y) \\
&= \Phi_1(u, y) \\
&= \Phi_1(S^{-1}Su, y) \\
&= \Phi_1(S^{-1}x, y).
\end{align*}
\]

Therefore \((S^{-1})^* \subseteq (S^*)^{-1}\) \([2], [3]\). Now let \(R\) and \(U\) be the mappings defined on \(H_1 \times H_2 \to H_2 \times H_1\) by

\[
\begin{align*}
R((x, y)) &= (y, x) \\
U((x, y)) &= (-y, x).
\end{align*}
\]

Then, as can be easily checked, we have that \(R, U\) are 1-1, onto; \(R^{-1}(A^\perp) = R^{-1}(A)^\perp\) and \(U(A^\perp) = U(A)^\perp\). Now

\[
G((S^*)^{-1}) = \{(x, (S^*)^{-1}x): x \in H_1\}
= \{(S^*u, u): u \in H_2\}
= R^{-1}(G(S^*))
= R^{-1}(U(G(S)^\perp))
= R^{-1}(U(G(S)))^\perp
\]

and
G((S^{-1})^*) = \{(S^{-1}y, y) : y \in H_2\}^\perp
= \{(-x, Sx) : x \in H_1\}^\perp
= \{-R^{-1}(-Sx, x) : x \in H_1\}^\perp
= \{-R^{-1}U(x, Sx) : x \in H_1\}^\perp
= R^{-1}(U(G(S)))^\perp.

Therefore the domain of (S^*)^{-1} and that of (S^{-1})^* coincide. Hence [2], [3], we have that (S^{-1})^* = (S^*)^{-1}.

**Lemma 3.9.** Let \((H_i, \Phi_i), i = 1, 2\) be quadratic spaces. If \(S \) is a 1-1, onto orthocontinuous transformation on \(H_1 \) to \(H_2\) then \(S^{-1}\) is orthocontinuous.

**Proof.** By 3.8 \((S^*)^{-1}\) exists and equals \((S^{-1})^*\). This shows that \(S^{-1}\) is an everywhere defined linear transformation which is such that \((S^{-1})^*\) everywhere defined. Therefore \(S^{-1}\) is orthocontinuous [2], [3].

**Theorem 3.10.** Let \((H_i, \Phi_i), i = 1, 2\) be quadratic spaces and \(B\) an orthocontinuous bilinear form on \(H_1 \times H_2\). If \(B_x(\cdot, \cdot)\), \(B^y(\cdot, \cdot)\) are nontrivial then there exists an orthocontinuous linear transformation, \(T\), on \(H_2\) to \(H_1\) such that

\[\Phi_2(u, v) = B(Tu, v)\]

for all \(u, v\) in \(H_2\). Further if \(H_2\) is such that every \(\perp\)-closed subspace is splitting then \(T\) is unique.

**Proof.** Let \(L\) be the linear map on \(H_1\) to \(H_2\) associated with \(B\) as in Definition 3.4. Then by 3.7, 3.8, and 3.9 \(T = L^{-1}\) is orthocontinuous and

\[B(Tu, v) = \Phi_2(u, v)\]

for all \(u, v\) in \(H_2\).

Now suppose every \(\perp\)-closed subspace of \(H_2\) splits \(H_2\). Then the mapping \(B_x : H_2 \rightarrow K\) being orthocontinuous we have by [3, Theorem 3.1], that there exists a unique vector \(u_0\) in \(H_2\) such that \(B_x(y) = \Phi_2(u_0, y)\) for all \(y\) in \(H_2\). For each \(x\) define \(\tilde{L}x = u_0\). Then \(\tilde{L}\) is linear and the uniqueness of \(u_0\) implies that \(\tilde{L} = L\). Hence \(L^{-1} = T\) is unique.

**Theorem 3.11.** Let \((H_i, \Phi_i)\) be quadratic spaces and \(B : H_1 \times H_2 \rightarrow K\) an orthocontinuous bilinear form such that \(B_x(\cdot, \cdot), B^y(\cdot, \cdot)\) are nontrivial. Then given any orthocontinuous linear functional \(\phi\) on \(H_2\) such that \(\text{Ker} \phi\) splits \(H_2\) there exists a unique vector \(u_0\) in \(H_1\)
such that
\[ \phi(y) = B(u_0, y) \text{ for all } y \text{ in } H_2. \]

**Proof.** Since \( \text{Ker}\phi \) splits \( H_2 \) we have by [3, Theorem 3.1] that there exists a unique vector \( y_0 \) in \( H_2 \) such that \( \phi(y) = \Phi_2(y_0, y) \) for all \( y \) in \( H_2 \). Let \( L \) be the orthocontinuous linear transformation associated with \( B \) as in 3.4. Then by 3.7, 3.8, and 3.9 \( L^{-1} \) exists and is orthocontinuous. Put \( u_0 = L^{-1}y_0 \). Then the uniqueness of \( y_0 \) and the fact that \( L \) is a bijection implies that \( y_0 \) is unique. Also
\[
\phi(y) = \Phi_2(y_0, y) \\
= \Phi_2(LL^{-1}y_0, y) \\
= \Phi_2(Lu_0, y) \\
= B(u_0, y)
\]
for all \( y \) in \( H_2 \).

In what follows we shall assume that the center of \( K \) contains an ordered domain \( P \) [1] and that
(i) for every \( a \) in \( K \) \( a^{*} = |a|^2 \),
(ii) for any \( b \) in \( P^+ \) there exists an element \( c \) in \( P^+ \) such that \( c^2 = b \). Put \( c = b^{1/2} \).

Here \( P^+ \) denotes the set of positive elements of \( P \). \( |a| \) is a defined in [2]. We also assume that \( \sup_{u \neq 0} |\Phi_2(u, v)|(|\Phi_2(v, v)|^{1/2})^{-1} \) exists for each \( u \) in \( H_2 \) and that it is equal to \( |\Phi_2(u, u)|^{1/2} \).

**THEOREM 3.12.** Let \( (H_i, \Phi_i), i = 1, 2 \) be quadratic spaces. With the above assumption on \( K, \Phi_1, \Phi_2 \) let \( B \) be an orthocontinuous bilinear form satisfying:

3.12.1 \( \sup_{x \in H_1} |B(x, y)| \) exists and is in \( P^+ \) for all \( y \neq 0 \).

3.12.2 \( \inf_{x \neq 0} \sup_{y \neq 0} |B(x, y)|(|\Phi_1(x, y)|^{1/2} |\Phi_2(y, y)|^{1/2})^{-1} = \gamma \) exists and \( \gamma - \delta \) is in \( P^+ \) for some \( \delta \) in \( P^+ \).

Then given any orthocontinuous linear functional \( \phi \) on \( H_2 \) such that \( \text{Ker}\phi \) splits \( H_2 \) there exists a unique element \( x_0 \) in \( H_1 \) such that
\[ \phi(y) = B(x_0, y) \text{ for all } y \text{ in } H_2. \]

Moreover
\[ \delta^{-1} \sup_{y \neq 0} |\phi(y)|(|\Phi_2(y, y)|^{1/2})^{-1} - |\Phi_1(x_0, x_0)|^{1/2} \in P^+ \cup \{0\}. \]

**Proof.** By 3.12.1, 3.12.2 we have that \( B_*(\cdot), B^*(\cdot) \) are non-
trivial for each $x$ in $H_1$ and $y$ in $H_2$. Hence by 3.11 there exists a unique vector $x_0$ in $H_1$ such that $\phi(y) = B(x_0, y)$ for all $y$ in $H_2$. By condition 3.12.2 we have

$$\left| \Phi(x_0, x_0) \right|^{1/2} < \delta^{-1} \sup_{y \neq 0} \left| B(x_0, y) \right| \left( |\Phi(y, y)|^{1/2} \right)^{-1}$$

$$= \delta^{-1} \sup_{y \neq 0} \left| \phi(y) \right| \left( |\Phi(y, y)|^{1/2} \right)^{-1}.$$ 

**Remark 3.** We note that a continuous linear functional on a pre-Hilbert space $H$, say, is orthocontinuous and if $H$ is a Hilbert space then the kernel of a continuous functional, which is a closed subspace, is splitting. Also if $H_1, H_2$ are pre-Hilbert spaces with $H_2$ complete then a continuous bilinear form on $H_1 \times H_2$ is orthocontinuous. Hence the corollary that follows is an immediate consequence of the theorem.

**Corollary 3.13.** Let $H_1$ be a pre-Hilbert space and $H_2$ be a Hilbert space (both real, complex or quaternion) and $B(\cdot, \cdot)$ a bilinear form on $H_1 \times H_2$ such that

$$3.13.1 \quad \left| B(x, y) \right| \leq \delta' \|x\|_{H_1} \|y\|_{H_2}$$

for all $x$ in $H_1$ and $y$ in $H_2$ and for some positive real $\delta' < \infty$,

$$3.13.2 \quad \inf_{\|x\|_{H_1} = 1} \sup_{\|y\|_{H_2} = 1} \left| B(x, y) \right| \geq \delta > 0,$$

$$3.13.3 \quad \sup_{x \in H_1} \left| B(x, y) \right| > 0 \quad \text{for all } y \neq 0.$$ 

Let $\phi$ be a bounded linear functional on $H_2$. Then there exists a unique vector $x_0$ in $H_1$ such that

$$\phi(y) = B(x_0, y) \quad \text{for all } y \text{ in } H_2.$$ 

Moreover

$$\delta \|x_0\|_{H_1} = \|\phi\|.$$ 

**Remark 4.** We note from the foregoing that the completeness of $H_1$ is not necessary for these results to hold unless $H_1 = H_2$; in which case we obtain the Lax-Milgram Theorem.
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