Pacific Journal of Mathematics

HOMOTOPY DIMENSION OF SOME ORBIT SPACES

Vo Thanh Liem

Vol. 92, No. 2 February 1981

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS
Vol. 92, No. 2, 1981

HOMOTOPY DIMENSION OF SOME ORBIT SPACES

VO THANH LIEM

The homotopy dimension of a compact absolute neighborhood retract space X is defined to be the least dimension among all the finite CW-complexes which have the same homotopy type of X. We show that actions of finite groups or actions of tori (with finite orbit types) on a finite-dimensional compact absolute neighborhood retract X do not raise homotopy dimension if the homotopy dimension of X is not two.

1. Introduction and preliminaries. Through this note, all actions are of finite types.

In [7], Oliver gave an affirmative answer to Conner's conjecture: "*The orbit space of an action of a compact Lie group on a finite-dimensional* AR *is an* AR". From West [10], it follows that every compact absolute neighborhood retract X (CANR X) has the homotopy type of a finite complex. So, we can define the homotopy dimension (h.d.) of a CANR X by

$$\text{h.d.}\,(X) = \min\{\dim K \mid K \text{ is a finite complex and } K \cong X\}\,.$$

On the other hand, Conner [5] has shown that the orbit space of an action of a compact Lie group on a finite-dimensional CANR is a CANR. It is natural to wonder whether the actions of a compact Lie group on a CANR can raise the homotopy dimension. We will show that the homotopy dimension does not increase when h.d. $(X) \neq 2$ and when the action comes from either a finite group or a toral group.

Combining a well-known result of Wall (Thm. F, [8]) and the result of West [10] (mentioned above), we can easily obtain the following lemma that will be needed in the sequel.

LEMMA 0. *A* CANR *has the homotopy type of a k-dimensional finite complex if and only if $H_q(\tilde{X}; Z) = 0$ for all $q > k$ and $H^{k+1}(X; \beta) = 0$ for every coefficient bundle β of $Z\pi_1(X)$-modules over X if $k \neq 2$. Moreover, if $H_q(\tilde{X}; Z) = 0$ for $q > 2$ and $H^3(X; \beta) = 0$; then* h.d. $(X) \leqq 3$.

2. Orbits of action of finite groups. Let G be a cyclic group of order p with a genertor g. The notation in [1] will be used as follows $1 - g$ and $1 + g + \cdots + g^{p-1}$ will be denoted respectively by τ and σ. If one of these is denoted by ρ, the other will be denoted by $\bar{\rho}$. If β is a sheaf of Z_p-modules over X/G, let $\underline{A}$ denote the sheaf

$$\{H^0(\pi^{-1}y; \pi^*\beta \,|\, \pi^{-1}y) \,|\, y \in X/G\} \quad \text{over} \quad X/G\ ,$$

where $\pi^*\beta$ is the pull back of β associated with the orbit map $\pi: X \to X/G$. If U is an open subset of X/G, let $\underline{A}_U$ denote the sheaf

$$[\cup \{H^0(\pi^{-1}y; \pi^*\beta \,|\, \pi^{-1}y) \,|\, y \in U\}] \cup [\{0_y \,|\, y \in X/G\}]$$

and let $\underline{A}_F$ (F closed in X/G) denote $\underline{A}/\underline{A}_{(X/G)-F}$ (refer to page 41 of [1]).

It will be convenient to establish the following preliminary lemmas before we begin the proof of the main result.

LEMMA 1. *Let $G = Z_p$, p prime, act on a* CANR X *with fixed point set F. Assume that $m = \dim X < \infty$ and that β_p is a bundle of coefficients of $Z_p\pi_1(X/G)$- modules over X/G. If* h.d. $(X) \leqq k$, *then $H^q(X/G; \beta_p) = 0$ for all $q \geqq k + 1$.*

Proof. Think of ρ and $\bar{\rho}$ as endomorphisms of the sheaf $\underline{A}$ and denote their images respectively by $\rho\underline{A}$ and $\bar{\rho}\underline{A}$. Since Z_p is a field, it follows that the following sequence of sheaves over X/G

$$0 \longrightarrow \bar{\rho}\underline{A} \longrightarrow \underline{A} \xrightarrow{\rho\oplus\eta} \rho\underline{A} \oplus \underline{A}_F \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact, where $\bar{\rho}\underline{A} \to \underline{A}$ is the inclusion and where $\eta: \underline{A} \to \underline{A}_F$ is the quotient homomorphism (Lemma 4.1 of [1]). This sequence induces an exact cohomology sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots \longrightarrow H^n(X/G; \bar{\rho}\underline{A}) &\longrightarrow H^n(X/G; \underline{A}) \\ \longrightarrow H^n(H/G; \rho\underline{A}) &\oplus H^n(X/G; \underline{A}_F) \longrightarrow \cdots . \end{aligned}$$

Let $H^n(\rho)$ denote $H^n(X/G; \rho\underline{A})$. Observe $H^n(X/G; \underline{A}_F) = H^n(F; \beta_p \,|\, F)$; then, from the above cohomology sequence and the fact that $H^n(X/G; \underline{A}) \cong H^n(X; \pi^*\beta_p)$ (see page 35, [1]), there are the following exact sequences:

$$\begin{array}{llll} H^q(X; \pi^*\beta_p) & \longrightarrow H^q(\sigma) & \oplus H^q(F; \beta_p \,|\, F) & \longrightarrow H^{q+1}(\tau)\ , \\ H^{q+1}(X; \pi^*\beta_p) & \longrightarrow H^{q+1}(\tau) & \oplus H^{q+1}(F; \beta_p \,|\, F) & \longrightarrow H^{q+2}(\sigma)\ , \\ \vdots & \quad\vdots & \quad\vdots & \quad\vdots \\ H^m(X; \pi^*\beta_p) & \longrightarrow H^m(\rho) & \oplus H^m(F; \beta_p \,|\, F) & \longrightarrow H^{m+1}(\bar{\rho})\ . \end{array}$$

Since h.d. $(X) \leqq k$, it follows from Lemma 0 that $H^n(X, \pi^*\beta_p) = 0$, for all $n \geqq q \geqq k + 1$. On the other hand, $H^{m+1}(\bar{\rho}) = 0$ since $\dim X = m < \infty$. Thus, we can show inductively that

(1) $H^q(X/G, F; \beta_p) = H^q(\sigma) = 0$, and

(2) $H^q(F; \beta_p \,|\, F) = 0$.

Hence, from the exact sequence of the pair $(X/G, F)$,

$$\cdots \longrightarrow H^q(X/G, F; \beta_p) \longrightarrow H^q(X/G; \beta_p) \longrightarrow H^q(F; \beta_p | F) \longrightarrow \cdots ,$$

it follows that $H^q(X/G; \beta_p) = 0$; and the proof of lemma is complete.

LEMMA 2. *Let $G = Z_p$, p prime, act on a* CANR *X with fixed point set $F \neq \emptyset$. Assume that* $\dim X = m < \infty$ *and that β is a bundle of coefficients of $Z\pi_1$-modules over X/G. Then $H^q(X/G; \beta) = 0$ for all $q \geqq k + 1$, if* h.d. $(X) \leqq k$.

Proof. Consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots \longrightarrow & H^q(X/G; \beta) & \xrightarrow{\times p} & H^q(X/G; \beta) & \longrightarrow & H^q(X/G; \beta_p) & \longrightarrow \cdots \\ & & {\scriptstyle \pi^*}\searrow \quad \nearrow{\scriptstyle \mu^*} & & & & \\ & & H^q(X; \pi^*\beta) = 0 & & & & \end{array}$$

where μ^* is the transfer map [1] and where the horizontal exact sequence is from the exact sequence of bundles of coefficients over X/G:

$$0 \longrightarrow \beta \xrightarrow{\times p} \beta \longrightarrow \beta_p \longrightarrow 0 .$$

So, it follows easily that $H^q(X/G; \beta) = 0$ if $q \geqq k + 1$, since $H^q(X; \pi^*\beta) = 0$ by Lemma 0 and $H^q(X/G; \beta_p) = 0$ by Lemma 1. The proof is now complete.

LEMMA 3. *Let a finite group G act on X with fixed point set $F \neq \emptyset$. If X has the homotopy type of a simplicial complex K^k, then $H_q(\widetilde{X/G}; Z) = 0$ for all $q > k$.*

Proof. Let $\pi^*(\widetilde{X/G})$ be the pullback of the universal covering space $p: \widetilde{X/G} \to X/G$ associated with the orbit map $\pi: X \to X/G$. Then, the induced map $\bar{P}: \pi^*(\widetilde{X/G}) \to X$ is a covering map and the lifting map π^* of π is the orbit map of the induced action of G on $\pi^*(\widetilde{X/G})$. Now, since $X \cong K^k$, it follows that $H_q(\pi^*(\widetilde{X/G}), Z) = 0$ for $q \geqq k + 1$. Then, the Smith theorem in the integral homology theory shows that $H_q(\widetilde{X/G}, Z) = 0$ for $q \geqq k + 1$. (Similar to the proof of Lemma 2 above by use of the transfer map μ_* on page 119 of [3].) Hence, the proof is complete.

THEOREM 1. *Suppose that a finite group G acts on a finite dimensional* CANR *X. If* h.d. $(X) \leqq k$ *and $k \neq 2$, then* h.d. $(X/G) \leqq k$. *If $k = 2$,* h.d. $(X/G \leqq 3)$.

Proof. *Step* 1. $G = Z_p$, *p prime.*

Case 1. $F = \varnothing$. See Lemma 2 of [6].

Case 2. $F \neq \varnothing$. It follows from Lemma 2 and Lemma 3 above that

(1) $H^q(X/G; \beta) = 0$, $q \geqq k+1$ and for any bundle coefficient β over X/G,

(2) $H_q(X/G; Z) = 0$, $q \geqq k+1$.

So, it follows from Lemma 0 that h.d. $(X) \leqq k$.

Step 2. *G is cyclic of order* p^n, *p prime.* We prove inductively on $|G|$, the order of G. Let H be a subgroup of G of order p^{n-1} then, h.d. $(X/H) \leqq k$ by induction hypothesis and the proof is complete by Step 1.

Step 3. *G is a finite p-group.* First, by an inductive proof as in Step 2 we may assume that G is abelian, since G is solvable. Therefore, we can write $G = Z_p^{n_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus Z_p^{n_k}$. Then, again an inductive proof as above will complete the proof for this case.

Step 4. *General case.* The proof will be similar to that of Theorem III. 5.2 in [1].

Suppose that $|G| = p_1^{n_1} \cdots p_s^{n_s}$ and that K_j is a p_j-Sylow subgroups of G, and denote $\pi_{2,j}$ the canonical map $X/K_j \to X/G$ for $j = 1, 2, \cdots, s$ as in [1]. Define $\pi'\colon H^*(X/G; \beta) \to \sum_{j=1}^{s} H^*(X/K_j; \pi_{2}^*{}_{j}\beta)$ by

$$\pi' = \pi_{2,1}^* + \cdots + \pi_{2,s}^* .$$

Observe that $H^q(X/K_j; \pi^*\beta) = 0$ for $q \geqq k+1$ and $j = 1, 2, \cdots, s$ by Step 3 above. Hence, if we can show that π' is injective, then $H^q(X/G; \beta) = 0$ for $q \geqq k+1$. Therefore, the theorem will follow by Lemma 0 and Lemma 3 above.

Now, let $\mu_j'\colon H^*(X/K_j; \pi_{2,j}^*\beta) \to H^*(K/G; \beta)$ be the tranfer map [1] such that $\mu_j'\pi_{2,j}^*$ is the multiplication by $|G|/|K_j|$. If $r \in \operatorname{Ker} \pi'$, then we have $|G|/|K_j|)\cdot r = \mu_j'\pi_{2,j}^*(r) = 0$ for each $j = 1, 2, \cdots, s$, since $\pi_{2,j}^* = 0$. Therefore, for each $j = 1, 2, \cdots, s$

$$(p_1^{n_1} \cdots p_{j-1}^{n_{j-1}} p_{j+1}^{n_{j+1}} \cdots p_s^{n_s})\cdot r = 0 .$$

Since the family $p_1^{n_1} \cdots p_{j+1}^{n_{j-1}} p_{j+1}^{n_{j+1}} \cdots p_s^{n_s}$, $j = 1, 2, \cdots, s$, is relatively prime, it follows that $r = 0$, and the proof is now complete.

3. Orbits of actions of total groups.

LEMMA 4. *Suppose that the circle group* S^1 *acts on a finite-*

dimensional CANR X. *If* h.d. $(X) \leqq k$, *then* $H^q(X/S^1; \beta) = 0$ *for all* $q \geqq k+1$ *and for all bundles of coefficients* β *over* X/S^1.

Proof. Assume that $H_1, \cdots, H_s$ are finite isotropy subgroups of the action. Let G be a finite cyclic subgroup of S^1 such that $H_1, \cdots, H_s$ are subgroups of G. Then h.d. $(X/G) \leqq k$ by the theorem above. So, we may assume that the action is semi-free, i.e., it has only two orbit types $\{e\}$ and S^1. Let β be a bundle of coefficients of $Z\pi_1$-modules over X/S^1, where $\pi_1 = \pi_1(X/S^1)$. From Lemma 0, it follows that $H^q(X; \pi^*\beta) = 0$ for all $q \geqq k+1$, where $\pi: X \to X/S^1$ is the orbit map.

Case 1. $F = \emptyset$. Since the action is free, $\{H^0(\pi^{-1}y; \pi^*\beta): y \in X/S^1\} = \beta$ and $\{H^1(\pi^{-1}y; \pi^*\beta): y \in X/S^1\} = \beta$. An observations on Leray spectral sequence (as in Case 2) proves the lemma for this case.

Case 2. $F \neq \emptyset$. Since $\pi^{-1}(y) = \{e\}$ or S^1, we have

(1) $E_2^{q,0} = H^q(X/S^1; H^0(\pi^{-1}y; \pi^*\beta \mid \pi^{-1}y)) = H^q(X/S^1; \beta)$,

(2) $E_2^{q,1} = H^q(X/S^1; H^1(\pi^{-1}y; \pi^*\beta \mid \pi^{-1}y)) = H^q(X/S^1, F; \beta)$, and

(3) $E_2^{q,s} = 0$ if $s \geqq 2$.

We now proceed by induction on q. Since $\dim X < \infty$, we may assume that $H^q(X/S^1; \beta) = 0$ for $q \geqq k+2$, then we will show that $H^{k+1}(X/S^1; \beta) = 0$.

Step 1. *To show that* $H^q(X/S^1, F; \beta) = 0$ *for* $q \geqq k+1$. By the induction hypothesis, we observe that for each $q \geqq k+2$, the E_2-term, $E_2^{q,0}$, of the Leray spectral sequence for the map π (page 140, [2]) is trivial, since $E_2^{q,0} = H^q(X/S^1; \beta)$ by (1). Observing the Leray spectral sequence $\{E_2^{q,s}\}$ of π, we can show that for all $r \geqq 2$

(a) $E_r^{k+1,1} = E_2^{k+1,1}$,

and

(b) $E_r^{k+2,0} = 0$;

therefore,

(a^1) $E_\infty^{k+1,1} = H^{k+1}(X/S^1, F; \beta)$ by (2),

and

(b^1) $E_\infty^{k+2,0} = 0$.

Now, from the convergence of $\{E_2^{q,s}\}$ to $H^*(X; \pi^*\beta)$ and from the fact that $H^{k+2}(X; \pi^*\beta) = 0$ by Lemma 0, we can show that $H^{k+1}(X/S^1, F; \beta) = 0$.

Step 2. *To show that* $H^q(X, F; \pi^*\beta) = 0$ *for* $q \geqq k+2$. Consider the Leray spectral sequence (page 140, [2]) of the map of pairs $\pi: (X, F) \to (X/S^1, F)$. First we observe that the sheaf $\xi = \{H^0(\pi^{-1}y, \pi^{-1}(y \cap F); \pi^*\beta \mid \pi^{-1}y) \mid y \in X/S^1\}$ and the sheaf $\eta = \{H^1(\pi^{-1}y,$

$\pi^{-1}(y \cap F); \pi^*\beta | \pi^{-1}y) | y \in X/S^1\}$ are the same over X/S^1, since $\pi^{-1}(y) = \{e\}$ or S^1. Moreover, from the definition of the relative cohomology (Prop. II. 12.2, [2]), it follows that $H^*(X/S^1, F; \beta) = H^*(X/S^1; \xi)$. Then, from Step 1 it follows that

$$E_2^{q,s} = \begin{cases} H^q(X/S^1, F; \beta) = 0 & \text{if} \quad q \geqq k+1 \\ 0 & \text{if} \quad s \geqq 2\,. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, $E_\infty^{q,s} = 0$ when $q + s \geqq k + 2$. Consequently, for $q \geqq k + 2$ $H^q(X, F; \beta) = 0$, since $\{E_2^{q,s}\}$ converges to $H^*(X, F; \beta)$.

Step 3. *To show that* $H^q(X/S^1; \beta) = 0$ *for* $q \geqq k + 1$. First, from the exact cohomology sequence of the pair (X, F) and from the fact of $H^q(X, F; \pi^*\beta) = 0$ for $q \geqq k + 2$, it follows that $H^q(F; \pi^*\beta | F) = 0$ for $q \geqq k + 1$. Then, we observe that $H^*(F; \pi^*\beta | F) = H^*(F; \beta | F)$, since F is the fixed point set. So, $H^q(F; \beta | F) = 0$ for $q \geqq k + 1$. Therefore, the exactness of the cohomology sequence of the pair $(X/S^1, F)$ shows that $H^q(X/S^1; \beta) = 0$ for $q \geqq k + 1$, since $H^q(X/S^1, F; \beta) = 0$ by Step 1, and the proof of lemma is now complete.

THEOREM 2. *Suppose that* T^m *acts on a finite-dimensional* CANR X. *Then*

(1) h.d. $(X/T^m) \leqq$ h.d. (X) *if* h.d. $(X) \neq 2$,

and

(2) h.d. $(X/T^m) \leqq 3$ *if* h.d. $(X) = 2$.

Proof. By induction m, without loss of generality we only consider the actions of S^1. By Lemmas 0 and 4, we only have to show that $H_q(\widetilde{X/S^1}; Z) = 0$ for all $q \geqq k + 1$. Again, by Lemma 4 above, $H^q(\widetilde{X/S^1}; Z) = 0$ for all $q \geqq k + 1$; therefore Ext $(H_{q-1}(\widetilde{X/S^1}); Z) = 0$ and Hom $(H_q(\widetilde{X/S^1}; Z); Z) = 0$ for all $q \geqq k + 1$ by the universal-coefficient theorem (Thm. 5.5.3 in [8]). Hence, for each $q \geqq k + 1$ Ext $(H_q(\widetilde{X/S^1}; Z); Z) = 0$ and Hom $(H_q(\widetilde{X/S^1}; Z); Z) = 0$; and it follows from Theorem V. 13.7 in [2] that $H_q(\widetilde{X/S^1}; Z) = 0$. The proof is now complete.

COROLLARY. *Let* G *be a compact Lie group such that* $|G/G_0|$ *is finite, where* G_0 *is the torus identity component of* G. *Let* G *act on a finite-dimensional* CANR X. *Then,*

(1) *if* h.d. $(X) \neq 2$, *then* h.d. $(X/G) \leqq$ h.d. (X),

(2) *if* h.d. $(X) = 2$, *then* h.d. $(X/G) \leqq 3$.

We conclude this paper by some remarks.

REMARKS. (1) It is a well-known problem in infinite-dimensional topology to determine whether the orbit space of an action of compact Lie group on the Hilbert cube $\prod_1^\infty [0, 1]$ is a CAR. This explains (maybe) the condition $\dim X < \infty$ in the above statements.

(2) The limitation, when $\text{h.d.}(X) = 2$, is from an unsettled problem.

(3) The author does not see how to extend these results for the case of actions of compact Lie groups on a CANR.

REFERENCES

1. A. Borel, *Seminar on Transformation groups,* Princeton University Press, #46, 1960.
2. G. E. Bredon, *Sheaf Theory,* McGraw-Hill, 1967.
3. ———, *Introduction to Compact Transformation Groups,* Academic Press, 1972.
4. T. A. Chapman, *Lecture on Hilbert cube manifolds*, CBMS Regional conference series in Math., #28, AMS, 1975.
5. P. E. Conner, *Retraction properties of the orbit spaces of a compact topological transformation group,* Duke Math. J., **27** (1960), 341-357.
6. V. T. Liem, *Factorization of free actions of finite groups on compact Q-manifolds,* Proc. of Amer. Math. Soc., **75** (1979), 334-338.
7. R. Oliver, *A proof of the Conner conjecture,* Ann. of Math., **103** (1976), 637-644.
8. E. H. Spanier, *Algebraic Topology,* McGraw Hill 1966.
9. C. T. C. Wall, *Finiteness conditions for CW-complexes,* Ann. of Math., (2) **81** (1965), 55-69.
10. J. E. West, *Mapping Hilbert cube manifolds to* ANR's: *A solution of a conjecture of Borsuk*, Ann. of Math., **106** (1977), 1-18.

Received November 30, 1979.

UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA
UNIVERSITY, AL 35486

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Please propose a heading for the odd numbered pages of less than 35 characters. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. **39**. Supply name and address of author to whom proofs should be sent. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints to each author are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: $102.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: $51.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address shoud be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924, U.S.A Old back numbers obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION
Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.).
8-8, 3-chome, Takadanobaba, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 92, No. 2 February, 1981