

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

COMMUTING HYPONORMAL OPERATORS

JAMES GUYKER

COMMUTING HYPONORMAL OPERATORS

JAMES GUYKER

A hyponormal operator is normal if it commutes with a contraction T of a Hilbert space, whose powers go to zero strongly, such that $1 - T^*T$ has finite-dimensional range and the coefficients of the characteristic function of T lie in a commutative C^* -algebra. The hyponormal operator is a constant multiple of the identity transformation if the rank of $1 - T^*T$ is one.

Introduction. Let T be a completely nonunitary contraction on Hilbert space such that $1 - T^*T$ has closed range. There exists a power series $B(z) = \sum B_n z^n$ with operator coefficients which converges and is bounded by one in the unit disk such that T is unitarily equivalent to the difference-quotient transformation in the de Branges-Rovnyak space $\mathcal{D}(B)$ [1, Theorem 4]. The characteristic function $B(z)$ is said to be of scalar type if $\{B_n: n \geq 0\}$ is a commuting family of normal operators. Inner functions of scalar type were introduced and characterized in [10]. In this paper, it is shown that if $\{B_n: n = 0, \dots, N\}$ is a commuting family of normal operators, then polynomials $p(T)$ in T of degree at most N (weak limits of polynomials in T if $B(z)$ is of scalar type) which satisfy $\|p(T)f\| \geq \|p(T)^*f\|$ for every f in the range of $1 - T^*T$ are restrictions of operators which commute with some completely nonunitary, partially isometric extension of T and which satisfy a corresponding property. The construction is made in the space $\mathcal{D}(z^M B)$ for a given positive integer M , and is a modification of an extension procedure of de Branges [1, Theorem 9].

An operator X on Hilbert space is called hyponormal if $\|Xf\| \geq \|X^*f\|$ for every vector f . It is well-known [8] that if X is a hyponormal contraction with no isometric part such that the rank of $1 - X^*X$ is finite, then X must be a normal operator acting on a finite-dimensional space. To ensure normality, the finite-rank hypothesis may not be replaced by a trace-class condition: for $0 < p < \infty$, the weighted shift with weights $\{(1 - \lambda_n)^{1/2}: n \geq 0\}$ where $\{\lambda_n\}$ is a p -summable sequence of real numbers with the property that $0 < \lambda_n \leq \lambda_{n-1} \leq 1 (n = 1, 2, \dots)$ is a hyponormal, nonnormal contraction X with no isometric part such that $1 - X^*X$ is in the Schatten-von Neumann class \mathcal{C}_p .

A consequence of the above result in conjunction with the lifting theorems of Sarason [9] and Sz.-Nagy-Foiaş [11] is that if T is a finite direct sum of K contractions T_j , whose powers tend strongly

to zero, such that the rank of $1 - T_j^*T_j$ is one, and if X is any operator which commutes with T and satisfies $\|Xf\| \geq \|X^*f\|$ for all f in the range of $1 - T^*T$, then X is normal with spectrum consisting of at most K points. In particular, the only hyponormal operators commuting with the restriction of the backward shift to an invariant subspace are scalar multiples of the identity.

I am grateful to Professor Louis de Branges for several invaluable suggestions concerning this paper.

1. Preliminaries. For a fixed Hilbert space \mathcal{E} , the space $\mathcal{E}(z)$ is the Hilbert space of power series $f(z) = \sum a_n z^n$ with coefficients in \mathcal{E} such that $\|f(z)\|_B^2 = \sum |a_n|^2$ is finite. Let $B(z) = \sum B_n z^n$ be a power series whose coefficients are operators on \mathcal{E} , and suppose that for each fixed z in the unit disk the series converges, in the strong operator topology, to an operator which is bounded by one. For $f(z) = \sum a_n z^n$ in $\mathcal{E}(z)$, the Cauchy product $B(z)f(z) = \sum (\sum_{k=0}^n B_k a_{n-k}) z^n$ is in $\mathcal{E}(z)$ and defines an operator bounded by one, which will be denoted by T_B , on $\mathcal{E}(z)$. The series $B(z)$ is an inner function if T_B is a partial isometry.

The de Branges-Rovnyak space $\mathcal{H}(B)$ is the Hilbert space of series $f(z)$ in $\mathcal{E}(z)$ such that

$$\|f(z)\|_B^2 = \sup\{\|f(z) + B(z)g(z)\|^2 - \|g(z)\|^2\}$$

is finite, where the supremum is taken over all elements $g(z)$ of $\mathcal{E}(z)$ ([1], [2], [3]). The space $\mathcal{H}(B)$ is continuously embedded in $\mathcal{E}(z)$, and is isometrically embedded in $\mathcal{E}(z)$ if and only if $B(z)$ is inner, in which case $\mathcal{E}(z) = \mathcal{H}(B) \oplus (\text{range } T_B)$. If $f(z)$ is in $\mathcal{H}(B)$, then $(f(z) - f(0))/z$ is in $\mathcal{H}(B)$ and $\|(f(z) - f(0))/z\|_B^2 \leq \|f(z)\|_B^2 - |f(0)|^2$. The difference-quotient transformation

$$R(0): f(z) \longrightarrow \frac{f(z) - f(0)}{z}$$

defined on $\mathcal{H}(B)$ is a canonical model for contractions T on Hilbert space with no isometric part (i.e., there is no nonzero vector f such that $\|T^n f\| = \|f\|$ for every $n = 1, 2, \dots$).

The operator $R(0)^*$ on $\mathcal{H}(B)$ is related to $R(0)$ on $\mathcal{H}(B^*)$ where $B^*(z) = \sum \bar{B}_n z^n$ if $B(z) = \sum B_n z^n$ and \bar{B}_n is the adjoint of B_n on \mathcal{E} . The space $\mathcal{D}(B)$ is the Hilbert space of pairs $(f(z), g(z))$ with $f(z)$ in $\mathcal{H}(B)$ and $g(z)$ in $\mathcal{H}(B^*)$ such that if $g(z) = \sum a_n z^n$ then

$$z^n f(z) - B(z)(a_0 z^{n-1} + \dots + a_{n-1})$$

belongs to $\mathcal{H}(B)$ for every $n = 1, 2, \dots$, and

$$\begin{aligned} & \| (f(z), g(z)) \|_{\mathcal{D}(B)}^2 \\ & = \sup \{ \| z^n f(z) - B(z)(a_0 z^{n-1} + \dots + a_{n-1}) \|_B^2 + |a_0|^2 + \dots + |a_{n-1}|^2 : n \geq 1 \} \end{aligned}$$

is finite. If $(f(z), g(z))$ is in $\mathcal{D}(B)$, then $(R(0)f(z), zg(z) - B^*(z)f(0))$ is in $\mathcal{D}(B)$ and

$$\| (R(0)f(z), zg(z) - B^*(z)f(0)) \|_{\mathcal{D}(B)}^2 = \| (f(z), g(z)) \|_{\mathcal{D}(B)}^2 - |f(0)|^2 .$$

The difference-quotient transformation

$$D: (f(z), g(z)) \longrightarrow (R(0)f(z), zg(z) - B^*(z)f(0))$$

defined on $\mathcal{D}(B)$ is a canonical model for completely nonunitary contractions T on Hilbert space (i.e., there is no nonzero vector f such that $\| T^n f \| = \| f \| = \| T^{*n} f \|$ for every $n = 1, 2, \dots$). The adjoint of D is given by

$$D^*: (f(z), g(z)) \longrightarrow (zf(z) - B(z)g(0), R(0)g(z))$$

and satisfies $\| D^*(f(z), g(z)) \|_{\mathcal{D}(B)}^2 = \| (f(z), g(z)) \|_{\mathcal{D}(B)}^2 - |g(0)|^2$ for every $(f(z), g(z))$ in $\mathcal{D}(B)$. If D on $\mathcal{D}(B)$ has no isometric part, then D is unitarily equivalent to $R(0)$ on $\mathcal{H}(B)$.

The space $\mathcal{D}(B)$ is a Hilbert space with a reproducing kernel function: for every c in \mathcal{E} and w in the unit disk, the pairs

$$\left(\frac{[1 - B(z)\bar{B}(w)]c}{1 - z\bar{w}}, \frac{[B^*(z) - \bar{B}(w)]c}{z - \bar{w}} \right)$$

and

$$\left(\frac{[B(z) - B(\bar{w})]c}{z - \bar{w}}, \frac{[1 - B^*(z)B(\bar{w})]c}{1 - z\bar{w}} \right)$$

belong to $\mathcal{D}(B)$, where $\bar{B}(w)$ is the adjoint of $B(w)$ on \mathcal{E} , and if $(f(z), g(z))$ is an element of $\mathcal{D}(B)$, then

$$\left\langle (f(z), g(z)), \left(\frac{[1 - B(z)\bar{B}(w)]c}{1 - z\bar{w}}, \frac{[B^*(z) - \bar{B}(w)]c}{z - \bar{w}} \right) \right\rangle_{\mathcal{D}(B)} = \langle f(w), c \rangle$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle (f(z), g(z)), \left(\frac{[B(z) - B(\bar{w})]c}{z - \bar{w}}, \frac{[1 - B^*(z)B(\bar{w})]c}{1 - z\bar{w}} \right) \right\rangle_{\mathcal{D}(B)} \\ & = \langle g(w), c \rangle . \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $\mathcal{D}(A)$, $\mathcal{D}(B)$ and $\mathcal{D}(C)$ are spaces such that $B(z) = A(z)C(z)$. If $(f(z), g(z))$ is in $\mathcal{D}(A)$ and if $(h(z), k(z))$ is in $\mathcal{D}(C)$, then

$$(u(z), v(z)) = (f(z) + A(z)h(z), C^*(z)g(z) + k(z)) ,$$

is in $\mathcal{D}(B)$, and

$$\|(u(z), v(z))\|_{\mathcal{D}(B)}^2 \leq \|(f(z), g(z))\|_{\mathcal{D}(A)}^2 + \|(h(z), k(z))\|_{\mathcal{D}(C)}^2.$$

Moreover, every element $(u(z), v(z))$ in $\mathcal{D}(B)$ has a unique minimal decomposition in terms of $\mathcal{D}(A)$ and $\mathcal{D}(C)$ such that equality holds in the above inequality. Factorizations of $B(z)$ correspond to invariant subspaces of D .

2. The lifting theorem. In the following, $B(z) = \Sigma B_n z^n$ is a power series which converges and is bounded by one in the unit disk, where the coefficients are operators on a fixed Hilbert space \mathcal{E} .

LEMMA 1. *If $B(z) = \Sigma B_n z^n$, and if A is an operator on \mathcal{E} which commutes with both B_n and \bar{B}_n for every n , then multiplication by A is an operator on $\mathcal{D}(B)$, bounded by $\|A\|$, whose adjoint is multiplication by \bar{A} .*

Proof. By [2, Theorem 4], the set of elements of the form $(1 - T_B T_B^*)f(z)$, for $f(z)$ in $\mathcal{H}(B)$, is dense in $\mathcal{H}(B)$, and moreover

$$\begin{aligned} \|A(1 - T_B T_B^*)f(z)\|_B &= \|(1 - T_B T_B^*)Af(z)\|_B \\ &= \|(1 - T_B T_B^*)^{1/2} Af(z)\| \\ &= \|A(1 - T_B T_B^*)^{1/2} f(z)\| \\ &\leq \|A\| \|(1 - T_B T_B^*)^{1/2} f(z)\| \\ &= \|A\| \|(1 - T_B T_B^*)f(z)\|_B. \end{aligned}$$

Multiplication by A is therefore defined on a dense subspace of $\mathcal{H}(B)$ and has a continuous extension to all of $\mathcal{H}(B)$. Furthermore, since $\mathcal{H}(B)$ is continuously embedded in $\mathcal{E}(z)$, the extension coincides with the restriction of T_A to $\mathcal{H}(B)$. Similarly, multiplication by \bar{A} is an operator on $\mathcal{H}(B)$, and is the adjoint of multiplication by A since for every $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ in $\mathcal{H}(B)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle A(1 - T_B T_B^*)f(z), g(z) \rangle_B &= \langle (1 - T_B T_B^*)Af(z), g(z) \rangle_B \\ &= \langle Af(z), g(z) \rangle \\ &= \langle f(z), \bar{A}g(z) \rangle \\ &= \langle (1 - T_B T_B^*)f(z), \bar{A}g(z) \rangle_B. \end{aligned}$$

The lemma now follows from the definition of the norm in $\mathcal{D}(B)$ and the polarization identity.

The following result generalizes a direct consequence of Lemma

1. The convention $\sum_r^s(\cdot) = 0$ when $s < r$ is observed.

LEMMA 2. *Let $B(z) = \Sigma B_n z^n$ and let A be an operator on \mathcal{E} which commutes with both B_n and \bar{B}_n for every $n = 0, \dots, N$. If X and Y (or X^* and Y^*) are polynomials in the difference-quotient*

transformation D in $\mathcal{D}(B)$ of degrees at most N whose coefficients and their adjoints commute with A and B_n for every n , then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle X \left([1 - B(z)\bar{B}(0)]c, \frac{[B^*(z) - \bar{B}(0)]c}{z} \right), \right. \\ & \left. Y \left([1 - B(z)\bar{B}(0)]Ad, \frac{[B^*(z) - \bar{B}(0)]Ad}{z} \right) \right\rangle_{\mathcal{D}(B)} \\ & = \left\langle X \left([1 - B(z)\bar{B}(0)]\bar{A}c, \frac{[B^*(z) - \bar{B}(0)]\bar{A}c}{z} \right), \right. \\ & \left. Y \left([1 - B(z)\bar{B}(0)]d, \frac{[B^*(z) - \bar{B}(0)]d}{z} \right) \right\rangle_{\mathcal{D}(B)} \end{aligned}$$

for every c and d in \mathcal{C} .

Proof. Let $X = \sum_0^N A_n D^n$ and $Y = \sum_0^N C_n D^n$. Let the n th coefficient of the power series for $1 - B(z)\bar{B}(0)$ be denoted by \hat{B}_n , and let $K(0, z)c = ([1 - B(z)\bar{B}(0)]c, ([B^*(z) - \bar{B}(0)]c)/z)$ for every c in \mathcal{C} . By Lemma 1, multiplication by A_n and by C_n are operators on $\mathcal{D}(B)$ for every n , and by the difference-quotient and polarization identities we have the following:

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle A_{m+n} D^{m+n} K(0, z)c, C_n D^n K(0, z)Ad \rangle_{\mathcal{D}(B)} \\ & = \langle D^n A_{m+n} D^m K(0, z)c, D^n K(0, z)C_n Ad \rangle_{\mathcal{D}(B)\mathcal{D}(B)} \\ & = \langle A_{m+n} D^m K(0, z)c, K(0, z)C_n Ad \rangle_{\mathcal{D}(B)} \\ & \quad - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \langle A_{m+n} \hat{B}_{m+i} c, \hat{B}_i C_n Ad \rangle \\ & = \langle A_{m+n} \hat{B}_m c, C_n Ad \rangle - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \langle A_{m+n} \hat{B}_{m+i} \bar{A}c, \hat{B}_i C_n d \rangle \\ & = \langle A_{m+n} \hat{B}_m \bar{A}c, C_n d \rangle - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \langle A_{m+n} \hat{B}_{m+i} \bar{A}c, \hat{B}_i C_n d \rangle \\ & = \langle A_{m+n} D^{m+n} K(0, z)\bar{A}c, C_n D^n K(0, z)d \rangle_{\mathcal{D}(B)}. \end{aligned}$$

The identity now follows for X and Y by linearity and conjugation of inner products.

Similarly, the identity holds for X^* and Y^* polynomials in D since

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle D^{*m+n} \bar{A}_{m+n} K(0, z)c, D^{*n} \bar{C}_n K(0, z)Ad \rangle_{\mathcal{D}(B)} \\ & = \langle D^{*m} \bar{A}_{m+n} K(0, z)c, \bar{C}_n K(0, z)Ad \rangle_{\mathcal{D}(B)} \\ & \quad - \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \bar{A}_{m+n} \bar{B}_{m+i} c, \bar{C}_n \bar{B}_i Ad \rangle \\ & = \langle \bar{A}_{m+n} K(0, z)c, \bar{C}_n D^m K(0, z)Ad \rangle_{\mathcal{D}(B)} \\ & \quad - \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \bar{A}_{m+n} \bar{B}_{m+i} \bar{A}c, \bar{C}_n \bar{B}_i d \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \langle \bar{A}_{m+n}K(0, z)\bar{A}c, \bar{C}_nD^mK(0, z)d \rangle_{\mathcal{D}(B)} \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \bar{A}_{m+n}\bar{B}_{m+i}\bar{A}c, \bar{C}_n\bar{B}_i d \rangle \\ &= \langle D^{*m+n}\bar{A}_{m+n}K(0, z)\bar{A}c, D^{*n}\bar{C}_nK(0, z)d \rangle_{\mathcal{D}(B)}. \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 3. *If $B(z) = \Sigma B_n z^n$ where $B_i \bar{B}_j = \bar{B}_j B_i$ for every $i, j = 0, \dots, N$, and if X is a polynomial of scalar type in the difference-quotient transformation D in $\mathcal{D}(B)$ of degree at most N whose coefficients commute with B_n for every n , then the following identity holds for every c in \mathcal{E} :*

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| DX \left([1 - B(z)\bar{B}(0)]c, \frac{[B^*(z) - \bar{B}(0)]c}{z} \right) \right\|_{\mathcal{D}(B)}^2 \\ &\quad + \left\| X^* \left([1 - B(z)\bar{B}(0)]\bar{B}(0)B(0)c, \frac{[B^*(z) - \bar{B}(0)]\bar{B}(0)B(0)c}{z} \right) \right\|_{\mathcal{D}(B)}^2 \\ &= \left\| DX^* \left([1 - B(z)\bar{B}(0)]\bar{B}(0)c, \frac{[B^*(z) - \bar{B}(0)]\bar{B}(0)c}{z} \right) \right\|_{\mathcal{D}(B)}^2 \\ &\quad + \left\| X \left([1 - B(z)\bar{B}(0)]B(0)c, \frac{[B^*(z) - \bar{B}(0)]B(0)c}{z} \right) \right\|_{\mathcal{D}(B)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $X = \sum_0^N A_n D^n$, and let \hat{B}_n and $K(0, z)c$ be defined as in Lemma 2. Let \mathcal{F} be the family of transformations T in $\mathcal{D}(B)$ which satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} &\|DTK(0, z)c\|_{\mathcal{D}(B)}^2 + \|T^*K(0, z)\bar{B}_0B_0c\|_{\mathcal{D}(B)}^2 \\ &= \|DT^*K(0, z)\bar{B}_0c\|_{\mathcal{D}(B)}^2 + \|TK(0, z)B_0c\|_{\mathcal{D}(B)}^2 \end{aligned}$$

for every c in \mathcal{E} .

By Fuglede’s theorem [4], A_n commutes with \bar{B}_m for every m , and hence by Lemma 1, multiplication by A_n is a normal operator on $\mathcal{D}(B)$. Moreover, $A_n D^n$ is in \mathcal{F} for every $n = 0, \dots, N$, since

$$\begin{aligned} &\|D(A_n D^n)K(0, z)c\|_{\mathcal{D}(B)}^2 + \|D^{*n}\bar{A}_nK(0, z)\bar{B}_0B_0c\|_{\mathcal{D}(B)}^2 \\ &= \left[\|K(0, z)A_n c\|_{\mathcal{D}(B)}^2 - \sum_{i=0}^n |\hat{B}_i A_n c|^2 \right] \\ &\quad + \left[\|K(0, z)\bar{B}_0B_0A_n c\|_{\mathcal{D}(B)}^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n |\bar{\hat{B}}_i \bar{B}_0 A_n c|^2 \right] \\ &= (|A_n c|^2 - |\bar{B}_0 A_n c|^2) - (|A_n c|^2 - 2|\bar{B}_0 A_n c|^2 + |B_0 \bar{B}_0 A_n c|^2) \\ &\quad + (|\bar{B}_0 B_0 A_n c|^2 - |\bar{B}_0^2 B_0 A_n c|^2) - \sum_{i=1}^n (|\hat{B}_i A_n c|^2 + |\hat{B}_i B_0 A_n c|^2) \\ &= |B_0 A_n c|^2 - |B_0^3 A_n c|^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n (|\hat{B}_i A_n c|^2 + |\hat{B}_i B_0 A_n c|^2) \end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$\|D(D^{*n}\bar{A}_n)K(0, z)\bar{B}_0c\|_{\mathcal{D}(B)}^2 + \|A_n D^n K(0, z)B_0c\|_{\mathcal{D}(B)}^2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= [\|D^{*n}K(0, z)\bar{B}_0A_n c\|_{\mathcal{D}(B)}^2 - |\widehat{B}_n\bar{B}_0A_n c|^2] \\
 &\quad + \left[\|K(0, z)B_0A_n c\|_{\mathcal{D}(B)}^2 - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |\widehat{B}_iB_0A_n c|^2 \right] \\
 &= \left(|\bar{B}_0A_n c|^2 - |\bar{B}_0^2A_n c|^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n |\widehat{B}_iA_n c|^2 \right) + (|B_0A_n c|^2 - |\bar{B}_0B_0A_n c|^2) \\
 &\quad - (|B_0A_n c|^2 - 2|\bar{B}_0B_0A_n c|^2 + |B_0\bar{B}_0B_0A_n c|^2) - \sum_{i=1}^n |\widehat{B}_iB_0A_n c|^2 \\
 &= |B_0A_n c|^2 - |B_0^3A_n c|^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n (|\widehat{B}_iA_n c|^2 + |\widehat{B}_iB_0A_n c|^2).
 \end{aligned}$$

Next, observe that if S and T belong to \mathcal{S} , then $S + T$ belongs to \mathcal{S} if and only if

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.1) \quad &\operatorname{Re}[\langle TK(0, z)B_0c, SK(0, z)B_0c \rangle_{\mathcal{D}(B)} \\
 &\quad - \langle DTK(0, z)c, DSK(0, z)c \rangle_{\mathcal{D}(B)}] \\
 &= \operatorname{Re}[\langle T^*K(0, z)\bar{B}_0B_0c, S^*K(0, z)\bar{B}_0B_0c \rangle_{\mathcal{D}(B)} \\
 &\quad - \langle DT^*K(0, z)\bar{B}_0c, DS^*K(0, z)\bar{B}_0c \rangle_{\mathcal{D}(B)}]
 \end{aligned}$$

for every c in \mathcal{E} . For $m \geq 1$, let $S = A_n D^m$ and $T = A_{m+n} D^{m+n}$. By the difference-quotient identity and polarization,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\langle TK(0, z)B_0c, SK(0, z)B_0c \rangle_{\mathcal{D}(B)} - \langle DTK(0, z)c, DSK(0, z)c \rangle_{\mathcal{D}(B)} \\
 &= \langle D^n D^m A_{m+n} K(0, z) B_0 c, D^n K(0, z) A_n B_0 c \rangle_{\mathcal{D}(B)} \\
 &\quad - \langle D^n D^{m+1} A_{m+n} K(0, z) c, D^n D A_n K(0, z) c \rangle_{\mathcal{D}(B)} \\
 &= [\langle D^m A_{m+n} K(0, z) B_0 c, K(0, z) A_n B_0 c \rangle_{\mathcal{D}(B)} - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \langle A_{m+n} \widehat{B}_{m+i} B_0 c, \widehat{B}_i A_n B_0 c \rangle] \\
 &\quad - [\langle D D^m A_{m+n} K(0, z) c, D K(0, z) A_n c \rangle_{\mathcal{D}(B)} - \sum_{i=1}^n \langle A_{m+n} \widehat{B}_{m+i} c, \widehat{B}_i A_n c \rangle] \\
 &= [\langle A_{m+n} \widehat{B}_m B_0 c, A_n B_0 c \rangle - \langle A_{m+n} \widehat{B}_m c, A_n c \rangle + \langle A_{m+n} \widehat{B}_m c, \widehat{B}_0 A_n c \rangle] \\
 &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n \langle A_{m+n} \widehat{B}_{m+i} c, A_n \widehat{B}_i c \rangle - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \langle A_{m+n} \widehat{B}_{m+i} B_0 c, A_n \widehat{B}_i B_0 c \rangle \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \langle A_{m+n} \bar{A}_n \widehat{B}_{m+i} \bar{\widehat{B}}_i c, c \rangle - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \langle (A_{m+n} \bar{A}_n \widehat{B}_{m+i} \bar{\widehat{B}}_i) B_0 c, B_0 c \rangle.
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\langle T^*K(0, z)\bar{B}_0B_0c, S^*K(0, z)\bar{B}_0B_0c \rangle_{\mathcal{D}(B)} \\
 &\quad - \langle DT^*K(0, z)\bar{B}_0c, DS^*K(0, z)\bar{B}_0c \rangle_{\mathcal{D}(B)} \\
 &= \langle D^{*n} D^{*m} \bar{A}_{m+n} K(0, z) \bar{B}_0 B_0 c, D^{*n} K(0, z) \bar{A}_n \bar{B}_0 B_0 c \rangle_{\mathcal{D}(B)} \\
 &\quad - \langle D^{*n} D^{*m} \bar{A}_{m+n} K(0, z) \bar{B}_0 c, D^{*n} K(0, z) \bar{A}_n \bar{B}_0 c \rangle_{\mathcal{D}(B)} \\
 &\quad + \langle \bar{A}_{m+n} \bar{\widehat{B}}_{m+n} \bar{B}_0 c, \bar{A}_n \bar{\widehat{B}}_n \bar{B}_0 c \rangle \\
 &= [\langle D^{*m} \bar{A}_{m+n} K(0, z) \bar{B}_0 B_0 c, K(0, z) \bar{A}_n \bar{B}_0 B_0 c \rangle_{\mathcal{D}(B)} \\
 &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \bar{A}_{m+n} \bar{\widehat{B}}_{m+i} \bar{B}_0 c, \bar{A}_n \bar{\widehat{B}}_i \bar{B}_0 c \rangle]
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & - \left[\langle D^{*n} \bar{A}_{m+n} K(0, z) \bar{B}_0 c, K(0, z) \bar{A}_n \bar{B}_0 c \rangle_{\mathcal{D}(B)} - \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \bar{A}_{m+n} \bar{B}_{m+i} c, \bar{A}_n \bar{B}_i c \rangle \right] \\
 & \quad + \langle \bar{A}_{m+n} \bar{B}_{m+n} \bar{B}_0 c, \bar{A}_n \bar{B}_n \bar{B}_0 c \rangle \\
 & = [\langle \bar{A}_{m+n} \bar{B}_m \bar{B}_0 B_0 c, \bar{A}_n \bar{B}_0 B_0 c \rangle - \langle \bar{A}_{m+n} \bar{B}_m \bar{B}_0 c, \bar{A}_n \bar{B}_0 c \rangle] \\
 & \quad + \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \bar{A}_{m+n} \bar{B}_{m+i} c, \bar{A}_n \bar{B}_i c \rangle - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \langle \bar{A}_{m+n} \bar{B}_{m+i} \bar{B}_0 c, \bar{A}_n \bar{B}_i \bar{B}_0 c \rangle \\
 & = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle c, A_{m+n} \bar{A}_n \hat{B}_{m+i} \bar{B}_i c \rangle - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \langle B_0 c, (A_{m+n} \bar{A}_n \hat{B}_{m+i} \bar{B}_i) B_0 c \rangle .
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking real parts, we have that \mathcal{F} contains $A_n D^n + A_{m+n} D^{m+n}$, and hence by the linearity of the inner products in (2.1), \mathcal{F} contains X .

LEMMA 4. *If $B(z)$ is of scalar type, then the identity in Lemma 3 holds for weak limits X of sequences of polynomials in the difference-quotient transformation D whose coefficients lie in a (fixed) commutative C^* -algebra containing the coefficients of $B(z)$.*

Proof. As in the proof of Lemma 3, the identity (2.1) holds whenever S and T are polynomials of scalar type in D whose coefficients commute with the coefficients of $B(z)$. It follows that (2.1) holds for S an arbitrary such polynomial in D and $T = X$, and subsequently for $S = T = X$. Therefore X satisfies the identity of Lemma 3.

REMARK 1. By Lemma 4 and Sarason’s theorem [9], if the coefficient space \mathcal{C} is one-dimensional and $B(z)$ is inner, then the identity in Lemma 3 holds for arbitrary operators X commuting with D . This is false for spaces \mathcal{C} of higher dimension, as the following example shows.

EXAMPLE. Let $B(z) = \begin{pmatrix} b(z) & 0 \\ 0 & b(z) \end{pmatrix}$ where $b(z) = \sum b_n z^n$ is a scalar inner function, and let $X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} D$. Then the identity in Lemma 3 holds for $c = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ only if either $b_0 = 0$ or $|b_1| = 1 - |b_0|^2$.

THEOREM 1. *Let D be the difference-quotient transformation in a space $\mathcal{D}(B)$, and suppose that $1 - D^*D$ has closed range. Let X be an operator on $\mathcal{D}(B)$ which satisfies*

$$\|X(f(z), g(z))\|_{\mathcal{D}(B)} \geq \|X^*(f(z), g(z))\|_{\mathcal{D}(B)}$$

*for every $(f(z), g(z))$ in the range of $1 - D^*D$. If $B(z) = \sum B_n z^n$ where either $B_i \bar{B}_j = \bar{B}_j B_i$ for every $i, j = 0, \dots, N$ and X is a polynomial of scalar type in D of degree at most N whose coefficients*

commute with B_n for every n , or, $B(z)$ is of scalar type and X is the limit, in the weak operator topology, of a sequence of polynomials in D whose coefficients lie in a commutative C^* -algebra containing B_n for every n , then X is unitarily equivalent to the restriction to an invariant subspace of an operator $Y = Y_M$ on $\mathcal{D}(z^M B)$ ($M = 1, 2, \dots$) which commutes with the partially isometric difference-quotient transformation $V = V_M$ in $\mathcal{D}(z^M B)$ and which satisfies

$$\|Y(u(z), v(z))\|_{\mathcal{D}(z^M B)} \geq \|Y^*(u(z), v(z))\|_{\mathcal{D}(z^M B)}$$

for every $(u(z), v(z))$ in the kernel of V . Moreover, $V = (\sum_1^M \oplus S_j^*) \oplus \hat{V}$ where S_j is a truncated shift of index j and the first M powers of \hat{V} are partial isometries such that the kernel of \hat{V}^* has trivial intersection with the subspace $\sum_1^M \oplus \hat{V}^{*j-1} \ker \hat{V}$. If the dimension of \mathcal{E} is finite, then $Y = (\sum_1^M \oplus Y_j) \oplus \hat{Y}$ where Y_j and \hat{Y} commute with S_j^* and \hat{V} , respectively, and Y_j is normal for every j . In this case, $Y = (\sum_1^M \oplus Z_j) \oplus Z$ where Z_j is a normal operator on the space $V^{*j-1} \ker V$, and $p(Y \ominus Z) = 0$ for some nonzero (scalar) polynomial $p(z)$ of degree not exceeding the dimension of \mathcal{E} .

Proof. Since $\|(1 - DD^*)^{1/2} D(f(z), g(z))\|_{\mathcal{D}(B)} = |\bar{B}(0)f(0)|$ for every $(f(z), g(z))$ in $\mathcal{D}(B)$ and $(1 - DD^*)^{1/2} D = D(1 - D^*D)^{1/2}$, with analogous identities for $1 - D^*D$, it follows that the restriction of $1 - D^*D$ to the closure of its range is unitarily equivalent to the restriction of $1 - B_0\bar{B}_0$ to the closure of its range. Therefore, since $1 - D^*D$ has closed range, so does $1 - B_0\bar{B}_0$.

Let $K(0, z)c = ([1 - B(z)\bar{B}(0)]c, [B^*(z) - \bar{B}(0)]c/z)$ for every c in \mathcal{E} . Define a transformation $\hat{\lambda}$ on \mathcal{E} as follows: if $c = (1 - B_0\bar{B}_0)d$ for some (uniquely determined) vector d in the range of $1 - B_0\bar{B}_0$, then $\hat{\lambda}c$ is the unique vector which satisfies

$$\langle \hat{\lambda}c, a \rangle = \langle XK(0, z)B_0d, K(0, z)a \rangle_{\mathcal{D}(B)}$$

for every a in \mathcal{E} ; if $(1 - B_0\bar{B}_0)c = 0$, define $\hat{\lambda}c$ to be the zero vector. Since $1 - B_0\bar{B}_0$ has closed range, it follows that $\hat{\lambda}$ is continuous.

To compute $\hat{\lambda}^*$, observe that the range of $1 - B_0\bar{B}_0$ reduces $\hat{\lambda}$: let b be in the kernel of $1 - B_0\bar{B}_0$. Since B_0 is normal, $|\bar{B}_0b| = |b| = |B_0b|$, and hence $([B^*(z) - \bar{B}(0)]b)z = ([B(z) - B(0)]b)z = 0$. Moreover, the kernel of $1 - B_0\bar{B}_0$ reduces \bar{B}_0 , so that $K(0, z)b = (0, 0)$. It follows that b is orthogonal to $\hat{\lambda}(1 - B_0\bar{B}_0)d$ for every vector d , and thus, since b was arbitrary, the range of $1 - B_0\bar{B}_0$ reduces $\hat{\lambda}$. Therefore, if $c = (1 - B_0\bar{B}_0)d$ for some vector d in the range of $1 - B_0\bar{B}_0$, then by Lemmas 1 and 2, $\hat{\lambda}^*c$ is the unique vector which satisfies

$$\langle \hat{\lambda}^*c, a \rangle = \langle X^*K(0, z)\bar{B}_0d, K(0, z)a \rangle_{\mathcal{D}(B)}$$

for every a if \mathcal{E} ; in $(1 - B_0\bar{B}_0)c = 0$, then clearly $\hat{\lambda}^*c = 0$.

By the definitions of the norms in $\mathcal{H}(B)$ and $\mathcal{D}(B)$, it follows that the transformation

$$W: (f(z), g(z)) \longrightarrow (z^M f(z), g(z))$$

takes $\mathcal{D}(B)$ isometrically into $\mathcal{D}(z^M B)$.

Let $(u(z), v(z))$ be in $\mathcal{D}(z^M B)$. The minimal decomposition of $(u(z), v(z))$ with respect to $\mathcal{D}(B)$ and $\mathcal{D}(z^M)$ is of the form

$$(u(z), v(z)) = \left(f(z) + B(z) \left(\sum_0^{M-1} c_j z^j \right), z^M g(z) + \sum_0^{M-1} c_{M-1-j} z^j \right)$$

with $(f(z), g(z))$ in $\mathcal{D}(B)$ and $(\sum_0^{M-1} c_j z^j, \sum_0^{M-1} c_{M-1-j} z^j)$ in $\mathcal{D}(z^M)$ for some vectors c_j in \mathcal{E} . Define a transformation Y in $\mathcal{D}(z^M B)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} Y(u(z), v(z)) &= V^M W X(f(z), g(z)) \\ &+ \sum_0^{M-1} V^j W X \left(\frac{[B(z) - B(0)]c_{M-1-j}}{z}, [1 - B^*(z)B(0)]c_{M-1-j} \right) \\ &+ \left(\sum_0^{M-1} (\hat{\lambda}c_j)z^j, B^*(z) \left(\sum_0^{M-1} (\hat{\lambda}c_{M-1-j})z^j \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $V, W, X, \hat{\lambda}$, and minimal decompositions are linear, it follows that Y is linear. Moreover, Y is continuous since V, W, X , and $\hat{\lambda}$ are continuous and

$$\|(u(z), v(z))\|_{\mathcal{D}(z^M B)}^2 = \|(f(z), g(z))\|_{\mathcal{D}(B)}^2 + \sum_0^{M-1} |c_j|^2.$$

By a straightforward computation,

$$\begin{aligned} VY(u(z), v(z)) &= V^M WDX(f(z), g(z)) \\ &+ \sum_0^{M-1} V^{j+1} W X \left(\frac{[B(z) - B(0)]c_{M-1-j}}{z}, [1 - B^*(z)B(0)]c_{M-1-j} \right) \\ &+ \left(\sum_0^{M-2} (\hat{\lambda}c_{j+1})z^j, B^*(z) \left(\sum_0^{M-2} (\hat{\lambda}c_{M-1-j})z^{j+1} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Also by [1, Theorem 5(D)], the minimal decomposition of $V(u(z), v(z))$ in $\mathcal{D}(z^M B)$ is obtained with

$$(f_1(z), g_1(z)) = D(f(z), g(z)) + \left(\frac{[B(z) - B(0)]c_0}{z}, [1 - B^*(z)B(0)]c_0 \right)$$

in $\mathcal{D}(B)$ and

$$(h_1(z), k_1(z)) = \left(\sum_0^{M-2} c_{j+1} z^j, \sum_0^{M-2} c_{M-1-j} z^{j+1} \right)$$

in $\mathcal{D}(z^M)$. Therefore $YV(uz)$, $v(z) = VY(u(z), v(z))$ since X commutes with D .

Since

$$(f(z), z^M g(z)) = (f(z) + B(z) \cdot 0, z^M g(z) + 0)$$

is minimal in $\mathcal{D}(z^M B)$ with $(f(z), g(z))$ in $\mathcal{D}(B)$ and $(0, 0)$ in $\mathcal{D}(z^M)$, we have that X is unitarily equivalent to the restriction of Y to the subspace $V^M W \mathcal{D}(B)$.

The kernel of V consists of all elements of the form $(c, z^{M-1} B^*(z)c)$ for c in \mathcal{E} . The minimal decomposition of $(c, z^{M-1} B^*(z)c)$ in $\mathcal{D}(z^M B)$ is obtained with $K(0, z)c$ in $\mathcal{D}(B)$ and $(\bar{B}(0)c, z^{M-1} \bar{B}(0)c)$ in $\mathcal{D}(z^M)$. Therefore, since $VY(c, z^{M-1} B^*(z)c) = YV(c, z^{M-1} B^*(z)c) = (0, 0)$, it follows that $Y(c, z^{M-1} B^*(z)c) = (d, z^{M-1} B^*(z)d)$ where d is the unique vector which satisfies

$$(2.2) \quad \langle d, a \rangle = \langle XK(0, z)c, K(0, z)a \rangle_{\mathcal{D}(B)} + \langle \hat{\lambda} \bar{B}(0)c, a \rangle$$

for every a in \mathcal{E} .

To compute the action of Y^* on $(c, z^{M-1} B^*(z)c)$, let $(u(z), v(z))$ be in $\mathcal{D}(z^M B)$ and write

$$(u(z), v(z)) = \left(f(z) + B(z) \left(\sum_0^{M-1} c_j z^j \right), z^M g(z) + \sum_0^{M-1} c_{M-1-j} z^j \right)$$

minimally with $(f(z), g(z))$ in $\mathcal{D}(B)$ and $(\sum_0^{M-1} c_j z^j, \sum_0^{M-1} c_{M-1-j} z^j)$ in $\mathcal{D}(z^M)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle Y^*(c, z^{M-1} B^*(z)c), (u(z), v(z)) \rangle_{\mathcal{D}(z^M B)} \\ &= \langle K(0, z)c, X(f(z), g(z)) \rangle_{\mathcal{D}(B)} + \langle c, \hat{\lambda} c_0 \rangle \\ &= \langle (f_2(z) + B(z) \hat{\lambda}^* c, z^M g_2(z) + z^{M-1} \hat{\lambda}^* c), (u(z), v(z)) \rangle_{\mathcal{D}(z^M B)} \end{aligned}$$

where $(f_2(z), g_2(z)) = X^* K(0, z)c$. Since $(u(z), v(z))$ was arbitrary, it follows that

$$Y^*(c, z^{M-1} B^*(z)c) = (f_2(z) + B(z) \hat{\lambda}^* c, z^M g_2(z) + z^{M-1} \hat{\lambda}^* c).$$

Since

$$\|Y^*(c, z^{M-1} B^*(z)c)\|_{\mathcal{D}(z^M B)}^2 \leq \|X^* K(0, z)c\|_{\mathcal{D}(B)}^2 + |\hat{\lambda}^* c|^2$$

and

$$\|Y(c, z^{M-1} B^*(z)c)\|_{\mathcal{D}(z^M B)}^2 = \|(d, z^{M-1} B^*(z)d)\|_{\mathcal{D}(z^M B)}^2 = |d|^2,$$

it is sufficient to show

$$\|X^* K(0, z)c\|_{\mathcal{D}(B)}^2 \leq |d|^2 - |\hat{\lambda}^* c|^2$$

for all c in \mathcal{E} , where $d = d(c)$ is defined by (2.2).

Let c be in \mathcal{E} . Write $c = (1 - B_0 \bar{B}_0)a + b$ where a is in the

range of $1 - B_0\bar{B}_0$ and $(1 - B_0\bar{B}_0)b = 0$. As above, $\hat{\lambda}^*b = 0 = \hat{\lambda}\bar{B}(0)b$ and $K(0, z)b = (0, 0)$. Thus, we may assume $b = 0$, and $c = (1 - B_0\bar{B}_0)a$. In this case, by Lemmas 1 and 2, and the normality of B_0 ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|X^*K(0, z)c\|_{\mathcal{D}(B)}^2 \\ &= \langle X^*K(0, z)(1 - B_0\bar{B}_0)a, X^*K(0, z)(1 - B_0\bar{B}_0)a \rangle_{\mathcal{D}(B)} \\ &= \langle X^*K(0, z)a, X^*K(0, z)(1 - B_0\bar{B}_0)a \rangle_{\mathcal{D}(B)} \\ &\quad - \langle X^*K(0, z)B_0\bar{B}_0a, X^*K(0, z)a \rangle_{\mathcal{D}(B)} + \|X^*K(0, z)B_0\bar{B}_0a\|_{\mathcal{D}(B)}^2 \\ &= \|X^*K(0, z)(1 - \bar{B}_0B_0)^{1/2}a\|_{\mathcal{D}(B)}^2 - \|X^*K(0, z)\bar{B}_0a\|_{\mathcal{D}(B)}^2 \\ &\quad + \|X^*K(0, z)\bar{B}_0B_0a\|_{\mathcal{D}(B)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore by hypothesis and Lemmas 1 and 2,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|X^*K(0, z)c\|_{\mathcal{D}(B)}^2 \\ &\leq \|XK(0, z)(1 - \bar{B}_0B_0)^{1/2}a\|_{\mathcal{D}(B)}^2 - \|X^*K(0, z)\bar{B}_0a\|_{\mathcal{D}(B)}^2 \\ &\quad + \|X^*K(0, z)\bar{B}_0B_0a\|_{\mathcal{D}(B)}^2 \\ &= \|XK(0, z)a\|_{\mathcal{D}(B)}^2 - \|XK(0, z)B_0a\|_{\mathcal{D}(B)}^2 - \|X^*K(0, z)\bar{B}_0a\|_{\mathcal{D}(B)}^2 \\ &\quad + \|X^*K(0, z)\bar{B}_0B_0a\|_{\mathcal{D}(B)}^2 \\ &= [\|DXK(0, z)a\|_{\mathcal{D}(B)}^2 + |d|^2] - \|XK(0, z)B_0a\|_{\mathcal{D}(B)}^2 \\ &\quad - [\|DX^*K(0, z)\bar{B}_0a\|_{\mathcal{D}(B)}^2 + |\hat{\lambda}^*c|^2] + \|X^*K(0, z)\bar{B}_0B_0a\|_{\mathcal{D}(B)}^2 \end{aligned}$$

since $a = c + B_0\bar{B}_0a$. Hence by Lemmas 3 and 4,

$$\|X^*K(0, z)c\|_{\mathcal{D}(B)}^2 \leq |d|^2 - |\hat{\lambda}^*c|^2$$

and therefore

$$\|Y(u(z), v(z))\|_{\mathcal{D}(z^M B)} \geq \|Y^*(u(z), v(z))\|_{\mathcal{D}(z^M B)}$$

for every $(u(z), v(z))$ in the kernel of V .

By [6, Lemma 2.2], V, \dots, V^M are partial isometries and hence so are their adjoints. The form of V then follows from a slight modification of [5, Theorem 4.1]. In particular, S_j is the restriction of V^* to the space $\mathcal{H}_j = v(\text{span}\{V^i\mathcal{E}_j; i = 0, \dots, j-1\})$ where $\mathcal{E}_j = \ker V^* \cap V^{*j-1} \ker V (j = 1, \dots, M)$.

Suppose that \mathcal{E} is finite-dimensional. Since $YV = VY$, the kernel of V is invariant under Y , and since it is finite-dimensional, the restriction Z_1 of Y to the kernel of V has an eigenvector, say $(e_1(z), e_2(z))$. Since

$$\|Y(e_1(z), e_2(z))\|_{\mathcal{D}(z^M B)} \geq \|Y^*(e_1(z), e_2(z))\|_{\mathcal{D}(z^M B)}$$

it follows that $(e_1(z), e_2(z))$ is a reducing eigenvector for Y . By considering the restriction of Y to $\ker V \ominus \{(e_1(z), e_2(z))\}$ and proceeding by induction, we have that the kernel of V reduces Y , and Z_1 is normal. If $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_K$ are the eigenvalues of Z_1 repeated according

to multiplicity, then $p(Z_1) = 0$ where $p(z) = \prod_1^K (z - \lambda_j)$. Also note that $\mathcal{H}_1 = \ker V^* \cap \ker V$ is a finite-dimensional invariant subspace of the normal operator $Z_1^* = Y^*|_{\ker V}$ and hence \mathcal{H}_1 reduces Y , and the restriction Y_1 of Y to \mathcal{H}_1 is normal.

For the induction step, assume that $\mathcal{C}_j, \mathcal{H}_j$, and $V^{*j-1}\ker V$ ($j = 1, \dots, J - 1; 2 \leq J \leq M$) reduce Y , and the restriction of Y to each of these subspaces is normal. Since the range of V^* reduces Y , if $(r(z), s(z))$ is in the range of V^* , then $Y(r(z), s(z)) = V^*VY(r(z), s(z)) = V^*YV(r(z), s(z))$ and $Y^*(r(z), s(z)) = V^*Y^*V(r(z), s(z))$.

Let $(u(z), v(z))$ be in $V^{*J-1}\ker V$. Since $Y^*Y = YY^*$ on the space $V^{*J-2}\ker V$, $VY = YV$, and $V(u(z), v(z))$ is in $V^{*J-2}\ker V$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} Y^*Y(u(z), v(z)) &= V^*Y^*(VV^*)YV(u(z), v(z)) \\ &= V^*Y^*YV(u(z), v(z)) \\ &= V^*YY^*V(u(z), v(z)) \\ &= V^*Y[(1 - VV^*) + VV^*]Y^*V(u(z), v(z)). \end{aligned}$$

Now $(1 - VV^*)Y^*V(u(z), v(z))$ belongs to $(1 - VV^*)V^{*J-2}\ker V$ which in turn is contained in $\ker V^* \cap V^{*J-2}\ker V = \mathcal{C}_{J-1}$. By the induction hypothesis, \mathcal{C}_{J-1} reduces Y . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} Y^*Y(u(z), v(z)) &= V^*YVV^*Y^*V(u(z), v(z)) \\ &= YY^*(u(z), v(z)). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\|Y(u(z), v(z))\|_{\mathcal{C}(Z^*B)} = \|Y^*(u(z), v(z))\|_{\mathcal{C}(Z^*B)}$$

for all $(u(z), v(z))$ in $V^{*J-1}\ker V$, and, since $V^{*J-1}\ker V$ is a finite-dimensional invariant subspace for Y^* , we have that $V^{*J-1}\ker V$ reduces Y as above, and the restriction Z_j of Y to $V^{*J-1}\ker V$ is normal. Clearly, $p(\sum_1^J \oplus Z_j) = 0$ since $\bar{p}(Y^*)(V^{*j-1}\ker V) = V^{*j-1}\bar{p}(Z_1^*)\ker V = \{0\}$ for every $j = 1, \dots, J$.

Next, \mathcal{C}_J reduces Y and $Y|_{\mathcal{C}_J}$ is normal since $\mathcal{C}_J = \ker V^* \cap V^{*J-1}\ker V$ is a finite-dimensional invariant subspace of Y^* , and the restriction of Y^* to $V^{*J-1}\ker V$ is normal.

Finally, \mathcal{H}_J reduces Y and $Y|_{\mathcal{H}_J}$ is normal since $V^i\mathcal{C}_J$ ($i = 0, \dots, J - 1$) is a finite-dimensional invariant subspace of Y which is contained in $V^{*J-i-1}\ker V$, and the restriction of Y to $V^{*J-i-1}\ker V$ is normal.

COROLLARY 1. *Let D be the difference-quotient transformation in a space $\mathcal{D}(B)$ with a finite-dimensional coefficient space \mathcal{C} , and suppose that D has no isometric part. Let X be an operator on*

$\mathcal{D}(B)$ which satisfies

$$\|X(f(z), g(z))\|_{\mathcal{D}(B)} \geq \|X^*(f(z), g(z))\|_{\mathcal{D}(B)}$$

for every $(f(z), g(z))$ in the range of $1 - D^*D$. If $B(z) = \sum B_n z^n$ where $B_i \bar{B}_j = \bar{B}_j B_i$ for every $i, j = 0, \dots, N$, and X is a polynomial of scalar type in D of degree at most N whose coefficients commute with B_n for every n , then either X is multiplication by an operator on \mathcal{E} or the dimension of $\mathcal{D}(B)$ is finite [$\leq N \times (\dim \mathcal{E})^2$]. Moreover, if $B(z)$ is of scalar type, and X is the limit, in the weak operator topology, of a sequence of polynomials in D whose coefficients lie in a commutative C^* -algebra containing B_n for every n , then $p(X) = 0$ for some nonzero (scalar) polynomial $p(z)$ of degree not exceeding the dimension of \mathcal{E} .

Proof. Since D has no isometric part, $B(z)c$ is in $\mathcal{H}(B)$ for some vector c only if $c = 0$, and by [2, Lemma 4], $\mathcal{H}(B)$ contains no nonzero element of the form $B(z)c$. Therefore by the minimal decomposition of an element of $\mathcal{D}(zB)$ in terms of $\mathcal{D}(B)$ and $\mathcal{D}(z)$, it follows that the difference-quotient transformation V on $\mathcal{D}(zB)$ has no isometric part. Moreover, as in the proof of Theorem 1, since $1 - B_0 \bar{B}_0$ has closed range, so does $1 - D^*D$.

By Theorem 1, X is unitarily equivalent to a part of an operator Y on $\mathcal{D}(zB)$ which commutes with V and satisfies

$$\|Y(u(z), v(z))\|_{\mathcal{D}(zB)} \geq \|Y^*(u(z), v(z))\|_{\mathcal{D}(zB)}$$

for all $(u(z), v(z))$ in the kernel of V . Moreover, the kernel of V reduces Y and $p(Y)\ker V = \{0\}$ for some nonzero polynomial $p(z)$ of degree at most the dimension of \mathcal{E} . Since V has no isometric part, $\mathcal{D}(zB)$ is the closed span of the subspaces $V^{*n}\ker V$ ($n = 0, 1, \dots$). Therefore, since $\bar{p}(Y^*)$ commutes with V^{*n} , $p(Y) = 0$ and hence $P(X) = 0$.

Suppose that X is a nonconstant, scalar type polynomial in D of degree at most N . By the above, $q(D) = 0$ for some scalar type polynomial $q(z)$ of degree at most $N \times \dim \mathcal{E}$. Since D has no isometric part, D is unitarily equivalent to $R(0)$ on $\mathcal{H}(B)$. Since any countable family of commuting normal operators on a finite-dimensional space has a common eigenvector, $q(R(0))(=0)$ is the restriction of an operator on $\mathcal{E}(z)$ of the form $\sum_{i=1}^{\dim \mathcal{E}} \oplus q_i(R(0)_i)$ where $q_i(z)$ is a scalar polynomial of degree at most $N \times \dim \mathcal{E}$ and $R(0)_i$ is the difference-quotient transformation on $\mathcal{E}_i(z)$ where \mathcal{E}_i is one-dimensional. Since the eigenspace corresponding to an eigenvalue of $R(0)_i$ is one-dimensional, and since the dimension of the kernel of a finite product of operators does not exceed the sum of the dimensions of the kernels of the factors, it follows that the dimension of

$\mathcal{H}(B)$ (and hence of $\mathcal{D}(B)$) does not exceed $N \times (\dim \mathcal{E})^2$.

3. Applications. The following result extends [3, Problem 110] and [7, Corollary 1].

THEOREM 2. *Let T be a contraction on Hilbert space such that $\text{rank}(1 - TT^*) \leq \text{rank}(1 - T^*T) = 1$, and suppose that T has no isometric part. If X is the weak limit of a sequence of polynomials in T , and if f is a nonzero vector in the range of $1 - T^*T$, then $\|Xf\| \leq \|X^*f\|$ with equality holding only if X is a scalar multiple of the identity.*

Proof. By [2, Theorem 1] and [3, Theorem 15], T is unitarily equivalent to the difference-quotient transformation in a space $\mathcal{D}(B)$ where the coefficient space is one-dimensional. The theorem now follows by applying Corollary 1.

THEOREM 3. *Let T be a contraction on Hilbert space such that $T^n (n = 1, 2, \dots)$ tends strongly to zero, and suppose that $T = \sum_1^K \oplus T_j$ where the rank of $1 - T_j^*T_j$ is one for every j . If X is an operator which commutes with T and satisfies $\|Xf\| \geq \|X^*f\|$ for every vector f in the range of $1 - T^*T$, then X is normal with spectrum consisting of at most K points.*

Proof. By [3, Theorem 12], there exist scalar inner functions $b_j(z)$ ($j = 1, \dots, K$) such that T is unitarily equivalent to the difference-quotient transformation $R(0)$ in $\mathcal{H}(B)$ where

$$B(z) = \begin{pmatrix} b_1(z) & & & 0 \\ & \cdot & & \\ & & \cdot & \\ 0 & & & b_K(z) \end{pmatrix}$$

is an inner function of scalar type. The proof proceeds by induction on K .

If $K = 1$, then by Sarason's theorem [9], X is the weak limit of a sequence of polynomials in $R(0)$; and hence by [3, Theorem 13] and Theorem 2, X is a scalar multiple of the identity.

Assume that the theorem is true for the difference-quotient transformations in spaces $\mathcal{H}(B)$ of the form $\mathcal{H}(B) = \sum_1^L \oplus \mathcal{H}(b_j)$ for all integers L , $1 \leq L < K$, where the b_j 's are scalar inner functions. Let X commute with $R(0)$ on $\mathcal{H}(B) = \sum_1^K \oplus \mathcal{H}(b_j)$ and satisfy $\|Xf(z)\| \geq \|X^*f(z)\|$ for every $f(z)$ in the range of $1 - R(0)^*R(0)$, where $b_j(z)$ is a scalar inner function for every j . By the Sz.-Nagy-Foiaş lifting theorem [11], X is the restriction of

an operator on $\mathcal{E}(z) = \sum_{i=1}^K \oplus \mathcal{E}_j(z)$ of the form $(T_{\varphi_{ij}}^*)_{K \times K}$ where \mathcal{E}_j is the space of complex numbers and $\varphi_{ij}(z)$ is a bounded analytic (scalar) function on the unit disk for all i and j . Moreover, since $\mathcal{H}(B)$ is invariant under $(T_{\varphi_{ij}}^*)_{K \times K}$, the range of T_B is invariant under $(T_{\varphi_{ji}})_{K \times K}$, and hence for each k , $1 \leq k \leq K$, $\varphi_{jk}(z)b_j(z)$ is contained in the range of T_{b_k} for every $j = 1, \dots, K$.

For a fixed integer j_0 ($1 \leq j_0 \leq K$), consider an element of $\mathcal{H}(B)$ of the form $f(z) = \sum_{i=1}^K \oplus [1 - b_j(z)\bar{b}_j(0)]x_j$ where $x_{j_0} = 1$ and $x_j = 0$ for all $j \neq j_0$. Since $f(z)$ is in the range of $1 - R(0)^*R(0)$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.1) \quad \|Xf(z)\|^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^K \|T_{\varphi_{ij_0}}^*[1 - b_{j_0}(z)\bar{b}_{j_0}(0)]\|^2 \\
 &\geq \|X^*f(z)\|^2 \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^K \|P_i T_{\varphi_{i j_0}}[1 - b_{j_0}(z)\bar{b}_{j_0}(0)]\|^2 \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^K \|P_i \varphi_{j_0 i}(z)\|^2
 \end{aligned}$$

where P_i is the (orthogonal) projection of $\mathcal{E}_i(z)$ onto $\mathcal{H}(b_i)$. Moreover, by the case $K = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|P_{j_0} \varphi_{i j_0}(z)\| &= \|P_{j_0} T_{\varphi_{i j_0}}[1 - b_{j_0}(z)\bar{b}_{j_0}(0)]\| \\
 &\geq \|T_{\varphi_{i j_0}}^*[1 - b_{j_0}(z)\bar{b}_{j_0}(0)]\|
 \end{aligned}$$

for every $i = 1, \dots, K$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j_0}}^K \|P_{j_0} \varphi_{i j_0}(z)\|^2 &\geq \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j_0}}^K \|T_{\varphi_{i j_0}}^*[1 - b_{j_0}(z)\bar{b}_{j_0}(0)]\|^2 \\
 &\geq \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j_0}}^K \|P_i \varphi_{j_0 i}(z)\|^2
 \end{aligned}$$

which holds for all $j_0 = 1, \dots, K$.

Combining the above inequalities, by induction we have the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{i=2}^K \|P_1 \varphi_{i1}(z)\|^2 \\
 &\geq \sum_{i=2}^K \|T_{\varphi_{i1}}^*[1 - b_1(z)\bar{b}_1(0)]\|^2 \\
 &\geq \sum_{i=2}^K \|P_i \varphi_{1i}(z)\|^2 \\
 &\geq \sum_{i=2}^K \left(\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^K \|T_{\varphi_{ji}}^*[1 - b_j(z)\bar{b}_j(0)]\|^2 - \sum_{\substack{j=2 \\ j \neq i}}^K \|P_i \varphi_{ji}(z)\|^2 \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq \sum_{i=2}^K \left(\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^K \|P_j \mathcal{P}_{ij}(z)\|^2 - \sum_{\substack{j=2 \\ j \neq i}}^K \|P_i \mathcal{P}_{ji}(z)\|^2 \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=2}^K \|P_1 \mathcal{P}_{i1}(z)\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

The above inequalities are therefore equalities and in particular

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^K \|P_i T_{\varphi_{ji}}[1 - b_i(z)\bar{b}_i(0)]\|^2 &= \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^K \|T_{\varphi_{ji}}^*[1 - b_i(z)\bar{b}_i(0)]\|^2 \\ &= \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^K \|P_j T_{\varphi_{ij}}[1 - b_i(z)\bar{b}_i(0)]\|^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^K \|T_{\varphi_{ij}}[1 - b_i(z)\bar{b}_i(0)]\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

for every $i = 1, \dots, K$. Hence by the case $K = 1$, it follows that the restriction of $T_{\varphi_{ji}}^*$ to $\mathcal{H}(b_i)$ is a scalar λ_{ji} times the identity for all $j \neq i$, and

$$(3.2) \quad \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^K |\lambda_{ji}|^2 \leq \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^K |\lambda_{ij}|^2$$

for every $i = 1, \dots, K$. Therefore by (3.1) and the case $K = 1$, the restriction of $T_{\varphi_{ii}}^*$ to $\mathcal{H}(b_i)$ is a scalar λ_{ii} times the identity for every $i = 1, \dots, K$, and consequently $X = (\lambda_{ij})_{K \times K}$.

Suppose first that $\mathcal{H}(b_i) = \mathcal{H}(b_j)$ for all i and j . In this case, the range of $1 - R(0)^*R(0)$ reduces X , and since it is finite-dimensional and the restriction of X to it is hyponormal, it follows that $XX^* = X^*X$ on the range of $1 - R(0)^*R(0)$.

Let $h(z)$ be an arbitrary element of $\mathcal{H}(B)$. Then $h(z)$ is the limit of a sequence of vectors of the form $\sum_{j=0}^n R(0)^{*j} f_j(z)$ where $f_j(z)$ is in the range of $1 - R(0)^*R(0)$ for every j . Since XX^* and X^*X commute with $R(0)^{*j}$, we have that $XX^*h(z) = X^*Xh(z)$. Hence X is normal.

Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_K$ be the eigenvalues of the restriction of X to the range of $1 - R(0)^*R(0)$, listed according to multiplicity, and let $\eta_j = \vee \{f(z) \in \mathcal{H}(B) : Xf(z) = \lambda_j f(z)\}$. Since X is normal, if $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$, then η_i is orthogonal to η_j . Moreover, since $R(0)$ has no isometric part and $XR(0)^* = R(0)^*X$, it follows that $\mathcal{H}(B) = \vee \{\eta_j : j = 1, \dots, K\}$. Therefore, X is diagonalizable with $\text{sp}(X) = \{\lambda_j : j = 1, \dots, K\}$.

Finally, suppose that $\mathcal{H}(b_i) \neq \mathcal{H}(b_j)$ for at least one pair (i, j) . There exists a space $\mathcal{H}(b_{i_0})$ which is minimal in the sense that for every i either $\mathcal{H}(b_i) = \mathcal{H}(b_{i_0})$ or $\mathcal{H}(b_i)$ is not contained in $\mathcal{H}(b_{i_0})$. Let Ω be the set of indices i such that $\mathcal{H}(b_i) = \mathcal{H}(b_{i_0})$. Then $\Omega \neq \{1, \dots, K\}$ by assumption, and for every i in Ω and j not in Ω ,

$\lambda_{ij} = 0$. By (3.2),

$$\sum_{\substack{i \in \Omega \\ j \notin \Omega}} |\lambda_{ji}|^2 \leq \sum_{i, j \in \Omega} (|\lambda_{ij}|^2 - |\lambda_{ji}|^2) = 0.$$

Therefore, $\lambda_{ij} = 0 = \lambda_{ji}$ for every i in Ω and j not in Ω . It follows that the space $\sum_{i \in \Omega} \oplus \mathcal{H}(b_i)$ reduces X , that the restriction of X to this space satisfies the induction hypothesis and hence is normal with spectrum consisting of at most $\text{card } \Omega$ points. Similarly, the restriction of X to $\sum_{i \notin \Omega} \oplus \mathcal{H}(b_i)$ is normal with spectrum at most $K - \text{card } \Omega$ points, and consequently X is normal with spectrum at most K points.

COROLLARY 2. *Let X commute with the difference-quotient transformation D in a space $\mathcal{D}(B)$ where $B(z)$ is an inner function of scalar type and the coefficient space \mathcal{C} is finite-dimensional. If*

$$\|X(f(z), g(z))\|_{\mathcal{D}(B)} \geq \|X^*(f(z), g(z))\|_{\mathcal{D}(B)}$$

*for every $(f(z), g(z))$ in the range of $1 - D^*D$, then X is a normal operator whose spectrum consists of a finite number ($\leq \dim \mathcal{C}$) of points.*

Proof. Since any countable family of commuting normal operators on a finite-dimensional space has a common eigenvector, it follows that $\mathcal{D}(B) = \sum_{i=1}^{\dim \mathcal{C}} \oplus \mathcal{D}(b_j)$ where $b_j(z)$ is a scalar inner function for all j . Corollary 2 is therefore an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.

REMARK 2. The analytic Toeplitz operator T_φ on $\mathcal{C}(z)$ with \mathcal{C} one-dimensional, for the symbol $\varphi(z)$ an inner function, is a universal model for unilateral shifts. Therefore, the restriction of T_φ^* to an arbitrary invariant subspace is a canonical model for contractions whose powers tend strongly to zero. A consequence of Corollary 2 is that the restriction of T_φ^* to an arbitrary invariant subspace of the backward shift T_z^* is never hyponormal (i.e., only if it is a scalar times the identity).

REFERENCES

1. L. de Branges, *Factorization and invariant subspaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **29** (1970), 163-200.
2. L. de Branges and J. Rovnyak, *Canonical models in quantum scattering theory*, Perturbation Theory and its Applications in Quantum Mechanics, pp. 295-391, Wiley, New York, 1966.
3. ———, *Square Summable Power Series*, Holt, Rinehart, and Winston, New York, 1966.

4. B. Fuglede, *A commutativity theorem for normal operators*, Proc. N. A. S., **36** (1950), 35-40.
5. J. Guyker, *A structure theorem for operators with closed range*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., **18** (1978), 169-186.
6. ———, *On partial isometries with no isometric part*, Pacific J. Math., **62** (1976), 419-433.
7. ———, *Reducing subspaces of contractions with no isometric part*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **45** (1974), 411-413.
8. C. R. Putnam, *An inequality for the area of hyponormal spectra*, Math. Z., **116** (1970), 323-330.
9. D. Sarason, *Generalized interpolation in H^∞* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **127** (1967), 179-203.
10. M. J. Sherman, *A spectral theory for inner functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **135** (1969), 387-398.
11. B. Sz.-Nagy and C. Foiaş, *Dilatation des commutants d'opérateurs*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, **266** (1968), 493-495.

Received June 5, 1979 and in revised form February 21, 1980.

SUNY COLLEGE AT BUFFALO
BUFFALO, N.Y. 14222

AND

PURDUE UNIVERSITY
LAFAYETTE, IN 47907

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

DONALD BABBITT (Managing Editor)

University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90024

HUGO ROSSI

University of Utah
Salt Lake City, UT 84112

C. C. MOORE and ANDREW OGG

University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, CA 90007

R. FINN and J. MILGRAM

Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Please propose a heading for the odd numbered pages of less than 35 characters. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. 39. Supply name and address of author to whom proofs should be sent. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints to each author are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$84.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$42.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924, U.S.A. Old back numbers obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.).
8-8, 3-chome, Takadanobaba, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

Copyright © 1980 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics
Manufactured and first issued in Japan

Victor P. Camillo and Julius Martin Zelmanowitz, <i>Dimension modules</i>	249
Yonina S. Cooper, <i>Stable sequences in pre-abelian categories</i>	263
Chandrakant Mahadeorao Deo and H. Ship-Fah Wong, <i>On Berry-Esseen approximation and a functional LIL for a class of dependent random fields</i>	269
H. P. Dikshit and S. N. Dubey, <i>$C, 1$ summability of series associated with Fourier series</i>	277
M. Edelstein, <i>On the homomorphic and isomorphic embeddings of a semiflow into a radial flow</i>	281
Gilles Godefroy, <i>Compacts de Rosenthal</i>	293
James Guyker, <i>Commuting hyponormal operators</i>	307
Thomas Eric Hall and Peter R. Jones, <i>On the lattice of varieties of bands of groups</i>	327
Taqdir Husain and Saleem H. Watson, <i>Topological algebras with orthogonal Schauder bases</i>	339
V. K. Jain, <i>Some expansions involving basic hypergeometric functions of two variables</i>	349
Joe W. Jenkins, <i>On group actions with nonzero fixed points</i>	363
Michael Ellsworth Mays, <i>Groups of square-free order are scarce</i>	373
Michael John McAsey, <i>Canonical models for invariant subspaces</i>	377
Peter A. McCoy, <i>Singularities of solutions to linear second order elliptic partial differential equations with analytic coefficients by approximation methods</i>	397
Terrence Millar, <i>Homogeneous models and decidability</i>	407
Stephen Carl Milne, <i>A multiple series transformation of the very well poised ${}_{2k+4}\Psi_{2k+4}$</i>	419
Robert Olin and James E. Thomson, <i>Irreducible operators whose spectra are spectral sets</i>	431
Robert John Piacenza, <i>Cohomology of diagrams and equivariant singular theory</i>	435
Louis Jackson Ratliff, Jr., <i>Integrally closed ideals and asymptotic prime divisors</i>	445
Robert Breckenridge Warfield, Jr., <i>Cancellation of modules and groups and stable range of endomorphism rings</i>	457
B. J. Day, <i>Correction to: "Locale geometry"</i>	487
Stanley Stephen Page, <i>Correction to: "Regular FPF rings"</i>	487
Augusto Nobile, <i>Correction to: "On equisingular families of isolated singularities"</i>	489