Pacific Journal of Mathematics

CHOOSING l-ELEMENT SUBSETS OF n-ELEMENT SETS

Martin Michael Zuckerman

Vol. 96, No. 1 November 1981

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS
Vol. 96, No. 1, 1981

CHOOSING ℓ-ELEMENT SUBSETS OF n-ELEMENT SETS

MARTIN M. ZUCKERMAN

We consider axioms that assert the possibility of choosing a subset of an n-element set. We study the interdependence of these axioms and of the more usual axioms of choice for n-element sets.

The discussion takes place within any of the usual systems of set theory without the axiom of choice. Our logical framework is the first-order predicate calculus with identity. Lower case letters stand for natural numbers. Throughout this paper, we let $n \geqq 2$ and $\ell \geqq 1$. At first, we assume $n > \ell$.

Let $[n]$ be the statement: "For every nonempty set X of n-element sets there is a function f with domain X such that for each A in X, $f(A) \in A$." Here, $[n]$ is called the axiom of choice for n-element sets. (See [1].)

Let $S(n, \ell)$ be the statement: "For every nonempty set X of n-element sets there is a function f with domain X such that for each A in X, $f(A)$ is an ℓ-element subset of A."

Let $T(n, \ell)$ be the statement: "For every nonempty set X of n-element sets there is a function f with domain X such that for each A in X, $f(A)$ is a nonempty subset of A with at most ℓ elements."

Finally, let $T^*(n, n-1)$ be the statement: "For every nonempty set X of n-element sets there is a function f with domain X such that for each A in X, $f(A) = \langle A_1, A_2 \rangle$, where A_1 and A_2 are pairwise disjoint nonempty subsets of A whose union is A.

Observe that $T(n, n-1)$ asserts the possibility of choosing a nonempty proper subset of each n-element set, whereas $T^*(n, n-1)$ asserts the possibility of ordering the partition thereby obtained. Clearly, $T(n, n-1) \leftrightarrow T^*(n, n-1)$.

The following relationships are also immediate.

$$S(n, \ell) \longleftrightarrow S(n, n-\ell)$$
$$S(n, 1) \longleftrightarrow S(n, n-1) \longleftrightarrow T(n, 1) \longleftrightarrow [n]$$
$$\left[\binom{n}{\ell}\right] \longrightarrow S(n, \ell)$$
$$[2^n - 2] \longrightarrow T(n, n-1)$$

For convenience, for $n \leqq \ell$, let $S(n, \ell) = S(n, n-1)$ and $T(n, \ell) = T(n, n-1)$.

Now let k, ℓ, m, n be natural numbers such that $k \geqq 0$, $\ell \geqq 1$,

$m \geqq 2$, and $n \geqq 2$.

If $\ell < k$, then clearly,

$$S(n, \ell) \longrightarrow T(n, \ell) \longrightarrow T(n, k) .$$

Theorem 1 generalizes the first of these relationships.

THEOREM 1. *For $\ell < n$ and $n - \ell < m \leqq n$,*

$$S(n, \ell) \longrightarrow T(m, \ell) .$$

Proof. Let X be a nonempty set of m-element sets. For each A in X, let A' consist of the first $n - m$ natural numbers that are not in A and let $A'' = A \cup A'$. We use $S(n, \ell)$ to obtain an ℓ-element subset of A''. At least one element of this subset belongs to A.

The next two theorems generalize Tarski's result:

$$[kn] \longrightarrow [n] .$$

(See [2], p. 99.)

THEOREM 2. *For $\ell < n$ and for $k \geqq 0$,*

$$(S(n, \ell) \wedge [kn + \ell]) \longrightarrow [n] .$$

Proof. Let X be a nonempty set of n-element sets and let $A \in X$. We use $S(n, \ell)$ to choose an ℓ-element subset B of A.

If $k = 0$, we use $[\ell]$ to pick an element of B.

If $k > 0$, we use $[kn + \ell]$ to pick an element of $(B \times \{0\}) \cup (A \times \{1, 2, \cdots, k\})$. Let $f(A)$ be the first coordinate of this chosen element.

THEOREM 3. *Let $k \geqq 1$.*

(a) *For $\ell < n$, $T(kn, \ell) \rightarrow T(n, \ell)$.*

(b) *For ℓ not of the form jn for any $j \leqq k$, $S(kn, \ell) \rightarrow T(n, \ell)$.*

Proof. Let X be a nonempty set of n-element sets and let $X' = \{A \times k\colon A \in X\}$.

(a) We choose a subset of at most ℓ elements of each $A \times k$ in X'. For each such chosen subset, let B be the set of first coordinates. Then B is a nonempty subset of A and has at most ℓ elements.

(b) If $\ell \geqq kn$, then

$$S(kn, \ell) \longleftrightarrow S(kn, kn - 1) \longleftrightarrow [kn]$$

and

$$[kn] \longrightarrow [n] \longrightarrow T(n, \ell) .$$

If $\ell < kn$, we choose an ℓ-element subset C of each $A \times k$ in X'. Not every a in A appears the same number of times as the first coordinate of a member of C. Let B be the set of those a that appear the maximal number of times in this role. If $\ell < n$, B is nonempty and has at most ℓ elements. If $\ell > n$, B is a nonempty proper subset of A. In both cases, the axiom $T(n, \ell)$ is realized.

Henceforth, let A be a nonempty finite set of natural numbers greater than 1. If $A = \{a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_m\}$, let $S(A, \ell)$ denote

$$S(a_1, \ell) \wedge S(a_2, \ell) \wedge \cdots \wedge S(a_m, \ell) .$$

For $n > \ell$, we say that n *is an A_ℓ-number* if for some $j \geqq 1$ and some k satisfying $0 \leqq k < \ell$, $jn + k \in A$. Furthermore, for all $n \geqq 2$ and $\ell \geqq 1$, we say that A *and* n *satisfy condition* $\mathscr{A}_\ell$ if either

(i) n is an A_ℓ-number, or both

(ii)$_a$ $n = rp$ for some prime p in A, and

(ii)$_b$ whenever $n = n_1 + n_2$ for $n_1 > \ell$ and $n_2 > \ell$, then either A and n_1 or else A and n_2 satisfy condition $\mathscr{A}_\ell$.

LEMMA. *Let p be a prime and let $\ell \geqq 1$ and $r \geqq 1$. Then*

$$S(p, \ell) \longrightarrow T(rp, rp - 1) .$$

(*The lemma is Theorem* 2(*g*) *of* [3]. *See also* [4].)

THEOREM 4. *Let A be as above, let $n \geqq 2$ and $\ell \geqq 1$, and suppose A and n satisfy condition $\mathscr{A}_\ell$. Then $S(A, \ell) \rightarrow T(n, \ell)$.*

Proof. Assume A and n satisfy condition $\mathscr{A}_\ell$.

If n is an A_ℓ-number, then for some $j \geqq 1$ and for some k satisfying $0 \leqq k < \ell$, $S(jn + k, \ell)$ is true. By Theorem 1, $T(jn, \ell)$ must be true, and by Theorem 3, $T(n, \ell)$ is true.

If n is not an A_ℓ-number, then $n = rp$ for some prime p in A. By our hypothesis, $S(p, \ell)$ is true. By the lemma, $T(n, n - 1)$ is true.

If $2 \leqq n \leqq \ell$, then $T(n, n - 1) = T(n, \ell)$.

If $\ell < n < 2\ell$, we use $T^*(n, n - 1)$ to obtain $\langle B_1, B_2 \rangle$, where $\{B_1, B_2\}$ forms a partition of an element B of a nonempty set of n-element sets. At least one of these subsets, B_1 or B_2, has at most ℓ elements. We choose the first of these with this property. Thus, $T(n, \ell)$ is true.

Now assume that for $n' < n$, whenever A and n' satisfy condition $\mathscr{A}_\ell$, then $S(A, \ell) \to T(n', \ell)$.

Let $n \geqq 2\ell$ and suppose $S(A, \ell)$ is true. We use $T^*(n, n-1)$ to obtain $\langle B_1, B_2 \rangle$, as in the preceding paragraph. If one of the subsets B_1 or B_2 of B has at most ℓ elements, then $T(n, \ell)$ is realized. Otherwise, one of these subsets has n_1 elements, the other has $n - n_1$ elements, and both $n > \ell$ and $n - n_1 > \ell$. By $(\mathrm{ii})_\mathrm{b}$, either A and n_1 satisfy condition $\mathscr{A}_\ell$ or else A and $n - n_1$ satisfy condition $\mathscr{A}_\ell$. By the inductive hypothesis, either $T(n_1, \ell)$ or $T(n - n_1, \ell)$ is true. We can therefore choose a nonempty subset of at most ℓ elements of one of the subsets B_1 or B_2 of B. Thus, $T(n, \ell)$ is true.

Let A be as above and let $n \geqq 2$. Let $P(A, n)$ be the statement: "For every prime partition of n, that is, whenever $n = p_1 + p_2 + \cdots + p_k$, one of these primes is in A."

THEOREM 5. *Assume $P(A, n)$. Then for all ℓ, $S(A, \ell) \to T(n, \ell)$.*

Proof. It suffices to show that if $P(A, n)$, then for all $\ell \geqq 1$, A and n satisfy condition $\mathscr{A}_\ell$.

Assume $P(A, n)$ and let $\ell \geqq 1$.

If n is prime and $n > \ell$, then n is an A_ℓ-number. If n is prime and $n \leqq \ell$, then $(\mathrm{ii})_\mathrm{a}$ and $(\mathrm{ii})_\mathrm{b}$ of condition $\mathscr{A}_\ell$ are satisfied.

Suppose that n is composite and that for all k, $2 \leqq k < n$, whenever $P(A, k)$, then A and k satisfy condition A_ℓ. By $P(A, n)$, each prime factor of n is in A. Let $n = n_1 + n_2$, where $n_1 > \ell$ and $n_2 > \ell$. Suppose there is a prime partition of n_1 with no summand in A. Then by $P(A, n)$, every prime partition of n_2 has a summand in A. Thus, $P(A, n_1)$ or $P(A, n_2)$. By the inductive hypothesis, either A and n_1 or else A and n_2 satisfy condition $\mathscr{A}_\ell$. Therefore, A and n satisfy condition $\mathscr{A}_\ell$.

Independence results concerning these axioms can be found in [3].

REFERENCES

1. A. Mostowski, *Axiom of choice for finite sets*, Fund. Math., **33** (1945), 137–168.

2. W. Sierpiński, *Cardinal and ordinal numbers*, 1st ed., Monografie Matematyczne, **34**, Warszawa, 1958.

3. M. Zuckerman, *On choosing subsets of n-element sets*, Fund. Math., **64** (1969), 163–179.

4. ———, Errata to the paper "*On choosing subsets of n-element sets*", Fund. Math.. **66** (1969).

Received October 25, 1978.

THE CITY COLLEGE OF THE CITY UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK
NEW YORK, NY 10031
AND
THE HEBREW UNIVERSITY
JERUSALEM, ISRAEL

Printed in Japan by International Academic Printing Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan

Pacific Journal of Mathematics
Vol. 96, No. 1 November, 1981