

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**ARITHMETIC SUMS THAT DETERMINE LINEAR
CHARACTERS ON $\Gamma(N)$**

RANDY TULER

ARITHMETIC SUMS THAT DETERMINE LINEAR CHARACTERS ON $\Gamma(N)$

RANDY TULER

A new class of arithmetic sums is defined and used to explicitly exhibit linear characters on $\Gamma(N)$, the principal congruence subgroup of level N in $SL(2, \mathbf{Z})$. As an application of this, we get a striking result on the structure of the commutator subgroup of $\Gamma(N)$.

1. Introduction. Let $\alpha, \beta, N \in \mathbf{Z}$ with $N > 1$, $(\alpha, \beta) = 1$, and $\alpha - 1 \equiv \beta \equiv 0 \pmod{N}$. For any function $\chi: \mathbf{Z}/N\mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ define the arithmetic sum

$$t_{N,\chi}(\alpha, \beta) = \begin{cases} \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{N}}}^{\beta-1} \chi\left(\left[\frac{k\alpha}{\beta}\right] \pmod{N}\right), & \beta > 0 \\ 0 & , \beta = 0 \\ -t_{N,\chi}(\alpha, -\beta) & , \beta < 0 \end{cases}$$

where $[\]$ denotes the integer part.

EXAMPLE 1. With $N = 2$, $\chi(0) = 1$, and $\chi(1) = -1$ we have $t_{2,\chi}(1, 2) = \chi([1/2]) = \chi(0) = 1$; $t_{2,\chi}(5, 8) = \chi([5/8]) + \chi(15/8]) + \chi([25/8]) + \chi([35/8]) = \chi(0) + \chi(1) + \chi(3) + \chi(4) = 1 - 1 - 1 + 1 = 0$.

The principal congruence subgroup of level N in $SL(2, \mathbf{Z})$ is

$$\Gamma(N) = \{A \in SL(2, \mathbf{Z}) \mid A \equiv I \pmod{N}\}.$$

After preliminary work in §2 we prove

THEOREM 1. *If $\sum_{g \in \mathbf{Z}/N\mathbf{Z}} \chi(g) = 0$, then the map $\Gamma(N) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ defined by*

$$\begin{pmatrix} \delta & \gamma \\ \beta & \alpha \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow \exp(it_{N,\chi}(\alpha, \beta))$$

is a linear character on $\Gamma(N)$.

See [1] for the relation of this result to modular forms, knot theory, and recent work of J. B. Wagoner on diffeomorphisms of manifolds.

In §4, as an application of Theorem 1, we get a new result on the structure of the commutator subgroup of $\Gamma(N)$.

2. Preliminaries. We develop an analytic expression for $t_{N,\chi}(\alpha, \beta)$

that is instrumental in the proof of Theorem 1. Let $p, q, r \in \mathbb{R}^2$, and let $I(p, q)$ and $I(p, q) + r$ denote the directed line segment from p to q and its translation by r respectively. Denote the oriented parallelogram in \mathbb{R}^2 with sides $I((0, 1), (0, 0)), p, I((0, 0), p), I((0, 0), (0, 1)) + p$, and $I(p, (0, 0)) + (0, 1)$ by $\mathcal{R}(p)$. Define the function ψ on $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ by

$$\psi(g) = \begin{cases} 1, & g \not\equiv 0 \pmod{N} \\ 0, & g \equiv 0 \pmod{N} . \end{cases}$$

LEMMA 1. *Let $\{(m_k, n_k)\}$ be the points of $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ contained in the convex hull of $\mathcal{R}((\beta, \alpha))$. Then*

$$\sum_k \psi(m_k) \chi(n_k - 1) = t_{N, \chi}(\alpha, |\beta|) .$$

Proof. For $\beta > 0$, the set of points of $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ in the interior of the convex hull of $\mathcal{R}((\beta, \alpha))$ is precisely

$$\left\{ \left(k, \left[\frac{k\alpha}{\beta} \right] + 1 \right) \mid 0 < k < \beta \right\}$$

since $(\alpha, \beta) = 1$. The four points of $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ on $\mathcal{R}((\beta, \alpha))$ have $m_k \equiv 0 \pmod{N}$. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_k \psi(m_k) \chi(n_k - 1) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\beta-1} \psi(k) \chi\left(\left[\frac{k\alpha}{\beta} \right]\right) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{N}}}^{\beta-1} \chi\left(\left[\frac{k\alpha}{\beta} \right]\right) = t_{N, \chi}(\alpha, \beta) . \end{aligned}$$

The cases $\beta = 0$ and $\beta < 0$ are handled similarly.

For the rest of the paper, we assume $\sum_{g \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}} \chi(g) = 0$.

LEMMA 2. *There is an elliptic function f (see [2]) with the following properties:*

- (1) *The period lattice of f is $N\mathbb{Z} \oplus N\mathbb{Z}$.*
- (2) *The pole set of f is $S = \{(m, n) \in \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \mid m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{N}\}$.*
- (3) *If $r = (m, n) \in S$, then the residue of f at r is $\chi(n - 1)$.*

Proof. The pole set S is well-defined modulo the period lattice $N\mathbb{Z} \oplus N\mathbb{Z}$. The set of assigned residues is well-defined modulo $N\mathbb{Z} \oplus N\mathbb{Z}$ since χ is defined on $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$. Furthermore, the sum of the assigned residues over a fundamental region of $N\mathbb{Z} \oplus N\mathbb{Z}$ vanishes because $\sum_{g \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}} \chi(g) = 0$. Under these conditions we may apply the Riemann-Roch theorem to assert the existence of f .

LEMMA 3. *The integral $\int_{\mathcal{R}((\beta, \alpha))} f$ is well-defined.*

Proof. No point of S is on $\mathcal{R}((\beta, \alpha))$.

PROPOSITION 1. $2\pi i t_{N, \chi}(\alpha, \beta) = \int_{\mathcal{R}((\beta, \alpha))} f$.

Proof. Follows immediately from the preceding three lemmas and the residue theorem.

3. **Proof of Theorem 1.** For $A \in \Gamma(N)$, let $\mathcal{R}((\beta, \alpha))A$ denote the parallelogram in R^2 obtained by applying the linear transformation A pointwise to $\mathcal{R}((\beta, \alpha))$.

LEMMA 4. *If $A \in \Gamma(N)$, then*

$$\int_{\mathcal{R}((\beta, \alpha))A} f = \int_{\mathcal{R}((\beta, \alpha))} f .$$

Proof. A fixes the lattice $Z \oplus Z$ modulo $NZ \oplus NZ$. Consequently, the sum of the residues of f inside $\mathcal{R}((\beta, \alpha))A$ is precisely the sum of the residues inside $\mathcal{R}((\beta, \alpha))$. Observing that A preserves orientation and applying the residue theorem finishes the proof.

LEMMA 5. *If $A = \begin{pmatrix} \delta & \gamma \\ \beta & \alpha \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma(N)$, then*

$$2\pi i t_{N, \chi}(\alpha, \beta) = \int_{\mathcal{R}((0, 1)A)} f .$$

Proof. $(0, 1)A = (\beta, \alpha)$, so $\mathcal{R}((0, 1)A) = \mathcal{R}((\beta, \alpha))$. Apply Proposition 1.

Proof of Theorem 1. By Lemma 5, it suffices to show

$$\int_{\mathcal{R}((0, 1)A)} f + \int_{\mathcal{R}((0, 1)B)} f = \int_{\mathcal{R}((0, 1)BA)} f$$

for $A, B \in \Gamma(N)$. By Lemma 4,

$$\int_{\mathcal{R}((0, 1)A)} f + \int_{\mathcal{R}((0, 1)B)} f = \int_{\mathcal{R}((0, 1)A)} f + \int_{\mathcal{R}((0, 1)B)A} f .$$

Breaking up the path of integration into directed line segments, we write the right hand side of the last expression as

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Gamma((0,1), (0,0))} f + \int_{\Gamma((0,0), (0,1)A)} f + \int_{\Gamma((0,0), (0,1)) + (0,1)A} f + \int_{\Gamma((0,1)A, (0,0)) + (0,1)} f \\ &\quad + \int_{\Gamma((0,1)A, (0,0))} f + \int_{\Gamma((0,0), (0,1)BA)} f + \int_{\Gamma((0,0), (0,1)A) + (0,1)BA} f \\ &\quad + \int_{\Gamma((0,1)BA, (0,0)) + (0,1)A} f. \end{aligned}$$

Since A and B fix $(0, 1)$ modulo $N\mathbb{Z} \oplus N\mathbb{Z}$ and f has period lattice $N\mathbb{Z} \oplus N\mathbb{Z}$, we have the following relations:

$$(1) \quad \int_{\Gamma((0,0), (0,1)A) + (0,1)BA} f + \int_{\Gamma((0,1)A, (0,0)) + (0,1)} f = 0$$

$$(2) \quad \int_{\Gamma((0,0), (0,1)) + (0,1)A} f = \int_{\Gamma((0,0), (0,1)) + (0,1)BA} f$$

$$(3) \quad \int_{\Gamma((0,0), (0,1)A)} f + \int_{\Gamma((0,1)A, (0,0))} f = 0$$

$$(4) \quad \int_{\Gamma((0,1)BA, (0,0)) + (0,1)A} f = \int_{\Gamma((0,1)BA, (0,0)) + (0,1)} f.$$

Applying (1), (2), (3), and (4) to the preceding sum of eight integrals gives

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Gamma((0,1), (0,0))} f + \int_{\Gamma((0,0), (0,1)BA)} f \\ &\quad + \int_{\Gamma((0,0), (0,1)) + (0,1)BA} f + \int_{\Gamma((0,1)BA, (0,0)) + (0,1)} f. \end{aligned}$$

To finish the proof of Theorem 1, we recognize this last sum as

$$\int_{\mathcal{A}((0,1)BA)} f.$$

EXAMPLE 2. Let $N = 2$, $\chi(0) = 1$, and $\chi(1) = -1$. We have $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 13 & 8 \\ 8 & 5 \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma(2)$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 13 & 8 \\ 8 & 5 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 13 & 8 \\ 34 & 21 \end{pmatrix}$. Since $\sum_{g \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}} \chi(g) = 0$, Theorem 1 asserts that $t_{2,\chi}(21, 34) = t_{2,\chi}(1, 2) + t_{2,\chi}(5, 8)$. From Example 1, $t_{2,\chi}(1, 2) = 1$ and $t_{2,\chi}(5, 8) = 0$. Therefore $t_{2,\chi}(21, 34) = 1 + 0 = 1$. Checking this directly gives

$$\begin{aligned} t_{2,\chi}(21, 34) &= \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq 0 \pmod{2}}}^{33} \chi\left(\left[\frac{21k}{34}\right]\right) \\ &= \chi(0) + \chi(1) + \chi(3) + \chi(4) + \chi(5) + \chi(6) + \chi(8) \\ &\quad + \chi(9) + \chi(10) + \chi(11) + \chi(12) + \chi(14) + \chi(15) \\ &\quad + \chi(16) + \chi(17) + \chi(19) + \chi(20) = 1 - 1 - 1 + 1 - 1 \\ &\quad + 1 + 1 - 1 + 1 - 1 + 1 + 1 - 1 + 1 - 1 - 1 + 1 = 1. \end{aligned}$$

4. A structural theorem for the commutator subgroup of $\Gamma(N)$. Let $\Gamma(N)'$ denote the commutator subgroup of $\Gamma(N)$.

THEOREM 2. Suppose $\begin{pmatrix} \delta & \gamma \\ \beta & \alpha \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma(N)'$ with $\beta > 0$. Then for any $m \in \mathbf{Z}$ there are precisely $1/N(\beta - \beta/N)$ elements of the set $C = \{[k\alpha/\beta]\}_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \equiv 0 \pmod{N}}}^{\beta-1}$ that satisfy $[k\alpha/\beta] \equiv m \pmod{N}$.

Proof. For a fixed $m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{N}$, define

$$\chi(g) = \begin{cases} 1, & g \equiv 0 \pmod{N} \\ -1, & g \equiv m \pmod{N} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Using Theorem 1 along with the observation that the image of $\Gamma(N)'$ under a linear character is trivial, we deduce that the number of elements of C that satisfy $[k\alpha/\beta] \equiv m \pmod{N}$ is the same as the number of elements of C that satisfy $[k\alpha/\beta] \equiv 0 \pmod{N}$. Since this holds for any $m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{N}$, and since there are precisely $\beta - \beta/N$ elements in C , we get the theorem.

COROLLARY. If $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \beta & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma(N)'$, then $\beta = 0$.

Proof. If $\beta > 0$, then every element of C vanishes. By Theorem 2, $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \beta & 1 \end{pmatrix} \notin \Gamma(N)'$. If $\beta < 0$, use the preceding argument on $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \beta & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \in \Gamma(N)'$.

REFERENCES

1. R. Tuler, *Topological construction of multiplier systems on $\Gamma(N)$* , dissertation, University of California at Berkeley, 1979.
2. S. Lang, *Elliptic Functions*, Addison-Wesley, 1973.
3. R. Rankin, *The modular group and its subgroups*, Ramanujan Institute, 1969.

Received October 30, 1979. This work is a part of the author's doctoral dissertation written under the supervision of J. B. Wagoner at the University of California, Berkeley.

UNIVERSITY OF GEORGIA
ATHENS, GA 30602

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

DONALD BABBITT (Managing Editor)

University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90024

HUGO ROSSI

University of Utah
Salt Lake City, UT 84112

C. C. MOORE and ANDREW OGG

University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, CA 90007

R. FINN and J. MILGRAM

Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Please propose a heading for the odd numbered pages of less than 35 characters. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. 39. Supply name and address of author to whom proofs should be sent. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints to each author are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$84.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$42.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924, U.S.A. Old back numbers obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.).

8-8, 3-chome, Takadanobaba, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

Copyright © 1980 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Manufactured and first issued in Japan

Frank Hayne Beatrous, Jr., <i>Hölder estimates for the $\bar{\partial}$ equation with a support condition</i>	249
Charles L. Belna, Michael Jon Evans and Paul Humke, <i>Planar continua with restricted limit directions</i>	259
Leon Brown and Takashi Ito, <i>Classes of Banach spaces with unique isometric preduals</i>	261
V. K. Deshpande, <i>Completions of Noetherian hereditary prime rings</i>	285
Deepak Dhar, <i>Asymptotic enumeration of partially ordered sets</i>	299
Zeev Ditzian, <i>On interpolation of $L_p[a, b]$ and weighted Sobolev spaces</i>	307
Andrew George Earnest, <i>Congruence conditions on integers represented by ternary quadratic forms</i>	325
Melvin Faierman, <i>Bounds for the eigenfunctions of a two-parameter system of ordinary differential equations of the second order</i>	335
Hector O. Fattorini, <i>Vector-valued distributions having a smooth convolution inverse</i>	347
Howard D. Fegan, <i>The spectrum of the Laplacian on forms over a Lie group</i>	373
Gerald Leonard Gordon, <i>On the degeneracy of a spectral sequence associated to normal crossings</i>	389
S. Madhavan, <i>On bisimple weakly inverse semigroups</i>	397
Françoise Mathot, <i>On the decomposition of states of some $*$-algebras</i>	411
Roger McCann, <i>Embedding asymptotically stable dynamical systems into radial flows in l_2</i>	425
Michael L. Mihalik, <i>Ends of fundamental groups in shape and proper homotopy</i>	431
Samuel Murray Rankin, III, <i>Boundary value problems for partial functional differential equations</i>	459
Randy Tuler, <i>Arithmetic sums that determine linear characters on $\Gamma(N)$</i>	469
Jeffrey D. Vaaler, <i>On linear forms and Diophantine approximation</i>	475
G. P. Wene, <i>Alternative rings whose symmetric elements are nilpotent or a right multiple is a symmetric idempotent</i>	483