

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**ON THE ABSOLUTE CONVERGENCE OF FOURIER SERIES  
OF THE CLASSES  $H^\omega \cap V[v]$**

Z. A. CHANTURIA

## ON THE ABSOLUTE CONVERGENCE OF FOURIER SERIES OF THE CLASSES $H^\omega \cap V[v]$

Z. A. CHANTURIA

**In this paper the absolute convergence of the Fourier series is studied for the class of the function  $f$  with the modulus of continuity and the modulus of variation satisfying the conditions  $\omega(\delta, f) = O(\omega(\delta))$  and  $\nu(n, f) = O(\nu(n))$  respectively, where the modulus of continuity  $\omega(\delta)$  and the modulus of variation  $\nu(n)$  are given. In terms of these properties the sufficient conditions of the absolute convergence are established. We prove that these conditions are unimprovable in certain sense.**

1. Let  $f$  be a  $2\pi$  periodic continuous function and let

$$a_n(f) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(t) \cos ntdt, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

$$b_n(f) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(t) \sin ntdt, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

be the sequence of its Fourier coefficients.

The paper is devoted to the conditions of convergence of the series

$$(1) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (|a_n(f)| + |b_n(f)|)$$

or of the series

$$(2) \quad \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |c_n(f)|$$

where

$$c_0 = \frac{a_0}{2}, \quad c_n(f) = \frac{a_n - ib_n}{2}, \quad c_{-n} = \frac{a_n + ib_n}{2},$$

if the complex form of the Fourier series is used.

The class of functions for which the series (1) (or (2)) converges is denoted by  $A$ .

The problem in question has a long history (see monographs [1], Chapter IX, [14], Chapter VI, [8]).

Let us introduce the classes which will be used in what follows.

If  $f \in C(0, 2\pi)$  then the function

$$\omega(\delta, f) = \max_{\substack{x, y \in [0, 2\pi] \\ |x-y| \leq \delta}} |f(x) - f(y)|$$

is called the modulus of continuity of the function  $f$ .

The modulus of continuity of an arbitrary function  $f \in C(0, 2\pi)$  has the following properties:

- (1)  $\omega(0) = 0$ ,
- (2)  $\omega(\delta)$  is nondecreasing on  $\delta$ ,
- (3)  $\omega(\delta)$  is continuous on  $[0, \pi]$ ,
- (4)  $\omega(\delta_1 + \delta_2) \leq \omega(\delta_1) + \omega(\delta_2)$  for  $0 \leq \delta_1 \leq \delta_2 \leq \delta_1 + \delta_2 \leq \pi$ .

An arbitrary function  $\omega(\delta)$  which is defined on  $[0, \pi]$  and has the properties (1)–(4) is called the modulus of continuity.

If the modulus of continuity  $\omega(\delta)$  is given then  $H^\omega$  denoted the class of functions  $f \in C(0, 2\pi)$  for which  $\omega(\delta, f) = O(\omega(\delta))$  when  $\delta \rightarrow 0$  is denoted.

S. N. Bernstein has proved ([1], p. 608), that if  $f \in H^\omega$  and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \omega\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) = \infty$$

then  $f \in A$ .

Bernstein's theorem is best possible in the sense that if the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \omega\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) < \infty,$$

then there exists the function  $f_0 \in A$  in the class  $H^\omega$ .

This was proved by Bernstein for the class  $H^\omega$  under the condition that there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\delta^{\varepsilon-1} \omega(\delta)$  is decreasing. S. B. Stechkin proved the same for arbitrary classes ([1], p. 625).

If the function  $f$  has bounded variation i.e., belongs to the class  $V$ , then lesser smoothness of its modulus of continuity may be required. That follows from the theorem of A. Zygmund [15]: If  $f \in V \cap H^\omega$  and

$$(3) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sqrt{\omega\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)} < \infty$$

then  $f \in A$ .

In particular, the absolute convergence occurs when

$$(4) \quad \omega(\delta) = O\left(\left(\ln \frac{1}{\delta}\right)^{-\eta}\right), \quad \eta > 2.$$

Zygmund has pointed out that the latter statement is wrong for  $\eta \leq 1$ , because the function

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n \ln n} \sin nx$$

is absolutely continuous and has the modulus of continuity of order  $O((\ln 1/\delta)^{-1})$ . He has posed the question whether the absolute convergence is true for  $1 < \eta \leq 2$ .

R. Salem [9] has proved that if for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  the modulus of continuity  $\omega(\delta)$  satisfies the condition

$$\sum \frac{1}{n} \left[ \omega\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \right]^{1/2+\varepsilon} = \infty,$$

then there exists the function  $f_0 \in V \cap H^\omega$  which does not belong to  $A$ . This implies that absolute convergence does not hold for the class  $H^\omega$ ,  $\omega(\delta) = O((\ln 1/\delta)^{-\eta})$ ,  $\eta < 2$ .

J.-P. Kahane ([8], p, 24) has sharpened Salem's theorem in the following way: if the modulus of continuity satisfies the condition

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^2 \omega(2^{-n}) = \infty$$

then there exists the function  $f_0 \in V \cap H^\omega$  which does not belong to  $A$ .

But neither Kahan's theorem gives the answer in the logarithmic scale for  $\eta = 2$  and this question has remained open until recently.

Only in 1972 I. Wik [12] proved that there exists the function of bounded variation satisfying the condition (4) for  $\eta = 2$  for which the absolute convergence does not hold.

As regards the general modulus of continuity the final answer to the question was obtained by S. V. Bochkarev [3]. He has proved that the condition (3) is necessary for the absolute convergence of all Fourier series of the class  $V \cap H^\omega$ .

In what follows we shall use the notation of the modulus of variation of a function introduced by us in 1973 [4].

DEFINITION. Let  $f$  be a bounded  $2\pi$ -periodic function. The modulus of variation  $\nu(n, f)$  of the function  $f$  is defined for non-negative integers  $n$  as follows:

$$\nu(0, f) = 0$$

and for  $n \geq 1$

$$\nu(n, f) = \sup_{\Pi_n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |f(t_{2k+1}) - f(t_{2k})|,$$

where  $\Pi_n$  is an arbitrary system of  $n$  disjoint intervals  $(t_{2k}, t_{2k+1})$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$  i.e.,  $0 \leq t_0 < t_1 \leq t_2 < \dots \leq t_{2k-2} < t_{2k-1} \leq 2\pi$ .

The modulus of variation of any function is nondecreasing and upwards convex. Functions of an integral argument with such

properties will be said to be modulus of variation. If the modulus of variation  $\nu(n)$  is given, then by  $V[\nu]$  we denote the class of  $2\pi$ -periodic functions for which  $\nu(n, f) = O(\nu(n))$  when  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Note that the Jordan class  $V = V[1]$  and the class of  $2\pi$ -periodic bounded functions  $M(0, 2\pi) = V[n]$ ; if  $0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta \leq 1$ , then  $V[1] \subset V[n^\alpha] \subset V[n^\beta] \subset M(0, 2\pi)$ . In general, if  $\nu_1(n) \leq \nu_2(n)$ ,  $n = 0, 1, \dots$ , then  $V[\nu_1] \subset V[\nu_2]$ .

In [4] we have extended Zygmund's theorem to the wider classes  $V[n^\alpha] \cap H^\omega$  and in [5] under some restrictions on  $\omega$  the necessity of the obtained condition has been proved.

2. In the present paper we extend the Zygmund and Bochkarev theorems to the classes  $V[\nu] \cap H^{\omega_1}$ .

The following theorem is valid.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $f \in H^\omega \cap V[\nu]$ ,  $\nu(n) = o(n)^2$ ,  $\nu(1) = \omega(1) = 1$ ,*

$$\varphi(n) = \max \left\{ m; \frac{\nu(m)}{m} \geq \omega\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \right\}.$$

If

$$(6) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \left( \sum_{k=\varphi(n)}^{n+\varphi(n)} \frac{\nu^2(k)}{k^2} \right)^{1/2} < \infty$$

then  $f \in A$ .

We shall deduce this theorem from the following theorem of O. Szász ([1], p. 609). If  $f$  satisfies the condition

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \omega_2\left(\frac{1}{n}, f\right) < \infty,$$

where  $\omega_2(\delta, f) = \max_{0 < h \leq \delta} \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} |f(x+h) - f(x)| dx \right\}^{1/2}$ , then  $f \in A$ .

Although Theorem 1 is a corollary of the Szász theorem it seems that our theorem is expressed in terms which are more applicable in the field under consideration than those of the Szász theorem.

In order to prove Theorem 1 we need the following lemma.

**LEMMA 1.** *Let  $\nu(n)$  be a modulus of variation and  $\nu(n) = o(n)$ ,  $\nu(1) > 0$ . Then there exists a natural number  $n_0$  such that  $\nu(n)/n$*

<sup>1</sup> Several results of this paper were published in [6] without proofs.

<sup>2</sup> This condition is natural since for continuous  $f$   $\nu(n, f) = o(n)$  (see [4]).

is decreasing for  $n \geq n_0$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\nu(n)$  is upwards convex, for any  $n \geq 1$

$$\nu(n) - \nu(n-1) \geq \nu(n+1) - \nu(n),$$

therefore

$$\nu(n) = \sum_{k=1}^n [\nu(k) - \nu(k-1)] \geq n[\nu(n) - \nu(n-1)]$$

i.e.,

$$\frac{\nu(n)}{n} \geq \nu(n) - \nu(n-1)$$

or

$$\frac{\nu(n)}{n} \geq \frac{\nu(n-1)}{n-1}.$$

So we have proved, that  $\nu(n)/n$  does not increase. Now it is necessary to prove that  $\nu(n)/n$  is decreasing beginning with a certain  $n_0$ . Assume the contrary. Then there exists a sequence  $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  such that

$$\frac{\nu(n_k)}{n_k} = \frac{\nu(n_k+1)}{n_k+1}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

or

$$\frac{\nu(n_k)}{n_k} = \nu(n_k+1) - \nu(n_k), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

i.e.,

$$\frac{1}{n_k} \sum_{m=1}^{n_k} [\nu(m) - \nu(m-1)] = \nu(n_k+1) - \nu(n_k).$$

But as  $\nu(n) - \nu(n-1)$  does not increase it follows from the last equality that for  $n = 1, 2, \dots, n_k+1$  we have

$$\nu(n) - \nu(n-1) = \nu(1)$$

therefore

$$\nu(n) = n\nu(1), \quad 1 \leq n \leq n_k+1.$$

Since  $\{n_k\}$  is an infinite sequence the last equality is valid for all  $n$ , i.e.,  $\nu(n) \neq o(n)$ . This contradicts the conditions of the lemma.

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Let

$$c_1 = \sup_{0 < \delta \leq \pi} \frac{\omega(\delta, f)}{\omega(\delta)},$$

$$c_2 = \sup_{n \geq 1} \frac{\nu(n, f)}{\nu(n)},$$

and  $c_0 = \max \{c_1, c_2\}$ .

Then  $\omega(\delta, f) \leq c_0 \omega(\delta)$ ,  $\delta \in [0, \pi]$  and  $\nu(n, f) \leq c_0 \nu(n)$ ,  $n \geq 0$ .

We have

$$\omega_2^2\left(\frac{1}{n}, f\right) = \max_{0 < h \leq 1/n} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(x+h) - f(x)|^2 dx = \int_0^{2\pi} |f(x+h_n) - f(x)|^2 dx,$$

where  $0 < h_n \leq 1/n$ .

Let  $l_n = [2\pi/h_n]$ , then since  $f$  is periodic

$$(7) \quad \omega_2^2\left(\frac{1}{n}, f\right) = \frac{1}{l_n} \int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{l_n} [f(x+kh_n) - f(x+(k-1)h_n)]^2 dx.$$

Consider the sum

$$\xi_n(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{l_n} [f(x+kh_n) - f(x+(k-1)h_n)]^2, \quad 0 \leq x \leq 2\pi.$$

Assume that  $x_0 \in [0, \pi]$  is the point of maximum of the function

$\xi_n$ .

Consider the sets

$$S_\infty = \{k \in N; |f(x_0 + kh_n) - f(x_0 + (k-1)h_n)| = 0\},$$

$$S_m = \left\{k \in N; c_0 \frac{\nu(2^{m+1})}{2^{m+1}} < |f(x_0 + kh_n) - f(x_0 + (k-1)h_n)| \leq c_0 \frac{\nu(2^m)}{2^m}\right\},$$

$$m \geq 0.$$

Let us show that for  $m < m_0(n) = [\log_2 \varphi(n)]$  the sets  $S_m$  are empty.

In fact, for any  $k \in \{1, \dots, l_n\}$  according to the definition of  $\varphi(n)$  and the monotonicity of  $\nu(n)/n$  we have

$$|f(x_0 + kh_n) - f(x_0 + (k-1)h_n)| \leq \omega(h_n, f) \leq \omega\left(\frac{1}{n}, f\right) \leq c_0 \omega\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$$

$$\leq c_0 \frac{\nu(\varphi(n))}{\varphi(n)} \leq c_0 \frac{\nu(2^{m_0})}{2^{m_0}}.$$

So for  $0 \leq m \leq m_0(n) - 1$ ,  $S_m = \emptyset$ .

Denote by  $\sigma_m$  the number of elements of  $S_m$ . It is obvious that

$$\sigma_\infty + \sum_{m=m_0}^{\infty} \sigma_m = l_n.$$

Because of

$$\sum_{k \in S_m} |f(x_0 + kh_n) - f(x_0 + (k-1)h_n)| \leq \nu(\sigma_m, f) \leq c_0 \nu(\sigma_m),$$

and the definition of the sets  $S_m$ , we obtain

$$c_0 \nu(\sigma_m) \geq \sigma_m \cdot c_0 \frac{\nu(2^{m+1})}{2^{m+1}}$$

or

$$(8) \quad \frac{\nu(\sigma_m)}{\sigma_m} \geq \frac{\nu(2^{m+1})}{2^{m+1}}.$$

But according to Lemma 1  $\nu(n)/n$  is decreasing beginning with a certain  $N$ , so it follows from (8) that for  $m \geq [\log_2 N] + 1$

$$(9) \quad \sigma_m \leq 2^{m+1}.$$

If  $n$  is also large that  $2^{m_0(n)} \geq N$ , then using (9) we have

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} \hat{\xi}_n(x_0) &\leq \sum_{m=m_0}^{\infty} \sum_{k \in S_m} |f(x_0 + kh_n) - f(x_0 + (k-1)h_n)|^2 \\ &\leq c_0^2 \sum_{m=m_0}^{\infty} \sigma_m \frac{\nu^2(2^m)}{2^{2m}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $M$  be defined according to the condition

$$\sum_{m=m_0}^{M-1} 2^{m+1} < l_n \leq \sum_{m=m_0}^M 2^{m+1}.$$

Then for  $m_0(n) \geq \log_2 N$ , using (10), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\xi}_n(x_0) &\leq c_0^2 \left\{ \sum_{m=m_0}^{M-1} 2^{m+1} \cdot \frac{\nu^2(2^m)}{2^{2m}} + \left( l_n - \sum_{m=m_0}^{M-1} 2^{m+1} \right) \frac{\nu^2(2^{M+1})}{2^{2(M+1)}} \right\} \\ &\leq c^3 \left\{ \sum_{m=m_0}^{M-1} \sum_{k=2^{m+1}}^{2^{m+1}} \frac{\nu^2(2^{m+1})}{2^{2(m+1)}} + \left( l_n - \sum_{m=m_0}^{M-1} 2^{m+1} \right) \frac{\nu^2(2^{M+1})}{2^{2(M+1)}} \right\} \\ &\leq c \left\{ \sum_{k=2^{m_0+1}}^{2^{m_0+1}+l_n} \frac{\nu^2(k)}{k^2} + \sum_{k=2^{m_0}}^{2^{m_0+1}-1} \frac{\nu^2(k)}{k^2} \right\} \leq c \sum_{k=2^{m_0+1}}^{2^{m_0+1}+l_n} \frac{\nu^2(k)}{k^2}. \end{aligned}$$

From the last estimation and from (7) by the definition of  $m_0(n)$  we have

$$(11) \quad \omega_n^2\left(\frac{1}{n}, f\right) \leq \frac{1}{l_n} \cdot c \sum_{k=2^{m_0+1}}^{2^{m_0+1}+l_n} \frac{\nu^2(k)}{k^2} \leq c n_n \sum_{k=\varphi(n)}^{\varphi(n)+l_n} \frac{\nu^2(k)}{k^2}.$$

Now let us show that

<sup>3</sup> Here and in what follows by  $c$  we denote absolute positive constants which are, in general, distinct in different formulas.



$$(12) \quad h_n \sum_{k=\varphi(n)}^{\varphi(n)+l_n} \frac{\nu^2(k)}{k^2} \leq c \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=\varphi(n)}^{\varphi(n)+n} \frac{\nu^2(k)}{k^2}.$$

Recally, as  $\nu(n)/n \downarrow$

$$\begin{aligned} h_n \sum_{k=\varphi(n)+n+1}^{\varphi(n)+l_n} \frac{\nu^2(k)}{k^2} &\leq h_n \left( \frac{\nu(\varphi(n)+n)}{\varphi(n)+n} \right)^2 (l_n - n) \leq c \left( \frac{\nu(\varphi(n)+n)}{\varphi(n)+n} \right)^2 \\ &\leq c \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=\varphi(n)}^{\varphi(n)+n} \frac{\nu^2(k)}{k^2}, \end{aligned}$$

which since  $h_n \leq 1/n$  implies

$$\begin{aligned} h_n \sum_{k=\varphi(n)}^{\varphi(n)+l_n} \frac{\nu^2(k)}{k^2} &= h_n \left( \sum_{k=\varphi(n)}^{\varphi(n)+n} \frac{\nu^2(k)}{k^2} + \sum_{k=\varphi(n)+n+1}^{\varphi(n)+l_n} \frac{\nu^2(k)}{k^2} \right) \\ &\leq c \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=\varphi(n)}^{\varphi(n)+n} \frac{\nu^2(k)}{k^2}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (11) and (12) that

$$\omega_2\left(\frac{1}{n}, f\right) \leq c \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \left( \sum_{k=\varphi(n)}^{\varphi(n)+n} \frac{\nu^2(k)}{k^2} \right)^{1/2}.$$

According to the convergence of the series (6) from the previous estimate we obtain that the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \omega_2\left(\frac{1}{n}, f\right)$$

is also convergent and by the theorem of O. Szász  $f \in A$ . This completes the proof.

**COROLLARY 1** (S. N. Bernstein, [1] p. 608). *If  $f \in H^\omega$  and*

$$(13) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \omega\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) < \infty$$

then  $f \in A$ .

*Proof.* Using the monotonicity of  $\nu(n)/n$  and the definition of  $\varphi(n)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \sum_{k=\varphi(n)}^{\varphi(n)+n} \frac{\nu^2(k)}{k^2} \right)^{1/2} &\leq \left( \frac{\nu^2(\varphi(n))}{\varphi^2(n)} + \frac{\nu^2(\varphi(n)+1)}{(\varphi(n)+1)^2} \cdot n \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \frac{\nu(\varphi(n))}{\varphi(n)} + \sqrt{n} \cdot \omega\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \leq \frac{\nu(\varphi(n)+1)}{\varphi(n)+1} \cdot \frac{\varphi(n)+1}{\varphi(n)} + \sqrt{n} \cdot \omega\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \\ &\leq c \sqrt{n} \cdot \omega\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$\frac{1}{n} \left( \sum_{k=\varphi(n)}^{\varphi(n)+n} \frac{v^2(k)}{k^2} \right)^{1/2} \leq c \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \omega\left(\frac{1}{n}\right).$$

Hence by (13) and Theorem 1  $f \in A$ .

**COROLLARY 2.** *Let  $f \in H^\omega \cap V[n^\alpha]$ ,  $0 \leq \alpha < 1/2$  and*

$$(14) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \left[ \omega\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \right]^{1-2\alpha/2(1-\alpha)} < \infty,$$

then  $f \in A$ .

*Proof.* It is easy to calculate that in this case

$$\varphi(n) = \left[ \omega^{-1/1-\alpha} \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) \right].$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \sum_{k=\varphi(n)}^{\varphi(n)+n} \frac{v^2(k)}{k^2} \right)^{1/2} &\leq \left( \sum_{k=\varphi(n)}^{\varphi(n)+n} \frac{1}{k^{2-2\alpha}} \right)^{1/2} \leq c \frac{1}{(\varphi(n))^{1-2\alpha/2}} \\ &\leq c \left( \omega\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \right)^{1-2\alpha/2(1-\alpha)}. \end{aligned}$$

From this estimate, (14) and Theorem 1 it follows that  $f \in A$ .

In particular, for  $\alpha = 0$  Corollary 2 implies Zygmund's theorem.

**COROLLARY 2'.** *If  $f \in H^\omega \cap V[n^\alpha]$ , where  $0 \leq \alpha < 1/2$  and  $\omega(\delta) = (\ln 1/\delta)^{-(2(1-\alpha)/1-2\alpha)-\varepsilon}$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$  then  $f \in A$ .*

**COROLLARY 3.** *If  $f \in H^\omega \cap V[\ln^\beta(n+1)]$ , where  $0 < \beta < \infty$  and*

$$(15) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \omega^{1/2}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \ln^{\beta/2} \frac{1}{\omega(1/n)} < \infty$$

then  $f \in A$ .

*Proof.* It is easy to calculate that for sufficiently large  $n$

$$\omega^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \ln^\beta \frac{1}{\omega(1/n)} \leq \varphi(n) \leq 2\omega^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \ln^\beta \frac{1}{\omega(1/n)}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \sum_{k=\varphi(n)}^{\varphi(n)+n} \frac{v^2(k)}{k^2} \right)^{1/2} &= \left( \sum_{k=\varphi(n)}^{\varphi(n)+n} \frac{\ln^{2\beta}(k+1)}{k^2} \right)^{1/2} \leq c \frac{\ln^\beta \varphi(n)}{\sqrt{\varphi(n)}} \\ &\leq c \omega^{1/2}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \ln^{\beta/2} \frac{1}{\omega(1/n)}. \end{aligned}$$

This estimate, (15) and Theorem 1 imply that  $f \in A$ .

**COROLLARY 3'.** *If  $f \in H^\omega \cap V[\ln^\beta(n+1)]$ , where  $0 < \beta < \infty$  and*

$$\omega(\delta) = \left(\ln \frac{1}{\delta}\right)^{-2} \left(\ln \ln \frac{1}{\delta}\right)^{-(\beta+2+\varepsilon)}, \quad \varepsilon > 0$$

then  $f \in A$ .

**COROLLARY 4.** *Let  $f \in H^\omega \cap V[n^{1/2} \ln^{-\beta}(n+1)]$ , where  $3/2 < \beta < \infty$  and*

$$(16) \quad \sum \frac{1}{n} \ln^{1/2-\beta} \left( \frac{1}{\omega(1/n)} + 1 \right) < \infty$$

then  $f \in A$ .

*Proof.* It may be calculated that for sufficiently large  $n$

$$\varphi(n) \sim \omega^{-2} \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) \ln^{-2\beta} \frac{1}{\omega(1/n)}.^4$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \sum_{k=\varphi(n)}^{\varphi(n)+n} \frac{v^2(k)}{k^2} \right)^{1/2} &= \left( \sum_{k=\varphi(n)}^{\varphi(n)+n} \frac{1}{k \ln^{2\beta}(k+1)} \right)^{1/2} \leq \frac{c}{\ln^{\beta-1/2} \varphi(n)} \\ &\leq c \ln^{1/2-\beta} \left( \frac{1}{\omega(1/n)} + 1 \right). \end{aligned}$$

This by (16) and Theorem 1 imply  $f \in A$ .

**COROLLARY 4'.** *If  $f \in \text{Lip } \alpha \cap V[\sqrt{n} \ln^{-\beta}(n+1)]$ , where  $\alpha > 0$  and  $\beta \in (3/2, \infty)$ , then  $f \in A$ .*

**REMARK.** Theorem 1 implies that for any specific  $\omega$  for which the series (3) converges Zygmund's theorem may be improved. E.g., if  $f \in H^\omega$ , where  $\omega(\delta) = (\ln 1/\delta)^{-3}$  then the function  $f$  may have the modulus of variation of order  $n^{1/4-\varepsilon}$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$  but the Fourier series of  $f$  is still absolutely convergent; or if  $f \in H^\omega$  where  $\omega(\delta) \sim \ln^{-2} 1/\delta (\ln \ln 1/\delta)^{-3}$  in a neighborhood of  $\delta = 0$ , then the function  $f$  may have the modulus of variation of order  $\ln^{1-\varepsilon} n$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$  to provide  $f \in A$ .

3. Now we shall show that the condition (6) is necessary for

<sup>4</sup> We write  $v_1(n) \sim v_2(n)$  if there exist positive constants  $A$  and  $B$  such that

$$A v_1(n) \leq v_2(n) \leq B v_1(n)$$

for all  $n$ .

absolute convergence of Fourier series of class  $H^\omega \cap V[v]$  in a sufficiently wide class of modulus of variation and for arbitrary modulus of continuity. But first we formulate some subsidiary statements which will be needed below.

LEMMA 2 (*Gauss identity* [11], p. 81). *If  $k$  is a natural number and  $n$  is an integer, then*

$$\left| \sum_{\tau=0}^{2k} e^{2\pi i \tau} \right| = \sqrt{2k+1}.$$

LEMMA 3 (*Cauchy* [7], p. 290). *If the sequence  $\{a_n\}$  is almost decreasing<sup>5</sup>, then the series  $\sum a_n$  is convergent or divergent, together with the series  $\sum p^n a_{p^n}$  where  $p > 1$  is a natural number.*

LEMMA 4 (*N. K. Bari, S. B. Stechkin* [2]). *The following statements are equivalent for a positive sequence  $\{a_n\}$ :*

(a)  $\exists \varepsilon > 0$  such that  $n^\varepsilon a_n$  is almost decreasing  $\Leftrightarrow$

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} a_k = O(a_n) \quad \text{for } n \longrightarrow \infty;$$

(b)  $\exists \varepsilon > 0$  such that  $n^{1-\varepsilon} a_n$  is almost increasing<sup>6</sup>  $\Leftrightarrow$

$$\sum_{k=1}^n a_k = O(n a_n) \quad \text{for } n \longrightarrow \infty.$$

LEMMA 5 (*I. Wik* [13]). *Let a positive sequence  $\{a_n\}$  be bounded and  $\sum a_n = \infty$ . Then for any  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and  $\beta > 1$  there exists a sequence of natural numbers  $\{q_\nu\}$  such that*

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} a_{q_\nu} = \infty$$

and

$$\alpha^{q_\nu+1-q_\nu} \leq \frac{a_{q_\nu}}{a_{q_\nu+1}} \leq \beta^{q_\nu+1-q_\nu}, \quad \nu = 1, 2, \dots$$

LEMMA 6 ([10], p. 111). *For any modulus of continuity  $\omega(\delta)$*

$$\frac{\omega(\delta_1)}{\delta_1} \leq 2 \frac{\omega(\delta_2)}{\delta_2}$$

for  $0 < \delta_2 < \delta_1$ .

<sup>5</sup> i.e., there exists a constant  $c > 1$  such that for all  $n$  and  $m > n$   $a_n \geq (1/c)a_m$ .

<sup>6</sup> a sequence  $\{a_n\}$  is almost increasing, if there exists a constant  $c > 1$  such that for any  $n$  and  $m > n$   $a_n \leq ca_m$ .

LEMMA 7 ([5]). Let  $\{a_n\}$  be almost decreasing and  $\sum (1/n)a_n = \infty$ .  
If

$$b_n = \min \left\{ a_n, \frac{1}{\ln(n+1)} \right\}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

then

$$\sum \frac{1}{n} b_n = \infty.$$

LEMMA 8. Let  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} f_m(x) = f_0(x)$  for any  $x \in [0, 2\pi]$ . Then for any  $n \geq 1$

$$v(n, f_0) \leq \sup_m v(n, f_m).$$

*Proof.* By the definition of  $v(n, f)$  for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exist  $2n$  points  $0 \leq x_0^{(\varepsilon)} < x_1^{(\varepsilon)} \leq x_2^{(\varepsilon)} < \dots < x_{2n-1}^{(\varepsilon)} \leq 2\pi$  such that

$$v(n, f_0) < \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |f_0(x_{2k+1}^{(\varepsilon)}) - f_0(x_{2k}^{(\varepsilon)})| + \varepsilon.$$

As

$$f_m(x) \longrightarrow f_0(x)$$

for any  $x \in [0, 2\pi]$  there exists  $m_0$  such that for  $m > m_0$

$$|f_m(x_k^{(\varepsilon)}) - f_0(x_k^{(\varepsilon)})| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2n} \quad \text{for } k = 0, 1, \dots, 2n-1.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |f_0(x_{2k+1}^{(\varepsilon)}) - f_0(x_{2k}^{(\varepsilon)})| &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |f_0(x_{2k+1}^{(\varepsilon)}) - f_m(x_{2k+1}^{(\varepsilon)})| \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |f_m(x_{2k}^{(\varepsilon)}) - f_0(x_{2k}^{(\varepsilon)})| + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |f_m(x_{2k+1}^{(\varepsilon)}) - f_m(x_{2k}^{(\varepsilon)})| \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2n} n + \frac{\varepsilon}{2n} \cdot n + v(n, f_m) = \varepsilon + v(n, f_m) \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$v(n, f_0) \leq 2\varepsilon + v(n, f_m)$$

for  $m > m_0$ . This completes the proof.


Now we shall prove

THEOREM 2. If there exists  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/2)$  such that  $n^{\varepsilon-1/2}v(n)$  is almost decreasing,  $n^{-\varepsilon}v(n)$  is almost increasing and  $\omega(\delta)$  satisfies the condition

$$(17) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \left( \sum_{k=\varphi(n)}^{\varphi(n)+n} \frac{v^2(k)}{k^2} \right)^{1/2} = \infty$$

then there exists a function in the class  $H^\omega \cap V[v]$  for which the series (2) is divergent.

Before we prove this theorem let us explain how the function  $f_0$  is constructed. The complex function of the real variable  $f_0$  is the infinite sum of the functions with real part of type



(the imaginary part is analogous). The three parameters  $k_n$ , the altitude ( $a_n$ ) and the slope ( $m_n$ ) are selected in such a way that (1)  $f_0 \in H^\omega$ , (2)  $f_0 \in V[v]$  and (3)  $f_0 \notin A$ .

REMARK. Note that according to Lemma 4 the first condition imposed on  $v(n)$  is equivalent to the following one

$$\sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \frac{v^2(n)}{n^2} = O\left(\frac{v^2(N)}{N}\right),$$

and the second condition is equivalent to

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \frac{v(n)}{n} = O(v(N)).$$

Proving this theorem we use the concept of Wik to apply the Gauss identity.

For proving Theorem 2 we need some constructions.

Let  $m$  and  $k$  be natural numbers and  $m > 2(2k + 1)$ . Define the function  $F_{m,k}$  as follows

$$F_{m,k}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{m}{2k+1} e^{i(2\pi/2k+1)\tau^2} & \text{for } \frac{\tau}{2k+1} < x < \frac{\tau}{2k+1} + \frac{1}{m} \\ -\frac{m}{2k+1} e^{i(2\pi/2k+1)\tau^2} & \text{for } \frac{\tau+1}{2k+1} - \frac{1}{m} < x < \frac{\tau+1}{2k+1} \\ 0 & \text{in other points of } [0, 1], \\ & \text{outside of } [0, 1] \text{ continue periodically with the period of } 1. \end{cases} \tau = 0, 1, \dots, 2k$$

Let

$$f_{m,k}(x) = \int_0^x F_{m,k}(t) dt .$$

As  $f_{m,k}(1) = f_{m,k}(0) = 0$ ,  $f_{m,k}$  is continuous and periodic with the period of 1.

We shall estimate the modulus of variation and the modulus of continuity of this function.

LEMMA 9. *The modulus of continuity of the function  $f_{m,k}$  is subjected to the estimate*

$$\omega\left(\frac{1}{n}, f_{m,k}\right) = \begin{cases} \frac{2m}{2k+1} \cdot \frac{1}{n} & \text{for } n > m \\ \frac{2}{2k+1} & \text{for } 1 \leq n \leq m . \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Since  $\max_{0 \leq x \leq 1} |F_{m,k}(x)| = m/2k+1$  the estimate for  $n > m$  follows from the finite increment formula.

If  $x \in [\tau/2k+1, \tau+1/2k+1]$ ,  $\tau = 0, 1, \dots, 2k$

$$(18) \quad \begin{aligned} |f_{m,k}(x)| &= \left| \int_0^x F_{m,k}(t) dt \right| = \left| \int_0^{\tau/2k+1+1/m} F_{m,k}(t) dt + \int_{\tau/2k+1}^x F_{m,k}(t) dt \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\tau/2k+1}^x F_{m,k}(t) dt \right| \leq \int_{\tau/2k+1}^{\tau/2k+1} |F_{m,k}(t)| dt = \frac{1}{2k+1} , \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,  $\max |f_{m,k}(x)| \leq 1/2k+1$ .

From this estimate for  $n \leq m$  it follows that

$$\omega\left(\frac{1}{n}, f_{m,k}\right) \leq 2 \max |f_{m,k}| \leq \frac{1}{2k+1} .$$

This completes the proof.

LEMMA 10. *The modulus of variation of the function  $f_{m,k}$  is subjected to the estimate*

$$v(n, f_{m,k}) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{2}{2k+1} n & \text{for } 1 \leq n \leq 2k+1 \\ 2 & \text{for } n > 2k+1 . \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* For  $n = 1, \dots, 2k+1$  using (18) we obtain

$$v(n, f_{m,k}) = \sup \sum |f_{m,k}(x_{2i+1}) - f_{m,k}(x_{2i})| \leq 2n \max |f_{m,k}| \leq \frac{2}{2k+1} n .$$

Now let  $n > 2k+1$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} v(n, f_{m,k}) &\leq \text{Var} f_{m,k} = \int_0^1 |F_{m,k}(t)| dt = \sum_{\tau=0}^{2k} 2 \int_{(\tau/2k+1)}^{(\tau/2k+1)+1/m} |F_{m,k}(t)| dt \\ &= 2 \cdot \frac{m}{2k+1} \cdot \frac{1}{m} \cdot (2k+1) = 2. \end{aligned}$$

Now we shall estimate the Fourier coefficients of the function  $f_{m,k}$ .

LEMMA 11. *The Fourier coefficients of the function  $f_{m,k}$  are subjected to the relations*

$$(19) \quad |c_n(f_{m,k})| \begin{cases} \leq \frac{m}{\pi^2 n^2 \sqrt{2k+1}} & \text{for } n \geq \frac{m}{2} \\ \leq \frac{1}{\pi n \sqrt{2k+1}} \left| \sin \pi n \left( \frac{1}{2k+1} - \frac{1}{m} \right) \right| & \text{for } 0 < n < \frac{m}{2} \\ \geq \frac{2}{\pi^2 n \sqrt{2k+1}} \left| \sin \pi n \left( \frac{1}{2k+1} - \frac{1}{m} \right) \right| & \text{for } 0 < n < \frac{m}{2}. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Since  $f_{m,k}(0) = f_{m,k}(1) = 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |c_n(f_{m,k})| &= \left| \int_0^1 f_{m,k}(t) e^{2\pi i n t} dt \right| = \frac{1}{2\pi n} \left| \int_0^1 F_{m,k}(t) e^{2\pi i n t} dt \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi n} \cdot \frac{m}{2k+1} \left| \sum_{\tau=0}^{2k} e^{2\pi i \tau^2 / (2k+1)} \left[ \int_{\tau/(2k+1)}^{\tau/(2k+1)+1/m} e^{2\pi i n t} dt - \int_{(\tau+1)/(2k+1)-1/m}^{(\tau+1)/(2k+1)} e^{2\pi i n t} dt \right] \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi^2 n^2} \cdot \frac{m}{2k+1} \left| \sum_{\tau=0}^{2k} e^{2\pi i \tau / (2k+1) (\tau^2 + \tau n)} \right| \cdot |e^{2\pi i n / m} - 1 + e^{2\pi i n (1/(2k+1) - 1/m)} \\ &\quad - e^{2\pi i n / (2k+1)}|. \end{aligned}$$

Using the Gauss identity we obtain

$$(20) \quad \begin{aligned} |c_n(f_{m,k})| &= \frac{1}{4\pi^2 n^2} \cdot \frac{m}{2k+1} \sqrt{2k+1} |e^{2\pi i n / m} - 1| |1 - e^{2\pi i n (1/2k+1) - 1/m}| \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi^2 n^2} \cdot \frac{m}{\sqrt{2k+1}} \left| \sin \frac{\pi n}{m} \right| \cdot \left| \sin \pi n \left( \frac{1}{2k+1} - \frac{1}{m} \right) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

For  $n \geq m/2$  this implies the estimation (19) trivially. The upper bounds for  $n < m/2$  may be obtained from (20) if use the relation

$$\left| \sin \frac{\pi n}{m} \right| \leq \frac{\pi n}{m}$$

and the lower bound for  $n < m/2$  follows from the relation

$$\left| \sin \frac{\pi n}{m} \right| \geq \frac{2}{\pi} \cdot \frac{\pi n}{m} \quad \text{for } 0 < n < \frac{m}{2}.$$



This completes the proof.

Besides, for proving Theorem 2 we need to construct a special sequence of natural numbers.

Since  $n^{\varepsilon-1/2}v(n)$  is almost decreasing for a certain  $\varepsilon > 0$   $n^{2\varepsilon-1}v^2(n)$  is also almost decreasing. Then according to Lemma 4

$$\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{v^2(k)}{k^2} = \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \frac{v^2(k)}{k} = O\left(\frac{v^2(n)}{n}\right).$$

Hence

$$\sum_{k=\varphi(n)}^{\varphi(n)+n} \frac{v^2(k)}{k^2} \leq c \frac{v^2(\varphi(n))}{\varphi(n)}.$$

This with the divergence of the series (17) implies

$$(21) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \frac{v(\varphi(n))}{\sqrt{\varphi(n)}} = \infty.$$

As  $n^{-1/2+\varepsilon}v(n)$  is almost decreasing all the more  $v(n)/\sqrt{n}$  is almost decreasing and since  $\varphi(n)$  is increasing when  $n \rightarrow \infty$  the sequence  $v(\varphi(n))/\sqrt{\varphi(n)}$  is almost decreasing. Now using Lemma 7 and the divergence of the series (21) we have

$$(22) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \gamma_n = \infty,$$

where

$$\gamma_n = \min \left\{ \frac{v(\varphi(n))}{\sqrt{\varphi(n)}}, \frac{1}{\ln(n+1)} \right\}.$$

As far as  $n^{-\varepsilon}v(n)$  is almost increasing and  $n^{\varepsilon-1/2}v(n)$  is almost decreasing there exist a constant  $c_1 \leq 1$  such that for any  $k$  and  $n > k$

$$(23) \quad \frac{v(n)}{n^{\varepsilon}} \geq c_1 \frac{v(k)}{k^{\varepsilon}}$$

and

$$(24) \quad \frac{v(n)}{n^{1/2-\varepsilon}} \leq \frac{1}{c_1} \frac{v(k)}{k^{1/2-\varepsilon}}.$$

Now set

$$c_0 = \left[ 25 \left( \frac{2}{c_1} \right)^{1/2\varepsilon} \right] + 1.$$

Twice applying Lemma 3 to the series (22) at first with  $p = 2$

and then with  $p = c_0$  we get

$$(25) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_0^n \gamma_{2c_0^n} = \infty .$$

Since

$$c_0^n \gamma_{2c_0^n} \leq c_0^n \frac{1}{\ln(2c_0^n + 1)} < c ,$$

the terms of the series (25) are uniformly bounded so we may apply Lemma 5. Set  $\alpha = 4/5$  and  $\beta = 6/5$ . For these numbers there exists a sequence of natural numbers  $\{q_n\}$  such that

$$(26) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_0^{q_n} \gamma_{2c_0^{q_n}} = \infty$$

and

$$(27) \quad \left(\frac{4}{5}\right)^{q_{n+1}-q_n} \leq \frac{c_0^{q_n} \gamma_{2c_0^{q_n}}}{c_0^{q_{n+1}} \gamma_{2c_0^{q_{n+1}}}} \leq \left(\frac{6}{5}\right)^{q_{n+1}-q_n} .$$

(27) implies

$$(28) \quad \left(\frac{4}{5}c_0\right)^{q_{n+1}-q_n} \leq \frac{\gamma_{2c_0^{q_n}}}{\gamma_{2c_0^{q_{n+1}}}} \leq \left(\frac{6}{5}c_0\right)^{q_{n+1}-q_n}$$

and as

$$\frac{4}{5}c_0 \geq 20\left(\frac{2}{c_1}\right)^{1/2\epsilon} > 1$$

the sequence  $\{\gamma_{2c_0^{q_n}}\}$  is decreasing.

Define the sequence

$$\psi(n) = \max \left\{ m; \frac{v(m)}{\sqrt{m}} \geq \gamma_{2c_0^{q_n}} \right\} .$$

By the definition of  $\gamma_n$  we have

$$\frac{v(\varphi(2c_0^{q_n}))}{\sqrt{\varphi(2c_0^{q_n})}} \geq \gamma_{2c_0^{q_n}} ,$$

which with the definition of  $\psi(n)$  imply

$$\psi(n) \geq \varphi(2c_0^{q_n}) .$$

Using  $\gamma_{2c_0^{q_n}} \downarrow 0$  and  $v(n)/\sqrt{n} \downarrow 0$  (this is true because  $n^{-1/2+\epsilon}v(n)$  is almost decreasing) we get  $\psi(n) \uparrow \infty$  for  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

According to the definition of  $\varphi(n)$  and using the relation  $v(n)/n \downarrow$  we have

$$(29) \quad \omega\left(\frac{1}{2c_0^{q_n}}\right) > \frac{v(\varphi(2c_0^{q_n}) + 1)}{\varphi(2c_0^{q_n}) + 1} \geq \frac{1}{2} \frac{v(\varphi(2c_0^{q_n}))}{\varphi(2c_0^{q_n})} \geq \frac{1}{2} \frac{v(\psi(n))}{\psi(n)}.$$

Furthermore

$$(30) \quad \frac{v(\psi(n))}{\sqrt{\psi(n)}} \geq \gamma_{2c_0^{q_n}} > \frac{v(\psi(n) + 1)}{\sqrt{\psi(n) + 1}} > \frac{1}{2} \frac{v(\psi(n))}{\sqrt{\psi(n)}}.$$

The last relation with (28) implies

$$(31) \quad \frac{v(\psi(n))}{\sqrt{\psi(n)}} : \frac{2v(\psi(n+1))}{\sqrt{\psi(n+1)}} \geq \frac{\gamma_{2c_0^{q_n}}}{\gamma_{2c_0^{q_{n+1}}}} \geq \left(\frac{4}{5}c_0\right)^{q_{n+1}-q_n}$$

or

$$\frac{\sqrt{\psi(n+1)}}{\sqrt{\psi(n)}} \geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{4}{5}\right)^{q_{n+1}-q_n} \cdot \frac{v(\psi(n+1))}{v(\psi(n))} > \left(\frac{2}{5}c_0\right)^{q_{n+1}-q_n}$$

i.e.,

$$(32) \quad \frac{\psi(n+1)}{\psi(n)} \geq \left[100 \cdot \left(\frac{2}{c_1}\right)^{1/\varepsilon}\right]^{q_{n+1}-q_n} > 100 \left(\frac{2}{c_1}\right)^{1/\varepsilon}.$$

(23) and (32) imply

$$(33) \quad \frac{v(\psi(n+1))}{v(\psi(n))} \geq c_1 \left(\frac{\psi(n+1)}{\psi(n)}\right)^\varepsilon \geq c_1 \left(100 \left(\frac{2}{c_1}\right)^{1/\varepsilon}\right)^\varepsilon \geq c_1 \cdot 100^\varepsilon \cdot \frac{2}{c_1} > 2.$$

From (28) and (30) it follows that

$$(34) \quad \frac{v(\psi(n))}{2\sqrt{\psi(n)}} : \frac{v(\psi(n+1))}{\sqrt{\psi(n+1)}} \leq \frac{\gamma_{2c_0^{q_n}}}{\gamma_{2c_0^{q_{n+1}}}} \leq \left(\frac{6}{5}c_0\right)^{q_{n+1}-q_n}$$

or

$$(35) \quad \left\{ \frac{v(\psi(n))}{\psi(n)^{1/2-\varepsilon}} : \frac{v(\psi(n+1))}{\psi(n+1)^{1/2-\varepsilon}} \right\} \cdot \left(\frac{\psi(n+1)}{\psi(n)}\right)^\varepsilon \leq 2 \left(\frac{6}{5}c_0\right)^{q_{n+1}-q_n}.$$

From (35) using (23) we get

$$\frac{\psi(n+1)}{\psi(n)} \leq \left(\frac{2}{c_1}\right)^{1/\varepsilon} \left(\frac{6}{5}c_0\right)^{1/\varepsilon(q_{n+1}-q_n)}$$

and thus

$$(36) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{2c_0^{q_n}}{\psi(n)} : \frac{2c_0^{q_{n+1}}}{\psi(n+1)} &= \frac{2c_0^{q_n}}{2c_0^{q_{n+1}}} \cdot \frac{\psi(n+1)}{\psi(n)} \\ &\leq \frac{2c_0^{q_n}}{2c_0^{q_{n+1}}} \cdot \left(\frac{2}{c_1}\right)^{1/\varepsilon} \left(\frac{6}{5}c_0\right)^{1/\varepsilon(q_{n+1}-q_n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly (32) and (24) give

$$(37) \quad \frac{v(\psi(n+1))}{\psi(n+1)} : \frac{v(\psi(n))}{\psi(n)} = \left[ \frac{v(\psi(n+1))}{\psi(n+1)^{1/2-\varepsilon}} : \frac{v(\psi(n))}{\psi(n)^{1/2-\varepsilon}} \right] \cdot \left( \frac{\psi(n)}{\psi(n+1)} \right)^{1/2+\varepsilon} \\ \leq \frac{1}{c_1} \left( \frac{\psi(n)}{\psi(n+1)} \right)^{1/2} \leq \frac{1}{c_1} \frac{1}{10} \left( \frac{c_1}{2} \right)^{1/2\varepsilon} \leq \frac{1}{10} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^{1/2\varepsilon} .$$

We may as well assume that

$$2c_0^{q_n} > 6\psi(n) .$$

Really, let  $N_1 = \{n; 2c_0^{q_n} \leq 6\psi(n)\}$ . Then

$$\sum_{n \in N_1} c_0^{q_n} \cdot \frac{v(\psi(n))}{\sqrt{\psi(n)}} \leq \sqrt{6} \sum_{n \in N_1} c_0^{q_n} \frac{v(6\psi(n))}{\sqrt{6\psi(n)}} \\ \leq \sqrt{6} \sum_{n \in N_1} c_0^{q_n} \cdot c_1 \frac{v(2c_0^{q_n})}{\sqrt{2c_0^{q_n}}} \leq c \sum_{n \in N_1} c_0^{q_n} \frac{v(2c_0^{q_n})}{(2c_0^{q_n})^{1/2-\varepsilon}} \cdot \frac{1}{(2c_0^{q_n})^\varepsilon} \\ \leq c \sum_{n \in N_1} c_0^{q_n} (2c_0^{q_n})^{-\varepsilon} < \infty ,$$

all the more (see (30))

$$\sum_{n \in N_1} c_0^{q_n} \gamma_2 c_0^{q_n} < \infty .$$

This with (26) imply that the set  $N_1$  may be neglected.

Hence we have constructed the sequences  $\{q_n\}$  and  $\{\psi(n)\}$  which satisfy the following conditions:

- (1) The series (26) is divergent
- (2) The sequence  $\{\psi(n)\}$  satisfies the relations (32), (33), (34), (35).
- (3) The inequality

$$2c_0^{q_n} > 6\psi(n) , \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

holds.

Set  $m_n = 2c_0^{q_n}$ ,  $k_n = \psi(n)$  and  $a_n = v(k_n)$ . Since  $m_n > 6k_n > 2(2k_n + 1)$  the function

$$(38) \quad f_0(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n f_{m_n, k_n}(x) \equiv \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n f_n(x)$$

may be considered. We shall show that this function is just that one which satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2.

At first we shall prove that  $f_0$  is continuous. Recally, according to the choice of  $a_n$  and to the inequality (18) we have

$$\|a_n f_n\|_C \leq v(k_n) \cdot \frac{1}{2k_n + 1} \leq \frac{v(k_n)}{\sqrt{k_n}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{k_n}} \leq \frac{c}{\sqrt{k_n}} = \frac{c}{\sqrt{\psi(n)}} .$$

From this estimation by (32) it follows that the series (38) is convergent uniformly on  $[0, 1]$ , thus  $f_0 \in C(0, 1)$  and as  $f_n$  is periodic

$f_0$  is also periodic.

According to Lemma 8 and Lemma 10 for  $2k_{n-1} + 1 < \nu \leq 2k_n + 1$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} v(\nu, f_0) &= \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_j v(\nu, f_j) + \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} a_j v(\nu, f_j) \\ &\leq c \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_j + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\nu}{k_j} a_j \right\} \leq c \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} v(\psi(j)) + \nu \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} \frac{v(\psi(j))}{\psi(j)} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now if we use the estimations (33) in the first sum and the estimations (37) in the second one we shall get

$$v(\nu, f_0) \leq c \left\{ v(k_{n-1}) + \nu \frac{v(k_n)}{k_n} \right\} \leq cv(\nu)$$

i.e.,  $f_0 \in V[v]$ .

Now we shall show that  $f_0 \in H^v$ .

Let  $m_{n-1} < \nu \leq m_n$ . Then using Lemma 9 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \omega\left(\frac{1}{\nu}, f_0\right) &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_j \omega\left(\frac{1}{\nu}, f_j\right) + \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} a_j \omega\left(\frac{1}{\nu}, f_j\right) \\ &\leq c \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_j \frac{m_j}{k_j} \cdot \frac{1}{\nu} + \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} \frac{a_j}{k_j} \right\} \\ &= c \left\{ \frac{1}{\nu} \cdot \frac{a_{n-1} m_{n-1}}{k_{n-1}} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{a_j m_j}{k_j} \cdot \frac{k_{n-1}}{a_{n-1} m_{n-1}} + \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} \frac{a_j}{k_j} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

With a view to estimate the first sum we shall use the relation (36), to estimate the second one the relation (37) and then to estimate the both sums the relation (29), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \omega\left(\frac{1}{\nu}, f_0\right) &\leq c \left\{ \frac{m_{n-1}}{\nu} \frac{a_{n-1}}{k_{n-1}} + \frac{a_n}{k_n} \right\} \\ (39) \quad &\leq c \left\{ \frac{m_{n-1}}{\nu} \frac{v(\psi(n-1))}{\psi(n)} + \frac{v(\psi(n))}{\psi(n)} \right\} \\ &\leq c \left\{ \frac{m_{n-1}}{\nu} \omega\left(\frac{1}{m_{n-1}}\right) + \omega\left(\frac{1}{m_n}\right) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

But as  $\omega(\delta)$  is nondecreasing and for  $\omega(\delta)/\delta$  Lemma 6 is valid (39) implies

$$\omega\left(\frac{1}{\nu}, f_0\right) \leq c \omega\left(\frac{1}{\nu}\right)$$

i.e.,  $f_0 \in H^v$ .

It remains to prove that  $f \in \bar{A}$ .

Let  $m_{n-1}/2 < \nu \leq m_n/2$ , then using Lemma 11 we get

$$\begin{aligned}
|c_\nu(f_0)| &= \left| \int_0^1 e^{2\pi i \nu x} f(x) dx \right| \geq \left| \int_0^1 e^{2\pi i \nu x} a_n f_n(x) dx \right| - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_j \left| \int_0^1 f_j(x) e^{2\pi i \nu x} dx \right| \\
(40) \quad &- \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} a_j \left| \int_0^1 f_j(x) e^{2\pi i \nu x} dx \right| \geq \frac{2}{\pi^2} \cdot \frac{1}{\nu} \frac{a_n}{\sqrt{2k_n+1}} \left| \sin \pi \nu \left( \frac{1}{2k_n+1} - \frac{1}{m_n} \right) \right| \\
&- \frac{1}{\pi^2} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{a_j m_j}{\nu^2 \sqrt{2k_j+1}} - \frac{1}{\pi} \cdot \frac{1}{\nu} \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{a_j}{\sqrt{2k_j+1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

By the choice of  $a_j$ ,  $m_j$ ,  $k_j$  and by the inequality (34)

$$\begin{aligned}
(41) \quad &\frac{1}{\pi^2 \nu^2} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{a_j m_j}{\sqrt{2k_j+1}} \leq \frac{c}{\nu^2} \frac{a_{n-1} m_{n-1}}{\sqrt{k_{n-1}}} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{a_j m_j}{\sqrt{k_j}} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{k_{n-1}}}{a_{n-1} m_{n-1}} \\
&= \frac{c}{\nu^2} \frac{a_{n-1} m_{n-1}}{\sqrt{k_{n-1}}} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left[ \frac{v(\psi(j))}{\sqrt{\psi(j)}} : \frac{v(\psi(n-1))}{\sqrt{\psi(n-1)}} \right] \cdot \frac{2c_0^j}{2c_0^{q_{n-1}}} \\
&\leq \frac{c}{\nu^2} \frac{a_{n-1} m_{n-1}}{\sqrt{k_{n-1}}} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} 2^{n-1-j} \left( \frac{6}{5} c_0 \right)^{q_{n-1}-q_j} 2^{-c_0^j n-1-c_0^j j} \leq \frac{c}{\nu^2} \frac{a_{n-1} m_{n-1}}{k_{n-1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using (31) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{\pi} \cdot \frac{1}{\nu} \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{a_j}{\sqrt{2k_j+1}} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{\pi} \cdot \frac{1}{\nu} \frac{a_n}{\sqrt{k_n}} \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{v(\psi(j))}{\sqrt{\psi(j)}} : \frac{v(\psi(n))}{\sqrt{\psi(n)}} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{\pi} \cdot \frac{1}{\nu} \frac{a_n}{\sqrt{k_n}} \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} 2^{j-n} \left( \frac{5}{4c_0} \right)^{q_j - q_n},
\end{aligned}$$

but as

$$(42) \quad 2^{j-n} \left( \frac{5}{4c_0} \right)^{q_j - q_n} \leq \left( \frac{5}{2c_0} \right)^{j-n} \leq \left( \frac{1}{10} \left( \frac{c_1}{2} \right)^{1/2\epsilon} \right)^{j-n} < \left( \frac{1}{20} \right)^{j-n}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(43) \quad &\frac{1}{\pi} \cdot \frac{1}{\nu} \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{a_j}{\sqrt{2k_j+1}} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{\pi} \cdot \frac{1}{\nu} \cdot \frac{a_n}{\sqrt{k_n}} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{20} \right)^j \\
&= \frac{1}{19\sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{\pi} \cdot \frac{1}{\nu} \cdot \frac{a_n}{\sqrt{k_n}}.
\end{aligned}$$

From (40), (41) and (43) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
(44) \quad &|c_\nu(f_0)| \geq \frac{2}{\pi^2} \cdot \frac{1}{\nu} \frac{a_n}{\sqrt{2k_n+1}} \left| \sin \pi \nu \left( \frac{1}{2k_n+1} - \frac{1}{m_n} \right) \right| \\
&- c \cdot \frac{1}{\nu^2} \frac{a_{n-1} m_{n-1}}{\sqrt{k_{n-1}}} - \frac{1}{19\sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{\pi} \cdot \frac{1}{\nu} \frac{a_n}{\sqrt{k_n}}.
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to show that according to (31) and (42)

$$(45) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\nu=(m_{n-1}/2)+1}^{m_n/2} \frac{1}{\nu^2} \frac{a_{n-1} m_{n-1}}{\sqrt{k_{n-1}}} \leq c \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{n-1} m_{n-1}}{\sqrt{k_{n-1}}} \cdot \frac{1}{m_{n-1}} < \infty.$$

Now we shall estimate the sum

$$(46) \quad \sigma = \frac{2}{\pi^2} \frac{a_n}{\sqrt{2k_n + 1}} \sum_{\nu=(m_{n-1/2})+1}^{m_n/2} \frac{1}{\nu} \left| \sin \pi \nu \left( \frac{1}{2k_n + 1} - \frac{1}{m_n} \right) \right| \\ - \frac{1}{19\sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{\pi} \cdot \frac{a_n}{\sqrt{k_n}} \sum_{\nu=(m_{n-1/2})+1}^{m_n/2} \frac{1}{\nu}.$$

Let

$$(47) \quad \begin{cases} l_0 = \left[ \left( \frac{m_{n-1}}{2} + 1 \right) \left( \frac{1}{2k_n + 1} - \frac{1}{m_n} \right) - \frac{1}{6} \right] + 1 \\ l_1 = \left[ \frac{m_n}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2k_n + 1} - \frac{1}{m_n} \right) - \frac{5}{6} \right], \end{cases}$$

and for  $l = l_0, l_0 + 1, \dots, l_1$

$$(48) \quad \begin{cases} \mu'_e = \left[ \frac{l + \frac{1}{6}}{\frac{1}{2k_n + 1} - \frac{1}{m_n}} \right] + 1 \\ \mu''_e = \left[ \frac{l + \frac{5}{6}}{\frac{1}{2k_n + 1} - \frac{1}{m_n}} \right]. \end{cases}$$

Then for  $\mu'_e \leq \nu \leq \mu''_e, l = l_0, \dots, l_1$

$$\left| \sin \pi \nu \left( \frac{1}{2k_n + 1} - \frac{1}{m_n} \right) \right| \geq \frac{1}{2}$$

therefore from (46) using the inequalities

$$(49) \quad \frac{1}{x} \leq \ln \left( 1 + \frac{1}{x-1} \right) \leq \frac{1}{x-1}, \quad x \in (1, \infty)$$

we get

$$(50) \quad \sigma \geq \frac{2}{\pi^2} \frac{a_n}{\sqrt{2k_n + 1}} \sum_{l=l_0}^{l_1} \sum_{\nu=\mu'_e}^{\mu''_e} \frac{1}{\nu} \left| \sin \pi \nu \left( \frac{1}{2k_n + 1} - \frac{1}{m_n} \right) \right| \\ - \frac{1}{19\sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{a_n}{\sqrt{k_n}} \sum_{\nu=(m_{n-1/2})+1}^{m_n/2} \ln \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\nu-1} \right) \\ \geq \frac{2}{\pi^2} \frac{a_n}{\sqrt{2k_n + 1}} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=l_0}^{l_1} \sum_{\nu=\mu'_e}^{\mu''_e} \ln \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\nu} \right) \\ - \frac{1}{19\sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{\pi} \cdot \frac{a_n}{\sqrt{k_n}} \ln \left( \prod_{\nu=(m_{n-1/2})+1}^{m_n/2} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\nu-1} \right) \right) \\ \geq \frac{1}{\pi^2} \frac{a_n}{\sqrt{2k_n + 1}} \sum_{l=l_0}^{l_1} \ln \frac{\mu''_e + 1}{\mu'_e} - \frac{1}{19\sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{a_n}{\sqrt{k_n}} \ln \frac{m_n}{m_{n-1}}.$$

We have

$$\frac{\mu''_e + 1}{\mu'_e} \geq \frac{l + \frac{5}{6}}{l + \frac{1}{6}} = 1 + \frac{\frac{2}{3}}{l + \frac{1}{6}},$$

this with (49) implies

$$\ln \frac{\mu''_e + 1}{\mu'_e} \geq \ln \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\frac{3}{2}l + \frac{1}{4}} \right) \geq \frac{1}{\frac{3}{2}l + \frac{5}{4}} \geq \frac{\frac{2}{3}}{l + 1} \geq \frac{2}{3} \ln \frac{l + 2}{l + 1}.$$

Using this estimation we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{l=l_0}^{l_1} \ln \frac{\mu''_e + 1}{\mu'_e} &\geq \frac{2}{3} \sum_{l=l_0}^{l_1} \ln \left( 1 + \frac{1}{l + 1} \right) = \frac{2}{3} \ln \frac{l_1 + 2}{l_0 + 1} \\ &\geq \frac{2}{3} \ln \frac{\frac{m_n}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2k_n + 1} - \frac{1}{m_n} \right) - \frac{5}{6} + 1}{\left( \frac{m_{n-1}}{2} + 1 \right) \left( \frac{1}{2k_n + 1} - \frac{1}{m_n} \right) - \frac{1}{6} + 2} \\ &\geq \frac{2}{3} \left\{ \ln \frac{m_n}{m_{n-1}} + \ln \frac{1 + \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{m_n} \left( \frac{1}{2k_n + 1} - \frac{1}{m_n} \right)^{-1}}{1 + \frac{11}{3} \frac{1}{m_{n-1}} \left( \frac{1}{2k_n + 1} - \frac{1}{m_n} \right)^{-1} + \frac{2}{m_{n-1}}} \right\} \\ &\geq \frac{2}{3} \ln \frac{m_n}{m_{n-1}} - c. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the last relation by (50) we get

$$(51) \quad \begin{aligned} \sigma &\geq \frac{1}{\pi^2 \sqrt{2k_n + 1}} \cdot \frac{2}{3} \ln \frac{m_n}{m_{n-1}} - c \frac{a_n}{\sqrt{2k_n + 1}} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{19\sqrt{2}} \frac{a_n}{\pi \sqrt{k_n}} \ln \frac{m_n}{m_{n-1}} = \frac{a_n}{\sqrt{2k_n + 1}} \ln \frac{m_n}{m_{n-1}} \left( \frac{2}{3\pi^2} - \frac{\sqrt{6}}{38\pi} \right) - c \frac{a_n}{\sqrt{k_n}}. \end{aligned}$$

But as

$$\frac{2}{3\pi^2} - \frac{\sqrt{6}}{38\pi} > 0$$

(44), (51), (45) and (26) give

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} |c_{\nu}(f_0)| &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\nu=(m_{n-1})/2+1}^{m_n/2} |c_{\nu}(f_0)| \geq c \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{\sqrt{2k_n + 1}} \ln \frac{m_n}{m_{n-1}} - c \\ &\geq c \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\psi(\psi(n))}{\sqrt{\psi(n)}} (c_0^{q_n} - c_0^{q_{n-1}}) \geq c \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_0^{q_n} \gamma_2 c_0^{q_n} = \infty \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,  $f_0 \notin A$ .

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.



Theorems 1 and 2 imply

**THEOREM 3.** *For all Fourier series of class  $H^\omega \cap V[n^\alpha]$ ,  $0 < \alpha < 1/2$ , to be absolutely convergent it is necessary and sufficient that*

$$(52) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \left\{ \omega\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \right\}^{1-2\alpha/2(1-\alpha)} < \infty .$$

*Proof.* The sufficiency is contained in Corollary 2. We shall prove the necessity of the condition (52). Assume the contrary. We shall verify that for  $\alpha \in (0, 1/2)$  the modulus of variation  $v(n) = n^\alpha$  satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2.

In fact, if

$$0 < \varepsilon < \min \left\{ \alpha, \frac{1}{2} - \alpha \right\}$$

then  $n^{-\varepsilon}v(n)$  is increasing and  $n^{\varepsilon-1/2}v(n)$  is decreasing.

Furthermore

$$\varphi(n) = \max \left\{ m; \frac{m^\alpha}{m} \geq \omega\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \right\} = \left[ \left( \omega\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \right)^{-1/1-\alpha} \right],$$

and so

$$(53) \quad \begin{aligned} \left( \sum_{k=\varphi(n)}^{\varphi(n)+n} \frac{v^2(k)}{k^2} \right)^{1/2} &= \left( \sum_{k=\varphi(n)}^{\varphi(n)+n} \frac{1}{k^{2-2\alpha}} \right)^{1/2} \geq c \frac{1}{\varphi(n)^{1/2-\alpha}} \\ &\geq c \left\{ \omega\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \right\}^{(1-2\alpha)/2(1-\alpha)} . \end{aligned}$$

Since we have assumed that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \left\{ \omega\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \right\}^{1-2\alpha/2(1-\alpha)} = \infty ,$$

from (53) it follows that the series (17) is also divergent and therefore all the conditions of Theorem 2 are satisfied. Then according to this theorem there exists a function in the class  $H^\omega \cap V[n^\alpha]$  such that its Fourier series is not absolutely convergent. Hence we have got the contradiction.

This completes the proof.

**Remark.** In the case  $\alpha \geq 1/2$ , i.e., if we have the class  $H^\omega \cap V[n^\alpha]$ ,  $\alpha \geq 1/2$ , it is easy to verify that Theorem 1 is the same as the theorem of S. N. Bernstein.

## REFERENCES

1. N. K. Bari, *Trigonometric series*, Fizmatgiz, Moscow, 1961. (Russian).
2. N. K. Bari and S. B. Stechkin, *Best approximation and differential properties two conjugate function*, Tr. Moskov. Matem. Obshestva, **5** (1956), 483-522. (Russian).
3. S. W. Bochkarev, *On a problem of Zygmund*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Math. **37** (1973), 630-638. (Russian)
4. Z. A. Chanturia, *The modulus of variation of functions and its application in the theory of Fourier series*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, **214** (1974), 63-66. (Russian)
5. ———, *On the absolute convergence of Fourier series of classes  $V[n^a]$* , Colloquia mathematica Societates János Bolyai, **19** (1978), 219-240.
6. ———, *On absolute convergence of Fourier series*, Bulletin of the Acad. of Scien of the Georgian SSSR, 90, N **1** (1978), 37-40. (Russian).
7. G. M. Fikhtengolts, *Differential and integral calculus, II*, Fizmatgiz, Moscow, 1959. (Russian)
8. J.-P. Kahane, *Series de Fourier absolument convergentes*, Springer, 1970.
9. R. Salem, *On a theorem of Zygmund*, Duke Math. J., **10** (1943), 23-31.
10. A. F. Timan, *Theory of approximation of functions of a real variable*, Fizmatgiz, Moscow, 1960. (Russian)
11. I. M. Vinogradov, *Foundations of the theory of numbers*, Nauka, Moscow, 1972. (Russian)
12. I. Wik, *Criteria for absolute convergence of Fourier series of functions of bounded variation*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **163** (1972), 1-24.
13. ———, *Extrapolation of absolutely convergent Fourier series by identically zero*, Arkiv för Math., **6**, N 4 (1965), 65-76.
14. A. Zygmund, *Trigonometric Series, I*, Cambridge, University Press, 1959.
15. ———, *Remarque sur la convergence absolue des series de Fourier*, J. London Math. Soc., **3** (1928), 194-196.

Received November 2, 1979.

TBILISI STATE UNIVERSITY  
TBILISI, USSR



# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

## EDITORS

DONALD BABBITT (Managing Editor)

University of California  
Los Angeles, California 90024

HUGO ROSSI

University of Utah  
Salt Lake City, UT 84112

C. C. MOORE and ANDREW OGG

University of California  
Berkeley, CA 94720

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics  
University of Southern California  
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. FINN and J. MILGRAM

Stanford University  
Stanford, California 94305

## ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. ARENS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA

CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO

NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY

OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII

UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO

UNIVERSITY OF UTAH

WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

Hédi Amara, Groupe des classes et unité fondamentale des extensions quadratiques relatives à un corps quadratique imaginaire principal .....	1
Douglas S. Bridges, On the isolation of zeroes of an analytic function .....	13
Andrew J. Casson and John L. Harer, Some homology lens spaces which bound rational homology balls .....	23
Z. A. Chanturia, On the absolute convergence of Fourier series of the classes $H^\omega \cap V[v]$ .....	37
J.-F. Colombeau and Mário Carvalho Matos, On some spaces of entire functions defined on infinite-dimensional spaces .....	63
Edwin Duda, Pointwise periodic homeomorphisms on chainable continua .....	77
Richard F. Gustafson, A simple genus one knot with incompressible spanning surfaces of arbitrarily high genus .....	81
Fumio Hiai, Masanori Ohya and Makoto Tsukada, Sufficiency, KMS condition and relative entropy in von Neumann algebras .....	99
Ted Hurley, Intersections of terms of polycentral series of free groups and free Lie algebras. II .....	111
Robert Edward Jamison, II, Partition numbers for trees and ordered sets .....	115
R. D. Ketkar and N. Vanaja, A note on FR-perfect modules .....	141
Michihiko Kikkawa, On Killing-Ricci forms of Lie triple algebras .....	153
Jorge Lewowicz, Invariant manifolds for regular points .....	163
Richard W. Marsh, William H. Mills, Robert L. Ward, Howard Rumsey and Lloyd Richard Welch, Round trinomials .....	175
Claude Schochet, Topological methods for $C^*$ -algebras. I. Spectral sequences .....	193
Yong Sian So, Polynomial near-fields? .....	213
Douglas Wayne Townsend, Imaginary values of meromorphic functions in the disk .....	225
Kiyoshi Watanabe, Coverings of a projective algebraic manifold .....	243
Martin Michael Zuckerman, Choosing $l$ -element subsets of $n$ -element sets .....	247