

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

ON HOLOMORPHIC APPROXIMATION IN WEAKLY PSEUDOCONVEX DOMAINS

FRANK HAYNE BEATROUS, JR. AND R. MICHAEL RANGE

ON HOLOMORPHIC APPROXIMATION IN WEAKLY PSEUDOCONVEX DOMAINS

F. BEATROUS, JR. AND R. MICHAEL RANGE

A uniform estimate for solutions to the equation $\bar{\partial}u = \alpha$ in a weakly pseudoconvex domain is obtained, provided that the form α vanishes near the set of degeneracy of the Levi form. Under the additional hypothesis that the closure of the domain is holomorphically convex, analogous estimates are obtained for solutions defined in a full neighborhood of the closure. Applications are given to Mergelyan type approximation problems in a weakly pseudoconvex domain D . In particular, it is shown that any function in $A(D)$ can be uniformly approximated by functions in $A(D)$ which extend holomorphically across all strongly pseudoconvex boundary points. When \bar{D} is holomorphically convex, it is shown that the Mergelyan problem can be localized to a small neighborhood of the set on which the Levi form degenerates.

Introduction. A bounded domain D in C^n has the *Mergelyan Property* if continuous functions on \bar{D} which are holomorphic in the interior of \bar{D} can be approximated uniformly on \bar{D} by functions holomorphic in a neighborhood of \bar{D} . For $n > 1$, the first non-trivial domains for which the Mergelyan property was verified were the strictly pseudoconvex ones (Henkin [7], Kerzman [9], Lieb [12]). For a more general class of pseudoconvex domains, Range [14] considered approximation by functions which extend holomorphically across strictly pseudoconvex boundary points. Our interest in the problem was rekindled by an example due to Diederich and Fornaess [3] of a smooth pseudoconvex domain D for which approximation by functions holomorphic in a full neighborhood of \bar{D} is impossible. The failure of the Mergelyan property in this example is intimately connected with the absence of a Stein neighborhood basis for \bar{D} . Thus a principal objective of this paper is the removal of the hypothesis of existence of Stein neighborhoods which was required in [14].

The key technical tool in [14] is an estimate for solutions to $\bar{\partial}u = \alpha$ when the support of α is disjoint from the non-strictly pseudoconvex boundary. In the absence of a Stein neighborhood base, such estimates were first obtained by Beatrous [1] by using Kohn's global regularity theorem for $\bar{\partial}$ in the construction of a global Ramirez-Grauert-Lieb type kernel which solves the $\bar{\partial}$ -problem with the above mentioned support condition. In the present paper,

we apply directly the Bochner-Martinelli-Koppelman formula for $(0, 1)$ -forms [11] and Kohn's theorem in order to pass from local to global in the relevant $\bar{\partial}$ -problem in [14].

It has been conjectured that any smooth, bounded domain whose closure is holomorphically convex should have the Mergelyan property. In this direction, we show that holomorphic convexity of \bar{D} implies the Mergelyan property if one can approximate near the non strictly pseudoconvex points of ∂D (Theorem 2.3). Again, the essential tool is an estimate for $\bar{\partial}$ with a support condition. The Mergelyan type theorems in [5] and [15] are easy consequences of this result.

1. A $\bar{\partial}$ -problem with support condition. Let D be a domain in C^n . A point $p \in \partial D$ is called a *strictly pseudoconvex boundary point* if ∂D is of class C^k , $k \geq 2$, in a neighborhood of p and strictly Levi pseudoconvex at p (cf. [6] p. 262). The collection of all such points is denoted by $S(\partial D)$. The set $S(\partial D)$ is relatively open in ∂D , and if D is a bounded domain with a C^2 boundary $S(\partial D)$ is non-empty. The set $NS(\partial D) = \partial D, S(\partial D)$ is the set of non strictly pseudoconvex boundary points.

For K compact in C^n , $\mathcal{O}(K)$ denotes the algebra of functions which are holomorphic on some neighborhood of K . The set K is said to be *holomorphically convex* if every nonzero algebra homomorphism from $\mathcal{O}(K)$ into C is given by point evaluation at some point in K . If K has a Stein neighborhood basis then K is holomorphically convex; the converse is in general false.

Given D and an open set U we set $B_{0,1}^\infty(D, U) = \{\alpha \in C_{0,1}^\infty(D) : \bar{\partial}\alpha = 0, \text{supp } \alpha \subset U, \text{ and } \|\alpha\|_D < \infty\}$. Here $\|\cdot\|_D$ denotes the sup norm on D .

THEOREM 1.1. *Suppose D is pseudoconvex and $U_1 \subset \subset U_2$ are open with $U_1 \cap \partial D \subset \subset U_2 \cap \partial D \subset \subset S(\partial D)$.*

(a) *If ∂D is of class C^∞ then there are a constant C and a linear operator*

$$T: B_{0,1}^\infty(D, U_1) \longrightarrow C(\bar{D}) \cap C^\infty(D)$$

which satisfy

- (i) $\bar{\partial}(T\alpha) = \alpha$;
- (ii) $\|T\alpha\|_D \leq C \|\alpha\|_D$;
- (iii) $\|T\alpha\|_{D, U_2} \leq C \|\alpha\|_{L_{0,1}^1(D)}$.

The constant C can be chosen to be independent of small perturbations of $U_1 \cap \partial D$.

(b) *If ∂D is of class C^2 and \bar{D} is holomorphically convex then there are a neighborhood basis $\{D_\varepsilon, 0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0\}$ of \bar{D} , a constant C ,*

and linear operators

$$T_\varepsilon: B_{0,1}^\infty(D_\varepsilon, U_1) \longrightarrow L^\infty(D_\varepsilon) \cap C^\infty(D_\varepsilon)$$

which satisfy

- (iv) $\bar{\partial}(T_\varepsilon\alpha) = \alpha;$
- (v) $\|T_\varepsilon\alpha\|_{D_\varepsilon} \leq C\|\alpha\|_{D_\varepsilon}$

for any ε with $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ and any $\alpha \in B_{0,1}^\infty(D_\varepsilon, U_1)$.

REMARK 1.2. If \bar{D} has a Stein neighborhood basis, part (a) is contained in Theorem 2.1 in [14]. We will neither prove nor use (iii) in this paper; however, it is needed to extend the results of [14] to the domains considered here.

REMARK 1.3. We have stated only the simplest estimates. Any estimate valid for the local solution operator used in the proof will carry over to the global operators. For the construction of local solution operators in the case of a C^2 boundary, see [13] or [16].

Proof. (I) Choose another open set U with $U_1 \subset\subset U \subset\subset U_2$. By applying successively one of the local solution operators for $\bar{\partial}$ and the bumping technique (cf. [9] and [14]) one obtains a small perturbation \tilde{D} of D with $\tilde{D}, U_2 = D \setminus U_2$ and $U \cap \bar{D} \subset \tilde{D}$ which has the following property: For any $\alpha \in B_{0,1}^\infty(D, U_1)$ there are a form $\tilde{\alpha} \in B_{0,1}^\infty(\tilde{D}, U) \cap C_{0,1}^\infty(\tilde{D})$ and a function $u_1 \in C(\tilde{D}) \cap C^\infty(D)$ with $\text{supp } u_1 \subset U$ such that $\alpha = \tilde{\alpha} + \bar{\partial}u_1$. Moreover, $\tilde{\alpha}$ and u_1 depend linearly on α , and the following estimates are satisfied:

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{cases} \|\tilde{\alpha}\|_{\tilde{D}} \leq C_1 \|\alpha\|_D \\ \|u_1\|_D \leq C_1 \|\alpha\|_D. \end{cases}$$

The domain \tilde{D} and the constant C_1 can be chosen to be independent of small perturbations of $S(\partial D) \cap U_1$.

(II) For part (a) we proceed as follows. By a small perturbation of $S(\partial D)$, choose another pseudoconvex domain D^* such that $D^* \setminus U_2 = D \setminus U_2$, $U \cap \bar{D} \subset D^*$, and $U \cap \bar{D}^* \subset \tilde{D}$. Observe that these properties of D^* persist if D is replaced by a sufficiently small perturbation of D within U_1 . Apply the Bochner-Martinelli-Koppelman formula (see for example [13]) to write $\tilde{\alpha} = \beta + \bar{\partial}u_2$ where

$$\beta = \int_{\partial\tilde{D}} \tilde{\alpha} \wedge K_1, \quad u_2 = \int_{\tilde{D}} \tilde{\alpha} \wedge K_0,$$

and K_0, K_1 are the Bochner-Martinelli-kernels of the appropriate bi-degree. Clearly u_2 is continuous on \bar{D} and $\|u_2\|_{\tilde{D}} \leq C_2\|\tilde{\alpha}\|_{\tilde{D}}$; also $\bar{\partial}\beta = 0$ and, since $\tilde{\alpha} = 0$ on $\partial\tilde{D} \setminus U$, it follows by differentiation under

the integral sign that $\beta \in C_{0,1}^\infty(\bar{D}^*)$ and

$$\|\beta\|_{C_{0,1}^k(\bar{D}^*)} \leq \gamma_k \|\tilde{\alpha}\|_{\tilde{D}}$$

for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. By Theorem 3.19 of [10] and Sobolev's Lemma there is, for sufficiently large k , a linear operator

$$T_k: C_{0,1}^\infty(\bar{D}^*) \cap \ker \bar{\partial} \longrightarrow C^1(\bar{D}^*) \cap C^\infty(D^*)$$

which inverts $\bar{\partial}$ and satisfies the estimate

$$\|T_k f\|_{C^1(\bar{D}^*)} \leq \gamma'_k \|f\|_{C_{0,1}^k(\bar{D}^*)}.$$

It follows that $T\alpha = T_k \beta + u_2 + u_1$ satisfies all the required conditions.

(III) For part (b) we need the following well known characterization of compact holomorphically convex sets, the proof of which can be found in [2]. For any open set U in C^n we let $E(U)$ denote its envelope of holomorphy, and we denote the associated spread map by \prod_U .

LEMMA 1.5. *A compact set K in C^n is holomorphically convex if and only if for every neighborhood basis $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ for K we have*

$$K = \bigcup_{i \in I} \prod_{U_i}(E(U_i)).$$

Choose a function $\rho \in C^2(C^n)$ such that $D = \{z \in C^n: \rho < 0\}$, $d\rho \neq 0$ on ∂D , and ρ is strictly plurisubharmonic in a neighborhood of $U_2 \cap \partial D$. Set $D_\varepsilon = \{z \in C^n: \rho(z) < \varepsilon\}$. For $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$, with ε_0 sufficiently small, D_ε has C^2 boundary and ∂D_ε is strictly pseudoconvex at points in $U_2 \cap \partial D_\varepsilon$, so part (I) of the proof can be applied to D_ε . Given $\alpha \in B_{0,1}^\infty(D_\varepsilon, U_1)$, one obtains $\tilde{\alpha} \in B_{0,1}^\infty(\tilde{D}, U)$ and $u_1 \in C^\infty(D_\varepsilon)$ as before, where the constants in (1.4) and the domain \tilde{D} can be chosen independently of ε for $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$. Since $\tilde{\alpha} = 0$ on $\tilde{D} \setminus U$, $\tilde{\alpha}$ can be trivially extended to a neighborhood V of \tilde{D} with $V \cap U \subset \tilde{D} \cap U$. Using Lemma 1.5 one finds a neighborhood Ω of \bar{D} with $\Omega \subset \prod_\rho(E(\Omega)) \subset V$. By Theorem 3.4.10 of [8] one can solve $\bar{\partial} u_2 = \prod_\rho^* \tilde{\alpha}$ on the Stein manifold $E(\Omega)$ with L^2 -estimates with respect to a suitable Riemannian metric. If ε_0 is such that $D_{\varepsilon_0} \subset \subset \Omega$, it follows by interior elliptic estimates that for $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$

$$\|u_2\|_{D_\varepsilon} \leq C_\varepsilon \|\tilde{\alpha}\|_V.$$

Thus $T_\varepsilon \alpha = (u_1 + u_2)|_{D_\varepsilon}$ is a solution to $\bar{\partial} u = \alpha$ which satisfies the desired estimate.

2. Approximation theorems. We consider first a bounded pseudoconvex domain with a smooth boundary. We denote the continuous boundary value algebra $C(\bar{D}) \cap \mathcal{O}(D)$ by $A(D)$.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let E be a closed subset of $S(\partial D)$. Then every $f \in A(D)$ can be approximated uniformly by functions in $A(D)$ which extend holomorphically across E .*

If \bar{D} has a Stein neighborhood basis, the lemma is a special case of Theorem 3.2 in [14]. The proof in [14] is technically more complicated as it covers more general situations. We indicate the direct argument for the case considered here.

Proof (Sketch). Fix an open neighborhood U of E with $U \cap \partial D \subset S(\partial D)$. Cover the compact set E by finitely many balls B_1, \dots, B_r such that $\bar{B}_i \subset U$ and f can be approximated uniformly on $B_i \cap \bar{D}$ by translates $\{f_i^\delta, \delta > 0\}$ of f . To the collection $\{f, f_1^\delta, \dots, f_r^\delta\}$ one applies the usual Cousin I type argument as in [9] or [12]. The crucial step involves solving $\bar{\partial}u = \alpha^\delta$ where, in our case, α^δ is a $(0, 1)$ -form on a small perturbation D^δ of D inside U with $\text{supp } \alpha^\delta \subset U$ and $\|\alpha^\delta\|_D \rightarrow 0$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0$. Theorem 1.1.a applies, so the proof can be completed as in [9] or [12].

THEOREM 2.2. *Every $f \in A(D)$ can be approximated uniformly on \bar{D} by functions which extend holomorphically across $S(\partial D)$.*

Proof. Write $S(\partial D) = \bigcup_{j=1}^\infty E_j$, where $E_1 \subset E_2 \subset \dots$ and E_j is closed. A sequence $\{f_j\}$ of approximating functions is constructed inductively by applying Lemma 2.1 to a sequence $\{D_j\}$ of small perturbations of D satisfying $D_1 = D$ and $D_{j+1} \supset D_j \cup E_j$. The details are left to the reader.

We now consider bounded domains with C^2 boundary and holomorphically convex closure. The following theorem, which first appeared in [1], localizes the problem of verifying the Mergelyan property to a small neighborhood of $NS(\partial D)$.

THEOREM 2.3. *Suppose D is a bounded domain with a C^2 boundary such that \bar{D} is holomorphically convex. A function $f \in A(D)$ can be approximated uniformly on \bar{D} by functions in $\mathcal{O}(\bar{D})$ if and only if there is a neighborhood W of $NS(\partial D)$ such that $f|_{\overline{W \cap D}}$ can be approximated uniformly on $\overline{W \cap D}$ by functions in $\mathcal{O}(\overline{W \cap D})$.*

The proof of the nontrivial implication is based on the obvious modification of the classical argument (cf. [9], [12]). The relevant $\bar{\partial}$ -problem is solved by Theorem 1.1.b.

Obviously the above condition is satisfied for all $f \in A(D)$ if $NS(\partial D)$ is finite, so the result of [15] is an immediate corollary. More generally, one obtains the following result of Fornaess and Nagel [5].

COROLLARY 2.4. *Let D be a bounded, pseudoconvex domain with a C^2 boundary. For $z \in \partial D$, let $\mathbf{n}(z)$ denote the outer unit normal to ∂D at z . Suppose there are a neighborhood W of $NS(\partial D)$ and a holomorphic vector field $F: W \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ with $\operatorname{Re} \langle \mathbf{n}(z), F(z) \rangle > 0$ for $z \in W \cap \partial D$. Then D has the Mergelyan property.*

Proof. It follows easily from standard arguments that under the above hypothesis \bar{D} has a Stein neighborhood basis. Moreover, after shrinking W if necessary, it follows that for any $f \in A(D)$ the functions $f_\delta(z) = f(z - \delta F(z))$, are holomorphic on $\overline{W \cap \bar{D}}$ for sufficiently small $\delta > 0$. Clearly $f_\delta \rightarrow f$ uniformly as $\delta \rightarrow 0$, so by Theorem 2.3 f can be approximated uniformly on D by functions in $\mathcal{O}(\bar{D})$.

REFERENCES

1. F. Beatrous, *The Inhomogeneous Cauchy Riemann Equation and Holomorphic Approximation in Weakly Pseudoconvex Domains*, Tulane University dissertation, 1978.
2. F. T. Birtel, *Some holomorphic function algebras*, Papers from the Summer Gathering on Function Algebras at Aarhus, July, 1969, Various Publications Series, No. 9, Aarhus Universitet, 1969.
3. K. Diederich and J. E. Fornaess, *Pseudoconvex domains: an example with non-trivial Nebenhülle*, Math. Ann., **225** (1977), 275-292.
4. ———, *Pseudoconvex domains: existence of Stein neighborhoods*, Duke Math. J., **44** (1977), 641-662.
5. J. E. Fornaess and A. Nagel, *The Mergelyan property for weakly pseudoconvex domains*, Manuscripta Mathematica **22** (1977), 198-208.
6. R. Gunning and H. Rossi, *Analytic Functions of Several Complex Variables*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N. J., 1965.
7. G. M. Henkin, *Integral representations of functions holomorphic in strictly pseudoconvex domains and some applications*, Math. USSR Sbornik, **7** (1969), 597-616.
8. L. Hörmander, *L^2 estimates and existence theorems for the $\bar{\partial}$ operator*, Acta Math., **113** (1965), 89-152.
9. N. Kerzman, *Hölder and L^p -estimates for solutions of $\bar{\partial}u = f$ in strongly pseudoconvex domains*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **24** (1971), 301-380.
10. J. J. Kohn, *Global regularity of $\bar{\partial}$ on weakly pseudoconvex manifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **8** (1973), 273-292.
11. N. Koppelman, *The Cauchy integral for differential forms*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **73** (1967), 554-556.
12. I. Lieb, *Ein Approximationssatz auf streng pseudokonvexen Gebieten*, Math. Ann., **184** (1969), 56-60.

13. N. Ovreid, *Integral representation formulas and L^p -estimates for the $\bar{\partial}$ -equation*, Math. Scand., **29** (1971), 137-160.
14. R. M. Range, *Holomorphic approximation near strictly pseudoconvex boundary points*, Math. Ann., **201** (1973), 9-17.
15. R. M. Range, *Approximation by holomorphic functions on pseudoconvex domains with isolated degeneracies*, Manuscripta Mathematica, **20** (1977), 309-313.
16. R. M. Range and Y. T. Siu, *Uniform estimates for the $\bar{\partial}$ -equation on domains with piecewise smooth strictly pseudoconvex boundaries*, Math. Ann., **206** (1973), 325-354.

Received June 22, 1979 Partially supported by NSF Grant MCS 78-01487.

RICE UNIVERSITY
HOUSTON, TX 77001

AND

STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK
ALBANY, NY 12222

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

DONALD BABBITT (Managing Editor)

University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90024

HUGO ROSSI

University of Utah
Salt Lake City, UT 84112

C. C. MOORE and ANDREW OGG

University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, CA 90007

R. FINN and J. MILGRAM

Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Please propose a heading for the odd numbered pages of less than 35 characters. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. 39. Supply name and address of author to whom proofs should be sent. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints to each author are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$84.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$42.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924, U.S.A. Old back numbers obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.).
8-8, 3-chome, Takadanobaba, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

Copyright © 1980 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics
Manufactured and first issued in Japan

| | |
|---|-----|
| Frank Hayne Beatrous, Jr. and R. Michael Range, <i>On holomorphic approximation in weakly pseudoconvex domains</i> | 249 |
| Lawrence Victor Berman, <i>Quadratic forms and power series fields</i> | 257 |
| John Bligh Conway and Waclaw Szymański, <i>Singly generated antisymmetric operator algebras</i> | 269 |
| Patrick C. Endicott and J. Wolfgang Smith, <i>A homology spectral sequence for submersions</i> | 279 |
| Sushil Jajodia, <i>Homotopy classification of lens spaces for one-relator groups with torsion</i> | 301 |
| Herbert Meyer Kamowitz, <i>Compact endomorphisms of Banach algebras</i> | 313 |
| Keith Milo Kendig, <i>Moiré phenomena in algebraic geometry: polynomial alternations in \mathbf{R}^n</i> | 327 |
| Cecelia Laurie, <i>Invariant subspace lattices and compact operators</i> | 351 |
| Ronald Leslie Lipsman, <i>Restrictions of principal series to a real form</i> | 367 |
| Douglas C. McMahon and Louis Jack Nachman, <i>An intrinsic characterization for PI flows</i> | 391 |
| Norman R. Reilly, <i>Modular sublattices of the lattice of varieties of inverse semigroups</i> | 405 |
| Jeffrey Arthur Rosoff, <i>Effective divisor classes and blowings-up of \mathbf{P}^2</i> | 419 |
| Zalman Rubinstein, <i>Solution of the middle coefficient problem for certain classes of C-polynomials</i> | 431 |
| Alladi Sitaram, <i>An analogue of the Wiener-Tauberian theorem for spherical transforms on semisimple Lie groups</i> | 439 |
| Hal Leslie Smith, <i>A note on disconjugacy for second order systems</i> | 447 |
| J. Wolfgang Smith, <i>Fiber homology and orientability of maps</i> | 453 |
| Audrey Anne Terras, <i>Integral formulas and integral tests for series of positive matrices</i> | 471 |