Pacific Journal of Mathematics

COMPLETELY REGULAR ABSOLUTES AND PROJECTIVE OBJECTS

RAYMOND FRANK DICKMAN, JACK RAY PORTER AND LEONARD RUBIN

Vol. 94, No. 2

June 1981

COMPLETELY REGULAR ABSOLUTES AND PROJECTIVE OBJECTS

R. F. DICKMAN, JR., J. R. PORTER, AND L. R. RUBIN

The absolute (EX, π_X) is constructed for an arbitrary space X and is shown to be unique with respect to EXbeing extremally disconnected and completely regular and π_X being a θ -continuous, perfect, separating irreducible surjection. A function $f: X \to Y$ is said to have a continuous E-lifting if there is a continuous function $F: EX \to EY$ such that $\pi_Y \circ F = f \circ \pi_X$. A class of functions, called η -continuous, is introduced, shown to contain the class of continuous functions and the class of θ -continuous, closed surjections, and proved to have continuous E-liftings. Functions which have continuous E-liftings are completely characterized as being the composition of η -continuous functions.

1. Introduction and preliminaries. In 1963, Iliadis (see [7]) constructed, for a Hausdorff space X, an extremally disconnected Tychonoff space EX and an irreducible, perfect θ -continuous surjection $\pi_x: EX \to X$ and showed that (EX, π_x) is unique in this sense: If Y is an extremally disconnected, Tychonoff space and $f: Y \to X$ is an irreducible, perfect, θ -continuous surjection, then there is a homeomorphism $g: EX \to Y$ such that $f \circ g = \pi_x$. In 1969, Mioduszewski and Rudolf [9] modified this construction to obtain a space aX which has the same underlying set as EX and the topology of aX is generated by the topology of EX plus $\{\pi_X^{-1}(U): U \text{ open in } X\}.$ The function $a_X: aX \to X$ is the same as the function π_X . The space aXis extremally disconnected and Hausdorff, and the function a_{x} is an irreducible, perfect continuous surjection. Also, (aX, a_x) is shown to be unique in the sense similar to the uniqueness of (EX, π_x) . So, there is a trade-off — the Tychonoffness of EX is reduced to Hausdorff for aX, but the θ -continuity of π_x is strengthened to continuity for a_x . Both EX and aX are called absolutes of X.

More recently, Sapiro [11] and Ul'janov [13] extended the construction and uniqueness of (aX, a_x) (aX is denoted in [11] by qX) for an arbitrary topological space X. In this case, aX is extremally disconnected and a_x is a separating, irreducible perfect continuous surjection. Also, they showed if $f: X \to Y$ is a continuous function between spaces X and Y, there is a continuous function $F: aX \to$ aY such that $a_x \circ F = f \circ a_x$.

In the second section of this paper we characterize the projective objects in the category of spaces and perfect separating continuous functions as morphisms. As a cosequence, we obtain the result by Sapiro and Ul'janov [11, 13] that continuous functions can be lifted to a continuous function between their *a*-absolutes. For an arbitrary space X, (EX, π_x) is constructed and proved to be unique in the fourth section.

In the third section, we introduce the class of η -continuous functions and show that this class contains several large and interesting classes. In the final section, a necessary and sufficient condition for a function $f: X \to Y$ to be lifted to a continuous function between their *E*-absolutes is developed in terms of a homomorphism between the Boolean algebras of regular open sets of *Y* and *X*. It is shown that an η -continuous function can be lifted to a continuous function between their *E*-absolutes. A function between spaces is shown to be liftable between *E*-absolutes if and only if it is the composition of η -continuous functions. An example is given of a non- η -continuous function which is the composition of η -continuous functions.

In the remainder of this section some necessary definitions and preliminary results are presented. The concept "completely regular" does not necessarily include Hausdorff whereas "Tychonoff" means "completely regular and Hausdorff." A space is said to be *extremally disconnected* if disjoint open sets have disjoint closures; thus, an extremally disconnected space is not necessarily Hausdorff.

Let $f: X \to Y$ be a function between two spaces X and Y. The function f is *irreducible* if f is onto and for each closed set $A \subseteq X$ and $A \neq X$, $f(A) \neq Y$, is *perfect* if f is closed and compact (i.e., $f^{-1}(y)$ is compact for each $y \in Y$), is θ -continuous (respectively, weakly continuous) if for each $x \in X$ and open neighborhood U of f(x), there is an open neighborhood V of x such that $f(\operatorname{cl} V) \subseteq \operatorname{cl} U$ (respectively, $f(V) \subseteq cl U$) and is separating if whenever f(x) = f(y)and $x \neq y$, then x and y are contained in disjoint neighborhoods of X. Clearly a continuous function is θ -continuous, a θ -continuous function is weakly continuous, and a θ -continuous function into a regular space is continuous. Also, composition of θ -continuous (resp. continuous separating) functions is θ -continuous (resp. continuous separating). If $A \subseteq X$, then f #(A) is used to denote $\{y \in Y : f^{-1}(y) \subseteq A\}$. Note that $f #(A) = Y \setminus f(X \setminus A)$. Thus, if A is open and f is closed, then f #(A) is open.

PROPOSITION 1.1. Let $f: X \to Y$ be an onto function where X and Y are spaces.

(a) Then f is irreducible if and only if for every nonempty open set $U \subseteq X$, $f \#(U) \neq \emptyset$.

(b) If f is closed and $U \subseteq X$ is open, then $\operatorname{int} f(U) \supseteq f \#(U)$.

(c) If f is irreducible, closed and weakly continuous and $U \subseteq X$ is open, then $f(U) \subseteq cl f \#(U)$.

(d) [12] If f is compact, there is a closed subspace $S \subseteq X$ such that $f|_s: S \to Y$ is irreducible.

(e) [7] If f is irreducible, closed, θ -continuous and separating and Y is extremally disconnected, then f is one-to-one; in particular, if f is also continuous, then f is a homeomorphism.

Proof. The proof of (a), (b), and (c) are straightforward.

 \square

Let X, Y, Z be spaces and $f: X \to Y$ and $g: Z \to Y$ be functions. Let $P = \{(x, z) \in X \times Z: f(x) = g(z)\}, p_Z: P \to Z: (x, z) \to z \text{ and } p_X: P \to X: (x, z) \to x$. Then (P, p_Z, p_X) is the *pullback* of $f: X \to Y$ and $g: Z \to Y$, and the *pullback* square is the following commutative diagram (see [5]):

$$\begin{array}{c} P \xrightarrow{p_Z} Z \\ p_x \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow g \\ X \xrightarrow{f} Y \end{array}$$

Note that p_x and p_z are continuous.

PROPOSITION 1.2. Let (P, p_z, p_x) be the pullback of $f: X \to Y$ and $g: Z \to Y$ where X, Y, Z, are spaces.

(a) If f is onto, then so is p_z .

(b) If f is compact, then so is p_z .

(c) If f is separating, then so is p_z .

(d) If f is perfect and g is continuous, then p_z is closed.

Proof. The proof is left to the reader.

The category of all topological spaces with separating continuous functions as morphisms is denoted by TOP_s ; the subcategory of all spaces with perfect separating continuous functions as morphisms is denoted as TOP_{sp} . If \mathscr{A} is a class of morphisms of a category \mathscr{C} , then an object P in \mathscr{C} is called \mathscr{A} -projective if for $X, Y \in \operatorname{ob}(\mathscr{C})$, and $f \in \operatorname{Mor}(X, Y) \cap \mathscr{A}$, and $g \in \operatorname{Mor}(P, Y)$, there is a $h \in \operatorname{Mor}(P, X)$ such that $f \circ h = g$, i.e., this diagram commutes:



If \mathscr{A} is the class of onto functions, then \mathscr{A} -projective objects are called *projective*, and \mathscr{P} is used to denote the class of perfect, onto functions. An excellent survey about \mathscr{P} -projective objects in

categories of topological spaces has recently been written by Woods [15].

Let X be a space and LX the set of all open ultrafilters on X that converge. Let $EX = \{(\mathscr{U}, x) \in LX \times X : x \in ad\mathscr{U}\}$ where $ad\mathscr{U} = \cap \{cl \ U: \ U \in \mathscr{U}\}$, and for each open set U of X, let $oU = \{(\mathscr{U}, x) \in EX : U \in \mathscr{U}\}$. For open sets U and V in X, we have $oU \cap oV = o(U \cap V)$, $oU \cup oV = o(U \cup V)$, $o(\phi) = \phi$, oX = EX, $EX \setminus oU = o(X \setminus cl \ U)$, and $oU = o(int (cl \ U))$. Thus, $\{oU: U \text{ open in } X\}$ forms an open basis for a topology on EX. Define the function $\pi_X: EX \to X$ by $\pi_X(\mathscr{U}, x) = x$. Also, $\{oU \cap \pi_X^{-1}(V): U, V \text{ open in } X\}$ is closed under finite intersection and is an open basis for a topology on EX; EXwith this topology is denoted as aX. The function $aX \to X: p \to \pi_X(p)$ is denoted as a_X . If X is Hausdorff, then EX and aX can be identified as spaces with LX as the underlying set. So, aX and EXextend the construction of Iliadis [7] and Mioduszewski and Rudolf [9]. Note that for open U in X, $\pi_X(oU) = cl_X U = a_X(oU)$.

PROPOSITION 1.3. Let X be a space.

(a) [11] aX is extremally disconnected and $a_X: aX \to X$ is a separating, perfect, irreducible continuous surjection.

(b) EX is extremally disconnected and completely regular and $\pi_x: EX \to X$ is a separating, perfect, irreducible θ -continuous surjection.

Proof. The proof of (b) parallels the proof of part (a) (see [11]).

An open set U in a space X is called *regular-open* if U = int(cl U). The set of all regular-open sets is a basis for a topology on the underlying set of X; X_s is used to denote this new space. A space X is said to be *semiregular* if $X = X_s$; in particular, it follows that X_s is semiregular. If $A \subseteq X$, then $int_s A(resp. cl_s A)$ is used to denote the interior (resp. closure) of A in X_s .

PROPOSITION 1.4.

(a) For a space X, $(aX)_s = EX$.

(b) An extremally disconnected, semiregular space is completely regular (not necessarily T_1).

(c) A space X is extremally disconnected if and only if X_s is extremally disconnected.

Proof. Part (a) follows from this easily proven result: If U and V are open sets in X, then $a_X^{-1}(U \cap V) \subseteq oU \cap a_X^{-1}(V) \subseteq o(U \cap V)$ by Proposition 1 of [11], and $cl_{a_X}a_X^{-1}(U) = oU$. Part (b) follows since

a regular-open set in an extremally disconnected space is cl open. The proof of (c) is easy. $\hfill \square$

Thus, for a space X, the identity function $s_X: aX \to EX$ is a continuous bijection and s_X^{-1} is θ -continuous. So, a function $F: aX \to aY$ induces the same function $G: EX \to EY$ such that $s_Y \circ F = G \circ s_X$ and conversely, a function $G: EX \to EY$ induces a function $F: aX \to aY$ such that $s_Y \circ F = G \circ s_X$. If $f: X \to Y$ is a function, then $f \circ a_X = a_Y \circ F$ if and only if $\pi_Y \circ G = f \circ \pi_X$. If $f \circ a_X = a_Y \circ F$, F is called an *a*-lifting of f, and if $f \circ \pi_X = \pi_Y \circ G$, G is called an *E*-lifting of f.

PROPOSITION 1.5. Let X and Y be spaces and $F: aX \to aY$ and $G: EX \to EY$ be functions such that $s_Y \circ F = G \circ s_X$. Then

(a) F is θ -continuous if and only if G is continuous.

(b) If $f: X \to Y$ is continuous, and G is a continuous E-lifting of f, then F is also continuous.

Proof. If G is continuous, then $F = s_Y^{-1} \circ G \circ s_X$ is the composition of θ -continuous functions and, hence is θ -continuous. If F is θ continuous, then $G = s_Y \circ F \circ s_X^{-1}$ is the composition of θ -continuous functions and so is θ -continuous. Since EY is completely regular, then G is continuous. To prove (b), suppose f and G are continuous and U and V are open sets in Y. Now, $oU \cap a_Y^{-1}(V)$ is basic open set in aY and $F^{-1}(oU \cap a_Y^{-1}(V)) = F^{-1}(oU) \cap F^{-1}(a_Y^{-1}(V)) = G^{-1}(oU) \cap$ $a_Y^{-1}(f^{-1}(V))$ is open. Hence, F is continuous.

2. Projective objects. In this section, we show that the projective objects in TOP_{sp} and the \mathscr{P} -projective objects in TOP_s are precisely the extremally disconnected spaces and use this result to obtain Sapiro's results [11] about *a*-liftings. First, a preliminary result is needed.

PROPOSITION 2.1. Suppose $f: X \to Y$ is a perfect separating surjection, E is extremally disconnected and $g: E \to Y$ is a continuous function. There is a continuous function $h: E \to X$ such that $f \circ h = g$.

(a) If, in addition, g is separating, then h is separating.

(b) If, in addition, f is continuous and g is perfect, then h is perfect.

Proof. Let (P, p_E, p_X) be the pullback of $f: X \to Y$ and $g: E \to Y$. By 1.2, p_E is a perfect, separating, continuous surjection and p_X is continuous. By 1.1, there is a closed set $C \subseteq P$ such that $p_E | C$ is irreducible. Let $q_E = p_E | C$ and $q_X = p_X | C$. Since C is closed, q_E is

281

perfect, separating and continuous. By 1.1, q_E is a homeomorphism. Thus, $h = q_X \circ (q_E)^{-1}$ is continuous and $f \circ h = g$. If, in addition, g is separating, then by 1.2, p_X is separating. So, q_X and h are separating. If f is continuous and g is perfect, then by 1.2, p_X is perfect. Since C is closed, then q_X is perfect. So, h is perfect.

The conclusion of Proposition 2.1 is very interesting. Even though a continuous function h is obtained in 2.1, continuity of f is not required in the hypothesis.

THEOREM 2.2. The projective objects of TOP_{sp} are precisely the extremally disconnected spaces.

Proof. Let X be a projective object in TOP_{sp} . Let U be an openset in $X, V = X \setminus dV, Y$ the topological sum of cl U and cl V, and $f: Y \to X: t \to t$. Now, f is perfect, separating, continuous and onto. Also, the identity function $1_X: X \to X$ is a perfect, separating continuous function. So, there is a perfect, separating continuous function $h: X \to Y$ such that $f \circ h = 1_X$. It easily follows that h(x) = x for $x \in U \cup V$, $h(\operatorname{cl}_X U) \subseteq \operatorname{cl}_X U$, and $h(\operatorname{cl}_X V) \subseteq \operatorname{cl}_X V$. Since $\operatorname{cl}_X U \cap \operatorname{cl}_X V = \emptyset$ in Y, then it follows that $\operatorname{cl}_X U \cap \operatorname{cl}_X V = \emptyset$ in X. Thus, X is extremally disconnected. Conversely, suppose E is an extremally disconnected space. Let $f: X \to Y$ be a perfect separating continuous function. By 2.1, there is a perfect separating continuous function $h: E \to X$ such that $f \circ h = g$.

COROLLARY 2.3. The \mathscr{P} -projective objects of TOP, are precisely the extremally disconnected spaces.

Proof. The proof of one part of this corollary uses the same proof as in the first part of 2.2. The other part of this corollary follows from 2.1. \Box

The sufficiency part of the next result was established by Ul'janov [13] and Sapiro [11] by entirely different methods; they failed to record the reverse implication.

PROPOSITION 2.4. [11] If X and Y are spaces and $\varphi: X \to Y$ is a function, then φ has a continuous a-lifting if and only if φ is continuous.

Proof. Suppose φ is continuous. Let $f = a_y$ and $g = \varphi \circ a_x$. By 1.3, f is a perfect separating continuous surjection. Since g is con-

tinuous, then by 2.1, there is a continuous function $h: aX \to aY$ such that $f \circ h = g$, i.e., $a_{Y} \circ h = \varphi \circ a_{X}$. So, φ has a continuous *a*lifting. Conversely, suppose $h: aX \to aY$ is a continuous function such that $a_{Y} \circ h = \varphi \circ a_{X}$. To show φ is continuous, let A be a closed set in Y. Since a_{X} is onto, then $\varphi^{-1}(A) = a_{X}(h^{-1}(a_{Y}^{-1}(A)))$; since a_{X} is a closed map, $\varphi^{-1}(A)$ is a closed set. Thus, φ is continuous. \Box

A function $\varphi: X \to Y$ is called a *c*-function if $\operatorname{int} \varphi^{-1}(\operatorname{bd} U) = \emptyset$ for each regular-open set U. Sapiro [11] showed that a continuous function has unique *a*-lifting if and only if it is a *c*-function. He proved that being a *c*-function is sufficient for uniqueness in a straightforward manner but needs his multifunction technique to prove it is necessary. We now use the technique developed in the proof of Proposition 2.1 to prove the necessity for the following result.

PROPOSITION 2.5. [11] Let X and Y be spaces. A function $\varphi: X \to Y$ has a unique a-lifting if and only if φ is a continuous *c*-function.

Proof. Assume φ is continuous and there is a regular-open set $U \subseteq Y$ such that $\operatorname{int} \varphi^{-1}(\operatorname{bd} U) \neq \emptyset$. Since a_X is continuous and onto, then $\operatorname{int} g^{-1}(\operatorname{bd} U) \neq \emptyset$ where $g = \varphi \circ a_X$. Let $f = a_Y$. To show φ has distinct a-liftings, it suffices by the proof of 2.1 to find distinct closed sets C and C' of P such that $p_{aX} | C$ and $p_{aX} | C'$ are irreducible. Thus, it suffices to find closed sets P_1 and P_2 of P and some $z \in aY$ such that $p_{aY}^{-1}(z) \cap P_1 \cap P_2 = \emptyset$ and $p_{aX}(P_1) = p_{aX}(P_2) = aX$. Let $P_1 = P \setminus (oU \times \operatorname{int} g^{-1}(\operatorname{bd} U))$, and for $V = Y \setminus \operatorname{cl} U$, let $P_2 = P \setminus (oV \times \operatorname{int} g^{-1}(\operatorname{bd} U))$. The closed subsets P_1 and P_2 of P and any $z \in aY$ such that $a_X(z) \in g(\operatorname{int} g^{-1}(\operatorname{bd} U))$ have the desired properties.

3. η -continuous functions. In this section we introduce the class of η -continuous functions and show that several important classes of functions are η -continuous. At the end of this section, we develop a characterization of η -continuous functions that will be used in §5 to show that η -continuous functions have continuous E-liftings.

For a space X, RO(X) is used to denote the set of all regularopen sets of X and for $U \in RO(X)$, X\cl U is denoted by U^c. Thus, RO(X) is an open basis for the topology on X_s .

PROPOSITION 3.1. Let $f: X \to Y$ be a function and U be open set in Y. Then

- (a) $\operatorname{cl} \operatorname{int} f^{-1}(bd \ U) \cap \operatorname{int} \operatorname{cl} f^{-1}(U) = \emptyset$,
- (b) int $f^{-1}(\operatorname{cl} U) \setminus \operatorname{cl} \operatorname{int} f^{-1}(bd U) \subseteq \operatorname{int} \operatorname{cl} f^{-1}(U)$, and

(c) if f is weakly continuous, $f(\operatorname{cl} f^{-1}(U)) \subseteq \operatorname{cl} U$.

Proof.

Ad(a). Since $f^{-1}(\operatorname{bd} U) \cap f^{-1}(U) = \emptyset$, then $\operatorname{int} f^{-1}(\operatorname{bd} U) \cap \operatorname{cl} f^{-1}(U) = \emptyset$. Thus, $\operatorname{cl} \operatorname{int} f^{-1}(\operatorname{bd} U) \cap \operatorname{int} \operatorname{cl} f^{-1}(U) = \emptyset$.

Ad(b). It suffices to show int $f^{-1}(\operatorname{cl} U) \subseteq \operatorname{cl} f^{-1}(U) \cup \operatorname{int} f^{-1}(\operatorname{bd} U)$. Now, int $f^{-1}(\operatorname{cl} U) \setminus \operatorname{cl} f^{-1}(U) \subseteq f^{-1}(\operatorname{cl} U) \setminus f^{-1}(U) = f^{-1}(\operatorname{bd} U)$. Thus, int $f^{-1}(\operatorname{cl} U) \setminus \operatorname{cl} f^{-1}(U) \subseteq \operatorname{int} f^{-1}(\operatorname{bd} U)$ and $\operatorname{int} f^{-1}(\operatorname{cl} U) \subseteq \operatorname{cl} f^{-1}(U) \cup \operatorname{int} f^{-1}(\operatorname{bd} U)$.

Ad(c). Let $x \in cl f^{-1}(U)$. If $f(x) \in V = Y \setminus cl U$, then $x \in int f^{-1}(cl V) \subseteq X \setminus cl f^{-1}(U)$, a contradiction. So, $f(x) \in cl U$.

PROPOSITION 3.2. Let $f: X \to Y$ be a weakly continuous function. The following are equivalent:

(a) $f^{-1}(U) \subseteq \operatorname{int} \operatorname{cl} f^{-1}(U)$ for every $U \in RO(Y)$,

(b) $f(\operatorname{cl} \operatorname{int} f^{-1}(\operatorname{bd} U)) \subseteq \operatorname{bd} U$ for every $U \in RO(Y)$,

(c) $f(\operatorname{cl} \operatorname{int} f^{-1}(\operatorname{bd} U)) \subseteq \operatorname{cl} U$ for every $U \in RO(Y)$, and

(d) $f(\operatorname{cl} \operatorname{int} f^{-1}(\operatorname{cl} U)) \subseteq \operatorname{cl} U$ for every $U \in RO(Y)$.

Proof. To show (a) implies (b), let $x \in cl$ int $f^{-1}(bd U)$ and assume $f(x) \notin bd U$. Then $x \in f^{-1}(U \cup U^{\circ})$. By (a), $x \in int cl f^{-1}(U) \cup int cl f^{-1}(U^{\circ})$. So, $x \in cl$ int $f^{-1}(bd U) \cap (int cl f^{-1}(U) \cup int cl f^{-1}(U^{\circ}))$, a contradiction by 3.1(a). Hence, $f(x) \in bd U$.

Clearly, (b) implies (c); since bd $U = bd U^{\circ} = cl U \cap cl U^{\circ}$, then (c) implies (b). To show (b) implies (a), let $U \in RO(Y)$. Since f is weakly continuous, $f^{-1}(U) \subseteq int f^{-1}(cl U) \setminus f^{-1}(bd U)$. By (b) and $3.1(b), f^{-1}(U) \subseteq int cl f^{-1}(U)$. Thus, (a), (b), and (c) are equivalent. Clearly, (d) implies (c). It remains to show (b) implies (d). Let $z \in cl int f^{-1}(cl U)$. If $z \in cl f^{-1}(U)$, then by $3.1(c), f(z) \in cl U$. So, suppose $z \notin cl f^{-1}(U)$. Then $H = int f^{-1}(cl U) \setminus cl f^{-1}(U) \subseteq int f^{-1}(bd U)$ and $z \in cl H$ as $z \in cl int f^{-1}(cl U)$. So, $f(z) \in f(cl H) \subseteq f(cl int f^{-1}(bd U)) \subseteq$ bd U, by (b). Thus, $f(cl int f^{-1}(cl U)) \subseteq cl U$ and (d) is true.

DEFINITION. A function $f: X \to Y$ is η -continuous if for $U, V \in RO(Y)$,

(i) $f^{-1}(U) \subseteq \operatorname{int} \operatorname{cl} f^{-1}(U)$.

(ii) int cl $f^{-1}(U \cap V)$ = int cl $f^{-1}(U) \cap$ int cl $f^{-1}(V)$.

T. Husain called functions satisfying condition (i) almost continuous functions [6]. An η -continuous function satisfies (b), (c) and (d) of 3.2 if we can show η -continus functions are weakly continuous. More in shown in the next proposition.

PROPOSITION 3.3.

(a) A continuous function is η -continuous.

(b) If $f: X \to Y$ is a function and $f^{-1}(U)$ is open for all $U \in RO(Y)$, then f is η -continuous.

(c) An η -continuous function is θ -continuous and hence weakly continuous.

Proof. Clearly (a) follows from (b). A function satisfying the hypothesis of (b) satisfies (i) of η -continuity; part (ii) of η -continuity follows from the well-known result that if A and B are open, then int cl $(A \cap B) =$ int cl $A \cap$ int cl B. To show (c), let $f: X \to Y$ be η -continuous, $x \in X$ and W be an open neighborhood of f(x). Let U = int cl W and $V = U^c$. Then $\phi =$ int cl $f^{-1}(U \cap V) =$ int cl $f^{-1}(U) \cap$ int cl $f^{-1}(V)$. Since $x \in f^{-1}(W) \subseteq f^{-1}(U) \subseteq$ int cl $f^{-1}(U)$, then $x \in P = X \setminus cl$ int cl $f^{-1}(V)$. So, cl $P = X \setminus cl f^{-1}(V) \subseteq X \setminus f^{-1}(V)$, and $f(cl P) \subseteq Y \setminus V =$ cl U = cl W.

So, by 3.3, the class of continuous functions is a large class of η -continuous functions. The next lemma is used to develop another class of η -continuous functions.

LEMMA 3.4. Let $f: X \to Y$ be a weakly continuous function satisfying these two conditions:

(a) For each $U \in RO(Y)$, $f^{-1}(U) \subseteq \operatorname{int} \operatorname{cl} f^{-1}(U)$.

(b) For each nonempty open set W in X, $\operatorname{int} f(W) \neq \phi$. Then for U, $V \in RO(Y)$, $\operatorname{int} \operatorname{cl} f^{-1}(U \cap V) = \operatorname{int} \operatorname{cl} f^{-1}(U) \cap \operatorname{int} \operatorname{cl} f^{-1}(V)$.

Proof. It is straightforward to show int cl $f^{-1}(U \cap V) \subseteq$ int cl $f^{-1}(U) \cap$ int cl $f^{-1}(V)$. Let R = [int cl $f^{-1}(U) \cap$ int cl $f^{-1}(V)]$ cl int cl $f^{-1}(U \cap V)$. It suffices to show that $R = \emptyset$. Since $f(R) \subseteq$ $f(\text{cl } f^{-1}(U)) \cap f(\text{cl } f^{-1}(V))$, then by 3.1(c), $f(R) \subseteq$ cl $U \cap$ cl V. By (a), $R \cap f^{-1}(U \cap V) = \emptyset$. So, $f(R) \subseteq (\text{cl } U \cap \text{cl } V) \setminus (U \cap V)$. But $(\text{cl } U \cap \text{cl } V) \setminus (U \cap$ $V) \subseteq$ bd $U \cup$ bd V which is nowhere dense. So, int $f(R) \subseteq$ int (bd $U \cup$ bd $V) = \emptyset$. By (b), $R = \emptyset$. □

PROPOSITION 3.5. Let $f: X \to Y$ be a weakly continuous, closed, irreducible surjection. Then

(a) f is η -continuous,

(b) if U is open in Y, then $\operatorname{int} \operatorname{cl} f^{-1}(U) = \operatorname{int} f^{-1}(\operatorname{cl} U)$, and

(c) if W is open in X, int cl $W = \operatorname{int} \operatorname{cl} f^{-1}(f \#(W))$.

Proof.

Ad(a). Let $V \in RO(Y)$ and $x \in f^{-1}(V)$. Then there is an open subset U of X containing x with $f(U) \subseteq \operatorname{cl} V$. Suppose W is a non-

empty open subset of U. Now $f \#(W) \neq \emptyset$ and $f \#(W) \subseteq \operatorname{cl} V$. So, $f^{\sharp}(W) \cap V \neq \emptyset$ implying $W \cap f^{-1}(V) \neq \emptyset$. Thus, $f^{-1}(V)$ is dense in U, i.e., $U \subseteq \operatorname{cl} f^{-1}(V)$. Hence, $x \in U \subseteq \operatorname{int} \operatorname{cl} f^{-1}(V)$. This shows $f^{-1}(V) \subseteq \operatorname{int} \operatorname{cl} f^{-1}(V)$. By 1.1 and 3.4, it follows that f is η -continuous.

Ad(b). Let $P = \operatorname{int} \operatorname{cl} f^{-1}(U)$ and $Q = \operatorname{int} f^{-1}(\operatorname{cl} U)$. By 3.1(c), $P \subseteq Q$. To show $Q \subseteq P$, it suffices to show $\operatorname{cl} Q \subseteq \operatorname{cl} P$ since P is regular open. Assume, $\operatorname{cl} Q \setminus \operatorname{cl} P \neq \emptyset$, then $Q \setminus \operatorname{cl} P = W$ is a nonempty open set. But by (a), $f^{-1}(U) \subseteq P$; so, $W \subseteq f^{-1}(\operatorname{cl} U) \setminus f^{-1}(U) =$ $f^{-1}(\operatorname{bd} U)$ implying f(W) has empty interior. This contradicts 1.1; so, P = Q.

Ad(c). It suffices to show that cl $W = \text{cl } f^{-1}(f \#(W))$. Clearly, cl $W \supseteq \text{cl } f^{-1}(f \#(W))$. Suppose $\phi \neq T \subseteq W$ where T is open. Then $\phi \neq f \#(T)$ and $\phi \neq f^{-1}(f \#(T)) \subseteq T \cap f^{-1}(f \#(W))$. Thus, $f^{-1}(f \#(W))$ is dense in W implying $W \subseteq \text{cl } f^{-1}(f \#(W))$. So, $\text{cl } W \subseteq \text{cl } f^{-1}(f \#(W))$. \Box

Using 3.4 again, we obtain another large class of η -continuous functions.

PROPOSITION 3.6. A weakly continuous, open function is η -continuous.

Proof. Suppose $f: X \to Y$ is open and weakly continuous, and let $U \in RO(Y)$. Clearly, 3.4(b) is satisfied. By weak continuity, $f^{-1}(U) \subseteq \inf f^{-1}(\operatorname{cl} U)$. Now, $f(\operatorname{int} f^{-1}(\operatorname{bd} U))$ is open and contained in bd U. Since $\operatorname{int} (\operatorname{bd} U) = \emptyset$, then $\operatorname{int} f^{-1}(\operatorname{bd} U) = \emptyset$. Thus, $f^{-1}(U) \subseteq \operatorname{int} f^{-1}(\operatorname{cl} U) \setminus \operatorname{cl} \operatorname{int} f^{-1}(\operatorname{bd} U)$, and by 3.1(b), $f^{-1}(U) \subseteq \operatorname{int} f^{-1}(U)$. \Box

LEMMA 3.7.

(a) If U is open and A is closed in a space Y, then $cl_s U = cl U$, $int_s A = int A$, and $int_s cl_s U = int cl U$.

(b) For a space Y, $RO(Y) = RO(Y_s)$.

(c) Let τ and σ be topologies on a set X. Then $RO(X, \tau) = RO(X, \sigma)$ if and only if the identity functions $i: (X, \tau) \to (X, \sigma)$ and $i^{-1}: (X, \sigma) \to (X, \tau)$ are η -continuous.

(d) If $f: X \to Y$ is a θ -continuous, closed bijection, then for open U in Y, $f^{-1}(\operatorname{int}_Y \operatorname{cl}_Y U) = \operatorname{int}_X \operatorname{cl}_X f^{-1}(U)$; in particular, for $U \in RO(Y)$, $f^{-1}(U) \in RO(X)$.

Proof. The proof of (b) follows from (a); the proof of (a) is straightforward and left to the reader.

Ad(c). The necessity of the conditions follows from 3.3(b). To prove sufficiency, suppose i and i^{-1} are η -continuous. By Proposition 1 in [3], i is a homeomorphism between (X, τ_s) and (X, σ_s) . So, $RO(X, \tau_s) = RO(X, \sigma_s)$. By (b), it follows that $RO(X, \tau) = RO(X, \sigma)$. Ad(d). Let τ be the topology on X. We can assume Y = Xwith the topology σ and f = i is the identity function on X. Since f is closed, then $\tau \subseteq \sigma$. By (c), $\tau_s = \sigma_s$. Since $\sigma_s \subseteq \tau \subseteq \sigma$, then by (a) for $U \in \sigma$, it follows that $\operatorname{int}_{\sigma} \operatorname{cl}_{\sigma} U = \operatorname{int}_{\tau} \operatorname{cl}_{\tau} U = \operatorname{int}_{s} \operatorname{cl}_{s} U$.

Let $f: X \to Y$ be a function. Now, $\{W \cap f^{-1}(U): W \text{ open in } X, U \in RO(Y)\}$ is closed under finite intersection and forms a basis for a topology on the underlying set of X. Let X^* denote X with this new topology and $f^*: X^* \to Y$ be defined by $f^*(x) = f(x)$. Note that the identity $X^* \to X$ is continuous. Now f^* is η -continuous because it satisfies the hypothesis 3.3(b). For $A \subseteq X$, let cl^*A (resp. int^{*} A) denote the closure of A in X^* (resp. interior of A in X^*).

THEOREM 3.8. Let $f: X \to Y$ be weakly continuous. The following are equivalent:

- (a) f is η -continuous,
- (b) the identity function $h: X \to X^*$ is weakly continuous,
- (c) $(X^*)_s = X_s, and$
- (d) $RO(X^*) = RO(X)$.

Proof. The equivalence of (c) and (d) follows from 3.7(b). By 3.7(c), (d) implies (b). Suppose h is weakly continuous. Since $h^{-1}: X^* \to X$ is continuous, then h is also closed and irreducible. Bv 3.5, h is η -continuous, and by 3.7, $RO(X) = RO(X^*)$. So, (b) and (d) are equivalent. Suppose (c) is true. Since topology of $X \subseteq$ topology of X^* and $(X^*)_s = X_s$, then by 3.7(a), it follows that for any open set W in X^{*}, cl^{*} W =cl W =cl_{*} W and hence int^{*} cl^{*} W =int cl W =int, cl, W. If $U \in RO(Y)$, then $(f^*)^{-1}(U)$ is open in X^* and $f^{-1}(U) =$ $(f^*)^{-1}(U) \subseteq \operatorname{int}^* \operatorname{cl}^* (f^*)^{-1}(U) = \operatorname{int} \operatorname{cl} f^{-1}(U).$ Also, if $U, V \in RO(Y)$, then int cl $f^{-1}(U \cap V) = int^* cl^* (f^*)^{-1}(U \cap V) = int^* cl^* (f^*)^{-1}(U) \cap$ $\operatorname{int}^* \operatorname{cl}^* (f^*)^{-1}(V) = \operatorname{int} \operatorname{cl} f^{-1}(U) \cap \operatorname{int} \operatorname{cl} f^{-1}(V)$. Thus, (c) implies (a). To show (a) implies (b), suppose f is η -continuous. Let $x \in X$ and $W \cap f^{-1}(V)$ be a basic open subset of X^* containing h(x) = x. Since f is η -continuous, we may assume that $W \subseteq \operatorname{int} \operatorname{cl} f^{-1}(V)$. We will show that $h(W) \subseteq \operatorname{cl}^*(W \cap f^{-1}(V))$. Let $z \in W$ and $S \cap f^{-1}(R)$ be a basic open subset of X^* containing h(z) = z; again, we may assume that $S \subseteq \text{int cl } f^{-1}(R)$. We will show that $S \cap f^{-1}(R) \cap W \cap f^{-1}(V) \neq \emptyset$. Now, $z \in S \cap W \subseteq \operatorname{int} \operatorname{cl} f^{-1}(R) \cap \operatorname{int} \operatorname{cl} f^{-1}(V) = \operatorname{int} \operatorname{cl} f^{-1}(R \cap V)$. Thus, $\phi \neq S \cap W \cap f^{-1}(R \cap V) = S \cap W \cap f^{-1}(R) \cap f^{-1}(W)$. This completes that the proof (a) implies (b). \square

COROLLARY 3.9. A function f is η -continuous if and only if f is a composition $s \circ g \circ h$ where g is a continuous function and both s and h are θ -continuous, closed, bijections.

Proof. Let $f: X \to Y$ be η -continuous. As noted in the proof of 3.8, $h: X \to X^*$ is weakly continuous, closed and irreducible. By 3.5 and 3.3(c), h is θ -continuous. Now, $f = f^* \circ h$. Let $g: X^* \to Y_s$ be defined by $g(x) = f^*(x)$ for $x \in X^*$, and let $s: Y_s \to Y$ be identity function. By the definition of f^* , it follows that g is continuous. By 3.7(a), s is η -continuous; since s^{-1} is continuous, then s is an η continuous, closed irreducible surjection. So s is θ -continuous by 3.3(c). Now, $f^* = s \circ g$. Hence, $f = s \circ g \circ h$ where g is continuous and s and h are θ -continuous, closed, bijections. Conversely suppose $f = s \circ g \circ h: X \to Y$ where $g: R \to T$ is continuous and both $s: T \to Y$ and $h: X \to R$ are θ -continuous, closed bijections. Let $U, V \in RO(Y)$. By 3.7(d), $s^{-1}(U)$ is open in T. Since $g^{-1}(s^{-1}(U))$ is open, then $\operatorname{int}_{R}\operatorname{cl}_{R}g^{-1}(s^{-1}(U)) \supseteq g^{-1}(s^{-1}(U));$ it follows that $\operatorname{int}_{X}\operatorname{cl}_{X}f^{-1}(U) =$ $h^{-1}(\operatorname{int}_{\mathbb{R}}\operatorname{cl}_{\mathbb{R}}g^{-1}(s^{-1}(U))) \supseteq h^{-1}(g^{-1}(s^{-1}(U))) = f^{-1}(U).$ Since $g^{-1}(s^{-1}(U \cap V))$ is open, then $\operatorname{int}_{\mathbb{R}}\operatorname{cl}_{\mathbb{R}}g^{-1}(s^{-1}(U\cap V)) = \operatorname{int}_{\mathbb{R}}\operatorname{cl}_{\mathbb{R}}g^{-1}(U)\cap \operatorname{int}_{\mathbb{R}}\operatorname{cl}_{\mathbb{R}}g^{-1}(s^{-1}(V)).$ Thus, $\operatorname{int}_{X}\operatorname{cl}_{X}f^{-1}(U\cap V) = h^{-1}(\operatorname{int}_{R}\operatorname{cl}_{R}g^{-1}(s^{-1}(U))) \cap h^{-1}(\operatorname{int}_{R}\operatorname{cl}_{R}g^{-1}(s^{-1}(V)))$ $(V))) = \operatorname{int}_{X} \operatorname{cl}_{X} f^{-1}(U) \cap \operatorname{int}_{X} \operatorname{cl}_{X} f^{-1}(V)$. So, f is η -continuous. \Box

4. Uniqueness of (EX, π_x) . In this section, we prove that the absolute (EX, π_x) as constructed in §1 for an arbitrary space X is unique with respect to EX being extremally disconnected, completely regular and π_x being perfect, irreducible, separating and θ -continuous.

A major advantage of using the absolute EX as opposed to aXis that when X is Hausdorff, EX is Tychonoff (see [7]) and has Hausdorff compactifications. In particular, the Stone-Cech compactification βEX is the Stone space of the Boolean algebra of regular open sets on X; thus, a natural link between a Hausdorff space X and the Stone-Cech compactification of the extremally disconnected space EX exists. In [2], this link is utilized to give a characterization of Martin's Axiom in the class of H-closed spaces.

For a function $f: Y \to X$, Y' is used to denote the underlying set of Y plus the topology generated by the basis $\{f^{-1}(V) \cap U: V$ open in X and U open in Y}. Define $f': Y' \to X$ by f'(y) = f(y) for all $y \in Y'$. Clearly, f' is continuous. For $A \subseteq Y'$, int' A(resp. cl' A) is used to denote the interior (resp. closure) of A in Y'. Note that Y' is slightly different from Y* as developed in §3 for 3.7.

PROPOSITION 4.1. Let $f: Y \to X$ be a closed irreducible, θ -continuous surjection.

(a) If W is open in Y and V is open in X, then $int' cl' (W \cap f^{-1}(V)) = int cl (W \cap f^{-1}(V)).$

(b) $(Y')_s = Y_s$.

(c) If f is compact and separating, then f' is a perfect irreducible separating continuous surjection.

Proof. Part (b) follows from (a), and part (c) is straightforward (even through many details must be checked). To show (a), first note that by using the proofs of 3.5(a) and 3.4(b), we can show that f is slightly stronger than η -continuous, i.e., for arbitrary open sets U, V in X, f satisfies:

(i) $f^{-1}(U) \subseteq \operatorname{int} \operatorname{cl} f^{-1}(U)$ and

(ii) int cl $f^{-1}(U \cap V)$ = int cl $f^{-1}(U) \cap$ int cl $f^{-1}(V)$.

Now, we will show for an open set W in Y and open set V in X, $\operatorname{cl}'(W \cap f^{-1}(V)) = \operatorname{cl}(W \cap f^{-1}(V)).$ Clearly $\operatorname{cl}'(W \cap f^{-1}(V)) \subseteq \operatorname{cl}(W \cap f^{-1}(V))$ $f^{-1}(V)$). Let $y \in cl(W \cap f^{-1}(V))$ and $y \in T \cap f^{-1}(R)$ where T is open in Y and R is open in X. Since $f^{-1}(R) \subseteq \operatorname{int} \operatorname{cl} f^{-1}(R)$, then $T \cap$ int cl $f^{-1}(R) \cap W \cap f^{-1}(V) \neq \emptyset$. Assume $f \#(T) \cap R \cap f \#(W) \cap V = \emptyset$. Then by (ii), int cl $f^{-1}(f \#(T)) \cap$ int cl $f^{-1}(R) \cap$ int cl $f^{-1}(f \#(W)) \cap$ int cl $f^{-1}(V) = \emptyset$, and by 3.5(c), int cl $T \cap$ int cl $f^{-1}(R) \cap$ int cl $W \cap$ int cl $f^{-1}(V) = \emptyset$. This latter equality contradicts the fact that $T \cap \operatorname{int} \operatorname{cl} f^{-1}(R) \cap W \cap f^{-1}(V) \neq \emptyset$. To complete the proof of (a), it suffices to show that $int'(cl(W \cap f^{-1}(V))) \subseteq int(cl(W \cap f^{-1}(V)))$. Suppose $\phi \neq T \cap f^{-1}(R) \subseteq cl(W \cap f^{-1}(V))$ where T is open in Y and R is open in X. By (i), $T \cap f^{-1}(R) \subseteq T \cap \operatorname{int} \operatorname{cl} f^{-1}(R) \subseteq \operatorname{int} \operatorname{cl} (T \cap R)$ $f^{-1}(R) \subseteq \operatorname{int} \operatorname{cl} (W \cap f^{-1}(V))$. Thus, $\operatorname{int}' (\operatorname{cl} (W \cap f^{-1}(V))) \subseteq \operatorname{int} \operatorname{cl} (W \cap f^{-1}(V))$ $f^{-1}(V)$). \square

THEOREM 4.2. Let X be a space. If Y is extremally disconnected, completely regular and if $f: Y \to X$ is a perfect irreducible separating θ -continuous surjection, then there is a homeomorphism $h: EX \to Y$ such that $f \circ h = \pi_x$.

Proof. By (4.1), $f': Y' \to X$ is a perfect irreducible separating surjection and $(Y')_s = Y_s$. Since Y is completely regular, then $Y_s = Y$. By 1.4, Y' is extremally disconnected. By 2.1, there is a perfect separating continuous function $h: aX \to Y'$ such that $f' \circ$ $h = a_x$. Since a_x is irreducible, then it follows that h is onto and irreducible. By 1.1, h is a homeomorphism. Thus, $h: (aX_s) \to (Y')_s$ is a homeomorphism. But $EX = (aX)_s$ and $Y = (Y')_s$. So, $h: EX \to Y$ is homeomorphism, and since $f' \circ h = a_x$, it follows that $f \circ h = \pi_x$.

REMARK. 1. The Stone-Cech compactification βY can be constructed for a completely regular space Y which is not necessarily Hausdorff. This can be accomplished by using the same construction in 3.8 in [7]. This extension βY will be compact, completely regular, and Hausdorff except for Y (i.e., if $a, b \in Y$, $a \neq b$, and $a \notin Y$, then a and b are contained in disjoint open sets in βY —see [8]). Now, βY has this maximal property: If bY is a compact, completely regular extension of Y, there is a continuous function $f: \beta Y \to b Y$ such that f(y) for $y \in Y$. This maximal property shows that βY is the usual Stone-Cech compactification of Y when Y is Tychonoff. Also, if b Y is Hausdorff except for Y, then f is onto. Thus, for a non-Hausdorff space X, βEX is compact, completely regular and Hausdorff except for EX.

Let GX be used to denote the Stone space of the complete Boolean algebra RO(X) of regular open sets of X. Then EX can be enlarged by defining $\theta X = \{(\mathcal{U}, x) : \mathcal{U} \in GX \text{ and } x \in \operatorname{ad} \mathcal{U}\} \cup \{(\mathcal{U}, \infty) : \mathcal{U} \in GX \text{ and } \operatorname{ad} \mathcal{U} = \emptyset\} \text{ with the topology generated by} <math>\{ oU : U \text{ open in } X \}$ where $oU = \{(\mathcal{U}, z) \in \theta X : U \in \mathcal{U}\}$. Now θX is an extension of EX, and βEX and θX are equivalent extensions of EX, i.e., there is a homeomorphism between βEX and θX which fixes the points of EX.

Also, if Y is a completely regular space, there is an equivalence relation on Y and a topology on the induced partition Y_t such that Y_t is Tychonoff and Y and Y_t have the same ring of real-valued continuous functions via the induced quotient function $\sigma: Y \to Y_t$, i.e., if f is a real-valued continuous function on Y, then there is a unique real-valued continuous function g on Y_t such that $g \circ \sigma = f$ (see 3.9 of [4]). It follows that $(\theta X)_t = GX$, and by the previous paragraph, $(\beta EX)_t = GX$.

Thus, when X is not Hausdorff, there is a theory of completely regular, compactifications of EX which is similar to the Hausdorff compactifications of $(EX)_t$ and there is a natural link between βEX and GX.

2. We are indebted to the referee for noting that another application of Proposition 4.1 is that the existence and properties of (aX, a_x) follows from the existence and properties of (EX, π_x) ; in particular, in the notation of 4.1, aX = (EX)'. This construction of aX seems more natural even though aX was discovered first.

5. Continuous *E*-liftings. In this section, we give a necessary and sufficient condition for a function $f: X \to Y$ to have a continuous *E*-lifting in terms of a Boolean algebra homomorphism $\psi: RO(Y) \to RO(X)$.

We show that every η -continuous function has a continuous *E*-lifting and that a function has a continuous *E*-lifting if and only if it is a composition of η -continuous functions. Also, we give an example of η -continuous surjections whose composition is not η -continuous. Finally, we prove that a necessary and sufficient condition for an η -continuous function to have a unique continuous *E*-lifting is being a *c*-function.

For a space X, let RO(X) denote the set of all regular-open sets in X and O(X) the set of all open sets in X. Let S(RO(X)) denote the Stone space corresponding the Boolean algebra RO(X) (see [14]). The elements of S(RO(X)) are regular-open ultrafilters on X; the topology on S(RO(X)) is generated by $\{\lambda(U): U \in RO(X)\}$ where $\lambda(U) = \{\mathscr{U} \in S(RO(X)): U \in \mathscr{U}\}.$

LEMMA 5.1. Let X and Y be spaces and $f: X \to Y$ be a function. If there is a continuous function $F: S(RO(X)) \to S(RO(Y))$ such that for $\mathcal{U} \in S(RO(X))$ and $x \in \mathrm{ad} \mathcal{U}$, $f(x) \in \mathrm{ad} F(\mathcal{U})$, then f has a continuous E-lifting.

Proof. For $\mathscr{U} \in S(RO(X))$, $\mathscr{U}' = \{U \in O(X): \text{ int cl } U \in \mathscr{U}\}$ is an open ultrafilter; for each open ultrafilter \mathscr{W} on $X, \mathscr{W} \cap RO(X)$ is a regular-open ultrafilter and $(\mathscr{W} \cap RO(X))' = \mathscr{W}$ (see [10]). Define $G: EX \to EY$ by $G(\mathscr{W}, x) = (F(\mathscr{W} \cap RO(X))', f(x))$. G is a well-defined function since ad $\mathscr{U} = \text{ad } \mathscr{U}'$ for $\mathscr{U} \in S(RO(X))$. It is left to the reader to show that G is continuous and $\pi_Y \circ G = f \circ \pi_X$.

LEMMA 5.2. Let X and Y be spaces. If $\phi \neq S \subseteq RO(Y)$ such that $Y \setminus cl \ U \in S$ whenever $U \in S$ and $\varphi: S \to RO(X)$ satisfies:

(1) $U \in S$ implies $\varphi(Y \setminus cl U) = X \setminus cl \varphi(U)$ and

(2) for $U_1, \dots, U_n \in S$, $U_1 \cap \dots \cap U_n = \emptyset$ implies $\varphi(U_1) \cap \dots \cap \varphi(U_n) = \emptyset$, then φ has a unique Boolean algebra homomorphism extension to the Boolean subalgebra generated by S.

Proof. This follows from 2.15 in [1].

THEOREM 5.3. A function $f: X \to Y$ between spaces has an Elifting if and only if there is a function $\varphi: RO(Y) \to O(X)$ such that for $U \in RO(Y)$,

(1) $f(\operatorname{cl} \varphi(U)) \subseteq \operatorname{cl} U$,

(2) $\varphi(U) \cap \varphi(Y \setminus \operatorname{cl} U) = \emptyset$ and $\operatorname{cl} (\varphi(U) \cup \varphi(Y \setminus \operatorname{cl} U)) = X$, and (3) for $U_1, \dots, U_n \in RO(Y)$, $U_1 \cap \dots \cap U_n = \emptyset$ implies $\varphi(U_1) \cap \dots \cap \varphi(U_n) = \emptyset$.

Proof. Suppose f has a continuous E-lifting $G: EX \to EY$. Let $U \in RO(Y)$. Then oU is clopen in EY and there is a unique regularopen set V in X such that $oV = G^{-1}(oU)$. Let $\varphi(U) = V$. Then φ satisfies (1), (2), and (3). Conversely, suppose there is a function $\varphi: RO(Y) \to O(X)$ satisfying (1), (2), and (3). Define $\psi: RO(Y) \to RO(X)$ by $\psi(U) = \operatorname{int} \operatorname{cl} \varphi(U)$. By (2), it follows that $\psi(Y \setminus \operatorname{cl} U) = X \setminus \operatorname{cl} \psi(U)$. If $U_1 \cap \cdots \cap U_n = \emptyset$, then $\phi = \operatorname{int} \operatorname{cl} (\varphi(U_1) \cap \cdots \cap \varphi(U_n)) =$ int $\operatorname{cl} \varphi(U_1) \cap \cdots \cap \operatorname{int} \operatorname{cl} \varphi(U_n) = \psi(U_1) \cap \cdots \cap \psi(U_n)$. By Lemma 5.2, ψ is a Boolean algebra homomorphism. By Stone's Duality Theorem, there is a continuous function $F: S(RO(X)) \to S(RO(Y))$ such that for $\mathscr{U} \in S(RO(X)), \{\psi(W): W \in F(\mathscr{U})\} \subseteq \mathscr{U}.$ Suppose $\mathscr{U} \in S(RO(X))$ and $x \in \operatorname{ad} \mathscr{U}.$ For $U \in RO(Y), \operatorname{cl} \psi(U) = \operatorname{cl} \varphi(U) \subseteq f^{-1}(\operatorname{cl} U).$ So, $x \in \cap \{\operatorname{cl} \varphi(W): W \in F(\mathscr{U})\} \subseteq \cap \{f^{-1}(\operatorname{cl} W): W \in F(\mathscr{U})\} = f^{-1}(\operatorname{ad} F(\mathscr{U})).$ By Lemma 5.1, f has a continuous E-lifting.

COROLLARY 5.4. Suppose $f: X \to Y$ is a function. Then f has a continuous E-lifting if and only if there is a Boolean algebra homomorphism $\psi: RO(Y) \to RO(X)$ such that for $U \in RO(Y)$, $f^{-1}(U) \subseteq \psi(U) \subseteq \operatorname{cl} \psi(U) \subseteq f^{-1}(\operatorname{cl} U)$.

Proof. Let $\varphi: RO(Y) \to O(X)$ be the function generated in Theorem 5.3. Then as in the proof of 5.3, $\psi: RO(Y) \to RO(X)$ given by $\psi(U) = \operatorname{int} \operatorname{cl} \varphi(U)$ is a Boolean algebra homomorphism. It then follows that for $U \in RO(Y)$, $f^{-1}(U) \subseteq \psi(U) \subseteq \operatorname{cl} \psi(U) \subseteq f^{-1}(\operatorname{cl} U)$. \Box

The Boolean algebra characterization in 5.4 is used to develop a corresponding characterization for η -continuous surjections.

THEOREM 5.5. An η -continuous function has a continuous Elifting.

Proof. Let $f: X \to Y$ be η -continuous. Since the composition of functions each with a continuous E-lifting has a continuous Elifting, then by 3.9, we need to check when f is continuous and when f is a θ -continuous, closed, bijection. By 2.4 and 1.5, a continuous function has a continuous E-lifting. So, suppose f is a θ continuous, closed, bijection (so, f is irreducible and perfect). Then $f \circ \pi_x : EX \to Y$ is θ -continuous, perfect, irreducible surjection. So. $(f \circ \pi_x)': (EX)' \to Y$ is continuous. By 2.1, there is a continuous function $h: (EX)' \to EY$ such that $\pi_Y \circ h = (f \circ \pi_X)'$. By 4.1, $((EX)')_s =$ $(EX)_s = EX$ since EX is completely regular. Thus, the identity function $j: EX \to (EX)'$ is θ -continuous; hence, $h \circ j: EX \to EY$ is θ continuous. Since EY is completely regular, then $h \circ j$ is continuous. So, $\pi_{Y} \circ h \circ j = (f \circ \pi_{X})' \circ j$. But, $(f \circ \pi_{X})' \circ j = f \circ \pi_{X}$. This shows that $\pi_{Y} \circ (h \circ j) = f \circ \pi_{X}$ and f has a continuous E-lifting. \Box

COROLLARY 5.6. A function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ has a continuous E-lifting if

(a) f is a weakly-continuous, closed irreducible surjection, or

(b) f is weakly-continuous and open.

Proof. The proof follows from 3.4 and 3.5.

If X and Y are spaces, then $X \oplus Y$ is used to denote the topological sum of X and Y; the underlying set of $X \oplus Y$ is $X \times \{1\} \cup Y \times \{2\}$. If $f: X \to Z$ and $g: Y \to Z$ are functions, then $f + g: X \oplus Y \to Z$ is defined by (f + g)(x, 1) = f(x) and (f + g)(y, 2) = g(y). If $h: Y \to W$, then $f \oplus h: X \oplus Y \to Z \oplus W$ is defined by $(f \oplus h)(x, 1) = (f(x), 1)$ and $(f \oplus h)(y, 2) = (h(y), 2)$. The next lemma is used in the proof of the characterization theorem for continuous *E*-liftings.

LEMMA 5.7. Let $f: X \to Y$ be a function, $j: Y \to Y$ be the identity function, and $g = f + j: X + Y \to Y$. Then

(a) f is η -continuous if and only if g is η -continuous,

(b) f is the composition of η -continuous functions if and only if g is the composition of η -continuous functions, and

(c) f has a continuous E-lifting if and only if g has a continuous E-lifting.

Proof. The proof is long but straightforward and left to the reader.

It is important to note that the function g in 5.7 is a surjection.

THEOREM 5.8. Let $f: X \to Y$ be a function. The following are equivalent:

(a) f has a continuous E-lifting,

(b) f is the composition of η -continuous functions, and

(c) f is the composition of continuous functions and θ -continuous, perfect, irreducible surjections.

Proof. By 5.5 and 5.6, (c) implies (a), and, by 3.9, (b) implies To show (a) implies (b), suppose f has a continuous E-lifting. (c). By 5.7, we can assume that f is onto. By 5.4, there is a Boolean algebra homomorphism $\varphi: RO(Y) \to RO(X)$ such that for $U \in RO(Y)$, $f^{-1}(U) \subseteq \varphi(U) \subseteq \operatorname{cl} \varphi(U) \subseteq f^{-1}(\operatorname{cl} U)$. Let X^+ denote the underlying set of X plus the topology generated by the basis $\{\varphi(U): U \in RO(Y)\}$. Let $i^+: X \to X^+$ be the identity function and $f^+: X^+ \to Y$ be defined by $f^+(x) = f(x)$. For $A \subseteq X^+$, let $cl^+ A$ (resp. int⁺ A) denote the closure of A (resp. interior of A) in X^+ . Clearly i^+ is continuous. Next we will show that f^+ is η -continuous. Let $U \in RO(Y)$. To show $(f^{+})^{-1}(U) \subseteq \operatorname{int}^{+} \operatorname{cl}^{+}(f^{+})^{-1}(U)$, it suffices to show $\varphi(U) \subseteq \varphi(U)$ $cl^+(f^+)^{-1}(U)$. Let $x \in \varphi(U)$ and let $\varphi(W)$ be a basic open set containing x where $W \in RO(Y)$. Then $x \in \varphi(U) \cap \varphi(W) = \varphi(U \cap W)$. So, $U \cap W \neq \emptyset$ implying $\phi \neq (f^+)^{-1}(U \cap W) \subseteq (f^+)^{-1}(U) \cap \varphi(W)$. Thus. $\varphi(U) \subseteq \operatorname{cl}^+(f^+)^{-1}(U)$ and $(f^+)^{-1}(U) \subseteq \operatorname{int}^+\operatorname{cl}^+(f^+)^{-1}(U)$. Also this shows that $\operatorname{cl}^+ \varphi(U) = \operatorname{cl}^+ (f^+)^{-1}(U)$ and $\operatorname{int}^+ \operatorname{cl}^+ \varphi(U) = \operatorname{int}^+ \operatorname{cl}^+ f^{-1}(U)$. Now, if $U, V \operatorname{RO}(Y)$, then $\operatorname{int}^+ \operatorname{cl}^+ (f^+)^{-1}(U \cap V) = \operatorname{int}^+ \operatorname{cl}^+ \varphi(U \cap V) =$ $\operatorname{int}^+ \operatorname{cl}^+ \varphi(U) \cap \operatorname{int}^+ \operatorname{cl}^+ \varphi(V) = \operatorname{int}^+ \operatorname{cl}^+ (f^+)^{-1}(U) \cap \operatorname{int}^+ \operatorname{cl}^+ (f^+)^{-1}(V)$. This completes the proof that f^+ is η -continuous. Since $f = f^+ \circ i^+$, then (a) implies (b).

By 3.9 and the proof of 5.8, the composition of any finite number of η -continuous functions is the composition $f_1 \circ f_2 \circ f_3 \circ f_4$ where f_2 and f_4 are continuous functions and f_1 and f_3 are θ -continuous, closed bijections. However as shown by the next example, the composition of η -continuous functions need not be η -continuous.

EXAMPLE. Let N denote the set of positive integers, and Y = $\{(0,1)\} \cup \{(1/n,1/m): n, m \in N\} \cup \{(1/n,0): n \in N\} \cup \{(1/n,-1/m): n, m \in N\}.$ The topology for $Y \setminus \{(0, 1)\}$ is the topology inherited from the plane; a basic open set of (0, 1) is $U_{p} = \{(0, 1)\} \cup \{(1/n, -1/m): n > p, m \in N\}$ where $p \in N$. Let $Y_1 = \operatorname{cl} U_1$ and $Y_2 = Y \setminus U_1$. Let X_1 be the underlying set of Y_1 with $Y_1 \setminus \{(0, 1)\}$ discrete and a basic open set of (0, 1)is $V_p = \{(0, 1)\} \cup \{(1/n, 0): n \ge p\}$ where $p \in N$. Let $X = X_1 \bigoplus Y_2$. Let $j_1: Y_1 \to Y_1$ and $j_2: Y_2 \to Y_2$ be the identity functions and g = $j_1 + j_2$: $Y_1 \bigoplus Y_2 \rightarrow Y$. So, g is continuous. Let $s: (Y_1)_s \bigoplus (Y_2)_s \rightarrow Y$. $Y_1 \bigoplus Y_2$ be the identity function; since $(Y_1 \bigoplus Y_2)_s = (Y_1)_s \bigoplus (Y_2)_s$, then s is a θ -continuous, perfect, irreducible bijection. The identity function $h: X \to (Y_1)_s \bigoplus (Y_2)_s$ is continuous. Let $f = g \circ s \circ h$. By 5.8, $f: X \to Y$ has a continuous *E*-lifting. Now, U_1 is a regular open set in Y and bd $U_1 = \{(1/n, 0): n \in N\}$. Now, int $f^{-1}(bd U_1) = \{(1/n, 0) \in X_1:$ $n \in N$ and $(0, 1) \in cl$ int $f^{-1}(bd U_1)$. But $f(0, 1) \notin bd U_1$, and by 3.2, f is not η -continuous. It follows from 3.8 that $g \circ s$ is η -continuous since $((Y_1)_s \oplus (Y_2)_s)^* = Y_1 \oplus Y_2$. So, $f = (g \circ s) \circ h$ is the composition of two η -continuous surjections but is not η -continuous.

This paper is concluded with a necessary and sufficient condition for an η -continuous function to have a unique continuous *E*-lifting. This result parallels the corresponding result for *a*-liftings—see Proposition 2.5.

THEOREM 5.9. An η -continuous function has a unique continuous E-lifting if and only if it is a c-function.

Proof. The proof of the sufficiency is the same proof as for unique a-lifting. To prove the necessity, suppose $f: X \to Y$ is an η continuous with a unique continuous E-lifting. By 3.9, $f = s \circ g \circ h$ where $g: X^* \to Y_s$ is continuous and $h: X \to X^*$ and $s: Y_s \to Y$ are θ -continuous, perfect, irreducible bijections (and separating since one-to-one). For $U \in RO(X^*)$, int $h^{-1}(\operatorname{bd} U) = \operatorname{int}(h^{-1}(\operatorname{cl} U) \setminus h^{-1}(U)) =$ int $h^{-1}(\operatorname{cl} U) \setminus \operatorname{cl} h^{-1}(U)$. By 3.5, int $h^{-1}(\operatorname{cl} U) = \operatorname{int} \operatorname{cl} h^{-1}(U)$. So, int $h^{-1}(bd \ U) = \emptyset$ and h is c-function. Similarly, s is c-function. By sufficiency portion of this theorem, h and s have unique continuous E-liftings H and S, respectively. By 4.2, H and S are homeomorphisms. Assume G_1 and G_2 are direct continuous a-liftings of g. By 1.5, there are distinct continuous E-liftings F_1 and F_2 of g. Since S and H are homeomorphisms, $S \circ F_1 \circ H$ and $S \circ F_2 \circ H$ are distinct continuous E-liftings of f; this is a contradiction as f has a unique continuous E-lifting. So, g has a unique continuous a-lifting. By 2.5, g is a c-function. Let $U \in RO(Y)$. Then U is open in Y_s , and by 3.7, bd $U = bd_s U$. So, int $f^{-1}(bd \ U) \subseteq int^* (f^*)^{-1}(bd \ U) =$ $int^* g^{-1}(bd_s U) = \emptyset$ implying g is a c-function.

References

1. W. W. Comfort and S. Negrepontis, *The Theory of Ultrafilters*, Springer, New York, 1974.

2. R. F. Dickman, Jr., and J. R. Porter, θ -closed subsets of Hausdorff spaces, Pacific J. Math., **59** (1975), 407-415.

3. V. V. Fedorcuk, On H-closed extensions of θ -proximity spaces, Math. USSR Sbornik, **18** (1972), 407-424.

4. L. Gillman and M. Jerison, Rings of Continuous Functions, Van Nostrand, New York, 1960.

5. H. Herrlich and C. E. Strecker, Category Theory, Allyn and Bacon, Boston, 1973.

6. T. Husain, Almost Continuous Mappings, Prace. Mat., 10 (1966), 1-7.

7. S. Iliadis and S. Fomin, The method of centered systems in the theory of topological spaces, Russian Math. Surveys, **21** (1966), 37-62.

8. C.-T. Liu, Absolutely closed spaces, Trans, Amer. Math. Soc., 130 (1968), 86-104.

9. J. Mioduszewski and L. Rudolf, *H-closed extremally disconnected Hausdorff spaces*, Dissert. Math., **66** (1969), 1-55.

10. J. R. Porter and J. D. Thomas, On H-closed and minimal Hausdorff spaces, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **138** (1969), 159-170.

11. L. B. Sapiro, On absolutes of topological spaces and continuous mappings, Soviet Math. Dokl., **17** (1976), 147-151.

12. D. P. Strauss, *Extremally disconnected spaces*, Proc. Amer, Math. Soc., **18** (1967), 305-309.

13. V. M. Ul'janov, On compactifications satisfying the first axiom of countability and absolutes, Math. USSR Shornik, 27 (1975), 199-226.

14. R. C. Walker, The Stone-Cech Compactification, Springer, New York, 1974.

15. R. G. Woods, A survey of absolutes of topological spaces, Topological Structures II, Math. Centre Tracts **116** (1979), 323-362.

Received February 8, 1980 and in revised form May 29, 1980. The research of the second author was partially supported by the University of Kansas General Research Fund.

VIRGINIA POLYTECHNIC INSTITUTE AND STATE UNIVERSITY BLACKSBURG, VA 24061 UNIVERSITY OF KANSAS LAWRENCE, KS 66045 AND UNIVERSITY OF OKLAHOMA NORMAN, OK 73069

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

DONALD BABBITT (Managing Editor) University of California Los Angeles, CA 90024

HUGO ROSSI University of Utah Salt Lake City, UT 84112

C. C. MOORE and ANDREW OGG University of California Berkeley, CA 94720 J. DUGUNDJI Department of Mathematics University of Southern California Los Angeles, CA 90007

R. FINN and J. MILGRAM Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. ARENS E. F. BECKENBACH B. H. NEUMANN F. WOLF K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA	UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA	UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY	STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA	UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY	UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO	UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY	WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY	UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Please propose a heading for the odd numbered pages of less than 35 characters. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. **39**. Supply name and address of author to whom proofs should be sent. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints to each author are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$102.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$51.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address shoud be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924, U.S.A. Old back numbers obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.). 8-8, 3-chome, Takadanobaba, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

> Copyright © 1981 by Pacific Jounal of Mathematics Manufactured and first issued in Japan

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

vol. 94, No. 2 Julie, 190	Vol.
---------------------------	------

Thomas E. Armstrong and William David Sudderth, Nearly strategic
measures
John J. Buoni, Artatrana Dash and Bhushan L. Wadhwa, Joint Browder
spectrum
Jack Paul Diamond, Hypergeometric series with a <i>p</i> -adic variable
Raymond Frank Dickman, Jack Ray Porter and Leonard Rubin,
Completely regular absolutes and projective objects
James Kenneth Finch, On the local spectrum and the adjoint
Benno Fuchssteiner, An abstract disintegration theorem
Leon Gerber, The volume cut off a simplex by a half-space
Irving Leonard Glicksberg, An application of Wermer's subharmonicity
theorem
William Goldman, Two examples of affine manifolds
Yukio Hirashita, On the Weierstrass points on open Riemann surfaces331
Darrell Conley Kent, A note on regular Cauchy spaces
Abel Klein and Lawrence J. Landau, Periodic Gaussian
Osterwalder-Schrader positive processes and the two-sided Markov
property on the circle
Brenda MacGibbon, <i>X</i> -Borelian embeddings and images of Hausdorff
spaces
John R. Myers, Homology 3-spheres which admit no PL involutions 379
Boon-Hua Ong, Invariant subspace lattices for a class of operators
Chull Park, Representations of Gaussian processes by Wiener processes 407
Lesley Millman Sibner and Robert Jules Sibner, A sub-elliptic estimate
for a class of invariantly defined elliptic systems 417
Justin R. Smith, Complements of codimension-two submanifolds. III.
Cobordism theory
William Albert Roderick Weiss, Small Dowker spaces
David J. Winter, Cartan subalgebras of a Lie algebra and its ideals. II 493