

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

ON THE TOPOLOGY OF DIRECT LIMITS OF ANRS

RICHARD ELAM HEISEY AND HENRYK TORUNCZYK

ON THE TOPOLOGY OF DIRECT LIMITS OF ANR'S

R. E. HEISEY AND H. TORUŃCZYK

Let $\{(X_n, a_n)\}$ be a sequence of pointed, locally compact, finite-dimensional, nondegenerate, connected ANR's. It is shown that the direct limit of the system

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 &\longrightarrow X_1 \times \{a_2\} \subset X_1 \times X_2 \longrightarrow X_1 \times X_2 \times \{a_3\} \subset X_1 \times X_2 \times X_3 \\ &\longrightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

is homeomorphic to an open subset of $R^\infty = \varinjlim R^n$, R the reals. As a consequence, if $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a homotopy equivalence between ANR's as above then $\varinjlim f^n: \varinjlim X^n \rightarrow \varinjlim Y^n$ is homotopic to a homeomorphism.

A. Introduction. Infinite countable products of complete AR's have been shown to be in most cases homeomorphic to either the Hilbert cube or a Hilbert space: by combined results of Anderson [1], West [9] and Edwards [2] the product $\prod X_i$ is homeomorphic to $\prod_i [0, 1]_i$ provided all the X_i are compact and nondegenerate; similarly, any product of countably many noncompact AR's of the same weight is, topologically, a Hilbert space (see [8]). The latter result can be used to show that if (X_i, a_i) are pointed, finite-dimensional, σ -compact AR's then the space

$$(i) \quad \sum (X_i, a_i) = \{(x_i) \in \prod X_i: x_i = a_i \text{ for almost all } i\}$$

is, in the product topology, homeomorphic to the incomplete linear subspace l_2^f consisting of all eventually zero sequences in l_2 , the Hilbert space.

In this note we show that, under the additional assumption that the X_i 's are locally compact, the space (i) considered in the *direct limit topology* is homeomorphic to another familiar topological space, namely R^∞ , the direct limit of finite products of R , the real line. More generally, we have the following:

THEOREM. *Let $\{(X_n, a_n)\}$ be a sequence of pointed, locally compact, finite-dimensional, connected ANR's having more than one point. Then the direct limit of the system*

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 &\longrightarrow X_1 \times \{a_2\} \subset X_1 \times X_2 \longrightarrow X_1 \times X_2 \times \{a_3\} \subset X_1 \times X_2 \times X_3 \\ &\longrightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

is homeomorphic to an open subset of R^∞ .

For results concerning the topological properties of R^∞ we refer the reader to [4] and [5]. It is shown there that the R^∞ -manifolds

possess many of the properties of l_2 -manifolds; in particular, if f is a homotopy equivalence between R^∞ -manifolds then f is homotopic to a homeomorphism. Combined with a result of Hansen, Theorem 6.2 of [3], this gives the following.

COROLLARY. *If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a homotopy equivalence between locally compact, finite-dimensional, connected ANR's having more than one point, then $\varinjlim f^n: \varinjlim X^n \rightarrow \varinjlim Y^n$ is homotopic to a homeomorphism.*

Despite the above-mentioned similarity of R^∞ and l_2 manifolds no intrinsic characterization of R^∞ -manifolds corresponding to the characterizations of l_2 and Q -manifolds (see [8]) is known. The motivation of this paper was to show that the direct limit operation leads naturally to such manifolds (see also the Proposition in § C). Earlier, it was shown by Henderson [6] that taking products of R^∞ with locally compact, finite-dimensional ANR's yields open subsets of R^∞ . Our result generalizes Henderson's. However, while Henderson's technique involved the linear structure of R^∞ (and has since been applied to studying factors of other linear topological spaces) our proofs involve merely the construction of embeddings from finite-dimensional compacta into products of ANR's.

B. Notation and lemmas. In this section all spaces are separable and metric. If d_i is the metric on X_i , $i \leq n$, we take $\max\{d_i(x_i, y_i): i \leq n\}$ as the metric on $X_1 \times \cdots \times X_n$. By I and I^k we denote $[0, 1]$ and the k -fold product of $[0, 1]$, respectively. If $k = 0$, I^k is the singleton.

A map (= continuous function) $g: X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be *approximable* by elements of the family \mathcal{F} of maps $X \rightarrow Y$ if for any admissible metric d for Y there is an $f \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $d(f, g) < 1$. (If X is compact this coincides with the concept of being in the closure of \mathcal{F} in the compact-open topology.)

We say that $A \subset X$ is a Z^k -set, $k \geq 0$, if any map $I^k \rightarrow X$ can be approximated by maps whose images are disjoint from A . A map whose image is a Z^k -set will be called a Z^k -map.

We shall consider spaces X having the following property, sometimes called the disjoint k -cube property.

(*)_k Any map $I^k \times \{1, 2\} \rightarrow X$ is approximable by maps sending $I^k \times \{1\}$ and $I^k \times \{2\}$ to disjoint sets.

The following generalizes the fact that R^{2k+1} has property (*)_k.

LEMMA 1. *If $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{2k+1}$ are locally contractible spaces with no isolated points then $X_1 \times \cdots \times X_{2k+1}$ has the property (*)_k.*

For a proof see [8].

LEMMA 2. *If X is complete and satisfies $(*)_k$, then any map $I^k \rightarrow X$ is approximable by Z^k -maps.*

The proof is the same as that of Remark 3 of [7].

LEMMA 3. *Let X be an ANR satisfying $(*)_k$, let A and B be disjoint compacta of dimension $\leq k$, and let X_0 be a closed Z^k -set in X . Then any map $A \cup B \rightarrow X$ is approximable by maps $g: A \cup B \rightarrow X$ satisfying $g(A) \cap g(B) = \emptyset$ and $g(A \cup B) \cap X_0 = \emptyset$.*

Proof. Since X is an ANR each map $A \cup B \rightarrow X$ can be approximated by compositions of the form $A \cup B \rightarrow K \rightarrow X$, where K is a polyhedron of dimension $\leq \dim(A \cup B)$. Thus, we may assume that A and B are compact polyhedra, and the result follows from the fact that in this case A and B are finite unions of cells of dimension $\leq k$. (Details are left to the reader; cf. the proof of the next result.)

PROPOSITION 4. *Let A and X be locally compact spaces, let A_0 be a closed subset of A and let $f: A \rightarrow X$ be a map such that $f(A_0)$ is a closed Z^k -set. If $\dim A \leq k$ and X is an ANR satisfying $(*)_k$, then f is approximable by Z^k -maps $g: A \rightarrow X$ such that $g|_{A_0} = f|_{A_0}$, $g(A \setminus A_0) \cap g(A_0) = \emptyset$, and $g|_{(A \setminus A_0)}$ is one-to-one.*

Proof. A proof is given in [7] for the case $k = \infty$ and $A_0 = \emptyset$. The proof of the general case is similar; we include it for completeness.

Fix a metric d_0 for X . Let $d \geq d_0$ be a complete metric for X and let $\{A_i\}_{i \in N}$ be a family of compact subsets of $A \setminus A_0$ such that for any pair x and y of distinct points of $A \setminus A_0$ there are $i, j \in N$ with $x \in A_i$, $y \in A_j$, and $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$. Let $\{f_i\}_{i \in N}$ be a dense subset of $C(I^k, X)$ consisting of Z^k -maps such that $f_i(I^k) \cap f(A_0) = \emptyset$ (see Lemma 2). With $F = \{g \in C(A, X): g|_{A_0} = f|_{A_0}\}$ it follows from Lemma 3 and [7, Lemma C] that for each $i, j \in N$ with $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$, the set

$$G_{i,j,l} = \{g \in F: g(A_i) \cap g(A_j) = \emptyset \text{ and} \\ g(A_i \cup A_j) \cap [f_i(I^k) \cup f(A_0)] = \emptyset\}$$

is dense and open in F . (We equip F with the sup metric \hat{d} induced by d .) Since (F, \hat{d}) is complete it follows that $G = \bigcap \{G_{i,j,l}: A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset, l \in N\}$ is dense in F . This completes the proof since $f \in F$ and any $g \in G$ satisfies the desired conditions.

COROLLARY 5. *If in Lemma 4 it is additionally assumed that*

f is proper and $f|_{A_0}$ is an embedding, then the approximations $g: A \rightarrow X$ can be taken to be closed Z^k -embeddings.

Proof. Use the facts that a map sufficiently close to a proper map of locally compact spaces is itself proper and that one-to-one proper maps are closed embeddings.

REMARK. If $A_0 = \emptyset$ and $X = R^{2k+1}$ then the above corollary reduces to the classical Menger-Nöbeling embedding theorem.

LEMMA 6. Let X_1, \dots, X_k be nondegenerate, connected ANR's. Then the singletons are Z^k -sets in $X_1 \times \dots \times X_{k+1}$. Accordingly, $X_0 \times \{b\}$ is a Z^k -set in $X_0 \times X_1 \times \dots \times X_{k+1}$, for any space X_0 and any point $b \in X_1 \times \dots \times X_{k+1}$.

Proof. (By induction on k .) Let $b = (b_1, \dots, b_{k+1}) \in X_1 \times \dots \times X_{k+1}$, $f = (f_1, \dots, f_{k+1}): I^k \rightarrow X_1 \times \dots \times X_{k+1}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Let \mathcal{S} be a triangulation of I^k so fine that for each simplex $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}$, $f_{k+1}(\sigma)$ is contractible in X_{k+1} within a set of diameter less than ε . Let \mathcal{S}^{k-1} be the $(k-1)$ -skeleton of \mathcal{S} . By the induction hypothesis and [7, Lemma C] we may assume without loss of generality that $(f_1, \dots, f_k)(|T^{k-1}|)$ misses (b_1, \dots, b_{k-1}) ; cf. proof of 4.

Now, using the ε -contractions of $f_{k+1}(\sigma)$, we may alter f on k -dimensional simplices, modulo their boundaries, so that the resulting map $g: I^k \rightarrow X_1 \times \dots \times X_{k+1}$ is within ε of f and satisfies (a) $g_i(I^k) = f_i(I^k)$, $i \leq k$, and (b) for each $\sigma \in \mathcal{S} \setminus \mathcal{S}^{k-1}$ there is a point $p_\sigma \in X_{k+1}$ with

$$g(\sigma) \subset [(f_1, \dots, f_k)(\partial\sigma) \times X_{k+1}] \cup [(f_1, \dots, f_k)(\sigma) \times \{p_\sigma\}].$$

Since X_{k+1} has no isolated points, all the p_σ 's can clearly be chosen distinct from b_{k+1} . Thus, g is an ε -approximation to f whose image misses b .

Finally, we need the following.

LEMMA 7. Let A be a locally compact space and let A_0 be a closed subset of A . Then any proper map $f: A_0 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ has a continuous extension $\bar{f}: A \rightarrow [0, 1]$ which is also proper.

Proof. Let $A \cup \{\infty\}$ be the one point compactification of A , and extend f to $g: A_0 \cup \{\infty\} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by defining $g(\infty) = 1$. Letting $\bar{g}: A \cup \{\infty\} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be an extension of g we may take $\bar{f}(a) = h(a)\bar{g}(a)$, where $h: A \cup \{\infty\} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a map with $h^{-1}(1) = A_0 \cup \{\infty\}$.

C. Proof of the theorem. The theorem follows immediately from Lemmas 1 and 6 and the following.

PROPOSITION. *Let $\{X_k; i_k\}$ be a direct system of closed embeddings $i_k: X_k \rightarrow X_{k+1}$ of locally compact, finite-dimensional ANR's. Assume that for any positive integers k, p there is an integer $l > k$ such that X_l has property $(*)_p$ and $i_{l-1} \circ \dots \circ i_k(X_k)$ is a Z^p -set in X_l . Then, $\lim_{\rightarrow} \{X_k; i_k\}$ is homeomorphic to an open subset of R^∞ .*

Proof. Let $d_k = \dim X_k$. Passing to a subsequence, if necessary, we may assume that

- (a) $i_k(X_k)$ is a Z^{3d_k} -set in X_{k+1} and
- (b) X_{k+1} has property $(*)_{3d_k}$ and, hence, $d_{k+1} \geq 3d_k$.

Let $J = [-1, \infty)$ and let $j_k: J^{3d_{k-1}} \rightarrow J^{3d_{k-1}} \times (0, 0, \dots, 0) \subset J^{3d_k}$ be the natural inclusion. We shall inductively construct manifolds with boundary M_k in $(-1, \infty)^{3d_{k-1}}$ and closed embeddings $f_k: M_k \rightarrow X_k$ and $g_k: X_k \rightarrow M_{k+1}$ such that, for each k ,

- (c) M_{k+1} is a neighborhood of $j_k(M_k)$, and
- (d) the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X_k & \xrightarrow{i_k} & X_{k+1} \\
 \uparrow f_k & \searrow g_k & \uparrow f_{k+1} \\
 M_k & \xrightarrow{j_k} & M_{k+1}
 \end{array}$$

Assume $\{(M_k, f_k, g_k)\}$ have been constructed. It is then clear that both $\lim_{\rightarrow} \{X_k; i_k\}$ and $\lim_{\rightarrow} \{M_k; j_k\}$ are homeomorphic to the direct limit of the system $M_0 \xrightarrow{f_0} X_0 \xrightarrow{g_0} M_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} X_1 \xrightarrow{g_1} M_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} \dots$. Also, it is clear that $\lim_{\rightarrow} \{M_k; j_k\}$ is homeomorphic to $\lim_{\rightarrow} \{\text{Int } M_k; j_k\}$ which is open in $\lim_{\rightarrow} \{(-1, \infty)^{3d_{k-1}}; j_k\} \cong R^\infty$. Thus, $\lim_{\rightarrow} \{X_k; i_k\}$ is homeomorphic to an open subset of R^∞ .

We now give the construction of the embeddings f_k and g_k . Assuming, without loss of generality, that $X_0 = R^0$, the singleton, we take for f_0 the identity. Having established f_k consider the closed embedding $j_k f_k^{-1}: im(f_k) \rightarrow J^{3d_k}$. By Lemma 7 we can extend $j_k f_k^{-1}$ to a proper map $X_k \rightarrow J^{3d_k}$ which we may then, by 1 and 6, alter so as to get a closed embedding $g_k: X_k \rightarrow J^{3d_k}$ coinciding with $j_k f_k^{-1}$ on $im(f_k)$. Clearly, we may adjust g_k so that in addition $im(g_k) \subset (-1, \infty)^{3d_k}$.

The set $im(g_k)$ being a closed ANR subset of $(-1, \infty)^{3d_k}$, there is a manifold with boundary M_{k+1} contained in $(-1, \infty)^{3d_k}$ which is topologically closed in J^{3d_k} , contains a neighborhood of $im(g_k)$ in $(-1, \infty)^{3d_k}$, and which properly retracts to $im(g_k)$. Then $i_k g_k^{-1}: im(g_k) \rightarrow$

X_{k+1} extends to a proper map $M_{k+1} \rightarrow X_{k+1}$ which we again may alter modulo $im(g_k)$ to get a closed embedding $f_{k+1}: M_{k+1} \rightarrow X_{k+1}$ coinciding with $i_k g_k^{-1}$ on $im(g_k)$. This completes the inductive step and the proof of the proposition.

REFERENCES

1. R. D. Anderson, *The Hilbert cube as a product of dendrons*, Amer. Math. Soc. Notices, **11** (1964), 572.
2. T. A. Chapman, *Lectures on Hilbert cube manifolds*, C.B.M.S. Regional Conference Series in Math., No. 28, Amer. Math. Soc., (1976).
3. V. L. Hansen, *Some theorems on direct limits of expanding sequences of manifolds*, Math. Scand., **29** (1971), 5-36.
4. R. E. Heisey, *Manifolds modeled on R^∞ or bounded weak-* topologies*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **206** (1975), 295-312.
5. ———, *Manifolds modeled on the direct limit of lines*, (to appear in this journal).
6. D. W. Henderson, *A simplicial complex whose product with any ANR is a simplicial complex*, General Topology and its Applications, **3** (1973), 81-83.
7. H. Toruńczyk, *On CE-images of the Hilbert cube and characterization of Q-manifolds*, Fund. Math., (to appear).
8. ———, *Characterization of infinite-dimensional manifolds*, Proc. Warsaw Geometric Top. Conf.
9. J. E. West, *Infinite products which are Hilbert cubes*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **150** (1970), 1-25.

Received June 15, 1979 and in revised form February 7, 1980.

VANDERBILT UNIVERSITY
NASHVILLE, TN 37235

AND

INSTITUTE OF MATH, POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES
SNIADOCKICH 8, 00-950 WARSAW, POLAND

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

DONALD BABBITT (Managing Editor)

University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90024

HUGO ROSSI

University of Utah
Salt Lake City, UT 84112

C. C. MOORE and ANDREW OGG

University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, CA 90007

R. FINN and J. MILGRAM

Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. ARENS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA

CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO

NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY

OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII

UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO

UNIVERSITY OF UTAH

WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Please propose a heading for the odd numbered pages of less than 35 characters. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. 39. Supply name and address of author to whom proofs should be sent. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints to each author are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$102.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$51.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924, U.S.A. Old back numbers obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.),
8-8, 3-chome, Takadanobaba, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

Copyright © 1981 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics
Manufactured and first issued in Japan

Ilya Eugene Blum and Srinivasa Swaminathan, Continuous selections and realcompactness	251
Lawrence James Brenton, Differentiably k -normal analytic spaces and extensions of holomorphic differential forms	261
Jo-Ann Deborah Cohen, Topologies on the ring of integers of a global field	269
Robert Jay Daverman, Detecting the disjoint disks property	277
Edmund H. Feller, Rings where the annihilators of α -critical modules are prime ideals	299
Richard Elam Heisey and Henryk Toruńczyk, On the topology of direct limits of ANRs	307
Gerald William Johnson and David Lee Skoug, Notes on the Feynman integral. I	313
Michael S. Keane and Stuart Jay Sidney, Distinguishing a plane curve from other curves similar to it	325
Leonid A. Luxemburg, On compact metric spaces with noncoinciding transfinite dimensions	339
Chun Ming Ma, A uniqueness theorem for Navier-Stokes equations	387
Donald J. Newman and Theodore Joseph Rivlin, A characterization of the weights in a divided difference	407
Marc Aristide Rieffel, C^* -algebras associated with irrational rotations	415
Kichi-Suke Saito, Invariant subspaces for finite maximal subdiagonal algebras	431
Frederic W. Shultz, Dual maps of Jordan homomorphisms and $*$ -homomorphisms between C^* -algebras	435
Vsevolod Alekseevich Solonnikov, On the solvability of boundary and initial-boundary value problems for the Navier-Stokes system in domains with noncompact boundaries	443
Tavan Thomas Trent, New conditions for subnormality	459
L. E. Ward, Extending Whitney maps	465
Leslie Wilson, Jets with regular zeros	471
Sergio Eduardo Zarantonello, The sheaf of H^p -functions in product domains	479