

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

AXIOMS FOR CLOSED LEFT IDEALS IN A C^* -ALGEBRA

JEN-CHUNG CHUAN

AXIOMS FOR CLOSED LEFT IDEALS IN A C^* -ALGEBRA

JEN-CHUNG CHUAN

A set of axioms is formulated to describe the conditions under which a Banach algebra may be embedded as a closed left ideal in a C^* -algebra.

In this paper we attempt to characterize the class of all closed left ideals in a C^* -algebra as a class of Banach algebras equipped with a certain (nonassociative) multiplication structure. To describe such a multiplication, we formulate a set of axioms which extracts the essential properties of the binary operation

$$(x, y) \longrightarrow y^*x$$

taking place in a closed left ideal of a C^* -algebra. Following the notion of centralizers of C^* -algebras introduced by B. E. Johnson [3] and R. C. Busby [1] we are able to show that the axioms are indeed suitable for our purpose: in order that a Banach algebra L fulfills the conditions of the axiom, it is necessary and sufficient that L can be identified with a closed left ideal of some C^* -algebra. This paper is taken from parts of author's Ph. D. thesis under the supervision of C. Akemann.

AXIOM 1. Let $(L, \| \cdot \|)$ be a complex Banach algebra which contains a closed subalgebra B that has a C^* -algebra structure, i.e., besides the algebraic and the norm structures inherited from L , B has an involution $*$ so that B is a Banach $*$ -algebra satisfying $\|x^*x\| = \|x\|^2$ for $x \in B$. Suppose that

$$[\cdot, \cdot]: L \times L \longrightarrow B$$

is a function such that for elements x, y, z in L and for each complex scalar λ the following rules hold:

- (i) $[x, y] = [y, x]^*$
- (ii) $[x + y, z] = [x, z] + [y, z]$
- (iii) $[\lambda x, y] = \lambda[x, y]$
- (iv) $[x, x]$ is a positive element of the C^* -algebra B
- (v) $\|[x, x]\| = \|x\|^2$
- (vi) $\|[x, y]\| \leq \|x\| \|y\|$
- (vii) $[xy, z] = [y, [z, x]]$
- (viii) $[x, y] = y^*x$ for x, y in B .

We now exhibit a situation in which the conditions stated in the

above axiom hold naturally.

PROPOSITION 2. *Suppose that L is a closed left ideal of a C^* -algebra A . Set $B = L \cap L^*$. Let $[\cdot, \cdot]: L \times L \rightarrow B$ be defined by $[x, y] = y^*x$. Then $L, B, [\cdot, \cdot]$ satisfy the conditions of Axiom 1.*

Proof. Clearly B is a C^* -algebra and condition (viii) is satisfied. Conditions (i) \sim (v) reflect the basic properties of A . For x, y in A , $\|y^*x\| \leq \|y\| \|x\|$, thus (vi). Condition (vii) is the consequence of the associative law of multiplication: for x, y, z in L , we have

$$z^*(xy) = (z^*x)y = (x^*z)^*y.$$

We remark that the binary operation $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is not required to be associative. As in the situation of Proposition 2, for arbitrary elements x, y, z in A , $[[x, y], z] = z^*(y^*x)$ is usually not the same as $(y^*z)^*x = [x, [y, z]]$.

Conditions (i) \sim (v) resemble rules of the scalar product defined on vector spaces. Indeed we are able to derive consequences similar to those of the inner product.

PROPOSITION 3. *Under Axiom 1 the following hold:*

- (1) $[x, y + z] = [x, y] + [x, z]$ for x, y, z in L .
- (2) $[x, \lambda y] = \bar{\lambda}[x, y]$ for complex scalars λ and elements x, y in L .
- (3) $\|x\| = \sup\{\|[x, y]\|: y \in L, \|y\| \leq 1\}$ for $x \in L$.
- (4) The condition " $x \in L$ and $[x, y] = 0$ for all $y \in L$ " implies $x = 0$.
- (5) For a, b, x, y in L , we have

$$[ax, by] = [[a, b]x, y].$$

- (6) For $x \in L$, we have

$$\|x\| = \sup\{\|[xy, z]\|: y, z \in L, \|y\| \leq 1, \|z\| \leq 1\}.$$

Proof. For x, y, z in L and for each complex scalar λ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} [x, y + z] &= [y + z, x]^* = [y, x]^* + [z, x]^* \\ &= [x, y] + [x, z], \\ [x, \lambda y] &= [\lambda y, x]^* = \bar{\lambda}[y, x]^* \\ &= \bar{\lambda}[x, y]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus (1) and (2).

Now for x, y in L with $\|y\| \leq 1$, we have

$$\|[x, y]\| \leq \|x\| \|y\| \leq \|x\|.$$

Thus if $x = 0$ then clearly $\|[x, y]\| = 0$. If $x \neq 0$, then

$$\left\| \left[x, \frac{x}{\|x\|} \right] \right\| = \frac{\|x\|^2}{\|x\|} = \|x\|.$$

Therefore (3).

Condition (4) follows from (3). To see condition (5), we repeat rule (vii) to obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} [ax, by] &= [x[by, a]] = [x, [y, [a, b]]] \\ &= [[a, b]x, y]. \end{aligned}$$

To see (6), notice that if $x \neq 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \left[x \frac{[x, x]}{\|x\|^2}, \frac{x}{\|x\|} \right] \right\| &= \left\| \frac{[x, x]}{\|x\|^2}, \frac{[x, x]}{\|x\|} \right\| \\ &= \|x\|^4 / \|x\|^3 = \|x\|. \end{aligned}$$

The following definition is a slight modification of a concept first introduced by B. E. Johnson and was later investigated by R. C. Busby in the case of C^* -algebras (see [3], [1]).

L is assumed to satisfy Axiom 1 from now on.

DEFINITION 4. A bracket centralizer on L is a pair (T', T'') of functions from L to L such that $[T'x, y] = [x, T''y]$ for x, y in L . We denote the set of all bracket centralizers of L by $M(L)$.

PROPOSITION 5. Let $(T', T'') \in M(L)$. Then

- (1) $(T'', T') \in M(L)$.
- (2) T' and T'' are continuous linear maps from L to L .
- (3) $T'(xy) = T'(x)y$, $T''(xy) = T''(x)y$ for all x, y in L .

Proof. (1) For all x, y in L , we have

$$[T''x, y] = [y, T''x]^*$$

and

$$[T'y, x]^* = [x, T'y].$$

Hence $(T', T'') \in M(L)$ iff $(T'', T') \in M(L)$.

(2) Fix $z \in L$ and for each x, y in L and complex scalars α, β , we have

$$\begin{aligned} [T'(\alpha x + \beta y), z] &= [\alpha x + \beta y, T''z] = \alpha[x, T''z] + \beta[y, T''z] \\ &= \alpha[T'x, z] + \beta[T'y, z] \\ &= [\alpha T'x + \beta T'y, z]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\alpha T'x + \beta T'y = T'(\alpha x + \beta y)$, by Proposition 3 (4). Consequently T' is a linear map. Since T'' plays the same role as T' by (1), we conclude that T'' is also a linear map.

Suppose that $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in L and y is an element of L such that

$$\lim_n \|x_n - x\| = 0 = \lim_n \|T'x_n - y\|.$$

Then for each fixed z in L , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|[T'x - y, z]\| &= \|[T'x, z] - [y, z]\| \\ &\leq \|[T'x, z] - [T'x_n, z]\| + \|[T'x_n, z] - [y, z]\| \\ &= \|[x, T''z] - [x_n, T''z]\| + \|[T'x_n - y, z]\| \\ &\leq \|x - x_n\| \|T''z\| + \|T'x_n - y\| \|z\| \\ &\longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \longrightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

As a result of Proposition 3 (4), we have $T'x = y$. By the closed graph theorem, T' is continuous. By symmetry, T'' is continuous.

(3) Let $x, y, z \in L$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} [T'(xy), z] &= [xy, T''z] = [y, [T''z, x]] = [y, [z, T'x]] \\ &= [T'(x)y, z], \end{aligned}$$

by condition (vii) of Axiom 1. Therefore, $T'(xy) = T'(x)y$.

The above proposition has the following interesting byproduct:

COROLLARY 6 (see [4; p. 296]). *Let H be a Hilbert space with inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. A function $T: H \rightarrow H$ is a bounded linear operator on H iff there exists some function $T^*: H \rightarrow H$ so that $\langle Tx, y \rangle = \langle x, T^*y \rangle$ holds for all x, y in H .*

Proof. The “only if” part follows from the fact that corresponding to each bounded linear operator T there exists an adjoint operator T^* such that $\langle Tx, y \rangle = \langle x, T^*y \rangle$ for all x, y in H .

We now prove the “if” part. Fix an orthonormal basis $\{\xi_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$ for H . Imagine H as being embedded in some fixed column of matrices of the size $\Gamma \times \Gamma$, i.e., we fix an index γ_0 in Γ and identify $\sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma} c_\alpha \xi_\alpha \in H$ with the complex matrix $(b_{\beta\gamma})$, where $b_{\beta\gamma} = 0$ for $\gamma \neq \gamma_0$ and $b_{\beta\gamma_0} = c_\beta$ for $\beta \in \Gamma$. Notice that the norm is preserved under this identification. H is stable under the matrix multiplication so induced and thus becomes a Banach algebra which contains a one-dimensional C^* -subalgebra B , where

$$\begin{aligned} B &= \{(b_{\beta\gamma}): b_{\beta\gamma} = 0 \text{ if } \beta \neq \gamma_0 \text{ or } \gamma \neq \gamma_0\} \\ &= \text{the scalar multiples of the matrix } e = (e_{\beta\gamma}), \\ &\quad \text{where } e_{\beta\gamma} = 0 \text{ if } \beta \neq \gamma_0 \text{ or } \gamma \neq \gamma_0, e_{\gamma_0\gamma_0} = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Then the binary operation

$$[\cdot, \cdot]: H \times H \longrightarrow B$$

defined by

$$[x, y] = y^*x = \langle x, y \rangle e$$

(y^* is the conjugate transpose of y) satisfies all the conditions listed in Axiom 1. (Notice that condition (vii) is a result of the associative law of matrix multiplication.) Thus if $T: H \rightarrow H$ is a function with the property that there is some function $T^*: H \rightarrow H$ such that $\langle Tx, y \rangle = \langle x, T^*y \rangle$ for all x, y in H , then

$$\begin{aligned} [Tx, y] &= \langle Tx, y \rangle e = \langle x, T^*y \rangle e \\ &= [x, T^*y]. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Proposition 5 (2) that $T: H \rightarrow H$ is a bounded linear operator.

The next corollary is a slight generalization of the previous one.

COROLLARY 7. *Let H be a Hilbert space with inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Suppose that $T, T^*: H \rightarrow H$ is a pair of functions such that*

$$\{\langle Tx, y \rangle - \langle x, T^*y \rangle: x, y \in H\}$$

is a bounded subset of complex numbers. Then T and T^ are bounded linear operators on H . Furthermore, T^* is indeed the adjoint of T .*

Proof. Assume that M is a positive real number such that

$$|\langle Tx, y \rangle - \langle x, T^*y \rangle| \leq M$$

for all x, y in H . Replacing x by λx ($\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$) we have

$$|\langle T(\lambda x), y \rangle - \langle \lambda x, T^*y \rangle| \leq M.$$

Thus

$$\left| \frac{1}{\lambda} \langle T(\lambda x), y \rangle - \langle x, T^*y \rangle \right| \leq \frac{M}{\lambda}$$

for $\lambda > 0$ and x, y in H . Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Fix $\beta > 0$. Choose $\lambda > 0$ so that

$$\beta M / \lambda < \varepsilon / 2 \quad \text{and} \quad M / \lambda < \varepsilon / 2.$$

Hence

$$\left| \frac{1}{\lambda} \langle T(\lambda x), \beta y \rangle - \langle x, T^*(\beta y) \rangle \right| \leq \frac{M}{\lambda}.$$

In view of the equality

$$\frac{1}{\lambda} \langle T(\lambda x), \beta y \rangle = \frac{\beta}{\lambda} \langle T(\lambda x), y \rangle$$

and the inequality

$$\left| \frac{\beta}{\lambda} \langle T(\lambda x), y \rangle - \langle x, \beta T^* y \rangle \right| \leq \frac{\beta M}{\lambda},$$

we have

$$|\langle x, T^*(\beta y) - \beta T^* y \rangle| \leq \frac{M}{\lambda} + \frac{\beta M}{\lambda} < \varepsilon/2 + \varepsilon/2 = \varepsilon.$$

This shows $T^*(\beta y) = \beta T^* y$ for all y in H and for all $\beta > 0$. Now for all x, y in H , we have

$$|\langle Tx, \beta y \rangle - \langle x, T^*(\beta y) \rangle| \leq M$$

and so

$$|\langle Tx, y \rangle - \langle x, T^* y \rangle| \leq M/\beta$$

for all x, y in H and all $\beta > 0$. Hence

$$\langle Tx, y \rangle = \langle x, T^* y \rangle$$

for all x, y in H . The desired conclusion follows from Corollary 6.

PROPOSITION 8. *Let (T', T'') be in $M(L)$. If we regard T' and T'' as bounded linear operators on the Banach space L , then*

$$\|T'\| = \|T''\|.$$

Proof. Let $x \in L$, $\|x\| < 1$. Considering Proposition 3 (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T'x\| &= \sup_{\substack{y \in L \\ \|y\| \leq 1}} \|[T'x, y]\| = \sup_{\substack{y \in L \\ \|y\| \leq 1}} \|[x, T''y]\| \\ &\leq \sup_{\substack{y \in L \\ \|y\| \leq 1}} \|T''y\| = \|T''\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\|T'\| \leq \|T''\|$. By symmetry, we also have $\|T''\| \leq \|T'\|$. Thus $\|T'\| = \|T''\|$.

PROPOSITION 9. *If $(T', T''), (S', S'') \in M(L)$, then $(T'S', S''T'') \in M(L)$.*

Proof. For $x, y \in L$,

$$[T'S'x, y] = [S'x, T''y] = [x, S''T''y].$$

THEOREM 10. $M(L)$ equipped with the norm and algebraic operations defined as follows becomes a C^* -algebra with identity. For $(T', T''), (S', S'') \in M(L)$ and complex scalar α , set

$$(1) \quad (T', T'') + (S', S'') = (T' + S', T'' + S'')$$

$$(2) \quad \alpha(T', T'') = (\alpha T', \bar{\alpha} T'')$$

$$(3) \quad (T', T'')(S', S'') = (T'S', S''T'')$$

$$(4) \quad (T', T'')^* = (T'', T')$$

(5) $\|(T', T'')\| =$ the operator norm of T' on $L (=$ the operator norm of T'' on L , by Proposition 8).

Proof. It is clear that $M(L)$ is an involutive normed algebra with respect to the above operations. We now show $M(L)$ is complete under the norm given by (5). Let $\{(T'_n, T''_n)\}_{n \geq 1}$ be a Cauchy sequence in $M(L)$. Then $\{T'_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ and $\{T''_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ are Cauchy sequences in the Banach space $B(L)$ of all bounded linear transformations on L . Thus there are elements T'_∞ and T''_∞ in $B(L)$ such that T'_∞ and T''_∞ are the uniform limits of $\{T'_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ and $\{T''_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ respectively. If $x, y \in L$, then

$$\begin{aligned} [T'_\infty y, x] &= \lim_n [T'_n y, x] = \lim_n [y, T''_n x] \\ &= [y, T''_\infty x]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $(T'_\infty, T''_\infty) \in M(L)$ and (T'_n, T''_n) is convergent to (T'_∞, T''_∞) .

It remains to check the C^* -norm condition:

$$\begin{aligned} \|(T', T'')^*(T', T'')\| &= \|(T''T', T'T'')\| = \|T''T'\| \\ &= \sup\{\|[T''T'x, y]\|: \|x\| \leq 1, \|y\| \leq 1, x, y \in L\} \\ &= \sup\{\|[T'x, T'y]\|: \|x\| \leq 1, \|y\| \leq 1, x, y \in L\} \\ &\geq \sup\{\|[T'x, T'x]\|: \|x\| \leq 1, x \in L\} \\ &= \|T'\|^2 = \|T'\| \|T''\| \geq \|T''T'\| \\ &= \|(T''T', T'T'')\| = \|(T', T'')^*(T', T'')\|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\|(T', T'')^*(T', T'')\| = \|(T', T'')\|^2.$$

We are now ready to define an embedding of L satisfying Axiom 1 onto a closed left ideal of the C^* -algebra $M(L)$. For each a in L , let $\pi'(a)$ (respectively $\pi''(a)$) be the function from L to L defined by $\pi'(a)x = ax$ (respectively $\pi''(a)x = [x, a]$) for x in L . Condition (vii) of Axiom 1 guarantees that the pair $(\pi'(a), \pi''(a))$ belongs to $M(L)$ for each a in L .

THEOREM 11. *There is a closed left ideal J of the C^* -algebra*

$M(L)$ and an isometric linear map π from L onto J with the following properties:

- (1) $\pi(B) = J \cap J^*$.
- (2) $\pi|_B$ is a *-isomorphism of C^* -algebras.
- (3) $\pi(xy) = \pi(x)\pi(y)$ for x, y in L .
- (4) $\pi([x, y]) = \pi(y)^*\pi(x)$ for x, y in L .

Proof. As noticed above, the pair $\pi(a) = (\pi'(a), \pi''(a)) \in M(L)$ for $a \in L$. We shall show that

$$J = \{\pi(a) : a \in L\}$$

is a closed left ideal of $M(L)$ and $\pi : L \rightarrow J$ indeed fulfills conditions (1) \sim (4).

First we observe that, when regarded as a map from L into $M(L)$, π is linear. Thus J is a linear subspace of M . As a result of Proposition 3(6), we have

$$\|\pi(a)\| = \sup_{\substack{\|x\| \leq 1, x \in L \\ \|y\| \leq 1, y \in L}} \|[ax, y]\| = \|a\|.$$

Therefore $\pi(L) = J$ is a complete linear subspace of $M(L)$ and so is uniformly closed. Suppose that $(T', T'') \in M(L)$. Then for a in L , $b = T'a$ is an element of L . Thus for x in L we have

$$\begin{aligned} [T' \circ \pi'(a)](x) &= T'(ax) = T'(a)x = bx = \pi'(b)(x); \\ [\pi''(a) \circ T''](x) &= \pi''(a)(T''x) = [T''x, a] = [x, T'a] \\ &= [x, b] = \pi''(b)(x). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, $(T', T'')\pi(a) = \pi(b)$. This shows that $\pi(L) = J$ is a surjective linear isometry.

For x, y, v, w in L , by Proposition 3 (5), we have

$$[[x, y]v, w] = [xv, yw] = [[xv, y], w].$$

Therefore $\pi([x, y]) = \pi(y)^*\pi(x)$, so (4) is proved. In particular, $\pi([x, x])$ is a positive element of J . Since every positive element of B is of the form $[x, x]$ for some x in L (by condition (vii)) and since every element of B is a linear combination of positive ones, we conclude that $\pi(B) \subset J \cap J^*$. On the other hand, every positive element of $J \cap J^*$ is of the form $\pi(x)^*\pi(x) = \pi(x^*x)$ for some x in L , we see that $\pi(B) = J \cap J^*$. Thus (1) holds.

Condition (3) is clear. Condition (2) follows from conditions (1) and (3) and the fact that π is isometric. This completes the proof.

There is an alternative method of embedding a Banach algebra satisfying Axioms 1 into a C^* -subalgebra of $B(H)$, the C^* -algebra

of all bounded linear operators on some Hilbert space H [2; p. 41]. Based on the characterization of Jordan and von Neumann, it is shown in [2; p. 45] that parts of Axiom 1 may be formulated differently.

We conclude with the following summary of the main result:

THEOREM 12. *A Banach algebra can be isometrically embedded as a closed left ideal of a C^* -algebra if and only if conditions of Axiom 1 hold.*

REFERENCES

1. R. C. Busby, *Double centralizers and extensions of C^* -algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **132** (1968), 79-99.
2. J. C. Chuan, *One-sided ideals in a C^* -algebra*, dissertation, University of California, Santa Barbara, 1977.
3. B. E. Johnson, *An introduction to the theory of centralisers*, Proc. London Math. Soc., (3), **14** (1964), 299-320.
4. F. Riesz and B. Sz.-Nagy, *Functional Analysis*, Frederick Ungar, New York, 1955.

Received December 11, 1978 and in revised form April 25, 1979.

TSING HUA UNIVERSITY
HSINCHU, TAIWAN 300, REPUBLIC OF CHINA

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

DONALD BABBITT (Managing Editor)

University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

HUGO ROSSI

University of Utah
Salt Lake City, UT 84112

C. C. MOORE AND ANDREW OGG

University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. FINN AND J. MILGRAM
Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

Spiros Argyros, <i>A decomposition of complete Boolean algebras</i>	1
Gerald A. Beer, <i>The approximation of upper semicontinuous multifunctions by step multifunctions</i>	11
Ehrhard Behrends and Richard Evans, <i>Multiplicity theory for Boolean algebras of L^p-projections</i>	21
Man-Duen Choi, <i>The full C^*-algebra of the free group on two generators</i>	41
Jen-Chung Chuan, <i>Axioms for closed left ideals in a C^*-algebra</i>	49
Jo-Ann Deborah Cohen, <i>The strong approximation theorem and locally bounded topologies on $F(X)$</i>	59
Eugene Harrison Gover and Mark Bernard Ramras, <i>Increasing sequences of Betti numbers</i>	65
Morton Edward Harris, <i>Finite groups having an involution centralizer with a 2-component of type $\text{PSL}(3, 3)$</i>	69
Valéria Botelho de Magalhães Iório, <i>Hopf C^*-algebras and locally compact groups</i>	75
Roy Andrew Johnson, <i>Nearly Borel sets and product measures</i>	97
Lowell Edwin Jones, <i>Construction of Z_p-actions on manifolds</i>	111
Manuel Lerman and Robert Irving Soare, <i>d-simple sets, small sets, and degree classes</i>	135
Philip W. McCartney, <i>Neighborly bushes and the Radon-Nikodým property for Banach spaces</i>	157
Robert Colman McOwen, <i>Fredholm theory of partial differential equations on complete Riemannian manifolds</i>	169
Ernest A. Michael and Carl Preston Pixley, <i>A unified theorem on continuous selections</i>	187
Ernest A. Michael, <i>Continuous selections and finite-dimensional sets</i>	189
Vassili Nestoridis, <i>Inner functions: noninvariant connected components</i>	199
Bun Wong, <i>A maximum principle on Clifford torus and nonexistence of proper holomorphic map from the ball to polydisc</i>	211
Steve Wright, <i>Similarity orbits of approximately finite C^*-algebras</i>	223
Kenjiro Yanagi, <i>On some fixed point theorems for multivalued mappings</i>	233
Wieslaw Zelazko, <i>A characterization of LC-nonremovable ideals in commutative Banach algebras</i>	241