

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

CLOSED ULTRAFILTERS AND REALCOMPACTNESS

TAKESI ISIWATA

CLOSED ULTRAFILTERS AND REALCOMPACTNESS

TAKESI ISIWATA

We introduce some conditions which are closely related to closed ultrafilters and establish interconnections among these conditions and characterize realcompactness, almost realcompactness, c -realcompactness, c -realcompactness and weak- cb^* -ness \dots .

We introduce some conditions which are closely related to closed ultrafilters and establish interconnections among these conditions and characterize realcompactness, almost realcompactness, c -realcompactness and weak- cb^* -ness, \dots .

Throughout this paper, by a space we mean a completely regular Hausdorff space and all functions are continuous and we assume familiarity with [3] whose notation and terminology will be used throughout. For a given space X , we denote by βX (or νX) the Stone-Ćech compactification (or realcompactification) of X . In §1, we give definitions and preliminaries and introduce some conditions which are closely related to closed ultrafilters. In §2, we establish interconnections among conditions introduced in §1. In §3, we characterize realcompactness, almost realcompactness c -realcompactness and weak- cb^* -ness and give some examples in §4.

Notations and terminologies. $N =$ the set of positive integers, nbd = neighborhood, $\omega =$ the first countable ordinal, $\Omega =$ the first uncountable ordinal, $C(X) =$ the ring of all continuous functions on X , $Z(f) =$ the zero set of $f \in C(X)$ where we assume $0 \leq f \leq 1$, $Z(X) =$ the set of all zero sets, $X^* = \beta X - X$. $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{U}$ or \mathcal{R} resp.) = a free closed (open or regular closed resp.) ultrafilter. $\mathcal{F}^p(\mathcal{Z}^p) =$ a free closed (Z) ultrafilter converging to $p \in X^*$. $\mathfrak{S} =$ the set of all \mathcal{F} (similarly define \mathcal{U}^p , \mathfrak{U} and \mathfrak{R} resp.), $\text{cl}\mathcal{U} = \{\text{cl}U; U \in \mathcal{U}\}$ and $\{F_n\}_{c1} \downarrow (\{F_n\}_{c1} \downarrow \emptyset) =$ a decreasing sequence of closed sets (with the empty intersection). Similarly we define $\{R_n\}_{rc} \downarrow$ and $\{Z_n\}_{ze} \downarrow \dots$ where “ rc ” and “ ze ” denote “ R_n is a regular closed set” and “ Z_n is a zero set” respectively.

1. Definitions and preliminaries. A family \mathcal{A} of subsets of X is said to be *stable* if for any $f \in C(X)$ there is $A \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $f|A$ is bounded. Mandelker ([10], Th. 5.1) has proved that X is realcompact iff any stable closed family \mathcal{A} with the finite intersection property has non-empty intersection and Hardy and Woods ([4], Lemma 2.6) have obtained that \mathcal{R} is stable iff there is $p \in \nu X - X$ and $\mathcal{R} \rightarrow p$. We say that \mathcal{U} or \mathcal{R} has *CIP* if $\bigcap \text{cl} A_n \neq \emptyset$ for any

$A_n \in \mathcal{U}$ or \mathcal{R} respectively.

1.1. (1) $\mathcal{A} \in \mathfrak{F}$ (or \mathfrak{U}) is stable iff \mathcal{A} converges to some point of $\nu X - X$.

(2) X is realcompact iff no \mathcal{F} is stable.

(3) For $p \in \beta X - \nu X$, no prime closed (resp. open) filter \mathcal{A} converging to p has CIP.

Proof. (1) From the same method used in the proof of Lemma 2.6 in [4]. (2) From (1). (3) Let $p \in \beta X - \nu X$. Then there is $f \in C(\beta X)$ with $p \in Z(f) \subset \beta X - \nu X$. Since \mathcal{A} is prime, $\mathcal{A} \ni F_n = \{x \in X; f(x) \leq 1/n\}$ (resp. $U_n = \{x \in X; f(x) < 1/n\}$) and hence \mathcal{A} does not have CIP.

The following are well known (e.g., p. 649 line 4 in [4]).

1.2. (1) $\mathfrak{U} \ni \mathcal{U} \Rightarrow \mathcal{R} = \text{cl } \mathcal{U} \in \mathfrak{R}$. If \mathcal{U} has CIP, so has \mathcal{R} .

(2) $\mathfrak{R} \ni \mathcal{R} \Rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{R}) = \{U; \text{int } R \subset U \text{ for some } R \in \mathcal{R} \text{ and } U \text{ is open}\} \in \mathfrak{U}$. If \mathcal{R} has CIP, so has $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{R})$.

(3) $\mathfrak{U} \ni \mathcal{U} \Rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\text{cl } \mathcal{U}) = \mathcal{U}$.

(4) $\mathfrak{R} \ni \mathcal{R} \Rightarrow \text{cl}(\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{R})) = \mathcal{R}$.

1.3. We will divide X^* , especially $\nu X - X$, into three domains.

$\mathfrak{F}(0) = \{p \in X^*; \text{ any } \mathcal{F}^p \text{ has CIP}\}$.

$\mathfrak{F}(0, \Delta) = \{p \in X^*; \text{ there are } \mathcal{F}_1^p \text{ with CIP and } \mathcal{F}_2^p \text{ without CIP}\}$.

$\mathfrak{F}(\Delta) = \{p \in X^*; \text{ no } \mathcal{F}^p \text{ has CIP}\}$.

$\mathfrak{F}(\nu, \Delta) = \mathfrak{F}(\Delta) \cap (\nu X - X)$.

Similarly we define $\mathfrak{U}(0)$, $\mathfrak{U}(0, \Delta)$, $\mathfrak{U}(\Delta)$, $\mathfrak{U}(\nu, \Delta)$, $\mathfrak{R}(0)$, $\mathfrak{R}(0, \Delta)$, $\mathfrak{R}(\Delta)$ and $\mathfrak{R}(\nu, \Delta)$. From 1.1(3) and 1.2 we have $\mathfrak{U}(0) = \mathfrak{R}(0)$, $\mathfrak{U}(0, \Delta) = \mathfrak{R}(0, \Delta)$, $\mathfrak{U}(\Delta) = \mathfrak{R}(\Delta)$ and $\beta X - \nu X \subset \mathfrak{U}(\Delta)$.

1.4. As generalization of realcompactness, almost realcompactness [1], c -realcompactness [2] and a -realcompactness [2] are introduced. X is said to be *almost realcompact* if any open ultrafilter \mathcal{U} with CIP is fixed, that is, $\bigcap \text{cl } \mathcal{U} \neq \emptyset$ [1]. X is *a -realcompact* (= *closed-complete*) if any closed ultrafilter \mathcal{F} with CIP is fixed [2]. We say that X is *c -realcompact* if for each point $p \in \beta X - X$, there exists $\{R_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \downarrow \emptyset$ with $p \in \bigcap \text{cl}_{\beta X} R_n$ [5]. From 1.2 and the definition we have

(1) X is almost realcompact iff $\mathfrak{U}(0) \cup \mathfrak{U}(0, \Delta) = \emptyset$.

(2) X is c -realcompact iff $\mathfrak{U}(0) = \emptyset$.

(3) X is a -realcompact iff $\mathfrak{F}(0) \cup \mathfrak{F}(0, \Delta) = \emptyset$.

From these results and 1.2, it is natural to introduce the notion of *wa-realcompactness*, that is, we say that X is *wa-realcompact* if $\mathfrak{F}(0) = \emptyset$. Since $\mathfrak{F}(0, \Delta) = \emptyset$ for a normal space, a normal *wa-realcompact* space is *a-realcompact*. If X is either *c-realcompact* or *a-realcompact*, then X is *wa-realcompact* (cf. 2.1(1) below). The converse is not necessarily true (see 4.1 and 4.2 below). As another generalization of *cb* (weak-*cb*)-ness, we introduced the notion of *cb** (weak-*cb**) spaces [8]. X is said to be *cb** (*weak-cb**) if $\bigcap \text{cl}_{v_X} F_n = \emptyset$ ($\bigcap \text{cl}_{v_X} R_n = \emptyset$) for any $\{F_n\}_{\text{cl}} \downarrow \emptyset$ ($\{R_n\}_{\text{rc}} \downarrow \emptyset$). In [8] we proved that X is *cb** iff any perfect map onto X is hyper-real. The following are easily seen by 1.1(3), 1.3 and the definitions.

- (4) X is weak-*cb** iff $\mathfrak{U}(v, \Delta) \cup \mathfrak{U}(0, \Delta) = \emptyset$.
- (5) X is *cb** iff $\mathfrak{F}(v, \Delta) \cup \mathfrak{F}(0, \Delta) = \emptyset$.
- (6) X is *realcompact* iff X is *wa-realcompact* and *cb**.
- (7) X is *countably compact* iff $\mathfrak{F}(0, \Delta) \cup \mathfrak{F}(\Delta) = \emptyset$.
- (8) X is *pseudocompact* iff $\mathfrak{U}(0, \Delta) \cup \mathfrak{U}(\Delta) = \emptyset$.

DEFINITION 1.5. \mathfrak{F} is said to be *countably paracompact* (= *cp*) (*weakly countably paracompact* (= *wcp*)) if for any $\{F_n \in \mathcal{F}\} \downarrow \emptyset$ there are $\{E_n \in \mathcal{F}\} \downarrow \emptyset$ and $\{U_n\}_{\text{open}} \downarrow$ such that $E_n \subset F_n$, $E_n \subset U_n$ ($E_n \subset \text{cl} U_n$) and $\bigcap \text{cl} U_n = \emptyset$. Obviously we have

- (1) If \mathcal{F} is either *unstable* or has *CIP*, then it is *cp*.
- (2) A *cp* \mathcal{F} is *wcp*.

DEFINITION 1.6. For \mathcal{F} (or \mathcal{U}), we denote by $\mathfrak{U}(\mathcal{F})$ (or $\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{U})$) the set of open (or closed) ultrafilters containing $\{U; U \supset F \text{ for some } F \in \mathcal{F} \text{ (or } \text{cl } \mathcal{U})\}$. In the sequel, “ $\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{U}) \rightarrow p$ ” means “any $\mathcal{F} \in \mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{U})$ converges to p ” and similarly we use “ $\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{U})$ has *CIP*” and so on. Then we have

- (1) $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow p$ implies $\mathfrak{U}(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow p$ and $\text{cl } \mathfrak{U}(\mathcal{F}) \subset \mathcal{F}$. If \mathcal{F} has *CIP*, so has $\mathfrak{U}(\mathcal{F})$.
- (2) $\mathcal{U} \rightarrow p$ implies $\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{U}) \rightarrow p$. If \mathcal{U} does not have *CIP*, then any $\mathcal{F} \in \mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{U})$ is *wcp* but does not have *CIP*.
- (3) For a given \mathcal{U} , $\mathcal{U} \in \mathfrak{U}(\mathcal{F})$ for any $\mathcal{F} \in \mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{U})$.

Proof. (1) and (2) are obvious. (3) Let $\mathcal{F} \in \mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{U})$ and let W be an open set containing some $F \in \mathcal{F}$. If $W \notin \mathcal{U}$, then there is $U \in \mathcal{U}$ with $U \cap W = \emptyset$, so $\mathcal{F} \ni F \cap \text{cl} U = \emptyset$, a contradiction. Thus $W \in \mathcal{U}$ and hence $\mathcal{U} \in \mathfrak{U}(\mathcal{F})$.

DEFINITION 1.7. We consider the following conditions.

- (α)(β) For any $p \in X^*$, there is a *wcp*(*cp*) \mathcal{F}^p .
- ($s\alpha$)($s\beta$) For any $p \in X^*$, any \mathcal{F}^p is *wcp*(*cp*).

The following (1) and (2) follows from the definitions and the

fact that if X is normal, then the closed ultrafilter converging to $p \in X^*$ is only one.

(1) $(s\beta) \Rightarrow (\beta) \Rightarrow (\alpha)$ and $(s\beta) \Rightarrow (s\alpha) \Rightarrow (\alpha)$.

(2) If X is normal, then $(\beta) \Rightarrow (s\beta)$ and $(\alpha) \Rightarrow (s\alpha)$.

From $\beta X - \nu X \subset \mathfrak{U}(\Delta)$, 1.1(3), 1.4(5), 1.6(1) and the result that X is countably paracompact iff for any $\{F_n\}_{cl} \downarrow \emptyset$, there is $\{U_n; F_n \subset U_n\}_{open} \downarrow \emptyset$ with $\cap cl U_n = \emptyset$ [7], we have

(3) If X is either countably paracompact or cb^* then X has $(s\beta)$.

There is a normal space which has neither (α) nor (β) (see 4.1 below).

1.8. As one of the nice property of the zero sets, it is known that a Z -ultrafilter \mathcal{Z} has CIP iff \mathcal{Z} contains a prime Z -filter with CIP . Unfortunately this is not necessarily true for closed ultrafilters. N. Dykes [1] has, however, proved that if X is a cb -space, \mathcal{F} has CIP iff \mathcal{F} contains a prime filter with CIP . In the following we treat the related problem above. We consider the following conditions.

(CPC) If \mathcal{F} contains a prime closed filter with CIP , then \mathcal{F} has CIP .

(OPC) If \mathcal{A} is a prime open filter with CIP and $cl \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{F}$, then \mathcal{F} has CIP .

(OPO) If \mathcal{U} contains a prime open filter with CIP , then \mathcal{U} has CIP .

(WOPC) If \mathcal{U} has CIP , then $\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{U})$ has CIP .

(ZC) If $Z(X) \cap \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{Z}^p$ for $p \in \nu X - X$, then \mathcal{F} has CIP (cf. 2.2(3)).

Notice that (1) \mathcal{Z}^p has CIP iff $p \in \nu X - X$ and (2) if \mathcal{F} has CIP , then $\mathcal{F} \cap Z(X) = \mathcal{Z}^p$ for some $p \in \nu X - X$ by 2.2(3) below. From 1.1(3), 1.4(4.5) and the definition, we have the following implications:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 cb^* & \implies & \{ZC, OPC, CPC\} \\
 \parallel & & OPC \implies WOPC \\
 \parallel & & \parallel \\
 weak-cb^* & \implies & OPO \quad (\text{cf. 2.3(4)}).
 \end{array}$$

There is a weak- cb normal space without ZC (see, 4.1 below).

2. Interconnections among conditions introduced in §1.

2.1. (1) $\mathfrak{F}(0) \subset \mathfrak{U}(0)$ and $\mathfrak{U}(\Delta) \subset \mathfrak{F}(\Delta)$.

(2) Let $p \in X^*$. Then there is \mathcal{U}^p without CIP iff there is $wcp \mathcal{F}^p$ without CIP . Equivalently let $p \in X^*$, then $p \in \mathfrak{U}(0)$ iff no \mathcal{F}^p without CIP is wcp .

(3) $p \in \mathfrak{U}(0) \cap \mathfrak{F}(\Delta)$ iff no \mathcal{F}^p has CIP and no \mathcal{F}^p is wcp.

(4) $p \in \mathfrak{U}(0) \cap \mathfrak{F}(0, \Delta)$ iff there are \mathcal{F}_1^p with CIP and \mathcal{F}_2^p without CIP and no \mathcal{F}^p without CIP is wcp.

(5) $p \in \mathfrak{F}(0, \Delta) \cap \mathfrak{U}(0, \Delta)$ iff there are \mathcal{F}_1^p with CIP and a wcp \mathcal{F}_2^p without CIP.

Proof. (1) Let $p \in \mathfrak{F}(0)$ and $\mathcal{U} \rightarrow p$. By 1.6(2), $\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{U}) \rightarrow p$, $\text{cl } \mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{F}$ for each $\mathcal{F} \in \mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{U})$ and $\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{U})$ has CIP, and hence \mathcal{U} has CIP, so $p \in \mathfrak{U}(0)$. Now suppose that $p \in \mathfrak{U}(\Delta)$ and $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow p$. Then $\mathfrak{U}(\mathcal{F})$ does not have CIP. By 1.6(1) \mathcal{F} does not have CIP, so $p \in \mathfrak{F}(\Delta)$.

(2) \Rightarrow From 1.6. (2) \Leftarrow . By the assumption, there is an open set $U_n (n \in N)$, $\text{cl } U_n \in \mathcal{F}$ with $\bigcap \text{cl } U_n = \emptyset$. Let $N(p)$ be the open nbd system of p in βX and let $\mathcal{U} \supset \{U_n \cap V; n \in N, V \in N(p)\}$. Obviously \mathcal{U} converges to p but does not have CIP.

(3) \Rightarrow Obvious. \Leftarrow . From (2).

(4) \Rightarrow From $p \in \mathfrak{F}(0, \Delta)$ and (2). \Leftarrow . From (2) and the assumption.

(5) \Rightarrow Since $p \in \mathfrak{F}(0, \Delta)$, there is \mathcal{F}_1^p with CIP. By (2), $p \in \mathfrak{U}(0, \Delta)$ implies that there is a wcp \mathcal{F}_2^p without CIP. \Leftarrow . By the assumption, we have $p \in \mathfrak{F}(0, \Delta)$ and $p \in \mathfrak{U}(0, \Delta)$ by (1) and (2).

LEMMA 2.2. (1) If \mathcal{U}^p has CIP, then $\mathcal{A} = \{F; F \text{ contains some } U \in \mathcal{U} \text{ and } F \text{ is closed}\}$ is a prime closed filter with CIP and $\text{cl } \mathcal{U}^p \subset \mathcal{F}$ whenever $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{F}$.

(2) Let X is normal and let $p \in \nu X - X$. Then $\mathcal{A} = \{U; U \text{ contains some } Z \in \mathcal{Z}^p \text{ and } U \text{ is open}\}$ is a prime open filter with CIP. If $\mathcal{U} \rightarrow p$, then $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{U}$.

(3) If \mathcal{F} has CIP, then $Z(X) \cap \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{Z}^p$ for some $p \in \nu X - X$.

Proof. (1) It is sufficient to show that \mathcal{A} is prime. Let E and F be closed, $E \cup F \in \mathcal{A}$ and $E \notin \mathcal{A}$. Then $E \cup F \supset \text{int}(E \cup F) = A \in \mathcal{U}$ and $\text{int } E \notin \mathcal{U}$. Since \mathcal{U} is a ultrafilter and $\text{int } E \cup (X - E)$ is dense in X , we have $X - E \in \mathcal{U}$, so $A \cap (X - E) \in \mathcal{U}$. Since $A \cap (X - E) \subset \text{int } F$, we have $F \in \mathcal{A}$. The latter part is obvious.

(2) It suffices to show that \mathcal{A} is prime. Suppose not; Let W and V be open and let $W \cup V \in \mathcal{A}$, $W \notin \mathcal{A}$ and $V \notin \mathcal{A}$, then there is $Z \in \mathcal{Z}^p$ such that $Z \subset W \cup V$. As $W \notin \mathcal{A}$ and $V \notin \mathcal{A}$, $Z - W \neq \emptyset$ and $Z - V \neq \emptyset$. Since X is normal, there are zero sets Z_1 and Z_2 such that $X - V \subset X - Z_1$, $Z - W \subset X - Z_2$ and $(X - Z_1) \cap (X - Z_2) = \emptyset$. Thus $Z_1 \subset V$, so as $V \notin \mathcal{A}$, $Z_1 \notin \mathcal{Z}^p$. Thus $Z_2 \in \mathcal{Z}^p$. Similarly, there are zero sets Z_3 and Z_4 such that $X - W \subset X - Z_3$, $Z - V \subset X - Z_4$ and $(X - Z_3) \cap (X - Z_4) = \emptyset$. As above, $Z_4 \in \mathcal{Z}^p$. Thus $Z \cap Z_2 \cap Z_4 \in \mathcal{Z}^p$. But $Z \cap Z_2 \cap Z_4 \subset W \cap V$, so W and $V \in \mathcal{A}$, which is a contradiction. Hence \mathcal{A} is prime.

(3) In general, it is evident that $\mathcal{A} \cap Z(X)$ is a prime Z -filter for a prime closed filter \mathcal{A} . Suppose that there is $Z \in Z(X)$ which intersects each member of $Z(X) \cap \mathcal{F}$, but $Z \notin \mathcal{F}$. Let $Z = Z(f)$, $f \in C(X)$, $A_n = \{x; f(x) \geq 1/n\}$ and $B_n = \{x; f(x) \leq 1/n\}$. Since $A_n \cup B_n = X$, \mathcal{F} contains A_n or B_n . If $B_n \in \mathcal{F}$ for infinitely many n , then $Z = \bigcap B_n \in \mathcal{F}$, a contradiction. Thus $A_n \in \mathcal{F}$ for some n , and hence $A_n \in \mathcal{F} \cap Z(X)$, a contradiction, so $\mathcal{F} \cap Z(X) = Z^p$ for some $p \in X^*$. By 1.1(3) we have $p \in \nu X - X$.

Notice that the assumption *CIP* in 2.2(3) is essential as is shown in 4.3 below.

2.3. (1) X has (α) iff $\mathfrak{U}(0) \cap \mathfrak{F}(\Delta) = \emptyset$.

(2) X has $(s\alpha)$, then $\mathfrak{U}(0) = \mathfrak{F}(0)$.

(3) X has *WOPC* iff \mathcal{F} does not have *CIP*, neither has $\mathfrak{U}(\mathcal{F})$.

(4) If X has *CPC*, then X has *WOPC*.

(5) If X has $(s\beta)$, then X has *WOPC*.

(6) If X has *WOPC*, then $\mathfrak{F}(0) = \mathfrak{U}(0)$, $\mathfrak{F}(0, \Delta) = \mathfrak{U}(0, \Delta)$ and $\mathcal{F}(\Delta) = \mathfrak{U}(\Delta)$.

(7) If X is normal, then X has *WOPC* iff $\mathfrak{F}(0) = \mathfrak{U}(0)$ and $\mathfrak{F}(\Delta) = \mathfrak{U}(\Delta)$.

(8) If X has *ZC*, then $\mathfrak{F}(\nu, \Delta) = \emptyset$ (and hence $\mathfrak{U}(\nu, \Delta) = \emptyset$).

(9) If $\mathcal{F} \cap Z(X)$ has *CIP*, so has \mathcal{X}^p (and hence $p \in \nu X - X$).

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow From 2.1(3). \Leftarrow . Since any \mathcal{F} with *CIP* is *wcp*, we consider only a point $p \in \mathfrak{F}(\Delta)$. By the assumption, $p \notin \mathfrak{U}(0)$, so there is \mathcal{U}^p without *CIP*. Thus there is a *wcp* \mathcal{F}^p without *CIP* by 2.1(2).

(2) $(s\alpha)$ implies $\mathfrak{U}(0) \cap \mathfrak{F}(0, \Delta) = \emptyset$ by 2.1(4), so $\mathfrak{U}(0) = \mathfrak{F}(0)$ by (1) and 2.1(1).

(3) \Rightarrow Suppose that \mathcal{F}_0 does not have *CIP* but some $\mathcal{U}_0 \in \mathfrak{U}(\mathcal{F}_0)$ has *CIP*. Since X has *WOPC*, $\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{U}_0)$ has *CIP*. On the other hand, $\text{cl } \mathcal{U}_0 \subset \mathcal{F}_0$, so $\mathcal{F}_0 \in \mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{U}_0)$ which shows that \mathcal{F}_0 has *CIP*, a contradiction. \Leftarrow . Suppose that \mathcal{U}_0 has *CIP* but some $\mathcal{F}_0 \in \mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{U}_0)$ does not have *CIP*. By 1.6(3), $\mathcal{U}_0 \in \mathfrak{U}(\mathcal{F}_0)$ and $\mathfrak{U}(\mathcal{F}_0)$ does not have *CIP*, a contradiction.

(4) Take \mathcal{U}^p with *CIP* and let $\mathcal{F} \supset \text{cl } \mathcal{U}^p$. Then \mathcal{F} contains a prime closed filter \mathcal{A} described in 2.2(1), and hence \mathcal{F} has *CIP*. Thus $\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{U}^p)$ has *CIP*.

(5) Take $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}^p$ without *CIP* and let $\mathcal{U} \in \mathfrak{U}(\mathcal{F})$. Since $U \supset \{W; W \text{ is open and } W \supset F \text{ for some } F \in \mathcal{F}\}$ and \mathcal{F} is *cp*, there is $\{W_n; W_n \in \mathcal{U}\}$ with $\bigcap \text{cl } W_n = \emptyset$. Thus $\mathfrak{U}(\mathcal{F})$ does not have *CIP* and X has *WOPC* by (3).

(6) Let $p \in \mathfrak{U}(0, \Delta) \cup \mathfrak{U}(0)$ and take \mathcal{U}^p with *CIP*. By the assumption, $\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{U}^p)$ has *CIP*, so $p \notin \mathfrak{F}(\Delta)$ which shows $\mathfrak{F}(\Delta) = \mathfrak{U}(\Delta)$

by 2.1(1). Let $p \in \mathfrak{F}(0, \Delta) \cup \mathfrak{U}(0)$ and take \mathcal{F}^p without *CIP*. Then $\mathfrak{U}(\mathcal{F}^p)$ does not have *CIP* by (3), a contradiction. This shows $\mathfrak{U}(0) = \mathfrak{F}(0)$.

(7) \Rightarrow From (6) and $\mathfrak{F}(0, \Delta) = \emptyset$. \Leftarrow . Since X is normal, $\mathfrak{F}(0, \Delta) = \emptyset$. Take \mathcal{F}^p without *CIP*. Then $p \in \mathfrak{F}(\Delta) = \mathfrak{U}(\Delta)$, and hence $\mathfrak{U}(\mathcal{F})$ does not have *CIP*, so X has *WOPC* by (3).

(8) Let $p \in \mathfrak{F}(\nu, \Delta)$ and take $\mathcal{F} \supset \mathcal{X}^p$. Since X has *ZC*, \mathcal{F} has *CIP*, a contradiction.

(9) Suppose that \mathcal{X}^p does not have *CIP*. Then $p \in \beta X - \nu X$ and hence \mathcal{X}^p contains F_n described in 1.1(3). Since $F_n \in \mathcal{F}^p$, $\mathcal{F}^p \cap Z(X)$ does not have *CIP*, a contradiction.

By 1.4(1, 2) and 2.3(1, 6), we have the following implications.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{almost realcompactness} & \implies & \text{WOPC} \\ \Downarrow & & \Downarrow \\ c\text{-realcompactness} & \implies & (\alpha) . \end{array}$$

3. Characterizations of spaces of by means closed ultrafilters.

THEOREM 3.1. (1) X is weak- cb^* iff no \mathcal{F}^p without *CIP* is *wep* for $p \in X^*$.

(2) A normal space X is weak- cb^* iff X has *OPO*.

(3) X is cb^* iff X is weak- cb^* and has *WOPC*.

(4) The following are equivalent for a normal space X : (i) X is cb^* (ii) X has *OPC*. (iii) Any stable \mathcal{F} is *cp*. (iv) X has *ZC*.

(5) A pseudocompact space X is countably compact iff X has *WOPC*.

Proof. (1) From 2.1(2).

(2) Since a weak- cb^* space has *OPO* by the diagram of 1.8, it suffices to show the converse. Let $p \in (\nu X - X) - \mathfrak{U}(0)$ and take any \mathcal{U}^p without *CIP*. X being normal, there is a prime open filter \mathcal{A} with *CIP* and $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{U}^p$ by 2.2(2), so \mathcal{U}^p has *CIP* by the assumption which is a contradiction.

(3) A cb^* space is weak- cb and has *WOPC* by 1.8. Conversely suppose that X is weak- cb^* and has *WOPC*. X being weak- cb^* , $\nu X - X = \mathfrak{U}(0)$, so $\mathfrak{F}(0) = \mathfrak{U}(0)$ by 2.3(6), and hence X is cb^* .

(4) (i) \Rightarrow (ii) From the diagram of 1.8.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) Let \mathcal{F} be stable. Then $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow p$ for some $p \in \nu X - X$ by 1.1(1). By the diagram of 1.8 and 2.3(7), we have $\mathfrak{F}(0) = \mathfrak{U}(0)$ and $\mathfrak{F}(\nu, \Delta) = \mathfrak{U}(\nu, \Delta)$. Since X is normal, $\mathcal{X}^p \subset \mathcal{F}^p$, and hence \mathcal{F} contains \mathcal{A} described in 2.2(2), so \mathcal{F} has *CIP* by *OPC* which shows that \mathcal{F} is *cp* by 1.5(1).

(iii) \Rightarrow (iv) Since X is normal, any \mathcal{F}^p contains \mathcal{X}^p . We suppose that there are $p \in \nu X - X$ and $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}^p$ without CIP . Then there is $\{U_n\}_{\text{open}} \downarrow$ such that $F_n \subset U_n$ for some $F_n \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\bigcap \text{cl} U_n = \emptyset$. X being normal, it is easily seen that there is $Z_n \in \mathcal{Z}(X)$ with $F_n \subset Z_n \subset U_n$, so $\bigcap Z_n = \emptyset$, a contradiction.

(iv) \Rightarrow (i) For $p \in \nu X - X$, \mathcal{X}^p has CIP and hence any \mathcal{F} containing \mathcal{X}^p has CIP by ZC . Thus $\mathfrak{F}(\Delta) = \emptyset$. On the other hand, X being normal $\mathfrak{F}(0, \Delta) = \emptyset$, and hence $\nu X - X = \mathcal{F}(0)$, so X is cb^* .

(5) If X is countably compact, then X is cb^* [8], so X has $WOPC$ by (3). Conversely, if X has $WOPC$, then X is cb^* by (3) because a pseudocompact space is weak- cb^* . Thus X is countably compact [8].

X is said to be *almost normal* ((ν) -almost normal) if a closed subset F disjoint from a regular closed subset E , then $\text{cl}_{\beta X} F \cap \text{cl}_{\beta X} E = \emptyset$ ($\text{cl}_{\nu X} F \cap \text{cl}_{\nu X} E = \emptyset$). It is obvious that X is almost normal ((ν) -almost normal) iff $\text{cl} \mathcal{U}^p \subset \mathcal{F}^p$ for each \mathcal{F}^p and each \mathcal{U}^p for $p \in X^*(p \in \nu X - X)$.

3.2. Let X be (ν) -almost normal. Then we have

(1) $\mathfrak{F}(0, \Delta) \cap \mathfrak{U}(0, \Delta) = \emptyset$ (equivalently, $\mathfrak{F}(0, \Delta) \subset \mathfrak{U}(0)$).

(2) If X is c -realcompact, then X is a -realcompact.

Proof. (1) If there are \mathcal{F}^p with CIP and \mathcal{U}^p without CIP for $p \in \mathfrak{F}(0, \Delta) \cap \mathfrak{U}(0, \Delta)$, then $\text{cl} \mathcal{U}^p \subset \mathcal{F}^p$ because X is (ν) -almost normal, a contradiction.

(2) Since X is c -realcompact, $\mathfrak{U}(0) = \emptyset$, so $\mathfrak{F}(0, \Delta) = \emptyset$ by (1). Thus $\mathfrak{F}(0) \cup \mathfrak{F}(0, \Delta) = \emptyset$ by 2.1(1) and hence X is a -realcompact.

THEOREM 3.3. (1) X is realcompact iff X is (ν) -almost normal and there is a cp \mathcal{F}^p without CIP for every $p \in X^*$.

(1') An almost normal space X is realcompact iff there is a cp \mathcal{F}^p without CIP for every $p \in X^*$.

(2) A countably paracompact space X is realcompact iff X is a -realcompact and (ν) -almost normal.

(2') An almost normal and countably paracompact space X is realcompact iff X is a -realcompact.

(3) X is realcompact iff X is an a -realcompact space with ZC .

(4) X is c -realcompact iff there is a wcp \mathcal{F}^p without CIP for every $p \in X^*$.

(5) An a -realcompact space X is c -realcompact iff X has (α) .

(6) An a -realcompact space X is almost realcompact iff X has $WOPC$.

(7) If a *wa-realcompact* space X has $(s\alpha)$, then X is *c-realcompact*.

(8) A normal *c-realcompact* space is *realcompact* iff X has *OPO*.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow Evident. \Leftarrow . By the assumption, we have $X^* = \mathfrak{F}(\Delta) \cup \mathfrak{F}(0, \Delta)$. Let $p \in \nu X - X$ and take a *cp* \mathcal{F}^p without *CIP*. Then there is $\{F_n \in \mathcal{F}^p\} \downarrow \emptyset$ and $\{U_n\}_{\text{open}} \downarrow$ such that $F_n \subset U_n$ and $\bigcap \text{cl} U_n = \emptyset$. We may assume that $X - \text{cl} U_n \neq \emptyset, n \in N$. Since X is (ν) -almost normal and $p \in \text{cl}_{\beta X} F_n$, we have $p \notin \text{cl}_{\beta X}(X - \text{cl} U_n)$. Thus there is $f_n \in C(\beta X)$ such that $p \in Z(f_n) \subset \text{cl}_{\beta X} U_n$. Then $f = \sum (1/2^n) f_n \in C(\beta X), p \in Z(f)$ and $Z(f) \cap X = \emptyset$. This is a contradiction because $p \in \nu X - X$, so $\nu X = X$.

(1') From (1).(2) From 1.7(3) and (1). (2') From (2).(3) \Rightarrow Obvious. \Leftarrow . From 1.4(3) and 2.3(8), (4) From 1.1(3), 1.5 and 2.1(2).

(5) If X has (α) , then $\mathfrak{U}(0) \cap \mathfrak{F}(\Delta) = \emptyset$ by 2.3(1). Since X is *a-realcompact*, we have $\mathfrak{F}(0) \cup \mathfrak{F}(0, \Delta) = \emptyset$, and hence $\mathfrak{U}(0) = \emptyset$, so X is *c-realcompact*. The converse follows from the diagram of 2.3.

(6) \Rightarrow From the diagram of 2.3. \Leftarrow . From 1.4(1), $\mathfrak{F}(0, \Delta) \cup \mathfrak{F}(0) = \emptyset$, 2.3(6) and *WOPC*.

(7) Since X has $(s\alpha)$, $\mathfrak{F}(0) = \mathfrak{U}(0)$ by 2.3(2). On the other hand $\mathfrak{F}(0) = \emptyset$ by *wa-realcompactness*, so $\mathfrak{U}(0) = \emptyset$, and hence X is *c-realcompact*.

(8) \Rightarrow Obvious. \Leftarrow . Since X is normal and has *OPO*, X is *weak- cb^** by 3.1(2). Thus $\nu X - X = \mathfrak{U}(0)$. On the other hand, X being *c-realcompact*. We have $\mathfrak{U}(0) = \emptyset$ by 1.4(2), and hence X is *realcompact*.

3.4. In the following, we have (1) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3) \Rightarrow (4) \Rightarrow (5) \Leftrightarrow (6).

(1) X is *realcompact*.

(2) X has $(s\beta)$ and no F has *CIP*.

(3) X is an almost *realcompact* space with $(s\beta)$.

(4) X is almost *realcompact*.

(5) X has $(s\alpha)$ and no \mathcal{F} has *CIP*.

(6) X is an *a-realcompact* space with $(s\alpha)$ (and hence X is also *c-realcompact* by 3.3(5)).

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2), (3) \Rightarrow (4) and (5) \Rightarrow (6) are evident. (4) \Rightarrow (5). From 1.4(1), 2.1(1) and $\nu X - X = \mathfrak{U}(0)$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) Suppose that $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}^p$ has *CIP*, $p \in \nu X - X$. Let $\mathcal{F} \in \mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{U})$. By the assumption, \mathcal{F} is *cp* and does not have *CIP*. There are $\{F_n \in \mathcal{F}\} \downarrow \emptyset$ and $\{U_n\}_{\text{open}} \downarrow$ with $F_n \subset U_n$ and $\bigcap \text{cl} U_n = \emptyset$. This implies $U_n \in \mathcal{U}$ and \mathcal{U} does not have *CIP*, a contradiction.

(6) \Rightarrow (5) Take $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}^p, p \in \nu X - X$. Since $\nu X - X = \mathfrak{F}(\nu, \Delta)$, \mathcal{F} does not have *CIP*, so \mathcal{F} is *wcp* by $(s\alpha)$.

3.5. In [13], the following theorem was communicated to P. Simon by Z. Frolik:

THEOREM F. *If X is a normal a -realcompact space, then the realcompactness of X is equivalent to the following condition:*

(SZC) *If \mathcal{F} does not have CIP, neither has $\mathcal{F} \cap Z(X)$.*

Since SZC is equivalent to the condition: if $\mathcal{F} \cap Z(X)$ has CIP, so has F . We have $SZC = ZC$, and hence the normality in Theorem F is superfluous by 2.3(9) and 3.3(3).

3.6. Simon proved the following [13].

THEOREM S. *There is a \mathcal{K}^p with CIP in X such that no $\mathcal{F} \supset \mathcal{K}^p$ has CIP where X is the Dowker space in 4.1 belows.*

Relating this theorem, we consider the following conditions:

(a) *If \mathcal{K}^p has CIP, then no \mathcal{F}^p has CIP.*

(b) *If \mathcal{K}^p has CIP, then there is \mathcal{F}^p without CIP.*

Then Theorem S is a direct consequence of the fact that a -realcompactness is equivalent to (a). For since \mathcal{K}^p has CIP iff $p \in \nu X - X$, it is easy to see that (a) is equivalent to "no \mathcal{F}^p has CIP for each $p \in \nu X - X$ " equivalently to " $\nu X - X = \mathfrak{F}(\nu, \Delta)$, i.e., X is a -realcompact". Similarly we have that (b) is equivalent to the wa -realcompactness of X .

4. Examples.

Dowker space 4.1. Let X be the Dowker space, constructed by M. E. Rudin [12], which is normal but not countably paracompact. X is, moreover, weak- cb [6] and a -realcompact [13] but not c -realcompact [5]. Since a -realcompactness $\Leftrightarrow \nu X - X = \mathfrak{F}(\nu, \Delta)$, c -realcompactness $\Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{U}(0) = \emptyset$, normality $\Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{F}(0, \Delta) = \emptyset$ and weak- cb^* -ness $\Leftrightarrow \nu X - X = \mathfrak{U}(0)$, we have $\nu X - X = \mathfrak{F}(\nu, \Delta) = \mathfrak{U}(0)$. This shows that X is not cb^* and hence X has neither WOPC nor ZC by 2.3(7) and 3.1(4) respectively. It is obvious that X does not have (α) by 2.3(1).

4.2. Let X be the countably paracompact space, constructed by Mack and Johnson [9] (or see, [11]) is c -realcompact [14] and $\nu X = X \cup \{p\}$ but X is not weak- cb^* [8]. But X is neither almost realcompact [14] nor a -realcompact [5]. Thus $\nu X - X = \mathfrak{F}(0, \Delta) = \mathfrak{U}(0, \Delta) = \{p\}$.

Tychonoff Plank 4.3. Let $T = [0, \Omega] \times [0, \omega] - \{p\}$ where $p = (\Omega, \omega)$. T is pseudocompact but not countably compact and $\beta T = \nu T = [0, \Omega] \times [0, \omega]$. Since a pseudocompact space is weak- cb^* , $\mathfrak{U}(0) \neq \emptyset$. It is easy to see that $\mathfrak{U}(0) = \mathfrak{F}(0, \Delta) = \{p\}$ and T is not cb^* [8] and moreover, T has OPC but does not have CPC . Let \mathcal{F} be a closed ultrafilter containing the right edge. Obviously \mathcal{F} does not have CIP and $Z(X) \cap \mathcal{F} \neq \mathcal{X}^p$ which shows that X does not have ZC .

4.4. Let $X = [0, \Omega]^2 - \{p\}$ where $p = (\Omega, \Omega)$. Then $\nu X = [0, \Omega]^2$. It is easy to see that $\mathfrak{F}(0) = \mathfrak{U}(0) = \{p\}$.

REFERENCES

1. N. Dykes, *Generalizations of realcompact spaces*, Pacific J. Math., **33** (1970), 571-581.
2. Z. Frolik, *On almost realcompact spaces*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci., **9** (1961), 247-250.
3. L. Gillman and M. Jerison, *Rings of Continuous Functions*, Princeton, 1960.
4. K. Hardy and R. G. Woods, *On c -realcompact spaces and locally bounded normal functions*, Pacific J. Math., **43** (1972), 647-656.
5. K. Hardy, *Notes on two generalizations of almost realcompact spaces*, Math. Centrum, ZW., (1975), 57-75.
6. K. Hardy and I. Juhasz, *Normality and the weak- cb property*, Pacific J. Math., **64** (1976), 167-172.
7. F. Ishikawa, *On countably paracompact spaces*, Proc. Japan Acad., **31** (1955), 686-687.
8. T. Ishiwata, *d -, d^* -maps and cb^* -spaces*, Bull. Tokyo Gakugei Univ., Ser. IV, **29** (1977), 19-52.
9. J. Mack and D. Johnson, *The Dedekind completion of $C(X)$* , Pacific J. Math., **20** (1967), 231-243.
10. M. Mandelker, *Supports of continuous functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **156** (1971), 73-83.
11. K. Morita, *Some properties of M -spaces*, Proc. Japan Acad., **43** (1967), 869-872.
12. M. E. Rudin, *A normal space X which $X \times I$ is not normal*, Fund. Math., **73** (1971), 179-186.
13. P. Simon, *A note on Rudin's example of Dowker space*, Comm. Math. Univ. car., **12** (1971), 825-834.
14. R. G. Woods, *A Tychonoff almost realcompactification*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **45** (1974), 200-208.

Received October 4, 1979 and in revised form September 3, 1980.

TOKYO GAKUGEI UNIVERSITY
TOKYO 184, JAPAN

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

DONALD BABBITT (Managing Editor)

University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

HUGO ROSSI

University of Utah
Salt Lake City, UT 84112

C. C. MOORE AND ANDREW OGG

University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. FINN AND J. MILGRAM

Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. ARENS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA

CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO

NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY

OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII

UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO

UNIVERSITY OF UTAH

WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

Printed in Japan by International Academic Printing Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan

| | |
|---|-----|
| Willy Brandal, Conditions for being an FGC domain | 1 |
| Allan Calder and Frank Williams, Incompressibility of maps and the homotopy invariance of Čech cohomology | 13 |
| Jacques Chaumat, Quelques propriétés du couple d'espaces vectoriels $(L^1m/H^{\infty\perp}, H^{\infty})$ | 21 |
| Manfred Droste and Rüdiger Göbel, Products of conjugate permutations ... | 47 |
| Jean Esterle, Rates of decrease of sequences of powers in commutative radical Banach algebras | 61 |
| Allan Fryant, Ultraspherical expansions and pseudo analytic functions | 83 |
| John Hannah, Homogenization of regular rings of bounded index. II | 107 |
| Shigeru Haruki, On the theorem of S. Kakutani-M. Nagumo and J. L. Walsh for the mean value property of harmonic and complex polynomials | 113 |
| Hugh M. Hilden, Representations of homology 3-spheres | 125 |
| Craig Huneke, A characterization of locally Macaulay completions | 131 |
| Takesi Isiwata, Closed ultrafilters and realcompactness | 139 |
| Joseph Weston Kitchen, Jr. and David A. Robbins, Tensor products of Banach bundles | 151 |
| Allan J. Kroopnick, Note on bounded L^p -solutions of a generalized Liénard equation | 171 |
| Ajay Kumar and Ajit Kaur Chilana, Spectral synthesis in products and quotients of hypergroups | 177 |
| Charles Livingston, Homology cobordisms of 3-manifolds, knot concordances, and prime knots | 193 |
| Hans Opolka, Projective representations of finite groups in cyclotomic fields | 207 |
| V. D. Pathak, Isometries of $C^{(n)}[0, 1]$ | 211 |
| Mark Allan Pinsky, On the spectrum of Cartan-Hadamard manifolds | 223 |
| Judith Roitman, The number of automorphisms of an atomic Boolean algebra | 231 |
| Kai Wang, Locally smooth torus group actions on integral cohomology complex projective spaces | 243 |