

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**BASE CHANGE FOR TEMPERED IRREDUCIBLE
REPRESENTATIONS OF $GL(n, \mathbf{R})$**

JOE REPKA

BASE CHANGE FOR TEMPERED IRREDUCIBLE REPRESENTATIONS OF $GL(n, \mathbf{R})$

JOE REPKA

Let π be a tempered irreducible representation of $GL(n, \mathbf{R})$. We prove the expected relation between the characters of π and its base change lifting.

0. Introduction. To each irreducible representation π of $GL(n, \mathbf{R})$ is associated its "base change lifting", an irreducible representation Π of $GL(n, \mathbf{C})$. It is expected that the characters of these two representations are related in a certain way, at least if π is tempered, and this relation has in fact been proved for $GL(2, \mathbf{R})$ by Shintani [4], and for representations of $GL(n, \mathbf{R})$ induced from unramified quasicharacters of a minimal parabolic subgroup by Clozel [1]. The purpose of this paper is to prove the relation for arbitrary tempered irreducible representations of $GL(n, \mathbf{R})$.

The proof involves computations not unlike those used to calculate the character of an induced representation. The representations in question are all induced from parabolic subgroups whose Levi components are products of copies of $GL(2)$ and $GL(1)$, so we are able to use Shintani's results for $GL(2)$ as a starting point. It is to be expected that a similar "inductive step" can be proved for the general quasi-split connected real reductive group, but technical problems make that more difficult.

1. Notation and preliminaries. Let $G = GL(n)$, $n \geq 3$. Every irreducible tempered representation π of $G_{\mathbf{R}}$ is induced from a cuspidal parabolic subgroup $P_{\mathbf{R}}$. After conjugation, we may assume $P = MN$, where the Levi component M consists of 2×2 and/or 1×1 blocks along the diagonal and N , the unipotent radical of P , consists of upper triangular matrices with diagonal entries all equal to 1 and with zero for those entries which lie inside the blocks of M . Thus $M \cong GL(2)^k \times GL(1)^{n-2k}$. Also let $K = U(n)$.

We recall some remarks about σ -conjugacy (see, e.g., [1], §2). Write g^σ for the complex conjugate of an element $g \in G_{\mathbf{C}}$. Two elements $g, g' \in G_{\mathbf{C}}$ are σ -conjugate if $g = h^\sigma g' h^{-1}$, for some $h \in G_{\mathbf{C}}$. If $g \in G_{\mathbf{C}}$, its norm is defined by $Ng = g^\sigma g$. If g and g' are σ -conjugate, then Ng and Ng' are conjugate in $G_{\mathbf{C}}$. As usual, we write $G'_{\mathbf{C}}$ for the regular elements of $G_{\mathbf{C}}$; we shall say g is σ -regular if $Ng \in G'_{\mathbf{C}}$, and write $G''_{\mathbf{C}}$ for the σ -regular elements of $G_{\mathbf{C}}$. The complement of $G''_{\mathbf{C}}$ is a real analytic subvariety of measure zero.

With $M \cong \text{GL}(2)^k \times \text{GL}(1)^{n-2k}$ as above (blocks along the diagonal), we wish to find representatives for the conjugacy classes of Cartan subgroups of M_R . Inside each 2×2 block, we may take either the split Cartan subgroup, consisting of the diagonal matrices, or the nonsplit Cartan subgroup $Z_R \cdot \text{SO}(2)$, where Z is the scalar matrices. Thus for each i , $0 \leq i \leq k$, we have $\binom{k}{i}$ subgroups which have i nonsplit factors. We label them T_j^i , $1 \leq j \leq \binom{k}{i}$, in any order. For fixed i , all the T_j^i are conjugate in G_R , though not in M_R . Fix i, j ; for each l , $1 \leq l \leq \binom{k}{i}$, let $s_l \in G_R$ be such that $s_l T_j^i s_l^{-1} = T_l^i$; let $S_j^i = \{s_1, s_2, \dots\}$.

By [1], Corollaire, p. 28, every element $g \in G_C''$ is σ -conjugate to an element of G_R , and Ng is conjugate to an element of G_R . Likewise every element $m \in M_C''$ is σ -conjugate to an element of M_R , by an element of M_C , and Nm is conjugate, in M_C , to an element of M_R .

2. Representations. The irreducible representation π of G_R is induced from a representation of P_R . Specifically, let ω be a discrete series representation of M_R , and extend it to the representation $\omega \otimes 1$ of $P_R = M_R N_R$, trivial on N_R . Then $\pi = \text{Ind}_{P_R}^{G_R} \omega \otimes 1$, and all tempered irreducible representations of G_R arise in this way, for some P and ω of this type.

The representation ω is associated in the usual way to a (Weyl group orbit of) character(s) λ of $(T_1^k)_R$, the compact Cartan subgroup of M_R . The restriction of the norm gives a homomorphism $N: (T_1^k)_C \rightarrow (T_1^k)_R$, so $\lambda = \lambda \circ N$ is a character of $(T_1^k)_C$. The base change lifting Π of π is the representation of G_C induced from the extension of λ to any minimal parabolic subgroup of G_C containing $(T_1^k)_C$. We may choose the minimal parabolic subgroup to be contained in P_C . The lifting Ω of ω to M_C is induced from the restriction of this character to the intersection of the minimal parabolic subgroup with M_C .

To obtain Π , we may first induce to P_C and then to G_C , so we see that $\Pi = \text{Ind}_{P_C}^{G_C} \Omega \otimes 1$.

The liftings Π and Ω are equivalent to their conjugates Π^σ and Ω^σ ($\Pi^\sigma(g) = \Pi(g^\sigma)$, etc.); i.e., there are involutions A_Π and A_Ω so that $A_\Pi \circ \Pi(g) \circ A_\Pi = \Pi^\sigma(g)$, $A_\Omega \circ \Omega(m) \circ A_\Omega = \Omega^\sigma(m)$. If $f \in C_c^\infty(G_C)$, then $\Pi(f) = \int f(g) \Pi(g) dg$ is an operator of trace class, and moreover $f \mapsto \text{trace}(\Pi(f) \circ A_\Pi)$ is a distribution. We wish to show that this distribution is in fact given by a function θ_Π^σ , and that $\theta_\Pi^\sigma(g) = \theta_\pi(Ng)$, where on the right side θ_π is the character of π , extended to a conjugate-invariant function on $G_R^{G_C}$.

Shintani [4] has proved this relation for $GL(2)$, so it follows immediately for $M \cong GL(2)^k \times GL(1)^{n-2k}$; in particular, $f \mapsto \text{trace}(\Omega(f) \circ A_\Omega)$ is given by a function θ_Ω^σ , and

$$(2.1) \quad \theta_\Omega^\sigma(m) = \theta_\omega(Nm) .$$

(Actually, there is an ambiguous sign in the definition of the involution A_Ω , but we fix it so as to make (2.1) hold.)

Suppose Ω acts on the Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_Ω . Then Π acts by right translation on the space \mathcal{H}_Π of functions $\phi: G_C \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\Omega$ such that $\phi(pg) = \delta_c^{1/2}(p)(\Omega \otimes 1)(p)\phi(g)$, ($p \in P_C$), and $\phi|_K \in L^2(K, \mathcal{H}_\Omega)$ —here δ_c is the modular function of P_C . Define the operator A_Π on \mathcal{H}_Π by $A_\Pi\phi(g) = A_\Omega\phi(g^\sigma)$.

LEMMA 2.1. (i) If $\phi \in \mathcal{H}_\Pi$, then $A_\Pi\phi \in \mathcal{H}_\Pi$.

(ii) For $g \in G_C$, $A_\Pi \circ \Pi(g) = \Pi(g^\sigma) \circ A_\Pi$.

Proof. (i) The square-integrability is easy. If $g \in G_C$, $p \in P_C$, then $A_\Pi\phi(pg) = A_\Omega\phi(p^\sigma g^\sigma) = \delta_c^{1/2}(p^\sigma)A_\Omega \circ (\Omega \otimes 1)(p^\sigma)\phi(g^\sigma) = \delta_c^{1/2}(p)(\Omega \otimes 1)(p) \circ A_\Omega\phi(g^\sigma) = \delta_c^{1/2}(p)(\Omega \otimes 1)(p)A_\Pi\phi(g)$.

(ii) $A_\Pi \circ \Pi(g)\phi(g') = A_\Omega(\Pi(g)\phi)(g'^\sigma) = A_\Omega\phi(g'^\sigma g) = A_\Pi\phi(g'g^\sigma)$. □

3. JACOBIANS. Given the parabolic subgroup $P = MN$, as above, we let \mathfrak{n} be the Lie algebra of N . If $m \in M_R$ (resp. M_C), then \mathfrak{n}_R (resp. \mathfrak{n}_C) is $Ad(m)$ -invariant. We denote by σ the complex conjugation on \mathfrak{n}_C , and by δ_R (resp. δ_C) the modular function of P_R (resp. P_C); i.e., $\delta_R(m) = \det(Ad(m)|_{\mathfrak{n}_R})$; $\delta_C = \det(Ad(m)|_{\mathfrak{n}_C})$.

DEFINITION. If $m \in M_R$, define $\Delta(m) = \delta_R(m)^{-1/2} \det(I - Ad(m))_{\mathfrak{n}_R}$. If $m \in M_C$, define $\Delta^\sigma(m) = \delta_C(m)^{-1/2} \det(I - Ad(m) \circ \sigma)_{\mathfrak{n}_C}$.

We remark that $\Delta(m)$ is invariant under conjugation by M_R , so we may extend it to an M_C -conjugate-invariant function on $M_R^{N_C}$, the elements of M_C which are conjugate to elements of M_R . We remark too that Δ^σ is σ -conjugate invariant—in fact both factors are σ -conjugate invariant.

PROPOSITION 3.1. If $m \in M_C$ is σ -regular, then $\Delta^\sigma(m) = \Delta(Nm)$.

Proof. Note that the right side makes sense, since $Nm \in M_R^{N_C}$. By the σ -conjugate invariance of Δ^σ , we may assume $m \in T_R$, where T is a Cartan subgroup of M defined over \mathbf{R} . Thus $Nm = m^2$, and $\Delta(Nm) = \delta_R(m^2)^{-1/2} \prod (1 - \alpha(m^2))$, where the product is over those roots α which appear in the decomposition of the action of T_R on \mathfrak{n}_R .

On the other hand, in the action of T_c on \mathfrak{n}_c , the roots occur in conjugate pairs β, β^σ , where $\beta^\sigma(t) = \beta(t^\sigma)$, and where $\beta|_{T_R} = \beta^\sigma|_{T_R}$ is one of the roots α of T_R in \mathfrak{n}_R . Moreover, the conjugation σ on \mathfrak{n}_c interchanges the root spaces corresponding to β and β^σ . Thus on the span of these two root spaces, relative to a basis of root vectors, $Ad(m) \circ \sigma$ is given by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \beta(m) & 0 \\ 0 & \beta^\sigma(m) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \beta(m) \\ \beta^\sigma(m) & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The matrix of $Ad(m) \circ \sigma$ on all of \mathfrak{n}_c is a sum of blocks of this type, so $\det(I - Ad(m) \circ \sigma)_{\mathfrak{n}_c} = \prod \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\beta(m) \\ -\beta^\sigma(m) & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \prod (1 - \beta(m)\beta^\sigma(m))$. And for our real m , $\beta^\sigma(m) = \beta(m) = \alpha(m)$, so

$$\Delta^\sigma(m) = \delta_c(m)^{-1/2} \prod (1 - \alpha(m)\alpha(m)) = \delta_R(m)^{-1} \prod (1 - \alpha(m^2)) = \Delta(m^2) = \Delta(Nm),$$

as desired. □

4. Integration formulas. We need to develop integral formulas that are adapted to integration over σ -conjugacy classes, analogous to the familiar formulas for ordinary conjugacy.

Let $T = T_j^i$ and consider the mapping $G_R/T_R \times T_R' \rightarrow G_R$ given by $(\dot{g}, t) \mapsto \dot{g}t\dot{g}^{-1}$. It has order equal to $w_G^i = |w(G_R, (T_j^i)_R)|$. The restriction of this map to $M_R/T_R \times T_R'$ has order $w_M^i = |w(M_R, (T_j^i)_R)|$.

The σ -twisted analogues of these mappings are the map $G_c/T_R \times T_R'' \rightarrow G_c$ given by $(\dot{g}, t) \mapsto \dot{g}^\sigma t \dot{g}^{-1}$, and its restriction $M_c/T_R \times T_R'' \rightarrow M_c$.

We calculate their orders: suppose $g^\sigma t g^{-1} = h^\sigma s h^{-1}$, $g, h \in G_c$; $s, t \in T_R''$. Taking norms, we find $h^{-1} g t^2 g^{-1} h = s^2$. Letting S be a set of representatives for $W(G_R, T_R)$, we see that $t^2 = w s^2 w^{-1}$, for some $w \in S$. Thus $wh^{-1}g \in T_c$, i.e., $h^{-1}g = w^{-1}t'$, some $t' \in T_c$. So $s = h^{-\sigma} g^\sigma t g^{-1} h = w^{-\sigma} t'^\sigma t t'^{-1} w = w^{-1} t'^\sigma t'^{-1} t w$, or $t'^\sigma t'^{-1} t = w s w^{-1} \in T_R$, so $t'^\sigma t'^{-1} \in T_R$. Modulo T_R , this allows only finitely many possibilities for t' . It is in fact easy to see that there are 2^{n-i} possibilities for t' , modulo T_R . The same analysis applies to M_c , with the difference that w must be in M_R , though the possibilities for t' remain the same. We record the result as

LEMMA 4.1. *Let $T = T_j^i$, for some i, j . The maps $(\dot{g}, t) \mapsto \dot{g}^\sigma t \dot{g}^{-1}$, $G_c/T_R \times T_R'' \rightarrow G_c$ and its restriction to $M_c/T_R \times T_R''$ have orders $2^{n-i} w_G^i$ and $2^{n-i} w_M^i$ respectively.*

Next we prove the σ -twisted analogue of a familiar result ([3], Lemma 5.2; cf. [5], Theorem 1.1.4.4).

PROPOSITION 4.2. *If $m \in M_c''$ is such that $\Delta^\sigma(m) \neq 0$, then the*

map $n \mapsto m^{-1}n^{\circ}mn^{-1}$ is an analytic diffeomorphism $N_c \rightarrow N_c$, with Jacobian equal to $\det(Ad(m^{-1}) \circ \sigma - I)_{\mathfrak{n}_c}$.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{n}_{n-1} = \{0\}$, $\mathfrak{n}_r = \{X \in \mathfrak{n}_c : [X, \mathfrak{n}_c] \subseteq \mathfrak{n}_{r+1}\}$. Then $\mathfrak{n}_c = \mathfrak{n}_0 \supseteq \mathfrak{n}_1 \supseteq \mathfrak{n}_2 \supseteq \dots \supseteq \mathfrak{n}_{n-1} = \{0\}$. Letting $A_1 = Ad(m^{-1}) \circ \sigma$, $A_2 = -I$, we can apply [5], Lemma 1.1.4.2. \square

Fix Haar measures on $M_R, N_R, M_c, N_c, K, K \cap G_R$, and use them to define Haar measure on G_R by

$$\int f(g)dg = \int_{K \cap G_R} \int_{N_R} \int_{M_R} f(mnk)dmdndk,$$

and similarly for G_c .

We apply [5], formula II in § 8.1.2, to M_R and G_R and find that for $\phi \in C_c^\infty(M_R)$, $f \in C_c^\infty(G_R)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{M_R} \phi(m)dm &= \sum_{0 \leq i \leq k} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq \binom{k}{i}} (w_M^i)^{-1} \\ &\quad \cdot \int_{M/T_j^i} \int_{T_j^i} \phi(mt\dot{m}^{-1}) |\det(Ad(t^{-1}) - I)_{\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{t}_j^i}| dt d\dot{m} \\ \int_{G_R} f(g)dg &= \sum_{0 \leq i \leq k} (w_G^i)^{-1} \\ &\quad \cdot \int_{G/T_1^i} \int_{T_1^i} f(\dot{g}t\dot{g}^{-1}) |\det(Ad(t^{-1}) - I)_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{t}_1^i}| dt d\dot{g}. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{t}_j^i$ are the Lie algebras of M, G, T_j^i , and we have suppressed the subscript \mathbf{R} on $T_j^i, M, G, \mathfrak{t}_j^i, \mathfrak{m}$, and \mathfrak{g} . For fixed i , all the T_j^i 's are conjugate in G_R , so T_1^i in the second formula could be replaced by any T_j^i , or better yet by their average:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{G_R} f(g)dg &= \sum_{0 \leq i \leq k} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq \binom{k}{i}} \binom{k}{i}^{-1} (w_G^i)^{-1} \\ &\quad \cdot \int_{G/T_j^i} \int_{T_j^i} f(\dot{g}t\dot{g}^{-1}) |\det(Ad(t^{-1}) - I)_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{t}_j^i}| dt d\dot{g}. \end{aligned}$$

Replacing \dot{g} by $kn\dot{m}$, with $k \in K \cap G_R$, $n \in N_R$, $\dot{m} \in M_R/(T_j^i)_R$, we see the above integral equals

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{K \cap G_R} \int_{N_R} \int_{M_R/(T_j^i)_R} \int_{(T_j^i)_R} f(kn\dot{m}t\dot{m}^{-1}n^{-1}k^{-1}) |\det(Ad(t^{-1}) - I)_{\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{t}_j^i}| dt d\dot{m} \\ \cdot |\det(Ad(t^{-1}) - I)_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{t}_j^i}| \cdot |\det(Ad(t^{-1}) - I)_{\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{t}_j^i}|^{-1} dndk. \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} &|\det Ad(t^{-1}) - I)_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{t}_j^i}| \cdot |\det(Ad(t^{-1}) - I)_{\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{t}_j^i}|^{-1} \\ &= |\det(Ad(t^{-1}) - I)_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{m}}| = \Delta(t)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Collecting constants, if $m \in M'_R$ is conjugate to an element of T_j^i , we define $r(m) = \binom{k}{i}^{-1} w_M^i/w_G^i$. Then

$$(4.1) \quad \int f(g)dg = \int_{K \cap G_R} \int_{N_R} \int_{M_R} r(m) f(knmn^{-1}k^{-1}) \Delta(m)^2 dm dn dk .$$

Next we turn to the σ -conjugate situation. Let $M_j^i = \{m^\sigma t m^{-1} : m \in M_C, t \in (T_j^i)_R\}$, $M^i = \bigcup_j M_j^i$, $G^i = \{g^\sigma m g^{-1} : g \in G_C, m \in M^i\}$. Using Proposition 4.2 and an argument analogous to the one used to prove the corresponding untwisted formulas ([2], Corollary 2, p. 94; [5], § 8.1.2), we find that for $f \in C_c^\infty(G^i)$, the integral

$$\int_K \int_{N_C} \int_{M_j^i} f(k^\sigma n^\sigma m n^{-1} k^{-1}) \Delta^\sigma(m)^2 dm dn dk$$

is a constant multiple of $\int f(g)dg$. Moreover, from Lemma 4.1 we see that the constant is $2^{n-i} w_G^i / (2^{n-i} w_M^i) = w_G^i/w_M^i$. It works equally well for any j , so, averaging, we find

$$\int_{G^i} f(g)dg = \binom{k}{i}^{-1} w_M^i/w_G^i \int_K \int_{N_C} \int_{M^i} f(k^\sigma n^\sigma m n^{-1} k^{-1}) \Delta^\sigma(m)^2 dm dn dk .$$

Combining all the G^i 's, we can write, for $f \in C_c^\infty(\bigcup_i G^i)$,

$$(4.2) \quad \int f(g)dg = \int_K \int_{N_C} \int_{M_C} r(m) f(k^\sigma n^\sigma m n^{-1} k^{-1}) \Delta^\sigma(m)^2 dm dn dk$$

where for $m \in M^i$, $r(m) = r(Nm) = \binom{k}{i}^{-1} w_M^i/w_G^i$.

5. Integral operators. For $f \in C_c^\infty(G_C)$, we express $\Pi(f) \circ A_\Pi$ as an integral operator. If $\phi \in \mathcal{L}_\Pi$, $k_0 \in K$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi(f) \circ A_\Pi \phi(k_0) &= \int_{G_C} f(g) A_\Pi \phi(k_0 g) dg = \int f(k_0^{-1} g) A_\Pi \phi(g) dg \\ &= \int_K \int_{N_C} \int_{M_C} f(k_0^{-1} m n k) A_\Pi \phi(m n k) dm dn dk \\ &= \iiint f(k_0^{-1} m n k) \delta_C(m)^{1/2} \Omega(m) A_\Omega \phi(k^\sigma) dm dn dk \\ &= \iiint f(k_0^{-1} n^\sigma m n^{-1} k^\sigma) \Delta^\sigma(m) \Omega(m) A_\Omega \phi(k) dm dn dk \\ &= \int_K K_f(k_0, k) \phi(k) dk , \end{aligned}$$

where $K_f(k_0, k)$ is the operator-valued kernel

$$\int_{N_C} \int_{M_C} f(k_0^{-1} n^\sigma m n^{-1} k^\sigma) \Delta^\sigma(m) \Omega(m) A_\Omega dm dn .$$

To find the trace of this operator we use Hirai's generalization ([3], § 4) of the usual procedure and integrate the kernel along the diagonal and find the trace of the resulting operator, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{trace} (\Pi(f) \circ A_\Pi) &= \text{trace} \int_{\mathbf{K}} K_f(k, k) dk \\ &= \text{trace} \left[\int_{\mathbf{K}} \int_{N_{\mathbf{C}}} \int_{M_{\mathbf{C}}} f(k^{-1}n^\sigma m n^{-1}k^\sigma) \Delta^\sigma(m) \Omega(m) dm dndk \circ A_\Omega \right] \\ &= \int_{M_{\mathbf{C}}} \int_{N_{\mathbf{C}}} \int_{\mathbf{K}} f(k^\sigma n^\sigma m n^{-1}k^{-1}) \Delta^\sigma(m) \theta_\Omega^\sigma(m) dk dndm \\ &= \int_{G_{\mathbf{C}}} f(g) \theta_\Pi^\sigma(g) dg, \end{aligned}$$

where, using (4.2) and symmetrizing $\Delta^{\sigma^{-1}}\theta_\Omega^\sigma$, we have $\theta_\Pi^\sigma(h^\sigma g h^{-1}) = \theta_\Pi^\sigma(g)$, for $g, h \in G_{\mathbf{C}}$, and if $t \in (T_j^i)_{\mathbf{R}}$

$$\begin{aligned} (5.1) \quad \theta_\Pi^\sigma(t) &= r(t)^{-1} \binom{k}{i}^{-1} w_M^i / w_G^i \sum_{s \in S_j^i} \sum_w \Delta^{\sigma^{-1}} \theta_\Omega^\sigma(wsts^{-1}w^{-1}) \\ &= \sum_s \sum_w \Delta^{\sigma^{-1}} \theta_\Omega^\sigma(wsts^{-1}w^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

The inner sum is over $w \in W(M_{\mathbf{R}}, T_j^i) \setminus W(G_{\mathbf{R}}, T_j^i)^s$, and the outer sum over $s \in S_j^i$ averages over the various T_j^i 's. Also $\theta_\Pi^\sigma(g) = 0$ unless $g \in \bigcup_{i=0}^k G^i$.

6. The character relation. We are now able to state:

THEOREM. *Let π be an irreducible tempered representation of $GL(n, \mathbf{R})$, Π its base change lifting. Let A_Π be an involution with $A_\Pi \circ \Pi(g) = \Pi^\sigma(g) \circ A_\Pi$. The distribution*

$$f \mapsto \text{trace}(\Pi(f) \circ A_\Pi) \quad (f \in C_c^\infty(GL(n, \mathbf{C})))$$

is given by a function θ_Π^σ on $GL(n, \mathbf{C})$, and the sign of A_Π may be chosen so that $\theta_\Pi^\sigma(g) = \theta_\pi(Ng)$.

Proof. The result is trivial for $GL(1)$, and for $GL(2)$ has been done by Shintani [4]. For $n \geq 3$, all that remains to be shown is the last identity, and, ignoring a null set, it suffices to consider $g \in G_{\mathbf{C}}'$. We fix A_Π as in § 2.

By the familiar untwisted analogue of the computation in § 5, we can use (4.1) to calculate θ_π (cf. [3]). For $t \in (T_j^i)_{\mathbf{R}}$, we find $\theta_\pi(t) = \sum_{s \in S_j^i} \sum_w \Delta^{-1} \theta_\omega(wsts^{-1}w^{-1})$. The inner sum is over $w \in W(M_{\mathbf{R}}, (T_j^i)^\sigma) \setminus W(G_{\mathbf{R}}, T_j^i)^s$. Also $\theta_\pi(g) = 0$ unless $g \in M_{\mathbf{R}}^{G_{\mathbf{R}}}$.

We know from § 5 that $\theta_\Pi^\sigma(g) = 0$ unless $g \in \bigcup G^i$. Thus the desired relation holds for $g \notin \bigcup G^i$, so we may suppose $g \in G^i$. By

the invariance of θ_π and the σ -conjugate invariance of θ_π^σ , we can assume $g = t \in (T_j^i)_R$, so $Ng = t^2$.

The result follows by comparing the above formula for $\theta_\pi(Nt) = \theta_\pi(t^2)$ with formula (5.1) for $\theta_\pi^\sigma(t)$, and applying Proposition 3.1 and formula (2.1). \square

REFERENCES

1. L. Clozel, "Base change" géométrique: Relèvement de la série principale de $GL(n, C/R)$, Actes du Colloque d'Analyse Harmonique Non-Commutative, Marseille-Luminy, Lect. Notes Math., Vol. **728**.
2. Harish-Chandra, *Two theorems on semi-simple Lie groups*, Ann. of Math., **83** (1966), 74-128.
3. T. Hirai, *The characters of some induced representations of semisimple Lie groups*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ., **8** (1968), 313-363.
4. T. Shintani, *On irreducible unitary characters of a certain group extension of $GL(2, C)$* , J. Math. Soc. Japan, **29** (1977), 165-188.
5. G. Warner, *Harmonic Analysis on Semi-Simple Lie Groups*, Vols. **I, II**, Springer-Verlag (New York, Heidelberg, Berlin), 1972.

Received June 7, 1980.

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO
TORONTO, CANADA

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

DONALD BABBITT (Managing Editor)

University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

HUGO ROSSI

University of Utah
Salt Lake City, UT 84112

C. C. MOORE AND ANDREW OGG

University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. FINN AND J. MILGRAM

Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. ARENS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA

CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO

NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY

OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII

UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO

UNIVERSITY OF UTAH

WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

Richard Arens , Reducing the order of a Lagrangian	1
Richard Arens , Manifestly dynamic forms in the Cartan-Hamilton treatment of classical fields	13
Jimmy T. Arnold , Power series rings over discrete valuation rings	31
Charles A. Asmuth and Joe Repka , Supercuspidal components of the quaternion Weil representation of $SL_2(\mathbb{F})$	35
Luis A. Caffarelli and Avner Friedman , Sequential testing of several simple hypotheses for a diffusion process and the corresponding free boundary problem	49
William B. Jacob , Fans, real valuations, and hereditarily-Pythagorean fields	95
W. J. Kim , Asymptotic properties of nonoscillatory solutions of higher order differential equations	107
Wayne Steven Lewis , Embeddings of the pseudo-arc in E^2	115
Daniel Alan Marcus , Closed factors of normal \mathbf{Z} -semimodules	121
Mitsuru Nakai and Leo Sario , Harmonic functionals on open Riemann surfaces	147
John Currie Quigg, Jr. , On the irreducibility of an induced representation	163
John Henry Reinoehl , Lie algebras and Hopf algebras	181
Joe Repka , Base change for tempered irreducible representations of $GL(n, \mathbf{R})$	193
Peter John Rowley , Solubility of finite groups admitting a fixed-point-free automorphism of order rst . I	201
Alan C. Woods , The asymmetric product of three homogeneous linear forms	237