Pacific Journal of Mathematics

SUPPORT POINT FUNCTIONS AND THE LOEWNER VARIATION

RICHARD PELL

Vol. 86, No. 2 December 1980

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS
Vol. 86, No. 2, 1980

SUPPORT POINT FUNCTIONS AND THE LOEWNER VARIATION

RICHARD PELL

1. Introduction. Let $U = \{z: |z| < 1\}$ and $\mathscr{S}$ the set of functions $f, f(z) = z + a_2z^2 + \cdots$, that are analytic and 1: 1 in U. Denote by σ the collection of support point functions of $\mathscr{S}$, i.e., functions $f \in \mathscr{S}$ that satisfy

$$\operatorname{Re} L(f) = \max_{g \in \mathscr{S}} \operatorname{Re} L(g)$$

for some nonconstant continuous (in the topology of local uniform convergence) linear functional on $\mathscr{S}$. Finally, denote by $E(\mathscr{S})$ the set of extreme point functions of $\mathscr{S}$.

It is well known that if $f \in \sigma \cup E(\mathscr{S})$, then $f(U)$ is the complement of a single Jordan arc extending from some finite point to ∞ and along which $|w|$ is strictly increasing. Indeed, this has been demonstrated for the class $E(\mathscr{S})$ by L. Brickman [1] and for the class σ by A. Pfluger [5] (see also L. Brickman and D. Wilken [2]). Consequently, if $f \in \sigma \cup E(\mathscr{S})$, there is a Loewner chain

$$f(z, t) = e^t\left[z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n(t)z^n\right] \quad (0 \leqq t < \infty)$$

with $f(z, 0) = f(z)$ and $f(z, t_1)$ subordinate to $f(z, t_2)$ if $0 \leqq t_1 < t_2 < \infty$ (see [6, p. 157]). Note that $e^{-t}f(z, t) \in \mathscr{S}$. Let $w(z, t) = e^{-t}(z + \hat{b}_2(t)z^2 + \hat{b}_3(t)z^3 + \cdots)$ be analytic for $t \in \{t: 0 \leqq t < \infty\}$ and $z \in U$, 1:1 in U with $|w(z, t)| < 1$, and such that $f(z) = f(w(z, t), t)$ for each $t \in \{t: 0 \leqq t < \infty\}$ and all $z \in U$. Observe that we define $\hat{w}(z, t) \equiv e^t w(z, t) = z + \hat{b}_2(t)z^2 + \cdots \in \mathscr{S}$ and that $|\hat{w}(z, t)| < e^t$ for $z \in U$.

In §2 it is shown that if $f \in E(\mathscr{S})$, then $e^{-t}f(z, t) \in E(\mathscr{S})$ and also that if $f \in \sigma$, then $e^{-t}f(z, t) \in \sigma$. This latter result is a generalization of a theorem due to S. Friedland and M. Schiffer [3, p. 143]. Also, in the process of generalizing this theorem a fairly easy method is established for finding for each t, $0 \leqq t < \infty$, a continuous linear functional which $e^{-t}f(z, t)$ maximizes.

2. Preservation of the sets σ and $E(\mathscr{S})$ under the Loewner variation. It is easy to show that if $f \in E(\mathscr{S})$, then $e^{-t}f(z, t) \in E(\mathscr{S})$ also. Indeed, if this were not the case, then there would exist distinct functions $f_1, f_2 \in \mathscr{S}$ and $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 > 0$ with $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = 1$ for which $\lambda_1 f_1(z) + \lambda_2 f_2(z) = e^{-t}f(z, t)$. This would imply that $e^t\lambda_1 f_1(w(z, t)) + e^t\lambda_2 f_2(w(z, t)) = f(w(z, t), t) = f(z)$. Since $e^t f_1(w(z, t))$ and $e^t f_2(w(z,t))$ are in $\mathscr{S}$, the fact that $f(z) \in E(\mathscr{S})$ is contradicted and therefore

$e^{-t}f(z, t) \in E(\mathscr{S})(0 \leqq t < \infty)$.

The following theorem contains the analogous result for the class σ.

THEOREM. *Let* $f \in \sigma \subset \mathscr{S}$. *Then* $e^{-t}f(z, t) \in \sigma$ *for all* t *such that* $0 \leqq t < \infty$.

Proof. Since $f \in \sigma$, there exists a nonconstant continuous linear functional, L, for which

$$\operatorname{Re} L(f) = \max_{g \in \mathscr{S}} \operatorname{Re} L(g) .$$

At this point we need a representation theorem due to O. Toeplitz [7].

THEOREM (*Toeplitz*). *Let* $f(z) = z + a_2 z^2 + \cdots \in \mathscr{S}$. *Then* $L(f)$ *is a continuous linear functional on* $\mathscr{S}$ *if and only if there exists a sequence* $\{b_n\}$ *with* $\limsup_{n\to\infty} |b_n|^{1/n} < 1$ *such that* $L(f) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n b_n$.

Now, $f(z) = f(w(z, t), t)$ where $e^t w(z, t) = \hat{w}(z, t) = z + \hat{b}_2(t)z^2 + \cdots \in \mathscr{S}$ and $|\hat{w}(z, t)| < e^t$ for $z \in U$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} f(w(z, t), t) &= e^t[w(z, t) + a_2(t)w^2(z, t) + \cdots + a_n(t)w^n(z, t) + \cdots] \\ &= \hat{w}(z, t) + a_2(t)e^{-t}\hat{w}^2(z, t) + \cdots \\ &\qquad + a_n(t)e^{-(n-1)t}\hat{w}^n(z, t) + \cdots, \end{aligned}$$

and if $L(f) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n b_n$, then it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n b_n &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [\hat{b}_n^{(1)} + a_2(t)e^{-t}\hat{b}_n^{(2)} + a_3(t)e^{-2t}\hat{b}_n^{(3)} + \cdots \\ &\qquad + a_n(t)e^{-(n-1)t}\hat{b}_n^{(n)}]b_n \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k(t)e^{-(k-1)t}\hat{b}_n^{(k)} b_n\right] \end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{b}_n^{(k)}$ is the nth coefficient of $\hat{w}^k(z, t) = [z + \hat{b}_2(t)z^2 + \cdots]^k$. However, since $\hat{w}^k(z, t)$ is analytic in U and bounded by e^{kt}, it follows from Cauchy's formula that

$$\begin{aligned} |\hat{b}_n^{(k)}| &= \left|\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{|\varepsilon|=1} \frac{\hat{w}^k(\varepsilon, t)d\varepsilon}{\varepsilon^{n+1}}\right| = \left|\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\hat{w}^k(e^{i\theta}, t)}{e^{in\theta}} d\theta\right| \\ &\leqq \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} |\hat{w}^k(e^{i\theta}, t)|\, d\theta \leqq e^{kt} \end{aligned}$$

for all $n = 1, 2, \cdots$. Also, since $e^{-t}f(z, t) = z + a_2(t)z^2 + \cdots \in \mathscr{S}$, it follows from Littlewood's theorem [4] that $|a_k(t)| \leqq ke$. Therefore,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} |a_k(t)e^{-(k-1)t}\hat{b}_n^{(k)}b_n| \leqq \sum_{k=1}^{n} |ke\cdot e^{-(k-1)t}\cdot e^{kt}\cdot b_n|$$
$$= e^{(t+1)}|b_n|\left(\frac{n(n+1)}{2}\right).$$

Notice also that $\limsup_{n\to\infty} |e^{(t+1)}\cdot b_n \cdot n(n+1)/2|^{1/n} = \limsup_{n\to\infty} |b_n|^{1/n} < 1$. Consequently, the double summation, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k(t)e^{-(k-1)t}\hat{b}_n^{(k)}b_n]$, converges absolutely and therefore the order of summation can be reversed and one obtains

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n b_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k(t)e^{-(k-1)t}\hat{b}_n^{(k)}b_n\right]$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left[\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} a_k(t)e^{-(k-1)t}\hat{b}_n^{(k)}b_n\right]$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left[\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \hat{b}_n^{(k)}b_n e^{-(k-1)t}\right]a_k(t).$$

Now, for $f \in \mathscr{S}$ define $L_t(f) \equiv \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \hat{b}_n^{(k)}b_n e^{-(k-1)t})a_k$. From the theorem of Toeplitz it follows that L_t will be a continuous linear functional on $\mathscr{S}$ provided that

$$\limsup_{k\to\infty} \left|\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \hat{b}_n^{(k)}b_n e^{-(k-1)t}\right|^{1/k} < 1.$$

Since $\limsup_{k\to\infty} |b_k|^{1/k} = \rho < 1$, there exists an N and an r such that $\rho < r < 1$ and $|b_k| \leqq r^k$ for all $k \geqq N$. Therefore, $|\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \hat{b}_n^{(k)}b_n e^{-(k-1)t}|^{1/k} \leqq (e^{kt}\cdot e^{-(k-1)t}\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} r^n)^{1/k} = e^{t/k}r/(1-r)^{1/k}$ for all $k \geqq N$. Since

$$\limsup_{k\to\infty}\left[e^{t/k}\frac{r}{(1-r)^{1/k}}\right] = r < 1,$$

it follows that $\limsup_{k\to\infty} |\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \hat{b}_n^{(k)}b_n e^{-(k-1)t}|^{1/k} \leqq r < 1$.

Since $\operatorname{Re} L(f) = \operatorname{Re}(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n b_n)$ is a maximum for the class $\mathscr{S}$, it follows easily that $\operatorname{Re} L_t(e^{-t}f(z, t))$ is also a maximum for the class $\mathscr{S}$. In order to see this one needs only to observe that if f and $\hat{f}$ are any two functions in $\mathscr{S}$ related by a relation of the form $f(z) = e^t\hat{f}(w(z, t))$, then $L(f) = L_t(\hat{f})$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

REMARKS. Since $f(z) \equiv f(w(z, t), t)$ for some $w(z, t)$, one can express $L_t(e^{-t}f(z, t)) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \hat{b}_n^{(k)}b_n e^{-(k-1)t})a_k(t)$ in terms of the coefficients of the functions $f(z)$ and $e^{-t}f(z, t)$. This can easily be done provided that $L(f)$ $(L(f) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n b_n)$ does not contain too many terms. Then for each t, $0 < t < \infty$, the corresponding Schiffer differential equation which $e^{-t}f(z, t)$ must satisfy can then be computed with little difficulty. Unfortunately, extracting useful information from these new equations is not an easy task.

Suppose, however, that it is known that $\operatorname{Re} L(f)$ is a maximum for the class $\mathscr{S}$ when f is one of the Koebe functions, $f(z) = z/(1 - e^{i\theta}z)^2 (0 \leqq \theta < 2\pi)$. Then since $e^{-t}f(z, t) = f(z)$ in this case, it follows that $\operatorname{Re} L_t(f)$ is a maximum for the class $\mathscr{S}$ for all t $(0 \leqq t < \infty)$. From this one can establish a one parameter family of new coefficient inequalities for the class $\mathscr{S}$. S. Friedland and M. Schiffer [3, p. 149] have done this for the case where $L(f) = a_4$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT. The author would like to thank William Kirwan and James Hummel for their continued help and support over the past several years.

REFERENCES

1. L. Brickman, *Extreme points of the set of univalent functions*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **76** (1970), 372-374.
2. L. Brickman and D. R. Wilken, *Support points of the set of univalent functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **42** (1974), 523-528.
3. S. Friedland and M. Schiffer, *On coefficient regions of univalent functions*, J. D'Anal. Math., **31** (1977), 125-168.
4. J. E. Littlewood, *On inequalities in the theory of functions*, Proc. London Math. Soc., (2) **23** (1925), 481-519.
5. A Pfluger, *Lineare Extremal Probleme bei schlichten Funktionen*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser AI, (1971), 489.
6. Chr. Pommerenke, *Univalent Functions*, Vandenhoeck and Ruprecht, Göttengen, 1975.
7. O. Toeplitz, *Die linearen vollkommenen Räume der Funktionen theorie*, Comment. Math. Helv., **23** (1949), 222-242.

Received January 16, 1979 and in revised form May 24, 1979.

UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND
COLLEGE PARK, MD 20742

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Please propose a heading for the odd numbered pages of less than 35 characters. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. **39**. Supply name and address of author to whom proofs should be sent. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints to each author are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: $84.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rato: $42.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address shoud be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924, U.S.A Old back numbers obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION
Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.).
8-8, 3-chome, Takadanobaba, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 86, No. 2 December, 1980