

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**CORRECTION TO: "PEANO MODELS WITH MANY GENERIC  
CLASSES"**

JAMES HENRY SCHMERL

## CORRECTION TO "PEANO MODELS WITH MANY GENERIC CLASSES"

J. H. SCHMERL

**A theorem in the paper referred to in the title had a faulty proof which is repaired herein by employing the Halpern Läuchli-Laver-Pincus Partition Theorem.**

In [4] a generalization of the MacDowell-Specker Theorem on extensions of models of Peano arithmetic was stated as Theorem 3.1. Unfortunately, the proof presented there turned out to be faulty. The purpose of this note is, first, to repair that proof, and, second, to present a slight strengthening of the theorem.

All unexplained notation and terminology is taken from [4]. If  $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$  are such that whenever  $X \subseteq M$  is definable in  $\mathcal{M}$ , then  $X \cap N$  is definable in  $\mathcal{N}$ , then we say that the extension is *conservative*.

**THEOREM.** *Let  $\mathcal{N}$  be a model of Peano arithmetic and  $\langle X_i; i \in I \rangle$  be a collection of mutually generic classes of  $\mathcal{N}$ . Then  $(\mathcal{N}, \langle X_i; i \in I \rangle)$  has a proper, conservative, elementary end-extension  $(\mathcal{M}, \langle Y_i; i \in I \rangle)$ . In addition, for each  $J \subseteq I$  there is a unique  $\mathcal{M}_J \preceq \mathcal{M}$  which is a proper elementary extension of  $\mathcal{N}$  such that*

$$J = \{i \in I: \text{there is a class } Y \text{ of } \mathcal{M}_J \text{ such that } X_i = Y \cap N\}.$$

*Each  $(\mathcal{M}_J, \langle Y_j \cap M_j; j \in J \rangle)$  is conservative extension of  $(\mathcal{N}, \langle X_j; j \in J \rangle)$ .*

Theorem 3.1 of [4] consists just of the first two sentences of the above theorem. This will be proved first. The latter two sentences make up the strengthening which will be established by noting the appropriate changes to make in the first proof.

The error in [4] was that a too weak combinatorial theorem was used, the correct theorem being the Halpern-Läuchli-Laver-Pincus partition theorem for trees. Proofs of this theorem can be found in [3] or [2], these proofs being easily formalizable in Peano arithmetic. Harrington has a slick proof which, while apparently not formalizable in Peano arithmetic, nevertheless, can be applied in all countable models of Peano arithmetic, and thus shows that the theorem actually is a consequence of the axioms of Peano arithmetic.

Some definitions are necessary in order to state this theorem in a form which best suits our purposes.

Let  $\triangleleft$  be the binary relation on  $\omega$  which is defined by:

$$x \triangleleft y \iff \exists z[(x + 1)2^z \leq y + 1 < (x + 2)2^z].$$

With this definition,  $(\omega, \triangleleft)$  is the full binary  $\omega$ -tree. The rank of an element  $x$  of this tree, denoted by  $rk\ x$ , is just the largest  $z$  such that  $2^z \leq x + 1$ . For  $X \subseteq \omega$  and  $n < \omega$  define

$$(X)^{<\omega} = \{A \in [X]^{<\omega} : \text{if } a, b \in A, \text{ then } rk\ a = rk\ b\},$$

and

$$(X)^n = \{A \in (X)^{<\omega} : \text{card}(A) = n\}.$$

If  $A, B \in (\omega)^{<\omega}$  then write  $A \trianglelefteq B$  iff for each  $a \in A$  there is  $b \in B$  such that  $a \trianglelefteq b$ . A subset  $X \subseteq \omega$  is *large* iff for each  $A \in (\omega)^{<\omega}$  there is some  $B \in (X)^{<\omega}$  such that  $A \trianglelefteq B$ . If  $n < \omega$ ,  $A \in (\omega)^n$ ,  $f: (\omega)^n \rightarrow \omega$  and  $X \subseteq \omega$ , then  $X$  is *homogeneous above A* for  $f$  iff whenever  $B, C \in (X)^n$  are such that  $A \trianglelefteq B, C$ , then  $f(B) = f(C)$ .

**THEOREM 1.** (*Halpern-Läuchli-Laver-Pincus.*) *Suppose  $k, n < \omega$ ,  $A \in (\omega)^n$   $f: (\omega)^n \rightarrow k$  and  $X \subseteq \omega$ , where  $X$  is large. Then there is  $B \in (\omega)^n$  and large  $Y \subseteq X$  such that  $A \trianglelefteq B$  and  $Y$  is homogeneous above  $B$  for  $f$ .*

This theorem has been stated only for the case in which the tree is the full binary  $\omega$ -tree. However, this version implies the same result for finite-branching  $\omega$ -trees, and even for countable  $\omega$ -trees. This same remark applies to Corollaries 2, 3 and 4.

Theorem 1 easily implies a generalization of itself. If  $n < \omega$ ,  $f: (\omega)^n \rightarrow \omega$  and  $X \subseteq \omega$ , then  $X$  is *eventually homogeneous for f* iff for each  $A \in (\omega)^n$  there is  $B \in (\omega)^n$  such that  $A \trianglelefteq B$  and  $X$  is homogeneous above  $B$  for  $f$ .

**COROLLARY 2.** *Suppose  $n < \omega$ ,  $f_j: (\omega)^n \rightarrow k_j < \omega$  for each  $j < \omega$ , and  $X \subseteq \omega$ , where  $X$  is large. Then there is a large  $Y \subseteq X$  which is eventually homogeneous for each  $f_j$ .*

The above corollary can be formalized as a schema and proved in Peano arithmetic. In  $\mathcal{N}$  a model of Peano arithmetic we will use the definition of  $\triangleleft$  given above. (This is technically different from the definition given in [4], but because of the remark following Theorem 1, this difference is not substantial.) All of the previously given definitions have obvious (and uniform in models of Peano arithmetic) generalizations to  $(N, \triangleleft)$ . As a convenience we introduce the following notation for the model  $\mathcal{N}$ . If  $\psi(u, y, x_0, \dots, x_{n-1})$  is an  $(n + 2)$ -ary formula and  $a, b \in N$ , then let  $f_{\psi, a, b}: (N)^n \rightarrow N$  be the function such that whenever  $A = \{a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}\} \in (N)^n$ , where  $a_0 < \dots < a_{n-1}$ , then

$$f_{\psi,a,b}(A) = \mu c [c < b \longrightarrow \psi(a, c, a_0, \dots, a_{n-1})].$$

The formalization of Corollary 2 becomes the following which we state only for the particular model  $\mathcal{N}$ .

**COROLLARY 3.** *Suppose  $\varphi(x)$  is a formula which defines the large subset  $X \subseteq N$ , and suppose  $\psi(u, y, x_0, \dots, x_{n-1})$  is an  $(n + 2)$ -ary formula. Then there is a formula  $\theta(x)$  which defines a large subset  $Y \subseteq X$  such that  $Y$  is eventually homogeneous for each  $f_{\psi,a,b}$ .*

To proceed with the proof of the first part of the Theorem, we use the countability of the language of  $\mathcal{N}$  to produce a set  $\Sigma(x)$  of unary formulas which has the following properties:

- (1)  $\text{Th}(\mathcal{N}) \subseteq \Sigma(x)$ ;
- (2)  $\Sigma(x)$  is deductively closed;
- (3) if  $\phi(x)$  and  $\psi(x)$  are as in Corollary 3, where  $\phi(x) \in \Sigma(x)$ , then there is a  $\theta(x) \in \Sigma(x)$  as in Corollary 3;
- (4) if  $\theta(x) \in \Sigma(x)$ , then  $\theta(x)$  defines a large subset.

From  $\Sigma(x)$  we construct a set  $\Gamma$  of formulas in the language of  $(\mathcal{N}, \langle X_i : i \in I \rangle)$  which involve only the free variables  $v_i$  for  $i \in I$ . Let  $\Gamma$  be the set of all formulas  $\varphi(v_{i_0}, \dots, v_{i_{n-1}})$  such that for some  $\theta(x) \in \Sigma(x)$  the sentence

$$\exists y \forall z > y \forall x_0, \dots, x_{n-1} \left[ \left( \bigwedge_{k < n} \theta(x_k) \wedge rk x_k = z \wedge X_{i_k}(x_k) \right) \longrightarrow \varphi(\bar{x}) \right]$$

is true in  $(\mathcal{N}, \langle X_i : i \in I \rangle)$ . By the genericity of  $\langle X_i : i \in I \rangle$  and the conditions (1)-(4) above, the set  $\Gamma$  is a complete, nonprincipal  $I$ -type. Furthermore, it follows that  $\Gamma$  is a definable type (in the sense of Definition 1.1 of [1]), and therefore induces an extension  $(\mathcal{M}, \langle Y_i : i \in I \rangle)$  of  $(\mathcal{N}, \langle X_i : i \in I \rangle)$ . Such extensions, induced by definable types, are always conservative end-extensions. (See [1].) This completes the proof of the first part of the theorem.

The remainder of theorem will be proved by modifying the previous proof by replacing Theorem 1 with a canonical version of itself. Some more definitions are needed.

Suppose  $n < \omega$ ,  $A \in (\omega)^n$ ,  $f: (\omega)^n \rightarrow \omega$  and  $X \subseteq \omega$ . Then  $f$  is *canonical on  $X$  above  $A$*  iff either  $X$  is homogeneous above  $A$  for  $f$  or else there is  $A_0 \subseteq A$  such that whenever  $A \trianglelefteq B$ ,  $C \in (X)^n$ , then

- (i)  $rk B < rk C \implies f(B) < f(C)$ , and
- (ii)  $rk B = rk C \implies (f(B) = f(C) \text{ iff } A_0 \trianglelefteq B \cap C)$ .

**COROLLARY 4.** *Suppose  $n < \omega$ ,  $A \in (\omega)^n$ ,  $f: (\omega)^n \rightarrow \omega$  and  $X \subseteq \omega$ , where  $X$  is large. Then there is  $B \in (\omega)^n$  and a large  $Y \subseteq X$  such that  $A \trianglelefteq B$  and  $f$  is canonical on  $Y$  above  $B$ .*

There is now an obvious definition of eventually canonical with its corresponding improvements to Corollaries 2 and 3. This stronger version of Corollary 3 gives rise to a new set  $\Sigma(x)$  of formulas, which yields the corresponding  $I$ -type  $\Gamma$ . Notice that if  $i \in I$  and  $\Gamma_i \subseteq \Gamma$  consists of those formulas in  $\Gamma$  which have  $v_i$  as the only free variable, then  $\Gamma$  is a minimal type ([1]). Then  $\Gamma_i$  induces a minimal conservative extension  $(\mathcal{M}_i, Y_i \cap M_i)$  of  $(\mathcal{N}, X_i)$ . More generally, for  $J \subseteq I$  let  $\Gamma_J \subseteq \Gamma$  consist of those formulas in  $\Gamma$  which have  $v_j$  ( $j \in J$ ) as their only free variables.  $\Gamma_J$  is a minimal  $J$ -type which induces the extension  $(\mathcal{M}_J, \langle Y_j \cap M_j : j \in J \rangle)$  of  $(\mathcal{N}, \langle X_j : j \in J \rangle)$ . The conditions on  $\Gamma$  imposed by Corollary 4 guarantee not only that the type  $\Gamma_J$  is definable but that it has the other properties required by the theorem. The one exception occurs when  $J = \phi$ , and in this case construct  $\Gamma_\phi$  as follows. Let  $\Gamma'$  be the deductive closure of  $\Gamma \cup \{v = rk v_i : i \in I\}$ . Then let  $\Gamma_\phi$  be the set of formulas in  $\Gamma'$  whose only free variable is  $v$ . It is easily seen that  $\Gamma_\phi$  is a minimal type, and that the induced extension  $\mathcal{M}_\phi$  has the described properties. This completes the proof of the theorem.

#### REFERENCES

1. H. Gaifman, *Models and types of Peano's arithmetic*, Annals of Math. Logic, **9** (1976), 223-306.
2. J. D. Halpern, *On a theorem of Laver and Pincus*, (preprint).
3. K. R. Milliken, *A Ramsey theorem for trees*, J. Combinatorial Theory (A), **26** (1979), 215-237.
4. J. H. Schmerl, *Peano models with many generic classes*, Pacific J. Math., **46** (1973), 523-536.

Received June 25, 1979 and in revised form June 11, 1980.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO  
LA JOLLA, CA 92093

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

## EDITORS

DONALD BABBITT (Managing Editor)

University of California  
Los Angeles, California 90024

HUGO ROSSI

University of Utah  
Salt Lake City, UT 84112

C. C. MOORE AND ANDREW OGG

University of California  
Berkeley, CA 94720

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics  
University of Southern California  
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. FINN AND J. MILGRAM

Stanford University  
Stanford, California 94305

## ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. ARENS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA

CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO

NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY

OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII

UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO

UNIVERSITY OF UTAH

WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

Printed in Japan by International Academic Printing Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan

Michael E. Adams and J. Sichler, Lattices with unique complementation . . . . .	1
Walter Allegretto, Positive solutions and spectral properties of second order elliptic operators . . . . .	15
Philip J. Boland and Sean Dineen, Holomorphy on spaces of distribution . . .	27
Duncan Alan Buell, Philip A. Leonard and Kenneth S. Williams, Note on the quadratic character of a quadratic unit . . . . .	35
Herbert Busemann and Bhalchandra B. Phadke, Two theorems on general symmetric spaces . . . . .	39
Emeric Deutsch, Bounds for the Perron root of a nonnegative irreducible partitioned matrix . . . . .	49
Charles F. Dunkl, A difference equation and Hahn polynomials in two variables . . . . .	57
Gustave Adam Efroymsen, The Riemann mapping theorem for planar Nash rings . . . . .	73
John Albert Fridy and Robert Ellis Powell, Tauberian theorems for matrices generated by analytic functions . . . . .	79
Denton Elwood Hewgill, John Hamilton Reeder and Marvin Shinbrot, Some exact solutions of the nonlinear problem of water waves . . . . .	87
Bessie Hershberger Kirkwood and Bernard Robert McDonald, The symplectic group over a ring with one in its stable range . . . . .	111
Esther Portnoy, Transitive groups of isometries on $H^n$ . . . . .	127
Jerry Ridenhour, On the sign of Green's functions for multipoint boundary value problems . . . . .	141
Nina M. Roy, An $M$ -ideal characterization of $G$ -spaces . . . . .	151
Edward Barry Saff and Richard Steven Varga, On incomplete polynomials. II . . . . .	161
Takeyoshi Satō, The equations $\Delta u = Pu$ ( $P \geq 0$ ) on Riemann surfaces and isomorphisms between relative Hardy spaces . . . . .	173
James Henry Schmerl, Correction to: "Peano models with many generic classes" . . . . .	195
Charles Madison Stanton, On the closed ideals in $A(W)$ . . . . .	199
Viakalathur Shankar Sunder, Unitary equivalence to integral operators . . .	211
Pavel G. Todorov, New explicit formulas for the $n$ th derivative of composite functions . . . . .	217
James Li-Ming Wang, Approximation by rational modules on boundary sets . . . . .	237
Kenneth S. Williams, The class number of $Q(\sqrt{p})$ modulo 4, for $p \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ a prime . . . . .	241