

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**NEW DIAGRAM PROOFS OF THE HAUSDORFF-YOUNG
THEOREM AND YOUNG'S INEQUALITY**

ROOSEVELT GENTRY

NEW DIAGRAM PROOFS OF THE HAUSDORFF-YOUNG THEOREM AND YOUNG'S INEQUALITY

ROOSEVELT GENTRY

In this paper, the diagram proof of Riesz's Theorem proved by the author is used to give new diagram proofs for the classical Hausdorff-Young Theorem, and Young's Inequality, where Fourier transforms and convolutions are used respectively.

I. Introduction.¹ Very often, the same operator is investigated on several different function spaces. Thus, it is valuable to have theorems which give relationships between properties of the same operator considered in different function spaces. The well-known Marcel Riesz interpolation theorem [11] which was published in 1926 is a nontrivial example of such a theorem.

Since 1926, much work has been done in interpolation theory by A. P. Calderon in 1964, Lions-Peetre [9] in 1964, and M. Schechter in 1967.

More recently, V. Williams [16], in 1971, defined a generalized interpolation space, $X_{(r,c)}$, which generalizes each of the above-mentioned interpolation spaces. Also, a generalized interpolation theorem is proved in [16] which generalizes the Calderon, Lions-Peetre, and Schechter interpolation theorems.

The classical theorems of Riesz and Marcinkiewicz follow from interpolation theory, and there are many applications in differential equations, Banach algebras, and nonlinear, complex, and compact interpolation theories (see [13, 14, 3, 7, 8, and 5]).

We now give a definition:

DEFINITION. A *compatible triplet* $\{X_0, X_1, \mathcal{L}\}$ consists of two Banach spaces X_0 and X_1 which are continuously embedded in a Hausdorff topological vector space \mathcal{L} .

$s_x = s(P_0, E_0, X_0, P_1, E_1, X_1)$ denotes the Lions-Peetre [9] interpolation space which is also a generalized interpolation space [16].

In this paper, the diagram proof of Riesz's theorem proved by the author [6] is used to give new diagram proofs for the classical Hausdorff-Young theorem, and Young's inequality, where Fourier transforms and convolutions are used respectively.

II. Diagram proof of the Hausdorff-Young Theorem. As a corollary of the author's diagram proof [6] of Riesz's theorem, we

¹ Terms used in the introduction will be defined in the paper.

get the classical Hausdorff-Young theorem. The corollary is significant because we give a new diagram proof of this classical theorem.

First, we give our classical notation and state a classical lemma.

$L^P(R^1)$, $1 \leq P \leq \infty$, denotes functions defined on R^1 which have values in the complex numbers, \mathcal{C} , with the usual norm, and m denotes the Lebesgue measure on R^1 divided by $\sqrt{2\pi}$.

We have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)dm(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)dx, \quad \text{where } dx \text{ refers to}$$

the ordinary Lebesgue measure.

Define \hat{f} by

$$(4) \quad \hat{f}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)e^{-ixt}dm(x), \quad t \in R^1.$$

If $f \in L^1(R^1)$, the integral in Line (4) is well defined for every real t . The function \hat{f} , the *Fourier transform of f* , is denoted by $F(f)$, that is, F sends f to \hat{f} .

Since the Lebesgue measure of R^1 is infinite, $L^2(R^1)$ is not a subset of $L^1(R^1)$, and the definition of the Fourier transform is not directly applicable for every $f \in L^2(R^1)$. However, the definition does apply if $f \in L^1(R^1) \cap L^2(R^1)$, for in this case $\hat{f} \in L^2(R^1)$. In fact, $\|\hat{f}\|_{L^2(R^1)} = \|f\|_{L^2(R^1)}$. This isometry of $L^1(R^1) \cap L^2(R^1)$ into $L^2(R^1)$ extends to an isometry of $L^2(R^1)$ onto $L^2(R^1)$, and this extension defines the Fourier transform (sometimes called the *Plancherel transform*) of every $f \in L^2(R^1)$. The $L^2(R^1)$ -theory has more symmetry than $L^1(R^1)$. In $L^2(R^1)$, f and \hat{f} play exactly the same role.

We now state some classical results:

LEMMA. *The Fourier transform map, $F: L^1(R^1) \rightarrow L^\infty(R^1)$ where $F(f) = \hat{f}$, for $f \in L^1(R^1)$ is bounded, linear, and for every $f \in L^1(R^1)$*

$$\|F(f)\|_{L^\infty(R^1)} = \|\hat{f}\|_{L^\infty(R^1)} \leq \|f\|_{L^1(R^1)}.$$

Therefore, $\|F\|_{B(L^1(R^1), L^\infty(R^1))} \leq 1$.

Plancherel Theorem. One can associate to each $f \in L^2(R^1)$ a function $\hat{f} \in L^2(R^1)$ such that:

(a) If $f \in L^1(R^1) \cap L^2(R^1)$, then \hat{f} is the previously defined Fourier transform of f .

(b) For every $f \in L^1(R^1)$, $\|\hat{f}\|_{L^2(R^1)} = \|f\|_{L^2(R^1)}$.

(c) The map $F: L^2(R^1) \rightarrow L^2(R^1)$, where $F(f) = \hat{f}$, for each $f \in L^2(R^1)$ is an isomorphism of $L^2(R^1)$ onto $L^2(R^1)$. In particular, F is bounded, linear, and by Part (b),

$$\|F\|_{B(L^2(R^1), L^2(R^1))} = 1.$$

Note: Since $L^1(R^1) \cap L^2(R^1)$ is dense in $L^2(R^1)$, Parts (a) and (b) determine the map F uniquely.

Hausdorff-Young Theorem. With the above notation, let $1 \leq P \leq 2$, let $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ be such that $1/P + 1/q = 1$; if $f \in L^P(R^1)$ then

$$\|\hat{f}\|_{L^q(R^1)} \leq \|f\|_{L^P(R^1)}.$$

We now state our main theorem:

THEOREM. *If $1 < P < 2$, the Hausdorff-Young Theorem follows from the diagram proof Riesz's Theorem.*

Proof. First, we consider a commutative diagram (see below).

By the author's work [4], for any fixed $0 < s < 1$, there exist E_0 and E_1 in R^1 such that $E_0 \cdot E_1 < 0$ and $s = E_0/(E_0 - E_1)$.

Let $P_0 = 1$, $P_1 = 2$, $q_0 = \infty$, $q_1 = 2$, so $P_i \leq q_i$, $i = 0, 1$. Let P and q satisfy


$$\frac{1}{P} = \frac{1-s}{P_0} + \frac{s}{P_1}, \quad \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1-s}{q_0} + \frac{s}{q_1}.$$

From previous work [4], $\{L^1(R^1), L^2(R^1), L^1_{loc}(R^1)\}$ and $\{L^\infty(R^1), L^2(R^1), L^1_{loc}(R^1)\}$ are compatible triplets; therefore the sums in the diagram make sense.

From above work, all spaces in the diagram are Banach. Let $L = F$ be the Fourier transform map as defined above.

By the lemma and Plancherel's theorem,

(9) $L \in B(L^1(R^1), L^\infty(R^1))$ and $L \in B(L^2(R^1), L^2(R^1))$, with respective norms N_0 and N_1 , where $N_0 \leq 1$, $N_1 = 1$.



From previous work [4], L is a bounded linear map from $L^1(R^1) + L^2(R^1)$ to $L^\infty(R^1) + L^2(R^1)$.

L' , T_1 , and T_2 are defined as follows:

$$L'(f) = L \circ f, \quad T_1(f) = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_n, \quad T_2(g) = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_n,$$

where $f \in \mathscr{W}(1, s, L^1(R^1), 2, s-1, L^2(R^1))$ and $g \in \mathscr{W}(1, s, L^\infty(R^1), 2, s-1, L^2(R^1))$, that is,

$$\begin{aligned} f: z &= \{0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots\} \longrightarrow L^1(R^1) + L^2(R^1), \\ g: z &\longrightarrow L^\infty(R^1) + L^2(R^1). \end{aligned}$$

L' , T_1 , and T_2 are bounded linear maps. By the definition of L' , we have $LT_1 = T_2L'$.

By Riesz's theorem

$$L \in B(L^P(R^1), L^q(R^1)), \quad \text{and by Line (9),}$$

$$\|L\| \leq \max\{N_0, N_1\} \leq \max\{1, 1\} = 1. \quad \text{Therefore,}$$

$$\|L\|_{B(L^P(R^1), L^q(R^1))} \leq 1.$$

By the definition of P and q ,

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{P} &= \frac{1-s}{P_0} + \frac{s}{P_1} = \frac{1-s}{1} + \frac{s}{2} = 1-s + \frac{s}{2} = 1 - \frac{s}{2}, \quad \text{and} \\ \frac{1}{q} &= \frac{1-s}{q_0} + \frac{s}{q_1} = 0 + \frac{s}{2} = \frac{s}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $1/P + 1/q = 1$.

From above, $s = E_0/(E_0 - E_1) \in (0, 1)$.

Note: If $s = 0$, then $P = 1$; if $s = 1$ then $P = 2$. $s \in (0, 1)$ implies $0 < s/2 < 1/2$, which implies $1 > 1 - s/2 > 1/2$, which implies $1 > 1/P > 1/2$, which implies $1 < P < 2$.

So, P , as defined in Line (12), will always be such that $1 < P < 2$.

From above,

$$L = F \in B(L^P(R^1), L^q(R^1)),$$

therefore, for every $f \in L^P(R^1)$,

$$\|L(f)\|_{L^q(R^1)} = \|F(f)\|_{L^q(R^1)} \leq 1 \cdot \|f\|_{L^P(R^1)} = \|f\|_{L^P(R^1)}.$$

By definition,

$$F(f) = \hat{f},$$

therefore, for every $f \in L^P(R^1)$, we have

$$\|\hat{f}\|_{L^q(R^1)} \leq \|f\|_{L^P(R^1)},$$

so the Hausdorff-Young theorem holds if $1 < P < 2$.

III. A diagram proof of Young's Inequality. Next, we show that as a consequence of the diagram proof of Riesz's theorem, we get an inequality of W. H. Young.

Some classical results are:

DEFINITION. Let f and g be two m -measurable functions on R^1 such that $f(x-y) \cdot g(y)$ is m -integrable on R^1 , $x, y \in R^1$; the convolution, $f * g$, of f and g at point x is defined by

$$(f * g)(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x-y)g(y)dm(y), \quad x \in R^1.$$

Young's Inequality. Suppose that

$$1 \leq P \leq \infty, \quad 1 \leq q \leq \infty, \quad \frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{P} + \frac{1}{q} - 1 \geq 0.$$

Let $f \in L^P(R^1)$, $g \in L^q(R^1)$. Then

$$\|f * g\|_{L^r(R^1)} \leq \|f\|_{L^P(R^1)} \cdot \|g\|_{L^q(R^1)}.$$

Our main theorem for this section is:

THEOREM. Young's Inequality above follows from the diagram proof of Riesz's Theorem if $1 < P, q < \infty$, and $1/r = 1/P + 1/q - 1 > 0$.

Proof. Let $1 < P, q < \infty$, be such that $1/P + 1/q - 1 > 0$, let $1/r = 1/P + 1/q - 1$, let P' be such that $1/P + 1/P' = 1$.

For $q_0 = 1, q_1 = P', r_0 = P, r_1 = \infty$, we show that there is an s such that $0 < s < 1$, and these equations hold:

$$\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1-s}{q_0} + \frac{s}{q_1} = \frac{1-s}{1} + \frac{s}{P'},$$

and

$$\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1-s}{r_0} + \frac{s}{r_1} = \frac{1-s}{P} + 0 = \frac{1-s}{P}.$$

Now,

$$\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1-s}{1} + \frac{s}{P'} = \frac{P'(1-s) + s}{P'}.$$

Thus,

$$\frac{P'}{q} = P'(1-s) + s,$$

$$P' - P's + s = \frac{P'}{q},$$

and

$$s(1 - P') = \frac{P'}{q} - P'.$$

Thus, $s = (P'/q - P')/(1 - P')$, $P' \neq 1$ since $1 < P < \infty$. Thus, $s = (1/q - 1)/(1/P' - 1) > 0$, since $q > 1$, $1 < P < \infty$, and $P' > 1$.

Now, $1/q - 1 > -1/P$, $1/(-1/P) < 0$; thus, $(1/q - 1)/(-1/P) < (-1/P)/(-1/P) = 1$, $-1/P = 1/P' - 1$, thus, $0 < s = (1/q - 1)/(-1/P) < 1$.

We show this same $0 < s < 1$ works for r , where

$$\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1-s}{r_0} + \frac{s}{r_1} = \frac{1-s}{P} + 0 = \frac{1-s}{P}.$$

Also, $1/P + 1/q - 1 = (1-s)/P$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{r} &= \frac{1}{P} + \frac{1}{q} - 1 = \frac{1}{P} + \left(\frac{1-s}{1} + \frac{s}{P'} \right) - 1 \\ &= \frac{1}{P} + \left[1 - s + s \left(1 - \frac{1}{P'} \right) - 1 \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{P} + \left[1 - s + s - \frac{s}{P'} - 1 \right] = \frac{1-s}{P}. \end{aligned}$$

As shown on the preceding page, for $0 < s < 1$ fixed, there exist reals E_0 and E_1 such that $E_0 \cdot E_1 < 0$ and $s = E_0/(E_0 - E_1)$.

Let $f \in L^P(R^1)$ be fixed, once chosen. Let $g \in L^1(R^1)$, and $g \in L^{P'}(R^1)$, define L by $L(g) = f * g$.

From classical work,

$$L \in B(L^1(R^1), L^P(R^1)), \quad L \in B(L^{P'}(R^1), L^\infty(R^1)),$$


where

$$\|L\|_{B(L^1(R^1), L^P(R^1))} \leq \|f\|_{L^P(R^1)},$$

and

$$\|L\|_{B(L^{P'}(R^1), L^\infty(R^1))} \leq \|f\|_{L^P(R^1)}.$$

Under the above conditions, we now consider a commutative diagram:



As above,

$$\{L^1(R^1), L^{p'}(R^1), L^1_{loc}(R^1)\}$$

and

$$\{L^p(R^1), L^\infty(R^1), L^1_{loc}(R^1)\}$$

are compatible triplets. Therefore, the sums in the diagram make sense.

Also, all spaces are Banach in diagram, and L defines a linear map from $L^1(R^1) + L^{p'}(R^1)$ to $L^p(R^1) + L^\infty(R^1)$.

The bounded linear maps L' , T_1 , and T_2 are defined as above, and $LT_1 = T_2L'$.

From Riesz's theorem,

$$L \in B(L^q(R^1), L^r(R^1)),$$

with

$$\|L\| \leq \max \{ \|f\|_{L^{p'}(R^1)}, \|f\|_{L^p(R^1)} \} = \|f\|_{L^{p'}(R^1)}.$$

Therefore, for any $g \in L^q(R^1)$, we have

$$\|L(g)\|_{L^r(R^1)} \leq \|f\|_{L^{p'}(R^1)} \cdot \|g\|_{L^q(R^1)}, \quad \text{where } f \text{ is fixed.}$$

By definition of L , $L(g) = f * g$, therefore, for any $g \in L^q(R^1)$, we have

$$\|f * g\|_{L^r(R^1)} \leq \|f\|_{L^{p'}(R^1)} \cdot \|g\|_{L^q(R^1)},$$

and therefore Young's inequality holds.

REFERENCES

1. R. E. Edwards, *Fourier Series, A Modern Introduction*, Vol. I, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York, 1967.
2. ———, *Fourier Series, A Modern Introduction*, Vol. II, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York, 1967.
3. R. Genrty, *Banach algebra interpolation theory*, Annales de la Société Scientifique de Bruxelles, T. **93**, I (1979), 55-67.
4. ———, *Compact Interpolation Between Banach Spaces*, Ph. D. Dissertation, Rutgers University Library, New Brunswick, New Jersey, 1974.
5. ———, *A generalization of theorems of Krasnoselskii and Juberg*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **76** No. 2 (1980), 369-377.
6. ———, *A new diagram proof of the classical Riesz interpolation theorem*, 1979 (to appear).
7. ———, *Nonlinear weak generalized interpolation*, Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma **6** No. 4 (1980).
8. ———, *Operators from Banach spaces to complex interpolation spaces*, 1978 (to appear).
9. J. L. Lions and J. Peetre, *Sur une classe d'espaces d'interpolation*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. No. 19 (1964), 5-68.
10. J. Marcinkiewicz, *Sur l'interpolation d'opérateurs*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, **208** (1939), 1272-1273.

11. M. Riesz, *Sur les maxima des formes biliaires et sur les fonctionnelles lineaires*, Acta Math., **49** (1926), 465-497.
12. W. Rudin, *Real and Complex Analysis*, Mc-Graw Hill, New York, 1966.
13. M. Schechter, *On the theory of differential boundary problems*, Illinois J. Math., **7** No. 2 (1963), 232-245.
14. ———, *On L^p estimates and regularity*, Amer. J. Math., **85** (1963), 1-13.
15. E. M. Stein and G. Weiss, *Introduction to Fourier Analysis on Euclidean Spaces*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1971.
16. V. Williams, *Generalized interpolation spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **156** (1971), 309-334.
17. A. Zygmund, *Trigonometric Series*, Vol. II, Cambridge Univ. Press, London, 1959.

Received November 19, 1979. This paper was made possible in part by fellowships received from the Southern Fellowships Fund of Atlanta, Georgia. The author, however, and not The Southern Fellowships Fund, is completely responsible for the statements made herein and for their publication.

JACKSON STATE UNIVERSITY
JACKSON, MS 39217

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

DONALD BABBITT (Managing Editor)

University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

HUGO ROSSI

University of Utah
Salt Lake City, UT 84112

C. C. MOORE and ANDREW OGG

University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. FINN and J. MILGRAM

Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. ARENS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA

CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO

NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY

OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII

UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO

UNIVERSITY OF UTAH

WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

Printed in Japan by International Academic Printing Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan

Charles A. Asmuth and Joe Repka, Tensor products for $SL_2(\mathbb{F})$. II.	
Supercuspidal representations	1
Joseph Barback, On finite sums of regressive isols	19
Matthew G. Brin and Daniel Russell McMillan, Jr., Generalized	
three-manifolds with zero-dimensional nonmanifold set	29
Kun Soo Chang, Converse measurability theorems for Yeh-Wiener space	59
Christopher Brian Croke, A “maximal torus” type theorem for complete	
Riemannian manifolds	65
Gustave Adam Efroymsen, Sums of squares in planar Nash rings	75
John Robert Fisher, Axiomatic radical and semisimple classes of rings	81
Betty Kvarda, Consecutive integers for which $n^2 + 1$ is composite	93
Roosevelt Gentry, New diagram proofs of the Hausdorff-Young theorem	
and Young’s inequality	97
Patrick M. Gilmer, Topological proof of the G-signature theorem for G	
finite	105
Chung Wei Ha, A noncompact minimax theorem	115
James J. Hebda, Manifolds admitting taut hyperspheres	119
Takayuki Kawada, Sample functions of Pólya processes	125
Peter K. F. Kuhfittig, Common fixed points of nonexpansive mappings by	
iteration	137
James Thomas Loats and Judith Roitman, Almost rigid Hopfian and dual	
Hopfian atomic Boolean algebras	141
Roger McCann, On embedding semiflows into a radial flow on l_2	151
John McDonald, Closed orbits of convex sets of operators on the disk	
algebra	159
Mark D. Meyerson, Convexity and the table theorem	167
Arnold William Miller, Generic Souslin sets	171
Takemi Mizokami, On the closed images of paracomplexes	183
Jagannadham Venkata Pakala and Thomas Stephen Shores, On	
compactly packed rings	197
Andrew Pletch, Strong completeness in profinite groups	203
Wilbur Carrington Whitten, Inverting double knots	209
James Juei-Chin Yeh, Existence of strong solutions for stochastic	
differential equations in the plane	217