Pacific Journal of Mathematics

ON THE STABLE SPLITTING OF $b_0 \wedge b_0$ AND TORSION OPERATIONS IN CONNECTIVE *K*-THEORY

GUNNAR CARLSSON

Vol. 87, No. 2

February 1980

ON THE STABLE SPLITTING OF $bo \land bo$ AND TORSION OPERATIONS IN CONNECTIVE K-THEORY

GUNNAR CARLSSON

The real connective K-theory spectrum, bo, has been shown to be a useful spectrum in homotopy theory. In particular, the bo-homology Adams Spectral Sequence, based on the cofiber sequence

(A)
$$S^{\circ} \longleftarrow \overline{b}o \leftarrow \overline{b}o \wedge \overline{b}o \leftarrow \overline{b}o \wedge \overline{b}o \wedge$$

has been used extensively by Mahowald in his work on the image of the J-homomorphism. One of the problems encountered with the bo-spectrum is that, unlike the mod 2 Eilenberg-Maclane spectrum, $bo \wedge bo$ does not split as a wedge of suspensions of bo itself. However, Mahowald and Milgram have obtained a splitting

$$(B) bo \wedge bo \simeq X \vee G$$

where X is a wedge of spaces intimately related with bo itself, and G is a wedge of mod 2 Eilenberg-MacLane spectra. In this paper, we determine the structure of G, i.e., we calculate the number of Eilenberg-Maclane summands occuring in each dimension.

This should moreover permit the complete analysis of the iterated smash products $bo \wedge \overline{bo} \wedge \cdots \wedge \overline{bo}$, which occur in (A).

A second consequence is obtained using the results of [3], namely that the mod 2 cohomology Adams Spectral Sequence converging to $[bo, bo]_*$ collapses. This means, in view of the change of rings arguments in [3] and [4], that we have in fact obtained a basis for the vector space $[bo, bo]_*/I$, where I denotes the ideal of self-maps of bo which lie in Adams filtration higher than 0. Since I is well understood, this is a significant improvement in the understanding of the ring of operations in bo-theory. It should be pointed out, though, that we only give a basis, without discussion of the multiplicative structure, which seems more difficult.

The method of calculation can be summarized as follows: Mahowald has obtained a splitting of $H^*(bo, Z/2)$ as an \mathcal{M}_1 -module $(\mathcal{M}_1 = Z/2(Sq^1, Sq^2))$

$$H^*(bo,\,Z\!/\!2)\congigoplus M_i igoplus F$$

where M is a direct sum of indecomposable \mathcal{M}_1 -modules, and F is a

free \mathscr{M}_1 -module. Since $H^*(bo, Z/2) \cong \mathscr{M}(2)/\mathscr{M}(2)\{Sq^1, Sq^2\}$, this gives a splitting

$$egin{aligned} &H^*(bo\ \wedge\ bo,\ Z/2)\cong H^*(bo,\ Z/2)\otimes H^*(bo;\ Z/2)\ &\cong \mathscr{A}(2)\bigotimes_{\mathscr{I}_1}H^*(bo,\ Z/2)\ &\cong \mathscr{A}(2)\bigotimes_{\mathscr{I}_1}(igoplus_{\mathcal{I}_1}M_i)\oplus\mathscr{A}(2)\bigotimes_{\mathscr{I}_1}F \end{aligned}$$

of $\mathscr{M}(2)$ -modules, which Mahowald and Milgram showed, using Adams operations in *bo*-theory, corresponds to the splitting of spectra $bo \wedge bo \simeq X \vee G$. The first step in our calculation of the structure of G is the calculation of

$$Z/2 \bigotimes_{\mathcal{S}^{(2)}} H^*(bo \, \wedge \, bo, \, Z/2) = Z/2 \bigotimes_{\mathscr{S}_1} H^*(bo, \, Z/2)$$
 .

It turns out that it is more convenient to study the dual situation, and the main steps (Theorems III. 8 and III. 10) describe

$$egin{aligned} Y &= \{x \in H_*(bo, \, Z/2) \mid Sq^1x \,=\, Sq^2x \,=\, 0\} \ &= (Z/2 \bigotimes_{\mathcal{S}_1} H^*(bo, \, Z/2))^* \end{aligned}$$

as a graded Z/2-vector space, where Sq^1 and Sq^2 are dual Steenrod operations. To solve for $Z/2\bigotimes_{\mathscr{N}_1} F$, it will be sufficient to identify the image of $\bigoplus_i M_i$ in $Z/2\bigotimes_{\mathscr{N}_1} H^*(bo, Z/2)$, since $Z/2\bigotimes_{\mathscr{N}_1} F$ can then be identified with the quotient of $Z/2\bigotimes_{\mathscr{N}_1} H^*(bo, Z/2)$ by that image. Finally $Z/2\bigotimes_{\mathscr{N}_1} F$ determines F, since F is free.

The paper is organized as follows: §I consists of preliminary material on the Steenrod algebra $\mathscr{M}(2)$ and its dual. §II contains a description of $\mathscr{M}(2)/\mathscr{M}(2)Sq^1 = H^*(K(Z_{(2)}, \mathbf{0}), \mathbb{Z}/2)$ as a Sq^1 -module, which will be needed in §III. $(K(Z_{(2)}, \mathbf{0}))$ denotes the Eilenberg-Maclane spectrum for $Z_{(2)}$, the integers localized at 2). §III calculates the graded $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -vector space Y described above. The main theorems are III. 8 and III. 10. §IV is a brief section which states the result describing the image of $\bigoplus_i M_i$ in $\mathbb{Z}/2 \bigotimes_{\mathcal{N}_1} H^*(bo, \mathbb{Z}/2)$, which by the above discussion gives F. IV. 2 states the algebraic result, and IV. 3 and IV. 4 are the obvious interpretations in terms of the geometric splitting of $bo \wedge bo$ and cohomology operations in *bo*-theory.

I. Preliminaries. Let $\mathscr{N}(2)$ denote the mod 2 Steenrod algebra. It is a Hopf algebra with comultiplication given by the Cartan formula

$$arDelta(Sq^i) = \sum\limits_{k=0}^i Sq^{i-k} \bigotimes Sq^k$$
 .

Milnor [5] proves that as an algebra, the dual Hopf algebra to $\mathscr{A}(2)$, $\mathscr{A}(2)^*$, is given by

$$\mathscr{M}(2)^*\cong P(\xi_1,\,\xi_2,\,\cdots)$$
 ,

the Z/2-polynomial algebra on $2^i - 1$ dimensional generators ξ_i . (Henceforth, the symbol P will denote the Z/2-polynomial algebra on stated generators.) The Steenrod algebra admits a canonical anti-automorphism χ , which identifies it with its opposite algebra. According to Milnor, the comultiplication in $\mathcal{M}(2)^*$ is given by

$$arDelta(\xi_i) = \sum\limits_{j=0}^i \xi_j^{2^{i-j}} \bigotimes \xi_{i-j} \;.$$

Since $\mathscr{M}(2)$ is isomorphic to its opposite algebra, we may instead use the "reversed" diagonal

$$\varDelta(\hat{\xi}_i) = \sum_{j=0}^i \hat{\xi}_{i-j} \otimes \hat{\xi}_j^{2^{i-j}}$$

Since $\mathscr{N}(2)$ is acted on both on the right and on the left by the operations Sq^1 and Sq^2 , increasing degree, $\mathscr{N}(2)^*$ is also acted on by Sq^1 and Sq^2 , lowering degree. The action is determined by (i) $Sq^1(\xi_i) = \xi_{i-1}^2 \forall i$

$$(\xi_i) Sq^{_1} = 0$$
 unless $i = 1, \, \xi_1 Sq^{_1} = 1$.

(ii)
$$Sq^{_1}(xy) = (Sq^{_1}x)y + xSq^{_1}y$$

 $(xy)Sq^{_1} = (xSq^{_1})y + x(ySq^{_1})$.

(iii) $Sq^{2}(\xi_{k}) = 0 \forall i, \ Sq^{2}(\xi_{i}^{2}) = \xi_{i-1}^{4} \forall i.$

$$egin{aligned} & (\xi_i)Sq^2 = \xi_1, \, \xi_1^2Sq^2 = 1, \, \xi_iSq^2 = 0 \, orall \, i
eq 2 \ , \ & (\xi_i^2)Sq^2 = 0 \, orall \, i
eq 1 \ . \end{aligned}$$

$${
m (iv)} \quad Sq^{2}(xy) = (Sq^{2}x)y + (Sq^{1}x)(Sq^{1}y) + x(Sq^{2}y)$$

 $(xy)Sq^2 = (xSq^2)y + (xSq^1)(ySq^1) + x(ySq^2) \; .$

Define a map σ ; $P(\xi_1, \xi_2, \cdots) \rightarrow P(\xi_1, \xi_2, \cdots)$ by

$$\sigma(\xi_1^{lpha_1}\cdots\xi_n^{lpha_n})=\xi_2^{lpha_1}\cdots\xi_{n+1}^{lpha_n}\ \sigma(1)=1 \;.$$

This is a nongraded vector space endomorphism. Let $A = \mathscr{N}(2)/\mathscr{N}(2)Sq^1$, $B = \mathscr{N}(2)/\mathscr{N}(2)\{Sq^1, Sq^2\}$. A and B are left $\mathscr{N}(2)$ -modules, hence their duals are left sub-comodules of $P(\xi_1, \xi_2, \cdots)$. Let $A^* = V$, $B^* = W$, and $\mathscr{N}(2)^* = U$. We quote from [2]. **PROPOSITION 1.**

(a) $V = P(\xi_1^2, \xi_2, \cdots).$

(b) $W = P(\xi_1^4, \xi_2^2, \xi_3, \cdots).$

Note that V and W are closed under the action of Sq^1 and Sq^2 , and are therefore left \mathscr{A}_1 -modules, where \mathscr{A}_1 is the subalgebra of $\mathscr{A}(2)$ generated by Sq^1 and Sq^2 . The following lemma is immediate.

LEMMA 2.

- (a) $\sigma^{j}V$ is closed under the action of Sq^{1} .
- (b) $\sigma^{j}W$ is closed under Sq^{1} and Sq^{2} .
- (c) $Sq^{1}\sigma^{j}U \subseteq \sigma^{j-1}U$ $Sq^{2}\sigma^{j}U \subseteq \sigma^{j-1}U.$

Throughout this paper, we will be discussing graded vector spaces. All bases will be required to be graded, i.e., they should respect the grading. Consequently, the bases will be "graded sets", i.e., sets X together with a function d from X to the nonnegative integers. Of course, the isomorphism type of a basis as a graded set determines the isomorphism type of the graded vector space. Also, define the suspension of a graded vector space $V, \Sigma V$, to be V as a vector space, with the grading of all elements increased by one.

We recall from [6] that $H^*(bo, \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong \mathscr{M}(2)/\mathscr{M}(2).\mathscr{M}_1$ and

$$H^*(K(Z(2),\,0),\,Z/2)\cong \mathscr{N}(2)/\mathscr{N}(2)Sq^1\,,$$

 \mathbf{SO}

$$H_*(bo, Z/2) = W, \ H_*(K(Z_{(2)}, 0), Z/2) = V$$
.

II. Sq^1 -calculations. By the results of § I, V is isomorphic as a left \mathcal{M}_1 -module to $P(\xi_1^2, \xi_2, \cdots)$.

PROPOSITION 1. Let $X = \{x \in V | Sq^1x = 0\}$. Then a basis for X is given by the elements of the form

$$\sigma_{j,k}(P) = \xi_{j}^{_{2k}}P + \xi_{j}^{_{2k-2}} \hat{\xi}_{j+_1} S q^{_1}P$$
 ,

where P is a monomial in $\sigma^{j}V = P(\xi_{j+1}^{2}, \xi_{j+2}, \xi_{j+3}, \cdots).$

Proof. It is clear that $\sigma_{j,k}(P) \in X$, since $Sq^1(\sigma_{j,k}(P)) = Sq^1(\xi_j^{2k}P + \xi_j^{2k-2}\xi_{j+1}Sq^1P) = \xi_j^{2k}Sq^1P = 0$. Also, the $\sigma_{j,k}$'s form an independent set, since each involves only one monomial in $\sigma^j V$, and all these monomials are distinct. It remains to show that every element of X may be written as a linear combination of the $\sigma_{j,k}$'s.

Claim. If
$$\varphi \in \sigma^{j-1}U = P(\xi_j, \xi_{j+1}, \cdots)$$
, and $Sq^{1}\varphi = 0$, then $\varphi \in$

 $\sigma^{j-1}V \subseteq \sigma^{j-1}U$. For, $\varphi = \sum_s \xi_j^s \varphi_s$, $\varphi_s \in \sigma^j U$, and $Sq^1 \varphi = \sum_s s\xi_{j-1}^{\varepsilon} \xi_j^{s-1} \varphi_s + \hat{\xi}_j^s Sq^1 \varphi_s = \xi_{j-1}^{\varepsilon} (\sum_s s\xi_j^{s-1} \varphi_s) + \sum_s \xi_j^s Sq^1 \varphi_s$, and $Sq^1 \varphi_s \in \sigma^{j-1}U$, hence $\varphi_s = 0$ for s odd.

The proof of the proposition will now be by induction. We will show that for $\varphi \in \sigma^{j-1}V$, with $Sq^{1}\varphi = 0$, there are polynomials $P_{k} \in \sigma^{j}U$ for which $\varphi + \sum_{k} \sigma_{j,k}(P_{k}) \in \sigma^{j}U$. By the claim, $\varphi + \sum_{k} \sigma_{j,k}(P_{k}) \in \sigma^{j}V$, so we may iterate the procedure, eventually obtaining an expression for φ in terms of elements $\sigma_{j,k}(P_{k})$. We now prove the inductive step. φ may be written uniquely as

$$arphi = \sum\limits_{k=0}^N \hat{\xi}_j^{2k} arphi_k, \; arphi_k \in \sigma^j U$$
 ,

 \mathbf{SO}

$$Sq^{\scriptscriptstyle 1}arphi = \sum\limits_{k=0}^{N} \hat{\xi}_{j}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2k} Sq^{\scriptscriptstyle 1}arphi_{k}\;.$$

We claim $\varphi_N \in \sigma^j V$. For note that the power of ξ_j occurring in all the terms $\xi_j^{2k} Sq^1 \varphi_k$, k < N, is less than or equal to 2N. Let

$$arphi_{\scriptscriptstyle N} = \sum\limits_{s} \hat{arphi}^{s}_{j+1} \psi_{s}$$
 , $\psi_{s} \in \sigma^{j+1} U$,

 \mathbf{SO}

$$Sq^{\scriptscriptstyle 1}arphi_{\scriptscriptstyle N}= \hat{arphi}_{j}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}(\sum\limits_{s}s\hat{arphi}_{j+1}^{\scriptscriptstyle s-1}\psi_{s})\,+\,\sum\limits_{s}\hat{arphi}_{j+1}^{\scriptscriptstyle s}Sq^{\scriptscriptstyle 1}\psi_{s}\,.$$

 $Sq^{1}\psi_{s} \in \sigma^{j}U$, so the term in $Sq^{1}\varphi$ involving monomials in which the power of ξ_{j} occurring is 2N + 2 is precisely $\xi_{j}^{2N+2}(\sum_{s} s\xi_{j+1}^{s}\psi_{s})$.

Since we assume $Sq^{1}\varphi = 0$, this term must be zero, so $\psi_{s} = 0$ for s odd, showing that $\varphi_{N} \in \sigma^{j}U$. Now consider $\tilde{\varphi} = \varphi + \sigma_{j,N}(\varphi_{N}) = \varphi + \xi_{j}^{2N}\varphi_{N} + \xi_{j}^{2N-2}\xi_{j+1}Sq^{1}\varphi_{N}$. $Sq^{1}\tilde{\varphi} = 0$, and $\tilde{\varphi}$ may be expressed as $\varphi = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \xi_{j}^{2k}\tilde{\varphi}_{k}$. After iterating this step N-1 times, we may write φ as $\alpha + \beta$, where $\alpha \in \sigma^{j}U$ and $\beta = \sum_{s} \sigma_{j,s}(P_{s})$.

We finally observe that if φ involved only $\{\xi_j \mid j \leq l\}$, then α and β could be chosen so that they also only involve only $\{\xi_j \mid j \leq l\}$. Therefore, this procedure terminates, and we have proven the result (*).

We interpret this proposition as a description of the structure of X as a graded Z/2-vector space. Note that $\{\sigma^j X\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ provides a filtration of X, and that each $\sigma^j X$ is graded compatibly with the grading of X. The inductive step in the proof of 1 showed that

$$im(X \longrightarrow X/\sigma X)$$

is isomorphic to $\bigoplus_{j=1}^{n} \xi_{1}^{2j} \sigma V$. Since it is clear that the associated graded version of X is isomorphic to X as a graded Z/2-vector space, we obtain

$$X\cong\sigma X\oplus \overset{\circ}{\bigoplus}_{j=1}\xi_1^{2j}\sigma V$$
 .

Since

$$\displaystyle igcap_{i=0}^{\infty}\sigma^i X=Z/2(1)$$
 , $X\cong igoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} igoplus_{j=1}^{\infty}\xi_k^{2j}\sigma^k V \oplus Z/2(1)$, or

COROLLARY 2. As a graded Z/2-vector space, X is isomorphic to the subalgebra of V consisting of all monomials $\prod_{i=0}^{t} \xi_{s+i}^{\alpha_i}$, such that α_0 and α_1 are multiples of 2, where α_0 is the first nonzero exponent, and 1.

III. $\mathcal{M}(2)/\mathcal{M}(2)\mathcal{N}_{1}$. In this section we will extend the techniques of § II to obtain the structure of

$$Y=\{x\in W\,|\,Sq^{\scriptscriptstyle 1}x=Sq^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}x=0\}$$

as a graded Z/2-vector space.

We first note that there is a splitting of Z/2-vector spaces $W = \bigoplus_i W_i$, where

$$W_i = \xi_1^{4i} \cdot \sigma V \; .$$

Let $\Gamma_j = \bigoplus_{i=0}^j W_i$, so $\{\Gamma_j\}$ provides a filtration of W, with

$$\Gamma_i / \Gamma_{i-1} \cong \xi_1^{4j} \cdot \sigma V$$
.

Define an operator

 $\phi: \sigma V \longrightarrow \sigma V$

on monomials by $\phi(\xi_2^{2k}Q) = k \cdot \xi_2^{2k-2}Q$, $Q \in \sigma^2 U$, and extend by linearity.

LEMMA 1.

(a) $Sq^{1}W_{i} \subseteq W_{i}$.

(b) $Sq^2\Gamma_j \subseteq \Gamma_{j+1}$, and if $x \in \Gamma_j$, say $x = \sum_{i=0}^j \xi_1^{i_i} P_i$, $P_i \in \sigma V$, then the projection of Sq^2x in Γ_{j+1}/Γ_j is $\xi_1^{i_j+4}\phi(P_j)$.

Proof.

(a) is clear since V is closed under the action of Sq^1 by Lemma I.2.a, and $Sq^1\xi_1^{4j} = 0$.

(b) We first calculate the action of Sq^2 on σV . Let $y \in \sigma V$,

$$egin{aligned} y &= \sum_s \xi_2^{2s} \psi_s, \; \psi_s \in \sigma^2 U \; . \ Sq^2 y &= \sum_s s \xi_1^4 \xi_2^{2s-2} \psi_s + \sum_s \xi_2^{2s} Sq^2 \psi_s \; . \end{aligned}$$

288

By LEMMA I.2.c, $Sq^2\psi_s \in \sigma V$, so we find that $Sq^2y = \xi_1^*\phi(y) + \alpha$, where $\alpha \in \sigma V$. Now, if $x = \sum_{i=0}^{j} \xi_1^{*i} P_i$, $P_i \in \sigma V$, $Sq^2x = \xi_1^{*j+4}\phi(P_j) + \beta$, where $\beta \in \Gamma_j$, and $\xi_1^{*j+4}\phi(P_j) \in \Gamma_{j+1}$, which proves the result, (*).

COROLLARY 2. Let $x \in W$ be written uniquely as $x = \sum_{i=0}^{j} \xi_{1}^{4i} P_{i}$, $P_{i} \in \sigma V$, and suppose $Sq^{1}x = Sq^{2}x = 0$. Then

- (a) $Sq^{1}P_{i} = 0.$
- (b) $P_j \in \sigma W$.

Proof.

(a) is again clear since the splitting $W = \bigoplus_i W_i$ is preserved under Sq^1 .

(b) x has been assumed to lie in Γ_j . Since $Sq^2x = 0$, we must in particular have that the projection of Sq^2x in Γ_{j+1}/Γ_j is zero, so $\phi(P_j) = 0$. But $\phi(P_j) = 0 \Leftrightarrow P_j \in P(\xi_2^4, \xi_3, \xi_4, \cdots)$. We must show that $P_j \in \sigma W = P(\xi_2^4, \xi_3^2, \xi_4, \cdots)$. So, expand P_j as

$$P_j = \sum\limits_k \xi_2^{4k} Q_k, \ Q_k \in \sigma^2 U \;.$$

Part (a) gives that $Sq^1P_j = 0$, which implies $Sq^1Q_k = 0 \forall k$. By the claim in the proof of Proposition II. 1, $Q_k \in \sigma^2 V = P(\xi_3^2, \xi_4, \cdots)$, proving (b) \cdot (*).

PROPOSITION 3. For any $x \in \sigma W$, with $Sq^{1}x = 0$, and any $j \ge 2$, there is an element $\tilde{x} \in \Gamma_{j}$ with $Sq^{1}\tilde{x} = Sq^{2}\tilde{x} = 0$, and the projection of \tilde{x} in Γ_{j}/Γ_{j-1} equal to $\xi_{1}^{4j}x$.

Proof. Since $Sq^1Sq^1 = 0$, we may compute the homology of W under this differential. In [2], it is shown that

$$H_*(W;Sq^{\scriptscriptstyle 1})\cong P(\hat{\xi}^{\scriptscriptstyle 4}_{\scriptscriptstyle 1})\;.$$

By Lemma I.2.b, σW and W are isomorphic as \mathcal{M}_1 -modules (although the isomorphism does not preserve grading). Thus

$$H_*(\sigma W; Sq^{\scriptscriptstyle 1}) \cong P(\xi^{\scriptscriptstyle 4}_{\scriptscriptstyle 2})$$
 .

For any Sq^1 -homology generator, say $x = \xi_2^{*s}$, $\tilde{x} = \xi_1^{*j}\xi_2^{*s}$ satisfies $Sq^1\tilde{x} = Sq^2\tilde{x} = 0$, so we may suppose that x is a Sq^1 -boundary, $x = Sq^1y$. Now let

$$egin{aligned} &x=\xi_1^{4j}Sq^1y+\xi_1^{4j-4}\xi_2^2Sq^2Sq^1y+\xi_1^{4j-4}\xi_3Sq^1Sq^2Sq^1y\ &+\xi_1^{4j-8}\xi_2^2\xi_3Sq^1Sq^2Sq^1Sq^2y+\xi_1^{4j-8}\xi_2^4Sq^2Sq^1Sq^2y\;. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that $Sq^1\tilde{x} = Sq^2\tilde{x} = 0$, and the projection of \tilde{x} in Γ_j/Γ_{j-1} is $\xi_1^{4j}Sq^1y = \xi_1^{4j}x$. (*).

We must now examine the case j = 1.

PROPOSITION 4. Let $x \in \sigma W$, with $Sq^{1}x = 0$. Then there is $\tilde{x} \in \Gamma_{1}$ with $Sq^{1}\tilde{x} = Sq^{2}\tilde{x} = 0$, and the projection of \tilde{x} in Γ_{1}/Γ_{0} equal to $\xi_{1}^{*}x$ if and only if $Sq^{2}Sq^{1}Sq^{2}x = 0$.

Proof. Notice that if $Sq^2Sq^1Sq^2x = 0$, then the expression

$$\widetilde{x}= \xi_1^4 x + \xi_2^2 Sq^2 x + \xi_3 Sq^1 Sq^2 x$$

satisfies the conditions on \tilde{x} .

Conversely, suppose \tilde{x} exists. Thus $x = \xi_1^4 x + \omega_0$, $\omega_0 \in \Gamma_0$, with $\omega_0 = \nu_0 + \xi_2^2 \nu_1 + \xi_3 \nu_2 + \xi_2^2 \xi_3 \nu_3$, where $\nu_j \in \sigma W$, and $Sq^1 \omega_0 = 0$, $Sq^2 \omega_0 = \xi_1^4 Sq^2 x$. But,

$$egin{aligned} &Sq^2m{\omega}_0 = Sq^2m{
u}_0 + eta_1^4m{
u}_1 + eta_2^2Sq^2m{
u}_1 + eta_2^2Sq^1m{
u}_2 \ &+ eta_3Sq^2m{
u}_2 + eta_1^4m{
x}_3m{
u}_3 + eta_2^4Sq^1m{
u}_3 + eta_2^2m{
x}_3Sq^2m{
u}_3 \ , \end{aligned}$$

so $\nu_1 = Sq^2x$. Secondly,

$$egin{aligned} 0 &= Sq^1 arphi_0 = Sq^1
u_0 + \xi_2^2 Sq^1
u_1 + \xi_2^2
u_2 \ &+ \xi_3 Sq^1
u_2 + \xi_2^4
u_3 + \xi_2^2 \xi_3 Sq^1
u_3, ext{ so }
u_2 = Sq^1
u_1 \ , \end{aligned}$$

and we have

$$m{\omega}_{_0}=
u_{_0}+\xi_{_2}^2Sq^2x+\xi_{_3}Sq^1Sq^2x+\xi_{_2}^2\xi_{_3}
u_{_3}$$
 .

Using this reduction, we again calculate

$$egin{aligned} Sq^2 \omega_{_0} &= Sq^2
u_{_0} + \xi_2^* Sq^2 x + \xi_3 Sq^2 Sq^1 Sq^2 x + \xi_1^* \xi_3
u_{_3} + \xi_2^* Sq^1
u_{_3} + \xi_2^2 \xi_3 Sq^2
u_{_3} \ . \ (Sq^1 x = 0, \ ext{ so } Sq^2 Sq^2 x = Sq^1 Sq^2 Sq^1 x = 0.) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\nu_3 = 0$, $Sq^1\nu_0 = Sq^2\nu_0 = 0$, and we must have

$$\xi_{\scriptscriptstyle 3} Sq^{\scriptscriptstyle 2} Sq^{\scriptscriptstyle 1} Sq^{\scriptscriptstyle 2} x = 0 \Longrightarrow Sq^{\scriptscriptstyle 2} Sq^{\scriptscriptstyle 1} Sq^{\scriptscriptstyle 2} x = 0.$$
 (*) .

We will now construct various subspaces of W. Let

$$egin{aligned} W_{_1} &= \{w \in W \,|\, Sq^{_1}w = Sq^{_2}Sq^{_1}Sq^{_2}w = 0\} \ W_{_2} &= \{w \in W \,|\, Sq^{_1}Sq^{_2}w = 0\} \ W_{_3} &= \{w \in W \,|\, Sq^{_2}w = 0\} \ . \end{aligned}$$

Let $\pi_j: \Gamma_j \to \Gamma_j / \Gamma_{j-1}$ denote the projection.

PROPOSITION 5. Let $j \ge 1$, and let $x \in \sigma V$, so x has a unique expression as

$$x=
u_{_{0}}+\xi_{_{2}}^{_{2}}
u_{_{1}}+\xi_{_{3}}
u_{_{2}}+\xi_{_{2}}^{^{2}}\xi_{_{3}}
u_{_{3}}$$

with $\nu_i \in \sigma W$. Then

- (a) $\exists \widetilde{x} \in W_1 \cap \Gamma_j \text{ with } \pi_j(\widetilde{x}) = \xi_1^{ij} x \Leftrightarrow \nu_3 = 0 \text{ and } Sq^1 x = 0.$ (b) $\exists \widetilde{x} \in W_2 \cap \Gamma_j \text{ with } \pi_j(\widetilde{x}) = \xi_1^{ij} x \Leftrightarrow \nu_3 = 0 \text{ and } Sq^1 \nu_1 = 0.$
- (c) $\exists \widetilde{x} \in W_3 \cap \Gamma_i \text{ with } \pi_i(\widetilde{x}) = \xi_1^{4i} x \Leftrightarrow \nu_1, \nu_3 = 0 \text{ and } Sq^1 \nu_2 = 0.$

Proof.

(a) First, observe that $Sq^2Sq^1Sq^2\Gamma_j \subseteq \Gamma_{j+2}$, since $Sq^1\Gamma_j \subseteq \Gamma_j$, $Sq^2\Gamma_j \subseteq \Gamma_{j+1}$. Secondly, expanding $Sq^2Sq^1Sq^2(\xi_1^{ij}x)$ gives $Sq^2Sq^1Sq^2(\xi_1^{ij}x) = \xi_1^{ij+8}\nu_3 + \omega$, $\omega \in \Gamma_{j+1}$, implying that $\nu_3 = 0$. For the converse, suppose that $x = \nu_0 + \xi_2^2\nu_1 + \xi_3\nu_2$, $Sq^1x = 0$. Since $Sq^1x = 0$, we obtain $Sq^1\nu_0 = 0$, $\nu_2 = Sq^1\nu_1$. If ν_0 is a Sq^1 -homology generator, ξ_2^{is} , then $\xi_1^{ij}\nu_0 = \xi_1^{ij}\xi_2^{is} \in W_1$. Thus, we may assume $\nu_0 = Sq^1y$. On the other hand, $\xi_2^2\nu_1 + \xi_3Sq^1\nu_1 = Sq^1(\xi_3\nu_1)$, so $x = Sq^1z$, $z = y + \xi_3\nu_1$.

Now let $\widetilde{x} = \xi_1^{4j}x + \xi_1^{4j-4}\xi_2^2 Sq^1 Sq^2 z$.

It is easily verified that $\tilde{x} \in W_1$.

(b) Observe that $Sq^{1}Sq^{2}\Gamma_{j} \subseteq \Gamma_{j+1}$. We obtain

$$Sq^{_1}Sq^{_2}\xi_{_1}^{_{4j}}x=\xi_{_1}^{_{4j}+_4}(Sq^{_1}
u_{_1}+\xi_{_2}^{_2}
u_{_3}+\xi_{_3}Sq^{_1}
u_{_3})+arphi$$
 ,

where $\omega \in \Gamma_j$, so $Sq^1\nu_1 = 0 = \nu_3$. Conversely, suppose $Sq^1\nu_1 = 0 = \nu_3$. If ν_1 is a Sq^1 -homology generator, ξ_2^{4s} , then $\xi_1^{4j}\xi_2^2\xi_3^{4s} \in W_2$, so we may assume that ν_1 is a Sq^1 -boundary, say $\nu_1 = Sq^1y$, hence $x = \nu_0 + \xi_2 Sq^1y + \xi_3\nu_2$. Then if $\eta = \xi_1^{4j-4}(\xi_2^2Sq^2\nu_0 + \xi_2^2\xi_3Sq^1Sq^2Sq^1y + \xi_2^4Sq^1Sq^2y + \xi_2^2\xi_3Sq^2\nu_2 + \xi_2^4Sq^1\nu_2)$, $\xi_1^{4j}x + \eta \in W_2$, and $\pi_j(\xi_1^{4j}x + \eta) = \xi_1^{4j}x$.

(c) $Sq^2\Gamma_j \subseteq \Gamma_{j+1}$, and $Sq^2(\xi_1^{ij}x) = \xi_1^{ij+4}(\nu_1 + \xi_3\nu_3) + \omega$, $\omega \in \Gamma_j$, so $\nu_1 = \nu_3 = 0$. If $\exists \widetilde{x} \in \Gamma_j \cap W_3$, with $\pi_j(\widetilde{x}) = \xi_1^{ij}x$, then there is an element

 $y=\mu_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}+\xi_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}\mu_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}+\xi_{\scriptscriptstyle 3}\mu_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}+\xi_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}\xi_{\scriptscriptstyle 3}\mu_{\scriptscriptstyle 3}$,

with $\mu_i \in \sigma W$, so that $\pi_j(Sq^2y) = \pi_j(\xi_1^4Sq^2x)$. Now,

$$\mathrm{S}q^{2}x=Sq^{2}
u_{_{0}}+arepsilon_{_{3}}\mathrm{S}q^{2}
u_{_{2}}+arepsilon_{_{2}}^{2}\mathrm{S}q^{1}
u_{_{2}}$$

and

$$egin{aligned} Sq^2y &= Sq^2\mu_0 + \xi_1^4\mu_1 + \xi_2^2Sq^2\mu_1 \ &+ \xi_2^2Sq^1\mu_2 + \xi_3Sq^2\mu_2 + \xi_4^4\xi_3\mu_3 + \xi_2^4Sq^1\mu_3 + \xi_2^2\xi_3Sq^2\mu_3 \ . \end{aligned}$$

 Sq^2y thus contains no coefficient of $\xi_1^4\xi_2^2$, hence $Sq^1\nu_2 = 0$. As usual, if ν_2 is a Sq^1 -homology generator, ξ_2^{4s} , then $\xi_1^{4j}\xi_3\xi_2^{4s} \in W_3$, so we may assume $\nu_2 = Sq^1y$, and $x = \nu_0 + \xi_3Sq^1y$. Now if $\lambda = \xi_3Sq^2Sq^1\nu_0 + \xi_2^4Sq^2y + \xi_2^3Sq^2\nu_0 + \xi_2^2\xi_3Sq^2Sq^1y$, one may check that $\xi_1^{4j}x + \xi_1^{4j-4}\lambda \in W_3$, proving the proposition. (*).

PROPOSITION 6. Let $x \in \sigma V = \Gamma_0$, with the ν_j 's as in Proposition 5.

(a) $x \in W_1 \Leftrightarrow \nu_3 = 0$, $Sq^1Sq^2\nu_1 = 0$, $\nu_2 = Sq^1\nu_1$, $\nu_0 \in \sigma W_1$. (b) $x \in W_3 \Leftrightarrow \nu_1, \nu_3 = 0$, $Sq^1\nu_2 = Sq^2\nu_2 = 0$, and $\nu_0 \in \sigma W_3$.

Proof. (a) The proof of Proposition 5.a shows that $\nu_{\scriptscriptstyle 3}=0$, so

$$x=
u_{0}+\xi_{2}^{2}
u_{1}+\xi_{3}
u_{2}$$
 ,

and

$$Sq^{_1}\!x=Sq^{_1}\!
u_{_0}+\xi_{_2}^{_2}Sq^{_1}\!
u_{_1}+\xi_{_2}^{_2}\!
u_{_2}+\xi_{_3}Sq^{_1}\!
u_{_2}$$
 .

Thus, $Sq^{1}\nu_{0} = 0$, $Sq^{1}\nu_{1} = \nu_{2}$. Now,

$$Sq^{2}Sq^{1}Sq^{2}x=Sq^{2}Sq^{1}Sq^{2}
u_{_{0}}+\hat{arsigma}_{^{1}}^{*}Sq^{1}Sq^{2}
u_{_{0}}+\hat{arsigma}_{_{3}}Sq^{1}Sq^{2}Sq^{1}Sq^{2}
u_{_{1}}$$

so $Sq^2Sq^1Sq^2\nu_0 = 0$, $Sq^1Sq^2\nu_1 = 0$. That these conditions imply $x \in W_1$ is clear.

(b) Expanding Sq^2x , the coefficients of ξ_1^4 and $\xi_1^4\xi_3$ are ν_1 and ν_3 respectively, so $\nu_1 = \nu_3 = 0$, and

$$x =
u_{_0} + \xi_{_3}
u_{_2} \ Sq^2x = Sq^2
u_{_0} + \xi_{_2}^2Sq^1
u_{_2} + \xi_{_3}Sq^2
u_{_2}$$
 ,

 \mathbf{SO}

$$Sq^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}
u_{\scriptscriptstyle 0} = 0$$
, $Sq^{\scriptscriptstyle 1}
u_{\scriptscriptstyle 2} = 0$, $Sq^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}
u_{\scriptscriptstyle 2} = 0$.

Again, the converse is clear. (*).

LEMMA 7. Define a subspace B of $\sigma V = \Gamma_0 = \sigma W + \xi_2^2 \sigma W + \xi_3 \sigma W + \xi_2^2 \xi_3 \sigma W$ by $B = \xi_3 \sigma W + \xi_2^2 \xi_3 \sigma W$, so $\sigma V/B \cong \sigma W + \xi_2^2 \sigma W$. Let $\pi: \sigma V \to \sigma V/B$ be the projection. Then $\nu_0 + \xi_2^2 \nu_1 \in \pi(\sigma V \cap W_2) \Longrightarrow \nu_0 \in \sigma W_2$, $Sq^1\nu_1 = 0$. Secondly, $\xi_3\nu_2 + \xi_2^2\xi_3\nu_3 \in W_2 \Leftrightarrow \nu_3 = 0$, $Sq^2\nu_2 = 0$.

$$\begin{array}{ll} \textit{Proof.} \quad \text{Let} \,\, x \in \sigma \, V, \, x = \nu_0 \, + \, \xi_2^2 \nu_1 \, + \, \xi_3 \nu_2 \, + \, \xi_2^2 \xi_3 \nu_3. \quad \text{Then} \\ \\ Sq^1 Sq^2 x = \, Sq^1 Sq^2 \nu_0 \, + \, \xi_1^4 Sq^1 \nu_1 \\ \\ & + \, \xi_2^2 Sq^1 Sq^2 \nu_1 \, + \, \xi_2^2 Sq^2 \nu_2 \, + \, \xi_3 Sq^1 Sq^2 \nu_2 \, + \, \xi_1^4 \xi_2^2 \nu_3 \\ \\ & + \, \xi_1^4 \xi_3 Sq^1 \nu_3 \, + \, \xi_2^4 Sq^2 \nu_3 \, + \, \xi_2^2 \xi_3 Sq^1 Sq^2 \nu_3 \, . \end{array}$$

Thus, $Sq^1\nu_1 = 0$, $\nu_3 = 0$, $Sq^1Sq^2\nu_0 = 0$. Suppose $Sq^1Sq^2\nu_0 = 0$, $Sq^1\nu_1 = 0$. If ν_1 is a Sq^1 -homology generator, ξ_2^{4s} , then $\xi_2^{2}\xi_3^{4s} \in W_2$, so we assume ν_1 to be a Sq^1 -boundary, $\nu_1 = Sq^1z$. Now $\lambda = \nu_0 + \xi_2^{2}\nu_1 + \xi_3Sq^2z$ satisfies $\lambda \in W_2 \cap \Gamma_0$, $\pi(\lambda) = \nu_0 + \xi_2^{2}\nu_1$. For the second part, we have already observed that ν_3 is necessarily zero.

$$Sq^{_1}Sq^{_2}(\hat{arepsilon}_3 {m
u}_2) = \hat{arepsilon}_2^2 Sq^2 {m
u}_2 + \hat{arepsilon}_3 Sq^1 Sq^2 {m
u}_2$$
 ,

so $Sq^2\nu_2 = 0$. The converse is clear. (*)

We now interpret Propositions 3, 4, 5, and 6 as statements about the structure of the various graded vector spaces we have defined. Let $T = \{w \in W \mid Sq^{1}w = 0\}$, so

$$T\cong igoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} \xi_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}^{\scriptscriptstyle 4i}\sigma X$$
 ,

where X is defined in § II.

As in § II, Propositions 3 and 4 give

(a)
$$Y \cong \bigoplus_{j=2}^{\infty} \xi_1^{*j} \sigma T + \xi_1^* \sigma W_1 + \sigma Y$$

and Propositions 5, 6 and Lemma 7 give

(b)
$$W_1 \cong \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\infty} \xi_1^{4j} (\sigma T + \xi_2^2 \sigma W) + \xi_2^2 \sigma W_2 + \sigma W_1 .$$

(For $Sq^1(x) = 0 \Longrightarrow \nu_2 = Sq^1 \nu_1.$)

(c)
$$W_2 \cong \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\infty} \hat{\xi}_1^{4j} (\sigma W + \hat{\xi}_2^2 \sigma T + \hat{\xi}_3 \sigma W) + \hat{\xi}_2^2 \sigma T + \hat{\xi}_3 \sigma W_3 + \sigma W_2$$

(d)
$$W_3 \cong \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\infty} \xi_1^{4j} (\sigma W + \xi_3 \sigma T) + \xi_3 \sigma Y + \sigma W_3$$

Solving these equations inductively, noting that

$$\mathop{igcap}\limits_{k=0}^{\infty}\sigma^{k}Y=(1)$$
 ,

we obtain

(a)
$$Y \cong \bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{j=2}^{\infty} \xi_k^{*j} \sigma^k T + \bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} \xi_k^* \sigma^k W_1 + Z/2$$
(1)

(b)
$$W_1 \cong \bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\infty} \xi_{k}^{4j} (\sigma^k T + \xi_{k+1}^2 \sigma^k W) + \bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} \xi_{k+1}^2 \sigma^k W_2 + Z/2$$
 (1)

(c)
$$W_{\scriptscriptstyle 2} \cong \bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\infty} \hat{\xi}_k^{*j} (\sigma^k W + \hat{\xi}_{k+1}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2} \sigma^k T + \hat{\xi}_{k+2} \sigma^k W)$$

$$+ \bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} \xi_{k+1}^2 \sigma^k T + \bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} \xi_{k+2} \sigma^k W_3 + Z/2$$
(1)

(d)
$$W_3 \cong \bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\infty} \xi_k^{ij} (\sigma^k W + \xi_{j+2} \sigma^k T) + \bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} \xi_{k+2} \sigma^k Y + Z/2$$
 (1).

By now substituting (d) in (c), (c) in (b), and (b) in (a), we obtain

$$Y \cong \bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{j=2}^{\infty} \hat{\xi}_k^{*j} \sigma^k T + \bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{l=1}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\infty} \xi_k^* \hat{\xi}_{l+k}^{*j} (\sigma^{l+k} T + \hat{\xi}_{l+k+1}^2 \sigma^{l+k} W)$$

$$\begin{split} & \bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{l=1}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\infty} \hat{\xi}_{k}^{*} \hat{\xi}_{2}^{2} + k+1} \hat{\xi}_{m+l+k}^{*j} (\sigma^{m+l+k} W + \hat{\xi}_{m+l+k+1}^{2} \sigma^{m+l+k} T \\ &+ \xi_{m+l+k+2} \sigma^{m+l+k} W) + \bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{l=1}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{m=1}^{\infty} \hat{\xi}_{k}^{*} \hat{\xi}_{1+k+1}^{2} \hat{\xi}_{m+l+k+2}^{*j} \sigma^{m+l+k} T \\ &+ \bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{l=1}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\infty} \hat{\xi}_{k}^{*} \hat{\xi}_{1+k+1}^{2} \hat{\xi}_{m+l+k+2}^{*j} \hat{\xi}_{n+m+l+k}^{*j} (\sigma^{n+m+l+k} W \\ &+ \xi_{n+m+l+k+2} \sigma^{n+m+l+k} T) + Z/2(1) + \bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} \hat{\xi}_{k}^{*} \hat{\xi}_{1+k+1}^{2} \hat{\xi}_{m+l+k+2}^{*j} (Z/2(1)) \\ &+ \bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{l=1}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{m=1}^{\infty} \hat{\xi}_{k}^{*} \hat{\xi}_{1+k+1}^{2} (Z/2(1)) + \bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{m=1}^{\infty} \hat{\xi}_{k}^{*} \hat{\xi}_{1+k+1}^{2} \hat{\xi}_{n+m+l+k+2} \sigma^{n+m+l+k} Y \,. \end{split}$$

In [§ II, we showed that as graded Z/2-vector spaces,

$$X\cong igoplus_{{k=1}}^{\widetilde{\mathbf{\omega}}} \xi_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2k} \sigma V + \sigma X$$
 ,

 \mathbf{SO}

$$X\cong oldsymbol{eta}_{k=1}^{\infty} oldsymbol{eta}_{l=1}^{\infty} \xi_l^{2k} \sigma^l V + Z/2(1) \;.$$

This shows that the graded set

$$B = \{1\} \cup \{ \hat{arsigma}_{k}^{2a} oldsymbol{\xi}_{k+1}^{2j} \sigma^{k+1}(\mu) \}_{\substack{a \ge 1 \ j \ge 0}}$$
 ,

 μ a monomial in U, is isomorphic to a basis for X. Since $T \cong \bigoplus_{j=0}^{\infty} \xi_1^{4j} \sigma X$, we obtain a basic C for T, namely

$$C = \{ \tilde{\varsigma}_1^{ij} \sigma_\beta \}_{j \ge 0 \atop \beta \in B}.$$

Let

$$\begin{split} Z &= Z/2(1) + \bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} \tilde{\xi}_{k}^{4}(Z/2(1)) + \bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{l=1}^{\infty} \tilde{\xi}_{k}^{4} \tilde{\xi}_{l+k+1}^{2}(Z/2(1)) \\ &+ \bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{l=1}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{m=1}^{\infty} \tilde{\xi}_{k}^{4} \tilde{\xi}_{l+k+1}^{2} \tilde{\xi}_{m+l+k+2}(Z/2(1)) + \bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{j=2}^{\infty} \tilde{\xi}_{k}^{4j} \sigma^{k} T \\ &+ \bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{l=1}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\infty} \tilde{\xi}_{k}^{4} \tilde{\xi}_{l+k}^{2j} (\sigma^{l+k} T + \tilde{\xi}_{l+k+1}^{2} \sigma^{l+k} W) \\ &+ \bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\infty} \tilde{\xi}_{k}^{4} \tilde{\xi}_{l+k+1}^{2j} \tilde{\xi}_{m+l+k+2}^{4j} (\sigma^{m+l+k} W \\ &+ \tilde{\xi}_{m+l+k+1}^{2} \sigma^{m+l+k} T + \tilde{\xi}_{m+l+k+2} \sigma^{m+l+k} W) \\ &+ \bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{l=1}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\infty} \tilde{\xi}_{k}^{4} \tilde{\xi}_{l+k+1}^{2} \tilde{\xi}_{m+l+k+2} \sigma^{m+l+k} W) \\ &+ \bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{l=1}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\infty} \tilde{\xi}_{k}^{4} \tilde{\xi}_{l+k+1}^{2} \tilde{\xi}_{m+l+k+2} \sigma^{m+l+k} T) . \end{split}$$

Using the basis C obtained for T above, and the monomial

basis for W, we obtain

THEOREM 8. A basis for Z is, as a graded set, isomorphic to the collection of all monomials of the following types:

(i) above asserts that

$$(\text{ii}) \quad Y \cong Z \bigoplus \bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{l=1}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \xi_k^{\pm} \xi_{l+k+1}^{2} \xi_{m+l+k+2} \xi_{n+m+l+k+2} \sigma^{n+m+l+k+k} Y.$$

DEFINITION 9. A λ -sequence will be a collection $\alpha = \{i_s, j_s, k_s, l_s\}_{s=1}^m$ of integers satisfying $2 < i_s < j_s < k_s < l_s < i_{s+1}$. Given a λ -sequence α , we define $q(\alpha) = \prod_{s=1}^m \xi_{i_{s-2}}^4 \xi_{j_{s-1}}^2 \xi_{k_s} \xi_{l_s}$, and let $r(\alpha) = l_{s-2}$. (ii) now gives

THEOREM 10. As a graded set, a basis for Y is given by

 $\bigcup_{\alpha,\,\delta} \left\{ q(\alpha(\sigma)\sigma^{\scriptscriptstyle(\alpha)}(\delta)) \right\}$,

as a ranges over all λ -sequences and δ ranges over all monomials in Theorem 8.

IV. Relations with the Mahowald-Milgram splitting. We recall from [4] that as an \mathscr{H}_1 -module, $W \cong \bigoplus_i M_i \bigoplus F$, where F is free and M_i is a certain \mathscr{H}_1 -module. In order to obtain F, we must know the image of $Y \cap M_i$ in terms of the basis we have constructed for Y. This calculation is entirely straightforward, and we only state the result.

PROPOSITION 1. Let Z be as in § III. Then $Z \cap (\bigoplus_i M_i)$ may be identified with the subspace spanned by all monomials of type (i) in Theorem III. 8. Moreover, $Y \cap (\bigoplus_i M_i)$ may be identified with the subspace spanned by

$$\bigcup_{{}^{\alpha},{}^{\beta}} \left\{ q(\alpha) \sigma^{r(\alpha)}(\delta) \right\}$$
 ,

as α ranges over all λ -sequences, and δ ranges over all monomials of type (i).

This immediately gives

THEOREM 2. A basis for F as a free, graded \mathcal{M}_i -module is given by the set

 $\bigcup_{\alpha,\delta} \left\{ q(\alpha) \sigma^{r(\alpha)}(\delta) \right\}$

where α ranges over all λ -sequences, and δ ranges over all monomials of types (ii)-(viii) in Theorem III. 8.

From § I, $H^*(bo, Z_2) \cong W^*$, so $H^*(bo \wedge bo, Z_2) \cong \mathscr{A}(2)/\mathscr{A}(2)\mathscr{A}_1$ $\otimes Z/2W^* = \mathscr{A}(2) \bigotimes_{\mathscr{A}_1} W^*$. Thus the splitting of W^* as \mathscr{A}_1 -modules tensors to a splitting of $H^*(bo \wedge bo, Z/2)$ as $\mathscr{A}(2)$ -modules. In [4], it is shown that this algebraic splitting is actually a geometric splitting, and we obtain

COROLLARY 3. bo \wedge bo $\cong X \bigvee_{\tau \in \Gamma} \Sigma^{d(\tau)} K(Z/2, 0)$ where Γ is the set of all monomials in Theorem 2, and $d(\gamma)$ denotes the degree of γ , and X is the spectrum mentioned in (B) of the introduction.

In [3], it was shown that the Adams Spectral Sequence with E_{z} -term

$$Ext_{\mathscr{K}^{(2)}}^{**}(H^{*}(bo), H^{*}(bo))$$
,

and converging to $[bo, bo]_*$, collapses. Thus, if \mathscr{B} denotes the ring of self-maps $bo \rightarrow bo$, and I denotes the ideal of all maps which vanish in mod 2 cohomology,

$$\mathscr{R}/I \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}(2)}(H^*(bo), H^*(bo))$$
.

A standard change of rings result gives that as a graded Z/2-vector space.

$$\mathscr{R}/I \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}_1}(\mathbb{Z}/2, H^*(bo))$$

which in turn is isomorphic to $\{x \in \mathscr{N}(2)/\mathscr{N}(2)\overline{a}_1 | Sq^1x = Sq^2x = 0\}$. Since we have a splitting of $\mathscr{N}(2)/\mathscr{N}(2)\mathscr{N}_1$, the calculation in Theorem 2 gives

COROLLARY 4. As a graded
$$Z/2$$
-vector space,

$$\mathscr{R}/I\cong igoplus_i \operatorname{Hom}_{{}^{\mathscr{S}_i}}(Z/2,\,M_i) \bigoplus \sum^{\scriptscriptstyle 6} F$$

References

1. D. Anderson, Thesis, Berkeley, 1964.

2. D. Anderson, E. Brown and F. P. Petersen, The structure of the spin cobordism ring, Ann. of Math., 86 (1967), 217-298.

3. G. Carlsson, Operations in Connective K-theory and Associated Cohomology Theories, Thesis, Stanford, (1976).

4. R. J. Milgram, *The Steenrod algebra and its dual for connective K-theory*, Proceedings of the Northwestern Conference on Homotopy Theory, August, 1974. Ed. D. Davis (published by the Mexican Mathematical Society).

5. J. Milnor, The Steenrod algebra and its dual, Ann. of Math., 67 (1958),.

6. R. Stong, Determination of $H^*(B0[k, \dots, \infty), Z/2)$ and $H^*(Bu[k, \dots, \infty), Z/2)$, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **107** (1963), 526-544.

Received October 23, 1978. Supported in part by NSF Grant MCS 77-01623.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO LA JOLLA, CA 92037

../../FrontMatter/paper.pdf

Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol. 87, No. 2 February, 1980

Theagenis Abatzoglou, Unique best approximation from a C^2 -manifold in Hilbert space	233
Gerald Arthur Anderson, Λ -homology cobordism bundles	245
Eric Bedford, <i>Holomorphic mapping of products of annuli in</i> \mathbb{C}^n	271
Gunnar Carlsson, On the stable splitting of $bo \land bo$ and torsion operations in connective K-theory	283
Lester Eli Dubins and David Samuel McIntyre Margolies, <i>Naturally</i> <i>integrable functions</i>	299
Leo Egghe, <i>The Radon-Nikodým property</i> , <i>σ-dentability and martingales in locally convex spaces</i>	313
Irving Leonard Glicksberg, <i>Maps preserving translates of a function</i>	323
Hugh M. Hilden and Robert D. Little, <i>Cobordism of branched covering</i> <i>spaces</i>	335
Russell Allan Johnson, <i>Almost-periodic functions with unbounded</i> <i>integral</i>	347
Bruce Stephen Lund, <i>The endomorphisms of a Dirichlet algebra</i>	363
John Henry McCleary, Mod p decompositions of H-spaces; another	
approach	373
Arlan Bruce Ramsay, <i>Subobjects of virtual groups</i>	389
Thomas R. Savage, Generalized inverses in regular rings	45
Jaak Vilms, On curvature operators of bounded rank	467