

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

AN INEQUALITY FOR THE DISTRIBUTION OF ZEROS OF POLYNOMIALS AND ENTIRE FUNCTIONS

THOMAS CURTIS CRAVEN AND GEORGE LESLIE CSORDAS

AN INEQUALITY FOR THE DISTRIBUTION OF ZEROS OF POLYNOMIALS AND ENTIRE FUNCTIONS

THOMAS CRAVEN AND GEORGE CSORDAS

An inequality is established which provides a unifying principle for the distribution of zeros of real polynomials and certain entire functions. This inequality extends the applicability of multiplier sequences to the class of all real polynomials. The various consequences obtained generalize and supplement several results due to Hermite-Poulain, Laguerre, Marden, Obreschkoff, Polya and Schur.

1. Introduction. In the vast literature dealing with the distribution of zeros of real polynomials and real entire functions, an important role is played by linear transformations T which possess the following property:

$$(1) \quad Z_c(T[f]) \leq Z_c(f),$$

where f is a polynomial and $Z_c(f)$ denotes the number of nonreal zeros of f , counting multiplicities. If $T = D = d/dx$, then the above inequality is a consequence of Rolle's theorem. If h is a real polynomial with only real zeros and $T = h(D)$, then (1) follows from the classical Hermite-Poulain theorem [12, p. 4]. There are many other linear transformations T which satisfy inequality (1). Indeed, let $\Gamma = \{\gamma_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of real numbers and for an arbitrary real polynomial $f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k x^k$ define $\Gamma[f]$ by

$$(2) \quad \Gamma[f(x)] = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k \gamma_k x^k.$$

If $\Phi(x) = \sum a_k x^k$ is a real entire function, then set $\Gamma[\Phi(x)] = \sum a_k \gamma_k x^k$, whenever this series converges. Now let $Q(x)$ be a real polynomial with only real negative zeros. Let $\Gamma = \{Q(k)\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$. Then Laguerre's theorem [12, p. 6] asserts that

$$Z_c(\Gamma[f]) = Z_c\left(\sum_{k=0}^n a_k Q(k) x^k\right) \leq Z_c(f),$$

where $f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k x^k$ is an arbitrary real polynomial.

The real sequences $\Gamma = \{\gamma_k\}$ for which $\Gamma[f]$ has only real zeros whenever f is a real polynomial with only real zeros, have been completely characterized by Pólya and Schur in their celebrated paper [20] entitled, *Über zwei Arten von Faktorenfolgen in der*

Theorie der algebraischen Gleichungen. In this paper Pólya and Schur called a sequence $\Gamma = \{\gamma_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ of real numbers a *multiplier sequence of the first kind* if Γ takes every real polynomial $f(x)$ which has only real zeros into a polynomial $\Gamma[f(x)]$ (defined by (2)) of the same class. These authors termed a sequence $\Gamma = \{\gamma_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ of real numbers a *multiplier sequence of the second kind* if Γ takes every real polynomial $f(x)$, all whose zeros are real and of the same sign, into a polynomial all of whose zeros are real. Thus, with this nomenclature, the aforementioned theorem of Laguerre states, in particular, that the sequence $\Gamma = \{Q(k)\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ is a multiplier sequence of the first kind. We hasten to add that there are several other multiplier sequences of the first kind which are known to enjoy inequality (1) (see, for example, [12, Satz 5.8, Satz 5.13 and Satz 5.14]).

In §2 we shall introduce a new family of multiplier sequences of the first kind which depend continuously on a parameter t (Theorem 2). With the aid of these sequences and one of our previous results (Theorem 1), we shall completely characterize all real sequences $\Gamma = \{\gamma_k\}$ which satisfy the inequality

$$(3) \quad Z_c(\Gamma[f]) \leq Z_c(f),$$

where f is an arbitrary real polynomial and where $\Gamma[f]$ is defined by (2) (Corollary 4). Indeed, our main result, the fundamental inequality, (we will use this epithet to distinguish inequality (3) from the numerous inequalities that the reader will encounter in the sequel) asserts that if Γ is a multiplier sequence of the first kind, then inequality (3) holds for all real polynomials f (Theorem 3). We shall also discuss conditions when strict inequality holds in (3) (Theorem 4 and Theorem 5). At the end of this section, we shall show that, in a certain sense, inequality (3) is best possible.

Section 3 is devoted to several applications and consequences of the fundamental inequality. Indeed, the various corollaries in this section demonstrate that inequality (3) serves as a unifying principle for many results of the type we cited above. In particular, in this section we shall extend the theorems of Laguerre (Corollary 11), Hermite-Poulain (Corollary 14), Pólya and Schur (Corollary 7), Pólya (Corollary 8 and Corollary 9) and Schur (Corollary 12).

2. The fundamental inequality. In [20] Pólya and Schur provided both algebraic and transcendental characterizations of multiplier sequences. We begin this section with a brief review of the transcendental characterizations of these sequences. Let $\Gamma = \{\gamma_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of real numbers. Then in order that Γ

be a multiplier sequence of the first kind, it is necessary and sufficient that the series $\Phi(x) = \sum (\gamma_k/k!)x^k$ converge in the entire plane, and that the entire function $\Phi(x)$ or $\Phi(-x)$ can be represented in the form

$$(4) \quad \Phi(x) = ce^{\sigma x} x^m \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{x}{x_n}\right),$$

where $\sigma \geq 0$, $x_n > 0$, $c \in \mathbf{R}$, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n^{-1} < \infty$ and m is a nonnegative integer. In order that the sequence Γ be a multiplier sequence of the second kind, it is necessary and sufficient that the series $\Phi(x) = \sum (\gamma_k/k!)x^k$ converge in the entire plane, and that the entire function $\Phi(x)$ can be represented in the form

$$(5) \quad \Phi(x) = ce^{-\alpha x^2 + \beta x} x^m \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{x}{x_n}\right) e^{x/x_n},$$

where $\alpha \geq 0$, c, β and x_n are real, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n^{-2} < \infty$ and m is a nonnegative integer. In the sequel we will adhere to the following nomenclature. A real entire function Φ is called a function of *type II* in the *Laguerre-Pólya class* if it admits a representation of the form (5). Entire functions which admit a representation of the form (4) are termed functions of *type I* in the *Laguerre-Pólya class*. The significance of the Laguerre-Pólya class in the theory of entire functions (Levin [7, Chapter 8]) is natural since Pólya [13] has shown that functions of the type II, and only those, are the uniform limits, on compact subsets of the plane, of polynomials with only real zeros.

The inequality we shall establish in Theorem 3 may be derived from any one of several results that the authors obtained in connection with their investigations of the structure of certain real algebraic curves. In the present setting, it will be fruitful to use the following theorem that we proved in [3].

THEOREM 1. *Let $h(x) = \sum_0^n b_k x^k$ be a real polynomial with only real nonpositive zeros and let $f(x)$ be an arbitrary real polynomial. Then*

$$Z_c\left(\sum_0^n b_k x^k f^{(k)}(x)\right) \leq Z_c(f).$$

We remark that in the special case when f has only real zeros this theorem was first proved by Pólya and Schur [20, p. 107] (see also Pólya [15] or [1]). With the aid of Theorem 1, we shall show below that a certain family of sequences which depend continuously on a parameter t is a family of multiplier sequences of the first

kind.

In order to facilitate our description of these sequences, we require some additional notation and terminology. For an arbitrary real polynomial $f(x)$ of degree n , we define $f^*(x) \equiv x^n f(x^{-1})$. If m is a positive integer, we set

$$J_m = \left\{ 1, 1, 1 - \frac{1}{m}, \dots, \left(1 - \frac{1}{m}\right) \left(1 - \frac{2}{m}\right) \cdots \left(1 - \frac{m-1}{m}\right), \right. \\ \left. 0, 0 \cdots \right\}.$$

Then J_m , also known as the multiplier sequence of Jensen, is a multiplier sequence of the first kind (see Levin [7]). Hence, if $\Gamma = \{\gamma_k\}$, $\gamma_k \geq 0$, is a multiplier sequence of the first kind, then it follows that the zeros of the polynomials

$$g_k(t) \equiv (\Gamma[(1+t)^k]), \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

and

$$g_{k,m}^*(t) \equiv (J_m[g_k(t)])^* \\ = \sum_{j=0}^{\mu} \left(1 - \frac{1}{m}\right) \cdots \left(1 - \frac{j-1}{m}\right) \gamma_j \binom{k}{j} t^{k-j},$$

where $\mu = \min(k, m)$, are all real and nonpositive. We also note that if $\Phi(x) = \Gamma[e^x]$, so that $\Phi(x)$ is a function of type I in the Laguerre-Pólya class, then the polynomials g_k^* are generated by $e^{xt}\Phi(x)$; that is,

$$e^{xt}\Phi(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} g_k^*(t) \frac{x^k}{k!}.$$

But for a fixed $t > 0$, $e^{xt}\Phi(x)$ is also a function of type I in the Laguerre-Pólya class. Therefore, by the aforementioned transcendental characterizations of multiplier sequences, for each fixed $t_0 > 0$, the sequence $\{g_k^*(t_0)\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ is a multiplier sequence of the first kind.

Preliminaries aside, we shall now prove that for each positive integer m the sequence $\{g_{k,m}^*(t_0)\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$, $t_0 > 0$, constructed above satisfies inequality (3).

THEOREM 2. *Let $\Gamma = \{\gamma_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$, $\gamma_k \geq 0$, be a multiplier sequence of the first kind. For each positive integer m let $A_{t,m} = \{g_{k,m}^*(t)\}$. Let $f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k x^k$ be an arbitrary real polynomial of degree n . Then for each fixed $t > 0$,*

$$Z_C(A_{t,m}[f]) \leq Z_C(f).$$

In particular $A_{t,m}$ is a multiplier sequence of the first kind.

Proof. It suffices to consider the case when possibly some but not all of the terms of $\Gamma = \{\gamma_k\}$ are zero. Since Γ is a multiplier sequence of the first kind the relations $\gamma_j\gamma_l \neq 0$ and $\gamma_k = 0$ for any $k, j < k < l$, cannot hold at the same time (see, for example, Craven and Csordas [2, Theorem 3.4(b), p. 807]). Furthermore, we note that if $\gamma_0 = \gamma_1 = \dots = \gamma_p = 0, \gamma_{p+1} \neq 0$ and $m \leq p$, then $A_{t,m}[f] \equiv 0$. Thus, if Γ has precisely $p + 1$ leading zero terms, then, to avoid trivialities, we let $m \geq p + 1$.

Now we set

$$\begin{aligned} g_m(t) &= \Gamma[(1 + t)^m] \\ &= \sum \binom{m}{k} \gamma_k t^k \end{aligned}$$

and observe that the degree of $g_m(t)$ need not be m . If D_t denotes differentiation with respect to t , then for each fixed x

$$g_m(D_t)f(xt) = \sum \binom{m}{k} \gamma_k x^k f^{(k)}(xt).$$

Thus, for a fixed but arbitrary $t_0 > 0$, we obtain

$$[g_m(D_t)f(xt)]_{t=t_0} = \sum \binom{m}{k} \gamma_k x^k f^{(k)}(xt_0).$$

If we set $y = xt_0$, then

$$\sum \binom{m}{k} \gamma_k x^k f^{(k)}(xt_0) = \sum \binom{m}{k} \gamma_k t_0^{-k} y^k f^{(k)}(y).$$

Since Γ is a multiplier sequence of the first kind, the polynomial $\sum \binom{m}{k} \gamma_k t_0^{-k} y^k$ has only real nonpositive zeros. Therefore, we may invoke Theorem 1 and conclude that

$$Z_c \left(\sum \binom{m}{k} \gamma_k t_0^{-k} y^k f^{(k)}(y) \right) \leq Z_c(f)$$

and consequently that

$$Z_c([g_m(D_t)f(xt)]_{t=t_0}) \leq Z_c(f).$$

Now a computation shows that

$$[g_m(D_t)f(xt)]_{t=t_0} = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k x^k \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{k}{j} \gamma_j \frac{m!}{(m-j)!} t_0^{k-j},$$

where $\mu = \min(k, m)$. In this formulation we added the stipulation that $\mu = \min(k, m)$ since we allow the positive integer m to be less than the degree of f . If we replace in the right-hand side of the expression t_0 by $t_0 m$ and x by $m^{-1}x$, then the inequality on the number of nonreal zeros is preserved and thus we obtain

$$Z_C\left(\sum_{k=0}^n a_k x^k \sum_{j=0}^{\mu} \binom{k}{j} \gamma_j \frac{m!}{(m-j)! m^j} t_0^{k-j}\right) \leq Z_C(f),$$

where $\mu = \min(k, m)$. That is, for each fixed $t > 0$,

$$Z_C(A_{t,m}[f]) \leq Z_C(f).$$

Finally, if f has only real zeros, then the above inequality implies that $A_{t,m}[f]$ has also only real zeros. In particular, the sequence $A_{t,m}$ is a multiplier sequence of the first kind. This completes the proof of Theorem 2. \square

As a consequence of Theorem 2, we obtain the following fundamental inequality.

THEOREM 3 (The Fundamental Inequality). *Let $\Gamma = \{\gamma_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ be a multiplier sequence of the first kind and let $f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k x^k$ be an arbitrary real polynomial of degree n . Then*

$$(6) \quad Z_C(\Gamma[f]) \leq Z_C(f).$$

Proof. First we assume that $\gamma_k \geq 0$ for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Then by Theorem 2 for each positive integer m , $m > n$ and for each $t_0 > 0$ the following inequality holds

$$Z_C\left(\sum_{k=0}^n a_k x^k \sum_{j=0}^{\mu} \binom{k}{j} \gamma_j \frac{m!}{(m-j)! m^j} t_0^{k-j}\right) \leq Z_C(f).$$

Now we take the limit as $m \rightarrow \infty$ followed by the limit as $t_0 \rightarrow 0$. Under these limiting processes the above inequality prevails by Hurwitz's theorem and thus we conclude that if $\gamma_k \geq 0$, then

$$Z_C(\Gamma[f]) \leq Z_C(f).$$

Since $\Gamma = \{\gamma_k\}$ is a multiplier sequence of the first kind the terms γ_k either all have the same sign or they have alternating signs. In the latter case we apply the above argument to the sequence $\{(-1)^{k+i} \gamma_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$, where $i = 0$ if $\gamma_{2k} \geq 0$ and $i = 1$ if $\gamma_{2k+1} \geq 0$. Thus, we see that inequality (6) remains valid if the terms γ_k have alternating signs and so the proof of the theorem is complete. \square

REMARK. If $\Gamma = \{\gamma_k\}$ is a multiplier sequence of the first kind, then the terms γ_k either all have the same sign or they have alternating signs. For reasons of convenience we shall often assume in the sequel that $\gamma_k \geq 0$ for all k . Indeed, if $\Phi(x) = \Gamma[e^x]$ is a function of type I in the Laguerre-Pólya class, then so is the function $\Phi(-x)$.

The significance of inequality (6) is, in part, due to the fact that it extends the applicability of multiplier sequences of the first kind to the class of all real polynomials. In particular, we have solved here the following problem: characterize all real sequences $\Gamma = \{\gamma_k\}$ which satisfy inequality (6) for all real polynomials f . The solution to this problem is summarized in the following corollary.

COROLLARY 4. *Let $\Gamma = \{\gamma_k\}$ be a sequence of real numbers. Then Γ is a multiplier sequence of the first kind if and only if for any real polynomial f*

$$Z_c(\Gamma[f]) \leq Z_c(f).$$

In dealing with inequalities it is always important to know when or under what conditions on inequality of the form " \leq " can reduce to an equality. A complete specification of the conditions under which equality holds in (6) seems to be difficult since this inequality depends both on the multiplier sequence Γ and on the polynomial f . On the other hand, if Γ is of a particularly simple form, as for example is the case if $\Gamma = \{1, r, r^2, \dots\}$, $r \neq 0$, then clearly for any real polynomial f , $Z_c(\Gamma[f]) = Z_c(f)$. Another problem of interest is to characterize multiplier sequences Γ and polynomials f for which $Z_c(\Gamma[f]) = 0$, when f possesses some nonreal zeros. The following two theorems provide a partial solution to the above cited problems.

THEOREM 5 (See Obreschkoff [12, p. 126]). *Let $f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k x^k$ be a real polynomial with zeros z_1, \dots, z_n . Suppose for some non-negative integer p , $0 \leq p \leq n$,*

$$|\arg z_k| < \frac{\pi}{2n + 2 - p}, \quad k = 1, \dots, p,$$

and that the remaining zeros of f , if any, satisfy

$$|\arg z_k - \pi| < \frac{\pi}{n + p + 2}.$$

Let $\Gamma = \{\gamma_k\}$, $\gamma_k > 0$, be a multiplier sequence of the first kind and

let $A = \left\{ \binom{n}{k} \gamma_k \right\}$. Then A is a multiplier sequence of the first kind and

$$Z_c(A[f]) = 0.$$

Proof. It is easy to see that if $\{\gamma_k\}$ and $\{\gamma'_k\}$ are any two multiplier sequences of the first kind, then the composite sequence $\{\gamma_k \gamma'_k\}$ is also a multiplier sequence of the first kind. Thus, if we compose the multiplier sequence of Jensen, J_n , with the sequence $\{n^k\}_k$ and then compose this resulting sequence with $\{\gamma_k/k!\}$ we obtain A . Therefore, we conclude that A is a multiplier sequence of the first kind. Since the zeros of the polynomial $\Gamma[(1+x)^n]$ are all real and negative, the conclusion that $Z_c(A[f]) = 0$ now follows from Obreschkoff's theorem [12, p. 126]. \square

Our next theorem brings into a sharper focus the dependence of the fundamental inequality (6) on the multiplier sequence Γ and on the polynomial f .

THEOREM 6. Let $\Phi(x) = \sum (\gamma_k/k!)x^k$ be an entire function of type I in the Laguerre-Pólya class and suppose that Φ has an infinite number of zeros. Let $\Gamma = \{\gamma_k\}$ and let $f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k x^k$ be a real polynomial of degree n . Then there exists a constant $K = K(\Gamma, f)$, which depends on Γ and f , such that for all real α , $|\alpha| > K$

$$Z_c(\Gamma[f(x+\alpha)]) = 0.$$

Proof. We may assume, without loss of generality, that $\gamma_k > 0$ for all k . For each positive integer m , let $g_m(x) = \Gamma[(1+x)^m]$. Then the hypotheses about Φ imply that $g_m(x)$ has only real, simple zeros (see Csordas and Williamson [5]). Next, a simple calculation shows that for α real, $\alpha \neq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma[f(x+\alpha)] &= \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{f^{(k)}(\alpha)}{k!} \gamma_k x^k \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n a_k \alpha^k g_k \left(\frac{x}{\alpha} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus for $\alpha \neq 0$, the polynomials $P(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k \alpha^k g_k(x)$ and $\Gamma[f(x+\alpha)]$ have the same number of real zeros. We now select $n+1$ real numbers t_0, \dots, t_n such that $t_0 > t_1 > \dots > t_n$, $g'_n(t_j) = 0$ for $j = 1, \dots, n-1$, $g_n(t_0) > 0$ and $(-1)^n g_n(t_n) > 0$. This is possible since the zeros of g_n are all real and simple. Let

$$M = \left(\max_j \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |g_k(t_j)| \right) \left(\min_j |g_n(t_j)| \right)^{-1}$$

and let

$$K = \max \left(M \max_k \left| \frac{a_k}{a_n} \right|, 1 \right).$$

Then for $|\alpha| > K$ the following estimates hold:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k \alpha^k g_k(t_j) \right| &\leq |\alpha|^{n-1} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |a_k g_k(t_j)| \\ &\leq |\alpha|^{n-1} |a_n| \left(\max_k \left| \frac{a_k}{a_n} \right| \right) \left(\max_j \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |g_k(t_j)| \right) \\ &< |\alpha|^{n-1} \left[\frac{|\alpha|}{M \max_k \left| \frac{a_k}{a_n} \right|} \right] |a_n| \left[\max_k \left| \frac{a_k}{a_n} \right| \right] \left[\max_j \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |g_k(t_j)| \right] \\ &\leq |\alpha|^n |a_n| \left(\min_j |g_n(t_j)| \right) \\ &\leq |\alpha^n a_n g_n(t_j)|. \end{aligned}$$

The strict inequality implies that $P(t_j)$ and $a_n \alpha^n g_n(t_j)$ have the same sign for each j . Thus, $P(x)$ has n sign changes and *a fortiori* n real roots for $|\alpha| > K$. But then $Z_c(\Gamma[f(x + \alpha)]) = 0$ for $|\alpha| > K$. \square

At this point it should be noted that while the linear operators Γ and $D = d/dx$ enjoy many similar properties (see, for example, Corollaries 9 and 14 below), Γ , in general, is not translation invariant. In fact, simple (although somewhat laborious) examples show that if Γ is a multiplier sequence of the first kind, then, in general,

$$Z_c(\Gamma[f(x + \alpha)]) \neq Z_c(\Gamma[f]).$$

The elusive character of multiplier sequences Γ of the first kind is further underscored by examples which show that, in general,

$$Z_c(\Gamma[f]) \neq Z_c(\Gamma[f^*]),$$

where $f^*(x) = x^n f(1/x)$ and $f(x)$ is a real polynomial of degree n .

In the remainder of this section, we shall demonstrate that in a certain sense inequality (6) is best possible. If Γ is a multiplier sequence of the *second kind* and if f is a polynomial with only real nonpositive zeros, then Pólya has shown that $Z_c(\Gamma[f]) = 0$. In the absence of additional assumptions on f , it is easy to see that, in general $Z_c(\Gamma[f]) \leq Z_c(f)$. But even if we impose on f the additional restriction that all of its zeros lie in the left half-plane (i.e., have nonpositive real part), inequality (6) may still fail as the following example shows.

EXAMPLE. Let $\Phi(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\gamma_k/k!)x^k = (x^2 - 1)^2 \cos x$. Clearly, Φ is a function of type II in the Laguerre-Pólya class, and hence $\Gamma = \{\gamma_k\} = \{1, 0, -5, 0, 49, 0, \dots\}$ is a multiplier sequence of the second kind. If $f(x) = (x+1)^2(x^2+1)$, then $\Gamma[f(x)] = 1 - 10x^2 + 49x^4$. Thus, $Z_c(\Gamma[f]) = 4$, while $Z_c(f) = 2$.

3. Extensions and applications. This section is devoted to a brief treatment of some of the consequences of Theorem 1 and Theorem 3. (For different kinds of applications of Theorem 1, we refer the reader to [4].) As we shall see below, the principal leitmotif that underlies the various ramifications of inequality (6) is that this inequality serves as a unifying principle for many results of the type we cited in the Introduction.

Before we provide several generalizations of Theorem 1, we call attention to the following interesting partial converse of this theorem. If $h(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n b_k x^k$ is a real polynomial and if for all polynomials f

$$Z_c\left(\sum_{k=0}^n b_k x^k f^{(k)}(x)\right) \leq Z_c(f),$$

then $h(x)$ has only real zeros. The proof of this assertion will be readily supplied by the reader.

Our first corollary shows that Theorem 1 remains valid if the polynomial $h(x)$ in this theorem is replaced by an entire function of type I in the Laguerre-Pólya class.

COROLLARY 7. *If $\Phi(x) = \sum (\gamma_k/k!)x^k$, $\gamma_k \geq 0$, is a function of type I in the Laguerre-Pólya class and if $f(x)$ is an arbitrary real polynomial of degree m , then*

$$Z_c\left(\sum_{k=0}^m \frac{\gamma_k}{k!} x^k f^{(k)}(x)\right) \leq Z_c(f).$$

Proof. Let $g_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \gamma_k x^k$ and apply Theorem 1 to $f(x)$ with $h(x) = g_n(x/n)$, and then consider the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$. \square

We remark, parenthetically, that Corollary 7 extends a theorem of Pólya and Schur [20, p. 107]. It is interesting to note that this area of investigation is intimately connected with the various consequences of the Hermite-Poulain theorem [14, p. 238]. (For related theorems see also Obreschkoff [10] and [11].)

A companion result which generalizes a theorem of Pólya [14, p. 238] is the following corollary.

COROLLARY 8. *Let $\Phi(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\gamma_k/k!)x^k$, $\gamma_k \geq 0$, be a function of type I in the Laguerre-Pólya class. Let $\Psi_1(x) = \Psi(x)f(x)$, where $\Psi(x)$ is a function of type II in the Laguerre-Pólya class and f is an arbitrary polynomial. Then*

$$Z_C\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma_k}{k!} x^k \Psi_1^{(k)}(x)\right) \leq Z_C(f).$$

Proof. We first note that standard methods from the theory of entire functions show that the series

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma_k}{k!} x^k \Psi_1^{(k)}(x)$$

converges in the whole plane. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_1(x) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_k}{k!} x^k, \\ \psi_n(x) &= \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \alpha_k x^k \end{aligned}$$

and

$$g_k(x) = \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} \gamma_j x^j.$$

Then the sequence $\Lambda = \{g_k(1)\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ is a multiplier sequence of the first kind (see the preliminary remarks in § 2). Hence by Theorem 3 for each positive integer n

$$Z_C(\Lambda[\psi_n(x)]) \leq Z_C(\psi_n).$$

Now by a result of Pólya [14, p. 246]

$$Z_C(\psi_n) \leq Z_C(f), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots.$$

If we let $p_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} g_k(1) \alpha_k x^k$, then the above inequalities imply that for each n , $Z_C(p_n) \leq Z_C(f)$. Since the polynomials $p_n(x/n)$ converge uniformly on compact subsets of the plane to the entire function

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} g_k(1) \frac{\alpha_k}{k!} x^k,$$

we conclude that

$$Z_C\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} g_k(1) \frac{\alpha_k}{k!} x^k\right) \leq Z_C(f).$$

But now a calculation shows that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} g_k(1) \frac{\alpha_k}{k!} x^k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma_k}{k!} x^k \Psi_1^{(k)}(x),$$

and thus the conclusion of the corollary follows. \square

The same type of argument establishes, *mutatis mutandis*, the validity of our next corollary.

COROLLARY 9. *If $\Gamma = \{\gamma_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$, $\gamma_k \geq 0$, is a multiplier sequence of the first kind and if $\Psi(x)$ is a function of type II in the Laguerre-Pólya class, then for any real polynomial $f(x)$*

$$Z_c(\Gamma[\Psi(x)f(x)]) \leq Z_c(f).$$

We pause here for a moment to examine the scope of the foregoing results. Let $\Gamma^{(0)} = \{1, 1, 1, \dots\}$, $\Gamma^{(1)} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ and for a positive integer m , $m \geq 2$, let $\Gamma^{(m)} = \{\gamma_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$, where $\gamma_0 = \gamma_1 = \dots = \gamma_{m-1} = 0$ and $\gamma_{m+k} = (m+k)!/k!$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Then for each non-negative integer m , $\Gamma^{(m)}$ is a multiplier sequence of the first kind (just consider the Taylor coefficients of the function $x^m e^x$) and $\Gamma^{(m)}$ is the multiplier sequence which corresponds to the operator D^m , where $D = d/dx$. More precisely if Φ is an entire function, then

$$x^m D^m \Phi = \Gamma^{(m)}[\Phi].$$

Thus, Corollary 9 asserts in this case that $Z_c(\Gamma^{(m)}[\Psi(x)f(x)]) \leq Z_c(f)$, where Ψ is a function of type II in the Laguerre-Pólya class and f is an arbitrary real polynomial. Now a fifty-year old conjecture (see, for example, Pólya [17], [18] and [19] and Wiman [26]) asserts, when expressed in our nomenclature, that there is a positive integer m , sufficiently large, such that

$$Z_c(\Gamma^{(m)}[\Psi(x)f(x)]) = 0.$$

Thus, this conjecture may be viewed as a special case of the more general problem we referred to in the discussion preceding Theorem 5.

We shall mention here a consequence of Corollary 9 which generalizes Theorem 3 and a theorem of Pólya [14] in yet a different direction.

COROLLARY 10. *If $\Phi(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\gamma_k/k!)x^k$, $\gamma_k \geq 0$, is a function of type I in the Laguerre-Pólya class and if $f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k x^k$ is an arbitrary polynomial, then for any fixed real number t*

$$Z_c\left(\sum_{k=0}^n a_k x^k \Phi^{(k)}(xt)\right) \leq Z_c(f) .$$

Proof. Let $\Gamma = \{\gamma_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$, so that Γ is a multiplier sequence of the first kind. Then a computation shows that

$$\Gamma[e^{xt} f(x)] = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k x^k \Phi^{(k)}(xt) .$$

Hence by Corollary 9 for each fixed t

$$Z_c\left(\sum_{k=0}^n a_k x^k \Phi^{(k)}(xt)\right) \leq Z_c(f) . \quad \square$$

It is instructive to note that in the special case when $t = 0$, Corollary 10 reduces to Theorem 3.

We shall now briefly describe the connection between Laguerre's theorem and Theorem 1 and Theorem 3. Let $h(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n b_k x^k$ be a real polynomial with only real negative zeros. Let $f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^m a_k x^k$ be a real polynomial and let $\theta = x(d/dx)$. Then

$$h(\theta)f = \sum_{k=0}^m a_k h(k)x^k ,$$

and by Laguerre's theorem

$$Z_c(h(\theta)f) \leq Z_c(f) .$$

Thus, the sequence $\{h(k)\}_{k=0}^\infty$ is a multiplier sequence of the first kind. In light of Theorem 3 it is clear now that the fundamental inequality is an extension of Laguerre's theorem (for other kinds of extensions of Laguerre's theorem, see [16]). Indeed, there are real polynomials $p(x)$, not all of whose zeros are real, for which the sequence $\{p(k)\}_{k=0}^\infty$ is a multiplier sequence of the first kind (consider, for example, $p(x) = 1 + x + x^2$). The next corollary provides a method for constructing multiplier sequences of the form just mentioned.

COROLLARY 11. *Let $h(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n b_k x^k$ be a real polynomial with only real negative zeros. Let $\tilde{h}(x)$ denote the polynomial*

$$\tilde{h}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n b_k x(x-1)\cdots(x-k+1) .$$

If $f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^m a_k x^k$ is an arbitrary real polynomial, then

$$Z_c\left(\sum_{k=0}^m a_k \tilde{h}(k)x^k\right) \leq Z_c(f) .$$

In particular, the sequence $\{\tilde{h}(k)\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ is a multiplier sequence of the first kind.

Proof. If $\theta = x(d/dx)$, then an easy induction shows that $\theta(\theta - 1)\cdots(\theta - k + 1)f = x^k f^{(k)}(x)$. Hence

$$\tilde{h}(\theta)f = \sum_{j=0}^n b_j x^j f^{(j)}(x).$$

Since by assumption $h(x)$ has only real negative zeros, we may invoke Theorem 1 and deduce that

$$Z_c(\tilde{h}(\theta)f) \leq Z_c(f).$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{h}(\theta)f &= \sum_{j=0}^n b_j x^j f^{(j)}(x) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^n b_j \sum_{k=j}^m a_k \frac{k!}{(k-j)!} x^k \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^m a_k \sum_{j=0}^k b_j k(k-1)\cdots(k-j+1)x^k \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^m a_k \tilde{h}(k)x^k. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$Z_c\left(\sum_{k=0}^m a_k \tilde{h}(k)x^k\right) \leq Z_c(f). \quad \square$$

The observations introduced in the course of the proof of Corollary 11 allow us to reformulate Theorem 1 in terms of the differential operator θ and the polynomial $\tilde{h}(x)$, where $h(x)$ has only real negative zeros. That is, the inequality in Theorem 1 may now be written as

$$Z_c(\tilde{h}(\theta)f) \leq Z_c(f).$$

We hasten to add that the polynomial $\tilde{h}(x)$ need not have any real zeros even if all the zeros of $h(x)$ are real and negative (set $h(x) = (1+x)^2$). However, if $h(x)$ has only real positive zeros, then it is known [21, V, #185] that $\tilde{h}(x)$ has also only real positive zeros. For related results about polynomials of the form $\tilde{h}(x)$, where $h(x)$ is an arbitrary real polynomial, we refer the reader to Pólya and Szegő [21, V, #182–188] and Obreschkoff [12].

The remarkable properties of multiplier sequences were first derived from the Schur Composition Theorem [22], [9], [12] and [20]. Thus, in light of the foregoing developments, it is not sur-

prising that the fundamental inequality also implies the following extension of the Schur Composition Theorem.

COROLLARY 12. *Let $h(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n b_k x^k$, $b_n \neq 0$, be a real polynomial with only real negative zeros and let $f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k x^k$, $a_n \neq 0$, be an arbitrary real polynomial. Then*

$$Z_c\left(\sum_{k=0}^n a_k b_k x^k\right) \leq Z_c(f).$$

Proof. If we write $h(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n k!(b_k/k!)x^k$, then it follows from the transcendental characterization of multiplier sequences of the first kind (see §2), that the sequence $\Gamma = \{k! b_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ is a multiplier sequence of the first kind. Hence by Theorem 3

$$Z_c(\Gamma[f]) = Z_c\left(\sum_{k=0}^n k! b_k a_k x^k\right) \leq Z_c(f).$$

Since the sequence $\{1/k!\}$ is a multiplier sequence of the first kind, it follows once again from Theorem 3 that

$$Z_c\left(\sum_{k=0}^n b_k a_k x^k\right) \leq Z_c(f). \quad \square$$

The various composition theorems of Grace [12], De Bruijn and Springer [6], Marden [8], Obreschkoff [12], Szegő [24] and Weisner [25] just to mention a few, belong to the same circle of ideas that we have been investigating in this paper. (A clear account of these results is given in Marden [9, Chapter IV]; see also Obreschkoff [12, Chapter II].) However, these beautiful geometric theorems treat, for the most part, only the location of the nonreal zeros. In contrast, our results give information on the *number* of nonreal zeros of the composite polynomials. It is in this sense that the fundamental inequality and its consequences supplement the existing knowledge in the theory of distribution of zeros of polynomials and entire functions.

In this short list of direct consequences of Theorem 3, we shall also include a Stieltjes integral representation of $\Gamma[f]$, since it leads to an interesting open problem.

COROLLARY 13. *Let $\Gamma = \{\gamma_k\}$, $\gamma_0 \neq 0$, be a multiplier sequence of the first kind. Then there is a function $\beta(t)$ of bounded variation on $(0, \infty)$ with the following properties:*

(a) *The moment constants*

$$\gamma_k = \int_0^\infty t^k d\beta(t)$$

all exist.

(b) For any polynomial f ,

$$\Gamma[f] = \int_0^\infty f(xt)d\beta(t).$$

In particular

$$Z_c\left(\int_0^\infty f(xt)d\beta(t)\right) \leq Z_c(f).$$

Since the polynomial set $\{g_k^*(x)/k!\}_{k=0}^\infty$, where $g_k^*(x) = (\Gamma[1+x^k])^*$, is an Appell set, Corollary 13 is a direct consequence of well-known results (see, for example, Sheffer [23]) and Theorem 3.

The open problem we alluded to may be formulated as follows. Characterize the measures $d\beta$ for which the inequality (b) of Corollary 13 holds for all real polynomials f .

Thus far we have witnessed several similarities between the linear operators Γ and D , $D = d/dx$. In conclusion, we shall cite two results which further elucidate the relationship between these operators. In the formulation of Corollary 14, we require the following additional terminology. Let $\Gamma = \{\gamma_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$ be a multiplier sequence of the first kind. By a *shift* of Γ , we mean the sequence $\{\gamma_m, \gamma_{m+1}, \gamma_{m+2}, \dots\}$ for some nonnegative integer m . The m th shift of Γ will be denoted by $\Gamma_m = \{\gamma_{m+k}\}_{k=0}^\infty$, $\Gamma_0 = \Gamma$. It is easy to see that Γ_m is also a multiplier sequence of the first kind. In the following two corollaries, the assumption that $\gamma_k > 0$, $k=0, 1, 2, \dots$, is essential.

COROLLARY 14 (*The Hermite-Poulain Theorem*). Let $h(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n b_k x^k$, $b_n = 1$, be a real polynomial with only real negative zeros. Let f be an arbitrary real polynomial and let $\Gamma = \{\gamma_k\}$, $\gamma_k > 0$, be a multiplier sequence of the first kind. Define

$$\varphi(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n b_k \Gamma_k[f(x)]$$

and

$$\psi(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n b_k x^k \Gamma_k[f(x)],$$

where Γ_k denotes the k th shift of Γ . Then (a) $Z_c(\varphi) \leq Z_c(f)$ and (b) $Z_c(\psi) \leq Z_c(f)$.

Proof. Let $h(x) = (x + \alpha_n) \cdots (x + \alpha_1)$, where $\alpha_j > 0$, and form

the polynomial

$$F(x) = \alpha_1 \Gamma[f(x)] + \Gamma_1[f(x)],$$

where Γ_1 is the first shift of Γ . Let $\Lambda = \alpha_1 \Gamma + \Gamma_1$ so that $\Lambda = \{\alpha_1 \gamma_k + \gamma_{k+1}\}_{k=0}^\infty$. Since $\alpha_1 > 0$, it follows from the classical Hermite-Poulain theorem [12, p. 4], [14, p. 238] and a theorem of Pólya and Schur [20, p. 110] that Λ is a multiplier sequence of the first kind. Hence by Theorem 3

$$Z_c(F) = Z_c(\Lambda[f]) \leq Z_c(f).$$

Next we form the sequence $\alpha_2 \Lambda + \Lambda_1$, where Λ_1 denotes the first shift of Λ . As before we obtain

$$Z_c((\alpha_2 \Lambda + \Lambda_1)[f]) \leq Z_c(f).$$

Repeated applications of the above argument yield $Z_c(\varphi) \leq Z_c(f)$, and thus we have proved part (a) of the corollary. Part (b) of the corollary is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3. \square

It is instructive to note that if in the above definitions of the polynomials φ and ψ we replace Γ_k by D^k , where $D^k = d^k/dx^k$, then part (a) of Corollary 14 reduces to the classical Hermite-Poulain theorem, while part (b) becomes the fundamental inequality with the multiplier sequence $\{\tilde{h}(k)\}_{k=0}^\infty$.

COROLLARY 1.5. *Let $\Gamma = \{\gamma_k\}$, $\gamma_k > 0$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, be a multiplier sequence of the first kind and let f be an arbitrary real polynomial. Suppose that $Z_c(\Gamma[f]) = Z_c(f)$. If $\Gamma[f]$ possesses a multiple real zero, then so does f .*

Proof. If f does not have a multiple real zero, then $Z_c(f) = Z_c(f + \varepsilon)$ for $|\varepsilon|$ sufficiently small. But then with the appropriate sign for ε , $\Gamma[f + \varepsilon] = \Gamma[f] + \gamma_0 \varepsilon$ will have more nonreal zeros than $\Gamma[f]$. That is,

$$Z_c(\Gamma[f + \varepsilon]) > Z_c(\Gamma[f]) = Z_c(f) = Z_c(f + \varepsilon).$$

This contradicts the fundamental inequality and hence the proof of the corollary is complete.

REFERENCES

1. R. P. Boas, Jr., *George Pólya: Collected Papers*, vol. 2, M. I. T. Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 1974.
2. T. Craven and G. Csordas, *Multiplier sequences for fields*, Illinois J. Math., **21** (1977), 801-817.

3. T. Craven and G. Csordas, *On the number of real roots of polynomials*, to appear.
4. ———, *Zero-diminishing linear transformations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **80** (1980), 544-546.
5. G. Csordas and J. Williamson, *The zeros of Jensen polynomials are simple*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **49** (1975), 263-264.
6. N.G. De Bruijn and T.A. Springer, *On the zeros of composition polynomials*, Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc., **50** (1947), 895-903.
7. B. Ja. Levin, *Distribution of Zeros of Entire Functions*, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl., Providence, Rhode Island, 1964.
8. M. Marden, *The zeros of certain composite polynomials*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **49** (1943), 93-100.
9. ———, *Geometry of Polynomials*, Math. Surveys no. 3, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, Rhode Island, 1966.
10. N. Obreschkoff, *Sur le théorème de Hermite et Poulain*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, **249** (1959), 21-22.
11. ———, *Sur une généralisation du théorème de Poulain et Hermite pour les zéros réels des polynômes réels*, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar., **12** (1961), 175-184. (Russian summary).
12. ———, *Verteilung und Berechnung der Nullstellen Reeler Polynome*, Veb Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, Berlin, 1963.
13. G. Pólya, *Über Annäherung durch Polynome mit Lauter reellen Wurzeln*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo., **36** (1913), 279-295.
14. ———, *Algebraische Untersuchungen über ganze Funktionen von Geschlechte Null und Eins*, J. Reine Angew. Math., **145** (1914), 224-249.
15. ———, *Über algebraische Gleichungen mit nur reellen Wurzeln*, Vierteljschr. Naturforsch. Ges. Zurich, **61** (1916), 546-548.
16. ———, *Über einen Satz von Laguerre*, Jber. Deutsch. Math. Verein., **38** (1929), 161-168.
17. ———, *Some problems connected with Fourier's work on transcendental questions*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford, Ser., **1** (1930), 21-34.
18. ———, *Über die Realität der Nullstellen fast aller Ableitungen gewisser ganzer Funktionen*, Math. Ann., **114** (1937), 622-634.
19. ———, *On the zeros of the derivatives of a function and its analytic character*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **49** (1943), 178-191.
20. G. Pólya and J. Schur, *Über zwei Arten von Faktorenfolgen in der Theorie der algebraischen Gleichungen*, J. Reine Angew. Math., **144** (1914), 89-113.
21. G. Pólya and G. Szegő, *Aufgaben und Lehrsätze aus der Analysis*, vol. II, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1971.
22. J. Schur, *Zwei Sätze über algebraische Gleichungen mit lauter reellen Wurzeln*, J. Reine Angew. Math., **144** (1914), 75-88.
23. I. M. Sheffer, *Note on Appell polynomials*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **51** (1945), 739-744.
24. G. Szegő, *Bemerkungen zu einem Satz von J. H. Grace über die Wurzeln algebraischer Gleichungen*, Math. Z., **13** (1922), 28-55.
25. L. Weisner, *Roots of certain classes of polynomials*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **48** (1942), 283-286.
26. A. Wiman, *Über die Realität der Nullstellen fast aller Ableitungen gewisser ganzer Funktionen*, Math. Ann., **114** (1937), 617-621.

Received January 29, 1980. The first author was partially supported by NSF grant MCS79-00318.

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

DONALD BABBITT (Managing Editor)

University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90024

HUGO ROSSI

University of Utah
Salt Lake City, UT 84112

C. C. MOORE and ANDREW OGG

University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, CA 90007

R. FINN and J. MILGRAM

Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. ARENS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA
UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Please propose a heading for the odd numbered pages of less than 35 characters. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. 39. Supply name and address of author to whom proofs should be sent. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints to each author are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$102.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$51.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924, U.S.A. Old back numbers obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.).
8-8, 3-chome, Takadanobaba, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

Copyright © 1981 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics
Manufactured and first issued in Japan

George E. Andrews , <i>The Rogers-Ramanujan reciprocal and Minc's partition function</i>	251
Allan Calder, William H. Julian, Ray Mines, III and Fred Richman , <i>ε-covering dimension</i>	257
Thomas Curtis Craven and George Leslie Csordas , <i>An inequality for the distribution of zeros of polynomials and entire functions</i>	263
Thomas Jones Enright and R. Parthasarathy , <i>The transfer of invariant pairings to lattices</i>	281
Allen Roy Freedman and John Joseph Sember , <i>Densities and summability</i>	293
Robert Heller and Francis Aubra Roach , <i>A generalization of a classical necessary condition for convergence of continued fractions</i>	307
Peter Wilcox Jones , <i>Ratios of interpolating Blaschke products</i>	311
V. J. Joseph , <i>Smooth actions of the circle group on exotic spheres</i>	323
Mohd Saeed Khan , <i>Common fixed point theorems for multivalued mappings</i>	337
Samuel James Lomonaco, Jr. , <i>The homotopy groups of knots. I. How to compute the algebraic 2-type</i>	349
Louis Magnin , <i>Some remarks about C^∞ vectors in representations of connected locally compact groups</i>	391
Mark Mandelker , <i>Located sets on the line</i>	401
Murray Angus Marshall and Joseph Lewis Yucas , <i>Linked quaternionic mappings and their associated Witt rings</i>	411
William Lindall Paschke , <i>K-theory for commutants in the Calkin algebra</i>	427
W. J. Phillips , <i>On the relation $PQ - QP = -iI$</i>	435
Ellen Elizabeth Reed , <i>A class of Wallman-type extensions</i>	443
Sungwoo Suh , <i>The space of real parts of algebras of Fourier transforms</i>	461
Antonius Johannes Van Haagen , <i>Finite signed measures on function spaces</i>	467
Richard Hawks Warren , <i>Identification spaces and unique uniformity</i>	483