

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**ON THE LATTICE OF ALL CLOSED SUBSPACES OF A
HERMITIAN SPACE**

HANS KELLER

ON THE LATTICE OF ALL CLOSED SUBSPACES OF A HERMITIAN SPACE

HANS A. KELLER

The purpose of the paper is to prove the following

THEOREM: Let E be a vector space over a field K with $\text{char } K \neq 2$, and let ϕ be a nondegenerate hermitian form on E . Then the lattice of all orthogonally closed subspaces of (E, ϕ) is modular if and only if E is finite dimensional.

Introduction. It is well known that the lattice of all orthogonally (=topologically) closed subspaces of a Hilbert space H is modular only if H has finite dimension (see Birkhoff—Von Neumann [1]). We shall prove here that this is true generally for vector spaces E over commutative fields K with $\text{char } K \neq 2$, supplied with nondegenerate hermitian forms ϕ : The lattice of all orthogonally closed subspaces of (E, ϕ) is modular if and only if E is finite dimensional. Non-modularity in the infinite dimensional case is due to the fact that then there are always two closed subspaces with nonclosed sum. In a Hilbert space one can exhibit such pairs of subspaces in a constructive way (see [3]); our general case is much more involved, and their existence will follow from an indirect proof.

1. Denotations. Let E be a (left-) vector space over a commutative field K , and $\phi: E \times E \rightarrow K$ a hermitian form with respect to an automorphism $\alpha \mapsto \bar{\alpha}$ of period 2 of K . We always assume that $\text{char } K \neq 2$. We usually write (x, y) instead of $\phi(x, y)$, and we write $x \perp y$ if $(x, y) = 0$, $x, y \in E$. Let F be a subspace of (E, ϕ) . The orthogonal space of F is $F^\perp = \{x \in E: x \perp y \text{ for all } y \in F\}$, and the radical of F is $\text{rad } F = F \cap F^\perp$. F is called semisimple if $\text{rad } F = 0$. In particular, E is semisimple if $E^\perp = 0$, i.e., if ϕ is nondegenerate. A subspace F is called orthogonally closed if $F = F^{\perp\perp} (= (F^\perp)^\perp)$. All bases of vector spaces are algebraic. F is termed euclidean if it is semisimple and admits an orthogonal basis. Semisimple subspaces of countable dimension are always euclidean (see [2]). Every $x \in E$ induces a linear form ϕ_x on F , given by $\phi_x(z) = \phi(z, x)$, $z \in F$. We let F^* denote the antispace of the dual space of F , i.e., the K -space of all linear forms $f: F \rightarrow K$, where $(f + g)(z) = f(z) + g(z)$ and $(\alpha f)(z) = \bar{\alpha} \cdot f(z)$, $f, g \in F^*$, $\alpha \in K$. If $F^\perp = 0$ then E can be considered as a subspace of F^* , identifying $x \in E$ with ϕ_x .

If $E = \bigoplus_{i \in I} E_i$, and $E_i \perp E_j$ for $i \neq j$, we write $E = \bigoplus_{i \in I}^\perp E_i$.

2. The lattice $\mathcal{L}(E, \phi)$. Let (E, ϕ) be a semisimple hermitian

space over K . The orthogonally closed subspaces of (E, ϕ) form a lattice $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(E, \phi)$ under the operations $F \wedge G = F \cap G$ and $F \vee G = (F + G)^{\perp\perp}$. This lattice is modular iff for all $F, G \in \mathcal{L}$ we have $F \vee G = F + G$ (see [4], Theorem 33.4). Thus modularity of $\mathcal{L}(E, \phi)$ is equivalent to the following property of (E, ϕ) :

(A) The sum of two orthogonally closed subspaces is always closed.

If $\dim E < \infty$ then (A) holds trivially. We now prove the converse.

3. Nonmodularity of $\mathcal{L}(E, \phi)$ in case of infinite dimension.
We start with two technical lemmas. Their importance for our problem will become evident later (cf. the proof of Lemma 3 below).

LEMMA 1. *Let (E, ϕ) be semisimple. Let F be a subspace with $\dim F = \aleph_0$ such that for all subspaces $U, V \subset F$ we have: If $U + V = F$ then $U^{\perp\perp} + V^{\perp\perp} = E$. Then $F = E$.*

Proof. Taking $U = V = F$ we get $F^{\perp\perp} = E$ and $F^\perp = 0$. Therefore E may be considered as a subspace of F^* . Let $F = \bigoplus_{s \in S} F_s$ be an orthogonal decomposition of F into finite dimensional subspaces and let $y \in F^*$. y is determined by the restrictions $y|_{F_s}$. Every F_s is semisimple since $F^\perp = 0$, thus $y|_{F_s}$ is induced by a unique $y_s \in F_s$. This allows us to represent y as a formal sum $y = \sum_{s \in S} y_s$, and we call the y_s 's the components of y with respect to the decomposition $F = \bigoplus_s F_s$. In particular every $x \in E$ has the form $x = \sum_s x_s$.

Now suppose that $E \neq F$.

(1) We first show that then $E = F^*$. Let $x \in E$ with $x \notin F$. One readily constructs a decomposition $F = \bigoplus_s F_s$ such that $\dim F_s = 2$ and $x_s \neq 0$ for all $s \in S$ (choose an orthogonal basis $\{e_i: i \in I\}$ of F and observe that $\text{card}\{i \in I: (e_i, x) \neq 0\} = \aleph_0 = \text{card } I$). Now let $y \in F^*$. We write $y = \sum_s y_s$, where $y_s \in F_s$, and suppose first that $\{x_s, y_s\}$ is linearly independent for all s . Let U and V be the subspaces spanned by $\{y_s: s \in S\}$ and $\{x_s - y_s: s \in S\}$ respectively. We have $U + V = F$, thus $U^{\perp\perp} + V^{\perp\perp} = E$. Write $x = u + v$, where $u = \sum_s u_s \in U^{\perp\perp}$ and $v = \sum_s v_s \in V^{\perp\perp}$ ($u_s, v_s \in F_s$). Pick $a_s \in F_s$ with $a_s \neq 0$ and $a_s \perp y_s$. Then $a_s \in U^\perp$, hence $0 = (a_s, u) = (a_s, u_s)$. Since $\dim F_s = 2$ it follows that $u_s = \lambda_s y_s$ for some $\lambda_s \in K$. In the same way we get $v_s = \mu_s (x_s - y_s)$, $\mu_s \in K$. Since $u_s + v_s = x_s$ we have $\lambda_s = \mu_s = 1$. Thus $y_s = u_s$ for all s , hence $y = u$ and in particular $y \in E$ in this case. Next we consider $y = \sum_s y_s$ in F^* with $y_s \neq 0$ for all s . For every s choose $z_s \in F_s$ such that $\{x_s, z_s\}$ and $\{z_s, y_s\}$ are both linearly independent. Applying the above reasoning to x and $z = \sum_s z_s \in F^*$ as well as to z and y we get first $z \in E$ and

then $y \in E$. The y 's in F^* with all components $\neq 0$ generate F^* . Since all these y 's are in E we have $E = F^*$.

(2) Suppose $F = \bigoplus_s^\perp F_s$, where $\dim F_s < \aleph_0$ for all s . Let $x = \sum_s x_s$, $y = \sum_s y_s$ be in E , $x_s, y_s \in F_s$. We claim: if $(x_s, y_s) = 0$ for all s , then $(x, y) = 0$. To prove this let U and W be the subspaces generated by $\{x_s: s \in S\}$ and $\{y_s: s \in S\}$ respectively. We have $U \perp W$, hence $U^{\perp\perp} \perp W^{\perp\perp}$. Therefore it is enough to show that $x \in U^{\perp\perp}$ and $y \in W^{\perp\perp}$. Choose linear complements V_s of (x_s) in F_s , $F_s = V_s \oplus (x_s)$, and put $V = \bigoplus_s^\perp V_s$. Then $U + V = F$, hence $U^{\perp\perp} + V^{\perp\perp} = E$. Write $x = u + v$, where $u = \sum_s u_s \in U^{\perp\perp}$, $v = \sum_s v_s \in V^{\perp\perp}$. For every $z_s \in F_s$ with $z_s \perp x_s$ we have $z_s \in U^\perp$ and so $0 = (z_s, u) = (z_s, u_s)$. This gives $u_s \in (x_s)^{\perp\perp} = (x_s)$. In the same way we get $v_s \in V_s^{\perp\perp} = V_s$. Since $u_s + v_s = x_s$ it follows that $v_s = 0$ and $u_s = x_s$. Thus $x = u \in U^{\perp\perp}$. In the same way we see that $y \in W^{\perp\perp}$.

(3) Let $\{e_i: i \in I\}$ be an orthogonal basis of F . According to $F = \bigoplus_i^\perp (e_i)$ every $x \in E = F^*$ can be written in the form $x = \sum_i \xi_i \cdot e_i$ with $\xi_i = \overline{(e_i, x)}(e_i, e_i)^{-1}$. For $T \subset I$ we put $x_T = \sum_i \xi_i' e_i$, where $\xi_i' = \xi_i$ for $i \in T$ and $\xi_i' = 0$ for $i \notin T$. We consider $a = \sum_i \alpha_i e_i$ and $b = \sum_i \beta_i e_i$, where $\alpha_i = (e_i, e_i)^{-1}$ and $\beta_i = 1$ for all i . $a, b \in E$ by (1). Let $I = S \cup T$ be a partitioning with $\text{card } S = \text{card } T$. We show that

$$(a_S, b_S) = (a_T, b_T).$$

We observe that $(a_S, b_T) = (a_T, b_S) = 0$ by (2). Thus it suffices to show that $a = a_S + a_T$ and $c = b_S - b_T$ are orthogonal. Let $\sigma: S \rightarrow T$ be a bijection. For $s \in S$ put $F_s = K(e_s, e_{\sigma s})$. Then $F = \bigoplus_s^\perp F_s$. The corresponding components of a and c are $a_s = (e_s, e_s)^{-1} \cdot e_s + (e_{\sigma s}, e_{\sigma s})^{-1} \cdot e_{\sigma s}$ and $c_s = e_s - e_{\sigma s}$. We find $(a_s, c_s) = 0$, and by (2) this implies $(a, c) = 0$, as claimed.

We now choose $t \in T$ and put $S' = S \cup \{t\}$ and $T' = T - \{t\}$. We have $\text{card } S' = \text{card } T'$, hence $(a_{S'}, b_{S'}) = (a_{T'}, b_{T'})$. On the other hand, from the relations $a_{S'} = a_S + (e_t, e_t)^{-1} \cdot e_t$, $a_{T'} = a_T - (e_t, e_t)^{-1} \cdot e_t$ and $b_{S'} = b_S + e_t$, $b_{T'} = b_T - e_t$ we get

$$(a_{S'}, b_{S'}) = (a_S, b_S) + 1, \quad (a_{T'}, b_{T'}) = (a_T, b_T) - 1.$$

It follows that $+1 = -1$, a contradiction since $\text{char } K \neq 2$. This completes the proof.

We can easily generalize the statement of Lemma 1.

LEMMA 2. *Let (E, ϕ) be semisimple. Let F be a euclidean subspace such that whenever $U + V = F$ it follows that $U^{\perp\perp} + V^{\perp\perp} = E$. Then $F = E$.*

Proof. Since $F^{\perp\perp} = E$ we may suppose that $\dim F \geq \aleph_0$. Let

$\{e_i; i \in I\}$ be an orthogonal basis of F . Suppose that there exists a $x \in E$ with $x \notin F$. Then there exists a subset $L \subset I$ with $\text{card } L = \aleph_0$ and [such that $(e_i, x) \neq 0$ for all $i \in L$. Put $Q = K(e_i)_{i \in L}$ and $R = K(e_i)_{i \in I-L}$; then $F = Q \oplus R$ and so $E = Q^{\perp\perp} \oplus R^{\perp\perp}$. Write $x = q + r$ where $q \in Q^{\perp\perp}$, $r \in R^{\perp\perp}$. One easily verifies that the hypotheses of Lemma 1 are satisfied for $(Q^{\perp\perp}, \phi|_{Q^{\perp\perp}})$ and Q (in lieu of (E, ϕ) and F). Hence $Q = Q^{\perp\perp}$ and in particular $q \in Q$. But this is a contradiction since $(e_i, q) = (e_i, x) \neq 0$ for all $i \in L$.

We now pass to study spaces (E, ϕ) with property (A).

LEMMA 3. *Suppose that the semisimple space (E, ϕ) has property (A). Then for every euclidean subspace F we have $F^{\perp\perp} = F \oplus \text{rad } F^{\perp}$.*

Proof. We have $\text{rad } F^{\perp\perp} = \text{rad } F^{\perp}$, and $F \cap F^{\perp} = 0$. Hence there is a decomposition $F^{\perp\perp} = Q \oplus \text{rad } F^{\perp}$ with $F \subset Q$. The space Q with the induced form $\Psi = \phi|_Q$ (restriction) is semisimple. We shall show that the hypotheses of Lemma 2 are satisfied for (Q, Ψ) and F (in place of (E, ϕ) and F); then it will follow that $F = Q$, proving our lemma. For $U \subset Q$ we let U° denote the orthogonal space of U formed in (Q, Ψ) . Thus $U^\circ = \{x \in Q: x \perp y \text{ for all } y \in U\} = U^\perp \cap Q$. Now let U, V be subspaces of F with $U + V = F$; we must show that $U^{00} + V^{00} = Q$. It is immediate that $U^{00} \oplus \text{rad } F^{\perp} \supset U^{\perp\perp}$ and $V^{00} \oplus \text{rad } F^{\perp} \supset V^{\perp\perp}$. By (A), $U^{\perp\perp} + V^{\perp\perp}$ is closed in (E, ϕ) , thus $U^{\perp\perp} + V^{\perp\perp} = (U^{\perp\perp} + V^{\perp\perp})^{\perp\perp} = (U + V)^{\perp\perp} = F^{\perp\perp}$. It follows that $(U^{00} + V^{00}) \oplus \text{rad } F^{\perp} \supset U^{\perp\perp} + V^{\perp\perp} = F^{\perp\perp}$, hence $U^{00} + V^{00} = Q$, as claimed.

Let (H, Ψ) be any hermitian, euclidean space over K . We denote by H_0^* the set of all linear forms f on H with the property that $\ker(f)$, as a subspace of (H, Ψ) , admits an orthogonal basis. Let $\{h_i; i \in I\}$ be an orthogonal basis of H , and let f be any linear form on H . Put $J = \{i \in I: f(h_i) \neq 0\}$. f is induced by some $x \in H$ iff J is finite. In this case, of course, $f \in H_0^*$. Suppose J is infinite. Then $\ker(f)$ is semisimple and we have $f \in H_0^*$ iff $\text{card } J = \aleph_0$ ([2], Satz 1). We now see that $f \in H_0^*$ if and only if there is a decomposition $H = Q \oplus R$ with $\dim R \leq \aleph_0$ and $f|_Q = 0$. In such a decomposition Q is always euclidean (cf. [2]). We also see that H_0^* is a subspace of H^* .

LEMMA 4. *Suppose (E, ϕ) is semisimple and has property (A). Let F be a euclidean subspace. Then every $f \in F_0^*$ is induced by some $y \in E$.*

Proof. If f is not induced by a $x \in F$ then $G = \ker(f)$ is semi-

simple and thus, by definition of F_0^* , euclidean; furthermore $\dim F/G = 1$. We have $F^\perp \neq G^\perp$, for otherwise by Lemma 3 we would have

$$G \oplus \text{rad } F^\perp = G \oplus \text{rad } G^\perp = G^{\perp\perp} = F^{\perp\perp} = F \oplus \text{rad } F^\perp,$$

which is impossible. Hence there is a $y \in G^\perp$ with $y \notin F^\perp$, and it is clear that f is induced by a suitable multiple $\lambda y (\lambda \in K)$.

We are ready to prove our main result.

THEOREM. *Let (E, ϕ) be a semisimple hermitian space over a commutative field K with $\text{char } K \neq 2$. The lattice of all orthogonally closed subspaces of (E, ϕ) is modular if and only if E is finite dimensional.*

Proof. One half of the statement is clear. Suppose $\mathcal{L}(E, \phi)$ is modular. Then (A) holds for (E, ϕ) . Let $M = \{v_i; i \in I\}$ be a maximal set of pairwise orthogonal anisotropic vectors of E ($x \in E$ is anisotropic if $(x, x) \neq 0$). The subspace F spanned by the v_i 's is euclidean. By the maximality of M we have $\phi|_{F^\perp} = 0$, hence $\text{rad } F^\perp = F^\perp$. Thus $F^{\perp\perp} = F \oplus F^\perp$ by Lemma 3. Now suppose that $\dim E \geq \aleph_0$. Then $\dim F \geq \aleph_0$ since (E, ϕ) is semisimple. Hence there exists an element $f \in F_0^*$ which is not induced by a $x \in F$. By Lemma 4, f is induced by some $y \in E$. Clearly $y \notin F \oplus F^\perp$; since $F \oplus F^\perp = F^{\perp\perp}$ there exists $v \in F^\perp$ with $(v, y) \neq 0$. Put $G = F \oplus (y) \oplus (v)$. One readily verifies that G is semisimple. Since $f \in F_0^*$ there is a decomposition $F = Q \oplus^\perp R$ such that $f|_Q = 0$ and $\dim R = \aleph_0$; here Q is euclidean. We have $y \in Q^\perp$ and so $G = Q \oplus^\perp (R \oplus (y) \oplus (v))$ which shows that G is euclidean. We define a linear form g on G by $g|_F = f$, $g(y) = 0$, $g(v) = (v, y) + 1$. The above decomposition of G shows that $g \in G_0^*$. Hence g is induced by some $z \in E$. Since $g|_F = f$ we have $z - y \in F^\perp$, i.e., $z = y + w$ with $w \in F^\perp$. Now $(v, y) + 1 = g(v) = (v, z) = (v, y) + (v, w)$, hence $(v, w) = 1$. But this is a contradiction since $v, w \in F^\perp$ and ϕ vanishes on F^\perp . This completes the proof.

REFERENCES

1. G. Birkhoff and J. Neumann, *The logic of quantum mechanics*, Ann. of Math., **37** (1936), 823-843.
2. H. Gross, *Der Euklidische Defekt bei quadratischen Räumen*, Math. Ann., **180** (1969), 95-137.
3. P. R. Halmos, *Introduction to Hilbert Spaces and the Theory of Spectral Multiplicity*, Chelsea, New York, 1957.

4. F. Maeda and S. Maeda, *Theory of Symmetric Lattices*, Springer Verlag, New York, 1970.

Received May 10, 1979.

INSTITUTO DE MATEMATICA
UNIVERSIDAD CATOLICA DE CHILE
CASILLA 114-D
SANTIAGO, CHILE

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

DONALD BABBITT (Managing Editor)

University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

HUGO ROSSI

University of Utah
Salt Lake City, UT 84112

C. C. MOORE AND ANDREW OGG

University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. FINN AND J. MILGRAM

Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

| | |
|--|-----|
| David Bressoud, <i>A note on gap-frequency partitions</i> | 1 |
| John David Brillhart, <i>A double inversion formula</i> | 7 |
| Frank Richard Deutsch, Günther Nürnberger and Ivan Singer, <i>Weak Chebyshev subspaces and alternation</i> | 9 |
| Edward Richard Fadell, <i>The relationship between Ljusternik-Schnirelman category and the concept of genus</i> | 33 |
| Harriet Jane Fell, <i>On the zeros of convex combinations of polynomials</i> | 43 |
| John Albert Fridy, <i>An addendum to: "Tauberian theorems via block dominated matrices"</i> | 51 |
| Andrzej Granas, Ronald Bernard Guenther and John Walter Lee, <i>Applications of topological transversality to differential equations. I. Some nonlinear diffusion problems</i> | 53 |
| David E. Handelman and G. Renault, <i>Actions of finite groups on self-injective rings</i> | 69 |
| Michael Frank Hutchinson, <i>Local Λ sets for profinite groups</i> | 81 |
| Arnold Samuel Kas, <i>On the handlebody decomposition associated to a Lefschetz fibration</i> | 89 |
| Hans Keller, <i>On the lattice of all closed subspaces of a Hermitian space</i> | 105 |
| P. S. Kenderov, <i>Dense strong continuity of pointwise continuous mappings</i> | 111 |
| Robert Edward Kennedy, <i>Krull rings</i> | 131 |
| Jean Ann Larson, Richard Joseph Laver and George Frank McNulty, <i>Square-free and cube-free colorings of the ordinals</i> | 137 |
| Viktor Losert and Harald Rindler, <i>Cyclic vectors for $L^p(G)$</i> | 143 |
| John Rowlay Martin and Edward D. Tymchatyn, <i>Fixed point sets of 1-dimensional Peano continua</i> | 147 |
| Augusto Nobile, <i>On equisingular families of isolated singularities</i> | 151 |
| Kenneth Joseph Prevot, <i>Imbedding smooth involutions in trivial bundles</i> | 163 |
| Thomas Munro Price, <i>Spanning surfaces for projective planes in four space</i> | 169 |
| Dave Riffelmacher, <i>Sweedler's two-cocycles and Hochschild cohomology</i> | 181 |
| Niels Schwartz, <i>Archimedean lattice-ordered fields that are algebraic over their o-subfields</i> | 189 |
| Chao-Liang Shen, <i>A note on the automorphism groups of simple dimension groups</i> | 199 |
| Kenneth Barry Stolarsky, <i>Mapping properties, growth, and uniqueness of Vieta (infinite cosine) products</i> | 209 |
| Warren James Wong, <i>Maps on simple algebras preserving zero products. I. The associative case</i> | 229 |