

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN  
LJUSTERNIK-SCHNIRELMAN CATEGORY AND THE  
CONCEPT OF GENUS**

EDWARD RICHARD FADELL

THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN  
LJUSTERNIK-SCHNIRELMAN  
CATEGORY AND THE  
CONCEPT OF GENUS

EDWARD FADELL

The concept of genus of an invariant, closed set  $A$  in a paracompact free  $G$ -space  $E$  is introduced for any compact Lie group  $G$  and the general result that  $G$ -genus  $A = \text{cat}_B A^*$  is proven where  $B = E/G$ ,  $A^* = E/G$  and  $\text{cat}$  is short for Ljusternik-Schnirelman category. As a special case, the concept of genus (Krasnoselskii) coincides with the notion of category (Ljusternik-Schnirelman) as employed in a real or complex Banach space.

1. Introduction. The Min-Max principle in critical point theory as introduced by Ljusternik-Schnirelman [6] is based on the concept of category of a set  $X$  in an ambient space  $B$ . Krasnoselskii [5] and others [9], [1], employed the concept of genus instead of category. For example, consider the following setting. Let  $E$  denote a Banach space and observe that  $Z_2 = \{-1, 1\}$  acts freely on  $E - 0$  by scalar multiplication. Let  $\Sigma$  denote the closed invariant (symmetric) subsets of  $E - 0$ . Furthermore, let  $B = E - 0/Z_2$  and for  $A \in \Sigma$ , set  $A^* = A/Z_2$ . Then,

$$\text{cat}_B A^* = k$$

is defined to mean that there exist  $k$  sets  $A_1, \dots, A_k$  in  $\Sigma$  such that  $A = \cup A_i$  and for each  $i$ ,  $A_i^*$  is contractible to a point in  $B$  and  $k$  is minimal with this property ( $k = \infty$ , allowed). Thus the function  $\gamma$  given by

$$\gamma(A) = \text{cat}_B A^*$$

classifies the elements of  $\Sigma$ .

Alternatively, following Krasnoselskii [2], the statement

$$\text{genus } A = k$$

is defined to mean that there exists an equivariant (odd) map  $f: A \rightarrow R^k - 0$  and  $k$  is minimal with this property ( $k = \infty$  means that there is no equivariant map  $f: A \rightarrow R^k - 0$ , for any finite  $k$  and, as usual,  $R^k$  is Euclidean  $k$ -space).

REMARK 1.1. Actually this concept of "genus" was introduced and studied earlier by Yang [11] under the name " $B$ -index". In

fact, genus  $A = B\text{-index} + 1$ .

The function  $\gamma'$  given by

$$\gamma'(A) = \text{genus } A$$

also classifies the sets in  $\Sigma$ . Our objective in this note is to verify that these classifications are identical in general, i.e.,

$$(1) \quad \gamma(A) = \text{cat}_B A^* = \text{genus } A = \gamma'(A), \quad A \in \Sigma.$$

A special case of (1) for compact  $A$ 's is contained in Rabinowitz [9]. We will verify (1) in a very general setting as follows.

Let  $E$  denote any contractible paracompact free  $G$ -space where  $G$  is a compact Lie group. Let  $\Sigma$  denote the closed, invariant subsets of  $E$  and set  $B = E/G$ . Then for  $A \in \Sigma$ ,  $\text{cat}_B A^*$  is defined as before, where  $A^*$  is the orbit space  $A/G$ . Now, set  $G$ -genus  $A = k$  if there is a  $G$ -equivariant map

$$(2) \quad f: A \longrightarrow \overbrace{G \circ G \circ \dots \circ G}^k, \quad (k\text{-fold join [7]})$$

and  $k$  is minimal with this property.

**THEOREM.** *For  $A \in \Sigma$  we have*

$$(3) \quad \text{cat}_B A^* = G\text{-genus } A.$$

Note that (1) is (in the case of infinite dimensional Banach spaces) a corollary of (3) by taking  $G = \mathbf{Z}_2$  and observing that the  $k$ -fold join of the 0-sphere  $S^0$  is just  $S^{k-1}$  which is the unit sphere in  $\mathbf{R}^k$ . The corresponding result to (1) for complex Banach spaces is obtained by taking  $G = S^1$ , unit circle of complex numbers of norm 1. We should also remark that the idea of using (2) for an "index theory" appears briefly in [2].

**2. Preliminaries.** Throughout  $G$  will denote a compact Lie group and  $\mathcal{F}$  will denote the category of free paracompact  $G$ -spaces. An object  $X \in \mathcal{F}$  may be identified with the principal bundle  $p: X \rightarrow X/G$ , where  $p$  is the natural projection to the orbit space  $X/G$ . Hence, the general theory of principal bundles over a paracompact base applies (see [4]). We will also find the following definitions convenient.

**DEFINITION 2.1.** A free  $G$ -space  $Y \in \mathcal{F}$  is called a  $G$ -ENR (Euclidean Neighborhood Retract  $G$ -space) if

(a) there is a real representation  $\varphi: G \rightarrow O(n)$  of  $G$  as orthogonal matrices for some  $n$ ;

(b) there is an equivariant imbedding  $h: Y \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$  of  $Y$  in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , i.e.,  $h(gy) = \varphi(g)h(y)$ ;

(c) there is an invariant neighborhood  $U$  of  $f(Y) \subseteq \mathbf{R}^n$  and an equivariant retraction of  $U$  onto  $f(Y)$ , i.e., there is a map  $r: U \rightarrow f(Y)$  such that  $r(u) = u$  when  $u \in f(Y)$  and  $r(\varphi(g)u) = \varphi(g)r(u)$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.2.** *Let  $X \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $A$  a closed invariant subspace of  $X$  and  $Y$  a  $G$ -ENR. Then any equivariant map  $f: A \rightarrow Y$  has an equivariant extension  $\bar{f}: V \rightarrow Y$ , where  $V$  is an invariant neighborhood of  $A$  in  $X$ .*

*Proof.* We assume without loss ttha  $Y \subset \mathbf{R}^n$  and  $G \subseteq O(n)$ . Then, employing the Tietze-Gleason Extension Theorem [8], there is an equivariant extension  $F: X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ . Let  $U$  denote the invariant neighborhood of  $Y$  which admits an equivariant retraction  $r: U \rightarrow Y$ . Then, if  $V = r^{-1}(U)$ ,  $f = r \circ (F|V)$  is the required extension:  $V \rightarrow Y$ .

**REMARK 2.3.** The compact Lie group  $G$  is a  $G$ -ENR [8]. In fact, every compact smooth  $G$ -manifold is a  $G$ -ENR [8]. Hence, the neighborhood extension theorem (Proposition 2.2) applies for maps into these spaces. Palais [8] defines a  $G$ -ANR as a space  $Y$  which satisfies Proposition 2.2 for normal spaces  $X$ , so that every  $G$ -ENR is a  $G$ -ANR.

We also recall the notion of join. Let  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_k$  denote  $G$ -spaces and consider the space

$$(4) \quad (I \times Y_1) \times (I \times Y_2) \times \dots \times (I \times Y_k)$$

a point of which is designated by

$$(5) \quad (t_1y_1, t_2y_2, \dots, t_ky_k) .$$

Let  $J$  denote the subset of (4) consisting of points (5) with the added condition that  $\sum t_j = 1$ . Define an equivalence relation  $\sim$  by setting

$$(t_1y_1, t_2y_2, \dots, t_ky_k) = (t'_1y'_1, t'_2y'_2, \dots, y'_ky'_k)$$

if  $t_j = t'_j$  for all  $j$  and  $y_j = y'_j$  whenever  $t_j \neq 0$ . Then we set

$$(6) \quad Y_1 \circ Y_2 \circ \dots \circ Y_k = J / \sim$$

employing the identification topology. The action

$$G \times (Y_1 \circ \dots \circ Y_k) \longrightarrow Y_1 \circ \dots \circ Y_k$$

given by

$$g[t_1y_1, \dots, t_ky_k] = [t_1gy_1, \dots, t_kgy_k]$$

is continuous whenever the  $Y_j$ 's are compact [7].

LEMMA 2.4. *Suppose  $Y$  is a free  $G$ -space, with  $Y \subset \mathbf{R}^n$  and  $G \subset O(n)$ . Then, there is an equivariant imbedding*

$$f: Y \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$$

with the additional property that  $y_1 \neq y_2$  implies  $f(y_1)$  and  $f(y_2)$  are independent, i.e., they do not lie on a line thru the origin.

*Proof.* Set  $f(y) = (y, \|y\|^2)$ ,  $y \in \mathbf{R}^n$ ,  $\|y\| = \text{norm } y$ .

This lemma is used to prove the following proposition which is essentially Lemma 2.7.9 of [8].

PROPOSITION 2.5. *If  $Y_1, \dots, Y_k$  are compact  $G$ -ENR's, so is the  $k$ -fold join*

$$Y_1 \circ \dots \circ Y_k.$$

*Proof.* We need only show this for  $k = 2$ . Clearly  $Y_1 \circ Y_2$  is compact. We may assume without loss, that  $Y_1$  is a closed  $G_1$ -subspace of  $\mathbf{R}^p$ , where  $G_1 \subset O(p)$  and  $G_1$  is isomorphic to  $G$ , say by  $\varphi_1: G_1 \rightarrow G$ . Similarly, we may assume that there is an isomorphism  $\varphi_2: G \rightarrow G_2 \subset O(q)$  and  $Y_2$  is a  $G_2$ -subspace of  $\mathbf{R}^q$ .

Then, there is a natural equivariant map  $\eta: Y_1 \circ Y_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^p \oplus \mathbf{R}^q$  given by

$$\eta: [t_1y_1, t_2y_2] \longrightarrow t_1y_1 \oplus t_2y_2$$

where  $G$  acts on  $\mathbf{R}^p \oplus \mathbf{R}^q$  via the diagonal action

$$g(y_1, y_2) = (\varphi_1(g)y_1, \varphi_2(g)y_2).$$

Now, if we use Lemma 2.4 we may also assume that distinct points  $y_1, y'_1$  of  $Y_1$  are independent vectors and similarly for  $Y_2$ . Then, if

$$t_1y_1 \oplus t_2y_2 = t'_1y'_1 \oplus t'_2y'_2$$

we have  $t_1y_1 = t'_1y'_1$  and  $t_2y_2 = t'_2y'_2$ . This forces

$$[t_1y_1, t_2y_2] = [t'_1y'_1, t'_2y'_2]$$

and  $\eta$  is injective, hence an imbedding. Now, suppose

$$\rho_i: U_i \longrightarrow Y, \quad i = 1, 2$$

are invariant retractions where  $U_1, U_2$  are invariant neighborhoods

of  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  in  $\mathbf{R}^p, \mathbf{R}^q$ , respectively. Now, let  $U$  denote the union of all lines  $L(u_1, u_2)$ ,  $u_i \in U_i$ . Thus a point  $u \in U$  has the form

$$(1 - t)u_1 + tu_2, \quad -\infty < t < \infty .$$

Set

$$\rho((1 - t)u_1 + tu_2) = \begin{cases} \rho_1(u_1), & \text{if } t \leq 0 \\ (1 - t)\rho_1(u_1) + t\rho_2(u_2), & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq 1 \\ \rho_2(u_2), & \text{if } t \geq 1 . \end{cases}$$

$\rho: U \rightarrow \eta(Y_1 \circ Y_2)$  is an equivariant retraction and hence  $Y_1 \circ Y_2$  is a  $G$ -ENR.

The following proposition uses the obvious fact that  $L$ - $S$  category is subadditive, i.e., if  $Y = Y_1 \cup Y_2 \subset M$ , where  $Y_i$  are closed in  $M$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , then

$$\text{cat}_M Y \leq \text{cat}_M Y_1 + \text{cat}_M Y_2 .$$

PROPOSITION 2.6. *Suppose  $Y_1, Y_2$  are compact invariant subspaces contained in a free  $G$ -space  $E$ , and let  $Y = Y_1 \circ Y_2$ . Then,*

$$\text{cat}_{Y^*} Y^* \leq \text{cat}_{Y_1^*} Y_1^* + \text{cat}_{Y_2^*} Y_2^*$$

where  $A^* = A/G$ .

*Proof.*  $Y_1 \circ Y_2$  splits into two pieces

$$X_1 = \left\{ [y_1, t, y_2], t \leq \frac{1}{2} \right\}$$

$$X_2 = \left\{ [y_1, t, y_2], t \geq \frac{1}{2} \right\}$$

with  $Y_i$  a strong deformation retract of  $X_i$  (equivalently). Thus  $Y_i^*$  is a strong deformation of  $X_i^*$  and since

$$\text{cat}_{Y^*} Y^* \leq \text{cat}_{X_1^*} X_1^* + \text{cat}_{X_2^*} X_2^*$$

we have the desired result.

COROLLARY 2.7. *If  $Y = G \overbrace{\circ \cdots \circ}^k G$ , then  $\text{cat}_{Y^*} Y^* \leq k$ .*

The next proposition establishes that  $G$ -genus is also subadditive.

PROPOSITION 2.8. *If  $Y \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $Y = Y_1 \cup Y_2$  where  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  are closed invariant subspaces, then*

$$G\text{-genus } Y \leq G\text{-genus } Y_1 + G\text{-genus } Y_2 .$$

*Proof.* Suppose  $G$ -genus  $Y_1 = k_1$  and  $G$ -genus  $Y_2 = k_2$ . Let

$$H_1 = G \overset{k_1}{\circ \cdots \circ} G, \quad H_2 = G \overset{k_2}{\circ \cdots \circ} G$$

and observe that  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  are compact  $G$ -ENR's (Proposition 2.5). Suppose

$$f_i: Y_i \longrightarrow H_i, \quad i = 1, 2$$

are equivariant maps. Then  $f_i$  extends to an equivariant map

$$f'_i: U_i \longrightarrow H_i, \quad i = 1, 2$$

where  $U_i$  is an invariant open set containing  $Y_i$ . Select an equivariant partition of unity  $\varphi_i: Y \rightarrow [0, 1]$  so that

$$Y_i \subset \varphi_i^{-1}((0, 1]) \subset U_i, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Then, define an equivariant map

$$f: Y \longrightarrow H_1 \circ H_2$$

by setting

$$f(y) = [\varphi_1(y)f'_1(y), \varphi_2(y)f'_2(y)]$$

as the result follows.

REMARK 2.9. Let us recall that if we set  $Y_k = \overset{k}{G \circ \cdots \circ} G$  and  $Y_k^* = Y_k/G$ , we have natural imbeddings

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} G & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & Y_k & \longrightarrow & Y_{k+1} & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\ \downarrow & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ * & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & Y_k^* & \longrightarrow & Y_{k+1}^* & \longrightarrow & \cdots \end{array}$$

and the direct limit yields the Milnor universal bundle [7]  $(E_G, p_G, B_G)$  for  $G$ . Now, if  $E$  is a contractible, paracompact free  $G$ -space, and if  $E/G = B$ , then  $(E, p, B)$  is also a universal bundle for  $G$ -bundles over paracompact spaces [3].

As we have seen,  $G$ -genus is subadditive but the proof was more substantial than the corresponding trivial result for L-S category. Just the opposite occurs for the "monotone" property. If  $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$  is an equivariant map (in  $\mathcal{S}$ ), then it is immediate that

$$G\text{-genus } X \leq G\text{-genus } Y.$$

However, the corresponding result for L-S category requires some details—and makes use of the classification theorem for  $G$ -bundles.

PROPOSITION 2.10. *Suppose  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are closed invariant subspaces of paracompact free  $G$ -spaces  $E_1$  and  $E_2$ , respectively. Then, if  $\varphi: X_1 \rightarrow X_2$  is an equivariant map and if*

$$X_1^* = X_1/G, \quad X_2^* = X_2/G, \quad B_1 = E_1/G, \quad B_2 = E_2/G,$$

then

$$\text{cat}_{B_1} X_1^* \leq \text{cat}_{B_2} X_2^* .$$

*Proof.* The bundles  $(E_i, p_i, B_i)$   $i = 1, 2$  are universal bundles and hence we have the following diagram of bundle maps

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} X_1 & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & X_2 & \xrightarrow{i_2} & E_2 & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & E_1 \\ q_1 \downarrow & & q_2 \downarrow & & p_2 \downarrow & & p_1 \downarrow \\ X_1^* & \xrightarrow{\bar{\varphi}} & X_2^* & \xrightarrow{\bar{i}_2} & B_2 & \xrightarrow{\bar{\alpha}} & B_1 \end{array}$$

where  $\varphi$  is given,  $i_2$  is inclusion and  $\alpha$  exists via the universality of  $(E_i, p_i, B_i)$ .

Now, suppose  $\text{cat}_{B_2} X_2^* = k < \infty$ . There,  $X_2^*$  admits a closed cover  $K_1^*, \dots, K_k^*$  of sets contractible in  $B_2$  to a point. If we set  $A_i^* = \bar{\varphi}^{-1}(K_i^*)$ , we have a closed cover  $\{A_1^*, \dots, A_k^*\}$  of  $X_1^*$  and

$$\bar{\alpha} \circ \bar{i}_2 \circ (\bar{\varphi}|_{A_i^*}) \sim \text{constant (in } B_1).$$

However, since  $(E_1, p_1, B_1)$  is universal, we have

$$\bar{\alpha} \circ \bar{i}_2 \circ \bar{\varphi} \sim \bar{i}_1$$

where  $i_1: X_1 \rightarrow E_1$  is inclusion. Thus, each  $A_i^*$  is contractible to a point in  $B_1$  and

$$\text{cat}_{B_1} X_1^* \leq \text{cat}_{B_2} X_2^* .$$

### 3. Category vs genus.

THEOREM 3.1. *Let  $E$  denote a contractible, paracompact free  $G$ -space and let  $\Sigma$  denote the closed invariant subspaces of  $E$ . Then if  $B = E/G$  and  $A^* = A/G$ , we have*

$$\text{cat}_B A^* = G\text{-genus } A, \quad A \in \Sigma .$$

*Proof.* (a) We show first that  $\text{cat}_B A^* \leq G\text{-genus } A$ . Suppose that  $G\text{-genus } A = k < \infty$ . Then, we have an equivariant map

$$f: A \longrightarrow Y = G \overset{k}{\circ \cdots \circ} G \subset E_G .$$



But then, using Proposition 2.10 and Corollary 2.7

$$\text{cat}_B A^* \leq \text{cat}_{B_G} Y^* \leq \text{cat}_{Y^*} Y^* \leq k .$$

Thus,

$$\text{cat}_B A^* \leq G\text{-genus } A .$$

(b) Now, suppose  $\text{cat}_B A^* = k < \infty$ . Then,

$$A^* = A_1^* \cup \cdots \cup A_k^*$$

where each  $A_i^*$  is closed and contractible in  $B$ . Now, since  $G$ -genus is subadditive (Proposition 2.8) we have

$$G\text{-genus } A \leq \sum_{i=1}^k G\text{-genus } A_i$$

where  $A_i = p_A^{-1}(A_i^*)$ ,  $p_A: A \rightarrow A/G = A^*$  the natural projection. Since each  $A_i^*$  is contractible to a point in  $B$ , the bundle  $(A, p_A, A^*)$  is a trivial  $G$ -bundle and hence we have an equivariant map

$$f_i: A_i \longrightarrow G$$

so that  $G$ -genus  $A_l = 1$ ,  $l = 1, \dots, k$ . This proves that

$$G\text{-genus } A \leq k = \text{cat}_B A^*$$

and the proof is complete.

There are some noteworthy examples:

3.2. Let  $\mathcal{B}$  denote an infinite dimensional Banach space over the reals  $\mathbf{R}$ . Let  $G = \mathbf{Z}_2 = \{-1, 1\}$  act on  $\mathcal{B}$  by scalar multiplication and let  $\Sigma$  denote the closed invariant subsets of  $E = \mathcal{B} - 0$ . Define the real genus of  $A \in \Sigma$  by

$$\text{genus}_R A = \mathbf{Z}_2\text{-genus } A .$$

Then,

$$\text{genus}_R A = \text{cat}_B A^*$$

where  $B = E/\mathbf{Z}_2$ ,  $A^* = A/\mathbf{Z}_2$ . As we have already observed,  $\text{genus}_R A = k < \infty$  is equivalent to saying that there is an equivalent (odd) map  $f: A \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^k - 0$  and  $k$  is minimal with this property, so that  $\text{genus}_R$  is ordinary genus in the sense of Krasnoselskii [5].

3.3. Let  $\mathcal{B}$  denote an infinite dimensional Banach space over the complex numbers  $C$ . Let  $G = S^1$ , the complex numbers of norm 1. Then  $G$  acts freely on  $E = \mathcal{B} - 0$ , again by scalar multiplications. Let  $\Sigma$  denote the closed invariant subsets of  $E$  and define

the complex genus of  $A \in \mathcal{L}$  by

$$\text{genus}_c A = S^1\text{-genus } A$$

then,

$$\text{genus}_c A = \text{cat}_B A^*$$

where  $B = E/S^1$ ,  $A^* = A/S^1$ . We also mention here that  $\text{genus}_c A = k < \infty$  is equivalent to saying that there is an equivariant map  $f: A \rightarrow C^k - 0$  and  $k$  is minimal with this property.

Another consequence of Theorem 3.1 is the following result which asserts the independence of L-S category on the ambient Banach space.

**COROLLARY 3.4.** *If  $\mathcal{B}_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  are real (complex) Banach spaces (not necessarily infinite dimensional) and  $A_i \subset \mathcal{B}_i - 0$  are closed invariant subsets admitting an equivariant homeomorphism  $\varphi: A_1 \rightarrow A_2$ , then*

$$\text{cat}_{B_1} A_1^* = \text{cat}_{B_2} A_1^*$$

where  $B_i = (\mathcal{B}_i - 0)/Z_2(S^1)$ .

*Proof.* If both Banach spaces are infinite then

$$\text{cat}_{B_1} A_1^* = G\text{-genus } A_1 = G\text{-genus } A_2 = \text{cat}_{B_2} A_2^* .$$

To complete the proof it suffices to prove the following lemma.

**LEMMA 3.5.** *Let  $\mathcal{B}$  denote an infinite dimensional Banach space over  $\mathbf{R}$  or  $\mathbf{C}$  and let  $L$  denote a finite dimensional subspace. Let  $A$  denote a closed invariant set in  $L - 0$ . If  $C = (L - 0)/G$ ,  $B = (\mathcal{B} - 0)/G$ ,  $A^* = A/G$ , where  $G = Z_2$  or  $S^1$ , then*

$$\text{cat}_C A^* = \text{cat}_B A^* .$$

*Proof.* We consider only the real case. We may identify  $L$  with  $\mathbf{R}^n$  and if  $Z_2$ -genus  $A = k$ , then  $k \leq n$  and we have a diagram of bundle maps

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} A & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & S^{k-1} & \xrightarrow{i} & S^{n-1} & \xrightarrow{j} & S^n \\ q \downarrow & & \downarrow p_{k-1} & & \downarrow p_{n-1} & & \downarrow p_n \\ A^* & \xrightarrow{\bar{\varphi}} & \mathbf{R}P^{k-1} & \xrightarrow{\bar{i}} & \mathbf{R}P^{n-1} & \xrightarrow{\bar{j}} & \mathbf{R}P^n \end{array}$$

where  $\varphi$  is the equivariant map obtained from the fact that  $Z_2$ -genus  $A = k$  and  $i$  is inclusion.  $\mathbf{R}P^{k-1}$  is the union of  $k$  contractible closed

sets,  $K_1^*, \dots, K_k^*$  and hence if we set  $A_i^* = \bar{\varphi}^{-1}(K_i^*)$ , we have that each map

$$\bar{i} \circ (\bar{\varphi}|_{A_i^*}) \sim \text{constant (in } \mathbf{R}P^{n-1}).$$

We may assume without loss that  $A_i = q^{-1}(A_i^*) \subset S^{n-1}$  and is a finite subcomplex of dimension  $\leq n-1$ . Since  $(S^n, P_n, \mathbf{R}P^n)$  is  $n$ -universal [10]

$$j^* \circ \bar{i} \circ \bar{\varphi}|_{A_i^*} \sim j_i: A_i^* \subset \mathbf{R}P^n.$$

Thus,  $A_i^*$  is contractible in  $\mathbf{R}P^n$ . This forces  $A_i^*$  to be a proper subset of  $\mathbf{R}P^{n-1}$  and hence  $A_i^*$  is deformable in  $\mathbf{R}P^{n-1}$  to  $\mathbf{R}P^{n-2}$ . Repeating the above argument then forces  $A_i^*$  to be contractible in  $\mathbf{R}P^{n-1}$  and so

$$\text{cat}_C A^* \leq k = Z_2\text{-genus } A = \text{cat}_B A^*.$$

Since the inequality  $\text{cat}_B A^* \leq \text{cat}_C A^*$  is obvious the lemma follows and the proof of Corollary 3.4 is complete.

#### REFERENCES

1. D. C. Clark, *A variant of the Ljusternik-Schnirelman theory*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., **22** (1972), 65-74.
2. P. E. Conner and E. E. Floyd, *Fixed point free involutions and equivariant maps*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **66** (1960), 416-441.
3. A. Dold, *Partitions of unity in the theory of fibrations*, Ann. of Math., **78** (1963), 223-255.
4. E. R. Fadell and P. H. Rabinowitz, *Generalized cohomological index theories for Lie group actions with an application to bifurcation questions for Hamiltonian systems*, Inventiones Mathematicae, **45** (1978), 139-174.
5. M. A. Krasnoselskii, *Topological Methods in the Theory of Nonlinear Integral Equations*, MacMillan, N. Y., 1965.
6. L. A. Ljusternik, *The Topology of the Calculus of Variations in the Large*, Vol. 16, AMS Translations of Mathematical Monographs, 1966.
7. J. Milnor, *Constructions of universal bundles, II*, Annals of Mathematics, **63** (1956), 430-436.
8. R. S. Palais, *The Classification of G-Spaces*, AMS Memoir, No. 36, 1960.
9. P. H. Rabinowitz, *Some aspects of nonlinear eigenvalue problems*, Rocky Mountain J. Math., **3** (1973), 161-202.
10. N. E. Steenrod, *The Topology of Fibre Bundles*, Princeton, University Press, 1951.
11. C. T. Yang, *On the theorems of Borsuk-Ulam, Kakutani-Yujobô and Dysin, II*, Ann. of Math., **62** (1955), 271-280.

Received March 19, 1979 and in revised form May 9, 1979. Sponsored by the United States Army under Contract No. DAAG29-75-C-0024. This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. MCS78-01451.

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

## EDITORS

DONALD BABBITT (Managing Editor)

University of California  
Los Angeles, California 90024

HUGO ROSSI

University of Utah  
Salt Lake City, UT 84112

C. C. MOORE AND ANDREW OGG

University of California  
Berkeley, CA 94720

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics  
University of Southern California  
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. FINN AND J. MILGRAM

Stanford University  
Stanford, California 94305

## ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO  
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY  
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA  
STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII  
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO  
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH  
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

David Bressoud, <i>A note on gap-frequency partitions</i> .....	1
John David Brillhart, <i>A double inversion formula</i> .....	7
Frank Richard Deutsch, Günther Nürnberger and Ivan Singer, <i>Weak Chebyshev subspaces and alternation</i> .....	9
Edward Richard Fadell, <i>The relationship between Ljusternik-Schnirelman category and the concept of genus</i> .....	33
Harriet Jane Fell, <i>On the zeros of convex combinations of polynomials</i> .....	43
John Albert Fridy, <i>An addendum to: "Tauberian theorems via block dominated matrices"</i> .....	51
Andrzej Granas, Ronald Bernard Guenther and John Walter Lee, <i>Applications of topological transversality to differential equations. I. Some nonlinear diffusion problems</i> .....	53
David E. Handelman and G. Renault, <i>Actions of finite groups on self-injective rings</i> .....	69
Michael Frank Hutchinson, <i>Local <math>\Lambda</math> sets for profinite groups</i> .....	81
Arnold Samuel Kas, <i>On the handlebody decomposition associated to a Lefschetz fibration</i> .....	89
Hans Keller, <i>On the lattice of all closed subspaces of a Hermitian space</i> .....	105
P. S. Kenderov, <i>Dense strong continuity of pointwise continuous mappings</i> .....	111
Robert Edward Kennedy, <i>Krull rings</i> .....	131
Jean Ann Larson, Richard Joseph Laver and George Frank McNulty, <i>Square-free and cube-free colorings of the ordinals</i> .....	137
Viktor Losert and Harald Rindler, <i>Cyclic vectors for <math>L^p(G)</math></i> .....	143
John Rowlay Martin and Edward D. Tymchatyn, <i>Fixed point sets of 1-dimensional Peano continua</i> .....	147
Augusto Nobile, <i>On equisingular families of isolated singularities</i> .....	151
Kenneth Joseph Prevot, <i>Imbedding smooth involutions in trivial bundles</i> .....	163
Thomas Munro Price, <i>Spanning surfaces for projective planes in four space</i> .....	169
Dave Riffelmacher, <i>Sweedler's two-cocycles and Hochschild cohomology</i> .....	181
Niels Schwartz, <i>Archimedean lattice-ordered fields that are algebraic over their <math>o</math>-subfields</i> .....	189
Chao-Liang Shen, <i>A note on the automorphism groups of simple dimension groups</i> .....	199
Kenneth Barry Stolarsky, <i>Mapping properties, growth, and uniqueness of Vieta (infinite cosine) products</i> .....	209
Warren James Wong, <i>Maps on simple algebras preserving zero products. I. The associative case</i> .....	229