

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

## **ON COMPACTLY PACKED RINGS**

JAGANNADHAM VENKATA PAKALA AND THOMAS STEPHEN SHORES

## ON COMPACTLY PACKED RINGS

J. V. PAKALA AND T. S. SHORES

**A commutative ring with identity is compactly packed by primes (briefly: a C. P.-ring) if whenever an ideal  $I$  of  $R$  is contained in the union of a family of prime ideals of  $R$ , then  $I$  is actually contained in one of the primes of the family. The aim of this note is to characterize Noetherian P. C.-rings ideal theoretically and, with suitable restrictions, in terms of Picard groups.**

The notion of a C. P.-ring was introduced by C. Reis and T. Viswanathan in [6], where Noetherian C. P.-rings were characterized by the property that primes are radicals of principal ideals, and it was asked if it suffices to have maximal ideals be radicals of principal ideals. N. Popescu studied the torsion theoretic aspects of C. P.-rings in [4], where he extended the preceding result to semi-noetherian rings and asked if every semi-noetherian C. P.-ring must have Krull dimension at most one. Independently, W. Smith [8] characterized all C. P.-rings as those rings for which every prime ideal is the radical of a principal ideal. In this note we answer the preceding questions (the former in the affirmative and the latter in the negative) and examine a connection between torsion Picard groups and the C. P.-property.

We would like to express our thanks to William Krauter and Roger Wiegand for several enlightening observations regarding the connection between Picard groups and C. P.-rings.

As usual  $\text{Spec}(R)$  denotes the set of prime ideals of  $R$ ,  $V(I) = \{P \in \text{Spec}(R) \mid I \subseteq P\}$  for subsets  $I$  of  $R$  and  $D(I)$  denotes the complement of  $V(I)$ . Denote the radical of the ideal  $I$  by  $\text{rad}(I)$  and the residual  $\{r \in R \mid rI \subseteq J\}$  by  $(J:I)$ . As we have noted, the first equivalence of the following theorem is due to Smith [8]; we supply a proof for convenience of the reader.

**THEOREM 1.** *The ring  $R$  is a C. P.-ring if and only if every prime ideal is the radical of a principal ideal, in which case every radical ideal is the radical of a principal ideal. Moreover the Noetherian ring  $R$  is a C. P.-ring if and only if every maximal ideal is the radical of a principal ideal.*

*Proof.* Suppose the  $R$  is a C. P.-ring and  $I$  is a radical ideal of  $R$ , i.e.,  $I = \text{rad}(I)$ . The C. P. property implies that  $I \not\subseteq \bigcup D(I)$ , whence there exists an element  $x \in I$  such that  $V(x) \subseteq V(I)$ . But the converse

inclusion is obvious, so  $\text{rad}(xR) = \text{rad}(I) = I$ . Conversely, suppose that every prime ideal of  $R$  is the radical of a principal ideal and that  $I \subseteq \bigcup X$  for some ideal  $I$  of  $R$  and subset  $X$  of  $\text{Spec}(R)$ . Let  $S$  be the multiplicatively closed set  $R \setminus \bigcup X$  and expand  $I$  to an ideal  $P$  maximal with respect to avoiding  $S$ . Then  $P$  is necessarily prime, so the radical of a principal ideal, say  $xR$ . Now  $x \in Q$  for some  $Q \in X$ , whence  $I \subseteq Q$  as desired.

Finally suppose that  $R$  is a Noetherian ring whose maximal ideals are radicals of principal ideals. Without loss of generality,  $R$  is reduced. Moreover the Krull dimension of  $R$  is at most one by the Principal Ideal Theorem. So to show that  $R$  is C. P. it suffices to prove that every minimal prime of  $R$  is the radical of a principal ideal. Such primes are finite in number, say  $Q_1, \dots, Q_n$ , so we may induct on  $n$  to prove the result. It suffices to prove the  $Q_1$  is the radical of a principal ideal. But  $(0: Q_1) = \bigcap \{Q_j \mid j \neq 1\}$ , so  $R/(0: Q_1)$  is C. P. by induction. Now use the first statement of the theorem to obtain an element  $x \in Q_1$  such that  $\text{rad}(xR + (0: Q_1)) = \text{rad}(Q_1 + (0: Q_1))$ . Certainly  $Q_1 \subseteq \text{rad}(xR)$  and for the converse, let  $y \in Q_1$  and choose  $n$  such that  $y^n \in xR + (0: Q_1)$ . Then  $y^{n+1} \in xR$ , as desired.

REMARK 2. In [6] it was shown that for a Dedekind domain the C. P.-property is equivalent to the condition that  $R$  has torsion ideal class group. R. Wiegand pointed out to us that this result may be generalized in the following way: Let  $R$  be a one dimensional Noetherian ring with finitely many singular maximal ideals (i.e., maximal at which the localization of  $R$  is not a valuation ring or field). Denote the Picard group of  $R$  by  $\text{Pic}(R)$ . Lemma 3 of [9, p. 30] shows that if  $\text{Pic}(R)$  is torsion, then every maximal ideal is the radical of a principal ideal. W. Krauter has proved the converse of this result under the additional hypothesis that  $R$  is a domain, and with his kind permission we sketch his proof: note that if  $M$  is any nonsingular maximal ideal of  $R$ , then the only primary ideals associated to  $M$  are powers of  $M$ . So if  $M$  is the radical of the principal ideal  $xR$ , the  $xR$  is a power of  $M$ . Consequently, if  $I$  is any ideal of  $R$  not contained in any singular maximal, then the primary decomposition of  $I$  is a product of maximal ideals and some power or  $I$  is itself principal. Now use Lemma 4.3 of [2, p. 135] to obtain that if  $I$  is invertible, then there is an ideal  $J$  isomorphic to  $I$  such that  $J$  is not contained in any singular maximal ideal. Since  $\text{Pic}(R)$  is in this case the class group of  $R$  (invertible ideals modulo the subgroup of principal fractional ideals),  $\text{Pic}(R)$  is a torsion group as desired. The domain hypothesis on  $R$  may be removed by the following observations: first, for Noetherian  $R$ ,  $\text{Pic}(R)$  is still the class group, where invertibility is with respect to the total quotient ring

of  $R$ . Secondly, Lemma 4.3 of [2] is valid for nondomains, as we now demonstrate.

**LEMMA 3.** *Let  $I$  be an invertible ideal of the Noetherian ring  $R$  and  $P_1, \dots, P_n$  prime ideals of  $R$ . Then there is an invertible integral ideal  $J \cong I$  such that  $J \not\subseteq P_i, i = 1, \dots, n$ .*

*Proof.* Without loss of generality the  $P_i$ 's are distinct maximal ideals such that every associated prime of  $R$  is contained in some  $P_i$ . Select for each index  $i$  elements  $a_i, b_i$  such that  $a_i \in I^{-1}, Ia_i \not\subseteq P_i$  and  $b_i$  belongs to all  $P_j$ 's except  $P_i$ . Set  $c = a_1b_1 + \dots + a_nb_n$  and obtain that  $c \in I^{-1}$  but  $Ic \not\subseteq P_i$  for any index  $i$ . In particular,  $J = Ic$  is contained in no associated prime of  $R$ , so  $J$  contains a nonzero-divisor. Therefore  $c$  is a unit in the total quotient ring of  $R$  and  $J \cong I$ .

**THEOREM 4.** *Let  $R$  be a one dimensional Noetherian ring with only finitely many singular maximal ideals. Then  $R$  is a C. P.-ring if and only if  $\text{Pic}(R)$  is a torsion group. In this case the integral closure of  $R$  (in its total quotient ring) is also a C. P.-ring.*

*Proof.* In view of Remark 2 and Lemma 3, the property of torsion Picard group is equivalent to the condition that every maximal ideal be the radical of a principal ideal. So Theorem 1 yields the first statement. For the second statement, let asteriks denote integral closures and  $N$  the nilradical of  $R$ . Since nonzero-divisors of  $R$  map to nonzero-divisors of  $R/N$ , we have that  $R^*/NR^*$  embeds naturally in  $(R/N)^*$ . Therefore we may as well assume that  $R$  is a reduced C. P.-ring and show that any ring  $S$  between  $R$  and  $R^*$  is a C. P.-ring. Let  $P_1, \dots, P_n$  be the minimal primes of  $R$ , so that  $R^* = \pi(R/P_i)^*$ . Also let  $Q_i$  be a minimal prime of  $S$  lying over  $P_i$  so that  $S/Q_i \subseteq (R/P_i)^*$ . By the Krull-Akizuki Theorem,  $S/Q_i$  is a one dimensional Noetherian domain (or a field), and since  $\cap Q_i = 0$  we deduce that  $S$  is Noetherian ring of dimension at most one. Moreover if  $M$  is a nonsingular maximal ideal of  $R$ , then  $R_M = R_M^* = (R^*)_M$ ; thus  $R_M = S_M$ . So if the prime ideal  $P$  of  $S$  lies over  $M$ , one obtains by checking locally that  $MS = P$ . Since the only primary ideals for  $M$  are powers of  $M$ , the C. P.-property ensures that some power of  $M$  is a principal ideal. Consequently, the same is true of  $P$ . To complete the proof, let  $I$  be an invertible ideal of  $S$  and use Lemma 3 to obtain an isomorphic invertible integral ideal  $J$  which is contained only in maximal ideals of  $S$  lying over nonsingular maximals of  $R$ . As in Remark 2,  $J$  is a product of the maximal ideals containing it. Hence a suitable power of  $J$  is principal and

the proof is complete.

EXAMPLE (1). It would be interesting to know if Theorem 4 holds for all Noetherian rings (even domains). In this connection there do exist examples of Noetherian C. P.-domains with infinitely many singular maximal, namely those obtained from M. Nagata's construction in §5 of [3, p. 11].

(2) There exist non-Noetherian C. P.-domains which are even Prüfer domains of Krull dimension one, yet do not have torsion Picard group. Such an example is constructed by W. Heinzer in [1, p. 139]. In fact the QR-domains studied in this paper are exactly the Prüfer C. P.-rings.

(3) Let  $R$  be a one dimensional affine algebra over a field  $k$  contained in the algebraic closure of a finite field. Then  $\text{Pic}(R)$  is torsion by Lemma 2 of [9, p. 30], so  $R$  is a C. P.-ring.

(4) Theorem 1 implies that any valuation domain of finite Krull dimension is a C. P.-ring. In particular let  $V$  be a discrete valuation ring of rank two (see [7] for examples); i.e., the prime ideals of  $V$  are  $0 \subset P \subset M$  and the rings  $V_P$  and  $V/P$  are both discrete rank one valuation rings. We assert that every nonzero homomorphic image of  $V$  has nonempty set of associated primes. It then follows from Theorem 5.16 of [5, p. 352] that  $V$  is semi-noetherian. Therefore a semi-noetherian C. P.-ring can have Krull dimension greater than one, which answers a question of Popescu [4] in the negative.

To prove the assertion let  $V/I$  be a nonzero homomorphic image of  $V$ . If, for some  $x \in V$ ,  $(I : x)$  is a proper ideal of  $V$  strictly larger than  $P$ , then  $(I : x)$  is a power of  $M$  since  $V/P$  is discrete rank one. But then  $x + I$  generates a cyclic submodule of  $V/I$  isomorphic to  $V/M^n$  and  $M$  is an associated prime of  $V/I$ . If no such  $x$  exists then the kernel of the canonical map  $V/I \rightarrow (V/I)_P$  is zero, so  $V/I$  embeds as an essential  $V$ -submodule of  $(V/I)_P$ . But  $I_P = P_P^n$  for some  $n \geq 1$  since  $V_P$  is discrete rank one. Choose  $x \in P^{n-1} \setminus I_P$  and obtain that  $(I_P : x) = P$ . Thus  $P$  is an associated prime of the  $V$ -module  $V_P/P_P^n$ , whence  $P$  is an associated prime of the essential submodule  $V/I$ . This proves the assertion in all cases.

#### REFERENCES

1. W. Heinzer, *Quotient overrings of integral domains*, *Mathematika*, **17** (1970), 139-148.
2. M. Murthy and R. Swan, *Vector bundles over affine surfaces*, *Invent. Math.*, **36** (1976), 125-165.
3. M. Nagata, *On the closedness of the singular loci*, *Publs. Math. Inst. Haut. Étud. Sci.*, **2** (1959), 29-36.
4. N. Popescu, *Sur les C. P.-anneaux*, *C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris*, **272** (1971) Sér. A, 1493-1496.
5. ———, *Abelian Categories with Applications to Rings and Modules*, Academic

Press, 1973.

6. C. Reis and T. Viswanathan, *A compactness property of prime ideals in Noetherian rings*, Proc. Amer. Soc., **25** (1970), 353-356.
7. O. Schilling, *The theory of valuations*, Mathematical Surveys No. 4, A.M.S., (1950).
8. W. Smith, *A covering condition for prime ideals*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **30** (1971), 451-452.
9. R. Wiegand, *Homeomorphisms of affine surfaces over a finite field*, J. London Math. Soc., (2) **18** (1978), 28-32.

Received May 30, 1980 and in revised form January 15, 1981.

ANDHRA UNIVERSITY  
WALTAIR, A. P. 530 003  
INDIA  
AND  
UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA  
LINCOLN, NE 68588



# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

## EDITORS

DONALD BABBITT (Managing Editor)

University of California  
Los Angeles, California 90024

HUGO ROSSI

University of Utah  
Salt Lake City, UT 84112

C. C. MOORE and ANDREW OGG

University of California  
Berkeley, CA 94720

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics  
University of Southern California  
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. FINN and J. MILGRAM

Stanford University  
Stanford, California 94305

## ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. ARENS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA

CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO

NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY

OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII

UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO

UNIVERSITY OF UTAH

WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

Printed in Japan by International Academic Printing Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan

Charles A. Asmuth and Joe Repka, Tensor products for $SL_2(\mathbb{F})$ . II. Supercuspidal representations .....	1
Joseph Barback, On finite sums of regressive isols .....	19
Matthew G. Brin and Daniel Russell McMillan, Jr., Generalized three-manifolds with zero-dimensional nonmanifold set .....	29
Kun Soo Chang, Converse measurability theorems for Yeh-Wiener space ....	59
Christopher Brian Croke, A "maximal torus" type theorem for complete Riemannian manifolds .....	65
Gustave Adam Efroymsen, Sums of squares in planar Nash rings .....	75
John Robert Fisher, Axiomatic radical and semisimple classes of rings .....	81
Betty Kvarda, Consecutive integers for which $n^2 + 1$ is composite .....	93
Roosevelt Gentry, New diagram proofs of the Hausdorff-Young theorem and Young's inequality .....	97
Patrick M. Gilmer, Topological proof of the $G$ -signature theorem for $G$ finite .....	105
Chung Wei Ha, A noncompact minimax theorem .....	115
James J. Hebda, Manifolds admitting taut hyperspheres .....	119
Takayuki Kawada, Sample functions of Pólya processes .....	125
Peter K. F. Kuhfittig, Common fixed points of nonexpansive mappings by iteration .....	137
James Thomas Loats and Judith Roitman, Almost rigid Hopfian and dual Hopfian atomic Boolean algebras .....	141
Roger McCann, On embedding semiflows into a radial flow on $I_2$ .....	151
John McDonald, Closed orbits of convex sets of operators on the disk algebra .....	159
Mark D. Meyerson, Convexity and the table theorem .....	167
Arnold William Miller, Generic Souslin sets .....	171
Takemi Mizokami, On the closed images of paracomplexes .....	183
Jagannadham Venkata Pakala and Thomas Stephen Shores, On compactly packed rings .....	197
Andrew Pletch, Strong completeness in profinite groups .....	203
Wilbur Carrington Whitten, Inverting double knots .....	209
James Juei-Chin Yeh, Existence of strong solutions for stochastic differential equations in the plane .....	217