Pacific Journal of Mathematics

ON ULTIMATELY NONEXPANSIVE SEMIGROUPS

M. EDELSTEIN AND MO TAK KIANG

Vol. 101, No. 1

November 1982

ON ULTIMATELY NONEXPANSIVE SEMIGROUPS

MICHAEL EDELSTEIN AND MO TAK KIANG

A semigroup G of continuous selfmappings of a metric space (X, d) is called ultimately nonexpansive if for every u, v in X and $\alpha > 0$, there is an f in G such that for all g in G, $d(fg(u), fg(v)) \leq (1+\alpha)d(u, v)$. It is shown that if G is an ultimately nonexpansive commutative semigroup of selfmappings, then G has a fixed point when any one of the following conditions is satisfied: (1) X is a reflexive Banach space and each orbit under G is precompact; (2) X is a finite dimensional Banach space and there is a point in X with a bounded orbit; (3) X is a reflexive, locally uniformly convex Banach space having a point with a precompact orbit.

1. Introduction. Let G be a family of selfmappings of a metric space (X, d) and suppose that G is a semigroup under composition. For any $x \in X$, $G(x) = \{g(x): g \in G\}$ is called the orbit of x under G and a point $z \in X$ such that $G(z) = \{z\}$ is called a fixed point of G. Fixed point properties of semigroups having various contractivity properties have been investigated by several writers (cf. [1], [3], [5], [6], [7], [8], [9], [10]). In [5], for example, an asymptotically non-expansive semigroup G (see definition given below), satisfying certain conditions, was shown to have a fixed point if X is a finite dimensional Euclidean space and, for some $x \in X$, co G(x) does not contain any affine flat of positive dimension. Similarly, in [8] a semigroup of selfmappings of a closed, bounded convex set in a uniformly convex Banach space—called eventually nonexpansive—was shown to have a fixed point provided certain additional hypotheses were satisfied.

In this paper we consider semigroups of mappings related to those of [8] but much more general; (e.g., members of the semigroup need not be lipschitzian).

DEFINITION. A semigroup G of continuous selfmappings of a metric space (X, d) is called ultimately nonexpansive if for every pair of points $u, v \in X$ and for every $\alpha > 0$, there is an $f \in G$ such that for all $g \in G$,

(1)
$$d(fg(u), fg(v)) \leq (1 + \alpha)d(u, v) .$$

It is called asymptotically nonexpansive (cf. [5]) if (1) above is satisfied with $\alpha = 0$.

It is the purpose of this paper to show that some of the fixed point theory for semigroups of nonexpansive mappings carries over to this much larger class of mappings. In particular this is the case when the orbits under G and the space X satisfy additional hypotheses; e.g., that some orbits be precompact and that X be locally uniformly convex and reflexive. Thus if X has the above property and at least one orbit is precompact then the existence of a fixed point follows.

2. Ultimately nonexpansive semigroups in general metric spaces. Certain properties of ultimately nonexpansive semigroups, some of them similar to those discussed in [5], and partially relying on the ideas and results of [5], are needed for the proof of our main results. We begin by reproducing the definition of "G-closure point" as given in [5].

DEFINITION. The G-closure of X, where (X, d) is a metric space, consists of all points x in X such that for some $z \in X$, any $\varepsilon > 0$ and any $f \in G$ there is a $g \in G$ such that

$$(2) d(fg(z), x) < \varepsilon.$$

The G-closure of X is denoted by X^{d} and it is a generalization of a similar notion for a single mapping as given in [2].

PROPOSITION 1. Let G be an ultimately nonexpansive commutative semigroup of selfmappings of a metric space (X, d),

(a) if x is a G-closure point, then z can be replaced by x in (2) (and any reference to z can be deleted from the definition of X^{G});

(b) if $\operatorname{cl} G(x)$ is compact then it contains a G-closure point.

Proof. (a) Let $z \in X$ be such that for $\varepsilon > 0$ and $f \in G$ there is a $g_{\varepsilon} \in G$ such that $d(fg_{\varepsilon}(z), x) < \varepsilon/2(1 + \varepsilon)$. Since G is ultimately nonexpansive there is an $h_{\varepsilon} \in G$ (corresponding to the points $g_{\varepsilon}f(z)$, $x \in X$ and ε) such that for all $k \in G$,

$$(3) d(kh_{\varepsilon}g_{\varepsilon}f(z), kh_{\varepsilon}(x)) \leq (1+\varepsilon)d(g_{\varepsilon}f(z), x) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

On the other hand, there is a mapping $t \in G$ (corresponding to $h_{\varepsilon}g_{\varepsilon}ff \in G)$ such that

$$(4) d(fth_{\varepsilon}g_{\bullet}f(z), x) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

From (3) and (4) above we conclude that

 $d(fth_{\varepsilon}(x), x) \leq d(fth_{\varepsilon}(x), fth_{\varepsilon}g_{\varepsilon}f(z)) + d(fth_{\varepsilon}g_{\varepsilon}f(z), x) < \varepsilon$

proving the assertion.

(b) Suppose not. Then for every $u \in \operatorname{cl} G(x)$ there is an $\varepsilon_u > 0$ and a $g_u \in G$ such that $d(g_u f(x), u) \ge \varepsilon_u$ for all $f \in G$. The open balls $B(u, \varepsilon_u)$ form an open cover of $\operatorname{cl} G(x)$. Hence there is a finite subcover of such balls with centers at $u_1, u_2 \cdots, u_n$, say. Corresponding each u_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$, there is to a $g_{u_i} \in G$. Now $g_{u_1} \cdot g_{u_2} \cdot \dots \cdot g_{u_n}(x)$ is in some $B(u_i, \varepsilon_{u_i})$ contradicting the defining property of g_{u_i} ; hence the result.

PROPOSITION 2. Let G and (X, d) be as in Proposition 1. Then, (a) if $x \in X^{G}$ then the restriction $G|_{G(x)}$ of G to G(x) is a semigroup of isometries;

(b) if $\operatorname{cl} G(x)$ is compact then $G|_{\operatorname{cl} G(x)}$ is a semigroup of isometries (of $\operatorname{cl} G(x)$ onto itself).

Proof. (a) Since each member of G maps G(x) into itself it is clear that $G|_{\mathcal{G}(x)}$ is a semigroup again. Since the conclusion concerning isometries was obtained in [5] for asymptotically nonexpansive semigroups it suffices to show that $G|_{\mathcal{G}(x)}$ is such a semigroup. Suppose $G|_{\mathcal{G}(x)}$ fails to be asymptotically nonexpansive. Then, clearly, members $f_1(x)$, $f_2(x)$ of G(x) must exist such that for all $g \in G$ there is a $t \in G$ with

$$\delta = d(tgf_1(x), tgf_2(x)) - d(f_1(x), f_2(x)) > 0$$

Let $\varepsilon = \delta(1 + d(f_1(x), f_2(x)))^{-1}$. From the definition of an ultimately nonexpansive semigroup it follows that corresponding to ε and $f_1(x)$, $f_2(x)$ there is an $h \in G$ such that for all $f \in G$,

$$d(fhf_1(x), fhf_2(x)) \leq (1 + \varepsilon)d(f_1(x), f_2(x))$$
.

Hence,

$$d(tghf_1(x), tghf_2(x)) \leq (1 + \varepsilon)d(f_1(x), f_2(x))$$

Since $x \in X^{\sigma}$ and each member in G is continuous there is a $g_0 \in G$ such that

$$\max \left\{ d(tghg_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}f_{i}(x),\,tgf_{i}(x))\colon i=1,\,2
ight\} < rac{arepsilon}{2}$$
 .

It follows that

$$egin{aligned} d(tgf_1(x),\,tgf_2(x)) &\leq d(tgf_1(x),\,tghg_0f_1(x)) + d(tghg_0f_1(x),\,tghg_0f_2(x)) \ &+ d(tghg_0f_2(x),\,tgf_2(x)) \ &< rac{arepsilon}{2} + (1+arepsilon) d(f_1(x),\,f_2(x)) + rac{arepsilon}{2} \ &= d(f_1(x),\,f_2(x)) + arepsilon(1+d(f_1(x),\,f_2(x))) \ &= d(tgf_1(x),\,tgf_2(x)) \end{aligned}$$

implying that $\delta = 0$.

(b) This follows from (a) (and the fact that an isometry on a compact set is onto).

PROPOSITION 3. Let X and G be as in Proposition 1. Then,

(a) if $u \in \operatorname{cl} G(x)$ with $x \in X^{G}$, and $v \in X$, $v \neq u$, there is a neighborhood U of u such that for any positive integer n there exists an $f \in G$ with the property that for all $g \in G$ and $u' \in U$,

$$d(fg(u'), fg(v)) \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right) d(u', v);$$

(b) if $\operatorname{cl} G(x)$ is compact, with $x \in X^{G}$, and $v \notin \operatorname{cl} G(x)$, then for any positive integer n, there is a $f \in G$ such that for all $g \in G$ and $u \in \operatorname{cl} G(x)$, $d(fg(u), fg(v)) \leq (1 + 1/n)d(u, v)$.

Proof. (a) Let $f \in G$ be such that for all $g \in G$, $d(fg(u), fg(v)) \leq (1 + 1/4n)d(u, v)$. Let $U = \{u' \in cl \ G(x): d(u', u) < (1/4n)d(u', v)\}$. Then,

$$egin{aligned} d(fg(u'), fg(v)) &\leq d(fg(u'), fg(u)) + d(fg(u), fg(v)) \ &\leq d(u', u) + \Big(1 + rac{1}{4n}\Big) d(u, v) \ &< rac{1}{4n} d(u', v) + \Big(1 + rac{1}{4n}\Big) (d(u', u) + d(u', v)) \ &= \Big(rac{1}{4n} + \Big(1 + rac{1}{4n}\Big)^2\Big) d(u', v) \leq \Big(1 + rac{1}{n}\Big) d(u', v) \;. \end{aligned}$$

(b) Let $\{U_i | i = 1, 2, \dots, k\}$ be a finite cover of $\operatorname{cl} G(X)$ with the property that corresponding to each U_i and for any positive integer n, there is an $f_i \in G$ such that for all $g \in G$, $u_i \in U_i$.

$$d(f_ig(u_i), f_ig(v)) \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right) d(u_i, v)$$
.

(Such a cover exists by (a) above and the compactness of $\operatorname{cl} G(x)$.) Let $f = f_1 \circ f_2 \circ \cdots \circ f_k$ then f is as desired. Indeed, if $u \in \operatorname{cl} G(x)$ then $u \in U_i$ for some $i \in 1, 2, \dots, k$. Let \hat{f}_i denote the product of k-1 members of $\{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$ obtained by deleting f_i . Then

$$egin{aligned} d(fg(u),\,fg(v)) &= d(f_i\widehat{f}_ig(u_i),\,f_i\widehat{f}_ig(v)) &\leq \Big(1+rac{1}{n}\Big) d(u_i,\,v) \ &= \Big(1+rac{1}{n}\Big) d(u,\,v) \;. \end{aligned}$$

3. Centers of orbits. In this section A will denote a nonempty closed convex subset of a Banach space X. Throughout, G(x) will

denote a precompact orbit under an ultimately nonexpansive semigroup of selfmappings of X.

In the proofs of the main results we shall make use of certain properties of Chebyshev centers of precompact orbits G(x).

By a Chebyshev center C_A of G(x) with respect to A we understand the subset of A consisting of points u such that the radius R(u) of the smallest closed ball about u containing G(x) is minimal.

Thus, if

$$R(u) = \sup \{ \| u - g(x) \| : g \in G \}$$

and

$$R_A = \inf \left\{ R(u) \colon u \in A \right\}$$

then

$$C_A = \{u \in A \colon R(u) = R_A\}.$$

The set C_A is obviously also the intersection of closed balls about points of G(x), each of radius R_A . Hence C_A is closed convex and bounded; it is nonempty if X is reflexive.

LEMMA 1. If G(x) is precompact, with $G(x) \neq \{x\}$, and $x \in X^{G}$, then cl G(x) and C_{A} are disjoint for any closed convex subset A of X.

Proof. Suppose not and let $u \in (\operatorname{cl} G(x)) \cap C_A$. Then $R(u) = R_A = \operatorname{diam} G(x)$ and since members of G act as isometries on $\operatorname{cl} G(x)$ it readily follows that $\operatorname{cl} G(x) \subset C_A$. This, in turn, implies that

$$R(w) = R_A = \operatorname{diam} G(x)$$

for all w in $\overline{\operatorname{co}} G(x)$, the closed convex hull of G(x); against the known property of compact convex sets K in a Banach space to contain a nondiametral point (i.e., a point z such that $\sup\{||z-w||: w \in K\} < \operatorname{diam} K$).

LEMMA 2. Let C_A be the Chebyshev center of a precompact orbit G(x), where $x \in X^c$, with respect to a closed convex set $A \subset X$. Suppose that G(c) is containd in A for some $c \in C_A$ and $\{f_n: n = 1, 2, \cdots\}$ has the property that

$$\|f_n g(c) - f_n g(u)\| \le \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right) \|c - u\|$$

for all $u \in \operatorname{cl} G(x)$ and some $g \in G$. If $f_n g(c) \to \overline{c}$ then $G(\overline{c}) \subset C_A$.

Proof. Since $\{f_ng(c)\} \subset G(c) \subset A$ it suffices to show that for any $h, k \in G$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$,

(5)
$$||k(\bar{c}) - h(x)|| < R_A + \varepsilon.$$

By continuity of k there is a positive integer n such that

$$\|k(\overline{c}) - f_n g k(c)\| < rac{arepsilon}{2}$$
;

and since h is an isometry on $\operatorname{cl} G(x)$ there is a $g_n \in G$ such that

$$\|f_n gg_n kh(x) - h(x)\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

Hence

$$egin{aligned} \|k(ar{c}) - h(x)\| &\leq \|k(ar{c}) - f_n g k(c)\| + \|f_n g k(c) - f_n g g_n k h(x)\| \ &+ \|f_n g g_n k h(x) - h(x)\| \ &< rac{arepsilon}{2} + \Big(1 + rac{1}{n}\Big)\|c - g_n h(x)\| + rac{arepsilon}{2} \ &\leq \Big(1 + rac{1}{n}\Big)R_{A} + arepsilon \ . \end{aligned}$$

This being true for all $n = 1, 2, \dots, (5)$ follows.

LEMMA 3. Let C_A be the Chebyshev center of precompact orbit G(x), where $x \in X^{\mathcal{G}}$, with respect to a closed convex set $A \subset X$ and suppose that $c \in C_A$. If G(c) is a precompact subset of A then there is a $\overline{c} \in \operatorname{cl} G(c)$ such that $G(\overline{c}) \subset C_A$.

Proof. By Lemma 1, $c \notin cl G(x)$. Hence, by Proposition 3(b), a sequence $\{f_ng(c)\}$ can be defined as in the preceding lemma. Since it is contained in G(c), it contains a subsequence which converges to some $\overline{c} \in cl G(x)$. Thus, after a suitable relabelling of the indices if required, the preceding lemma applies and the conclusion follows.

LEMMA 4. Let X be a strictly convex Banach space and suppose that, for some $x \in X$, G(x) is precompact. Then the Chebyshev center C of G(x) with respect to X consists of one point at most.

Proof. Suppose that $u, v \in C$ and let $w \in cl G(x)$ be such that

$$\left\|\frac{u+v}{2}-w\right\|=R_x\ .$$

Then $2R_x = ||u + v - 2w|| \le ||u - w|| + ||v - w|| \le 2R_x$. Hence $||u - w|| = ||v - w|| = R_x$. By strict convexity there is a λ such that $u - w = \lambda(v - w)$. If $R_x = 0$ there is nothing to prove (for then $G(x) = \{x\} = C$). Otherwise, we have $|\lambda| = 1$; and, again by $R_x \neq 0$, we must have $\lambda = 1$. So u = v, proving the assertion.

4. Main results.

THEOREM 1. Let X be a reflexive Banach space and G an ultimately nonexpansive commutative semigroup of selfmappings of X. If each orbit under G is precompact then G has a fixed point.

Proof. Let $C_1 = X$ and x_1 be an arbitrary point in $X^{\mathcal{G}}$. Suppose that for all ordinals $\mu < \lambda$, C_{μ} and x_{μ} are defined with C_{μ} closed convex and $G(x_{\mu}) \subset C_{\mu}$. If λ is not a limit ordinal, i.e., if an immediate predecessor $\lambda - 1$ exists, then $C_{\lambda-1}$ is defined and contains the precompact orbit $G(x_{\lambda-1})$ with $x_{\lambda-1} \in X^{\mathcal{G}}$. We define C_{λ} as the Chebyshev center of $G(x_{\lambda-1})$ and choose $x_{\lambda} \in C_{\lambda}$ such that $G(x_{\lambda}) \subset C_{\lambda}$. This is possible by Lemma 3, Proposition 3(b) and Proposition 2(b).

If λ is a limit ordinal then

$$C_{\lambda} = \bigcap \{ C_{\mu} : \mu < \lambda \} .$$

By reflexivity of $X, C_{\lambda} \neq \emptyset$. We proceed to show that an $x \in X^{d}$ exists such that $G(x) \subset C_{\lambda}$. Let $c \in C_{\lambda}$ be arbitrary. Then $c \in G_{\mu+1}$ for any $\mu < \lambda$; so c is in the Chebyshev center of $G(x_{\mu})$ and Lemma 3 applies to the effect that a $\overline{c} \in \operatorname{cl} G(c)$ exists such that $G(\overline{c}) \subset G_{\mu+1}$. Now $\operatorname{cl} G(\overline{c})$ is also contained in $C_{\mu+1}$, and by compactness it contains a $\overline{c}_{\mu+1} \in X^{d}$ such that $G(\overline{c}_{\mu+1}) \subset \operatorname{cl} G(\overline{c}) \subset C_{\mu+1}$. Let $c_{\lambda} \in \bigcap {\operatorname{cl} G(\overline{c}_{\mu}): \mu < \lambda} \subset C_{\lambda}$. Then $\operatorname{cl} G(c_{\lambda}) \subset C_{\lambda}$ and a $\overline{c}_{\lambda} \in \operatorname{cl} G(c_{\lambda})$ exists such that $\overline{c}_{\lambda} \in X^{d}$. Choose $x_{\lambda} = \overline{c}_{\lambda}$. Thus a transfinite sequence of pairs ${C_{\lambda}, x_{\lambda}}$ arises. Since $C_{\lambda+1} \subset C_{\lambda} \backslash G(x_{\lambda})$ whenever $G(x_{\lambda})$ is not a singleton, a λ^{*} (with card $\lambda^{*} \leq$ card 2^{X}) must exist such that

$$G(x_{\lambda^*}) = \{x_{\lambda^*}\},$$

so x_{λ^*} may serve as the desired fixed point.

THEOREM 2. Let X be a finite-dimensional Banach space and G a commutative ultimately nonexpansive semigroup of selfmappings of X. If G(y) is bounded for some $y \in X$ then G has a fixed point.

Proof. Since $\operatorname{cl} G(y)$ is compact it contains an $x \in X^{\mathfrak{q}}$. Let C_1 be the Chebyshev center of G(x) with respect to X and let $c_1 \in C_1$. As in Lemma 2, with A replaced by X, let $f_n \in G$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, be such that

$$\|f_n g(c_1) - f_n g(u)\| \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right) \|c_1 - u\|$$

for all $u \in \operatorname{cl} G(x)$ and some $g \in G$. (This is possible by Lemma 1 and Proposition 3(b).)

To apply Lemma 2 it suffices to show that a subsequence $\{f_{n,g}(c_{i})\}$

converges and to this end it is enough to check that $\{f_n g(c_1)\}$ is bounded. Now

$$egin{aligned} &\|f_ng(c_1)-f_mg(c_1)\|\ &\leq \|f_ng(c_1)-f_ngh(x)\|+\|f_ngh(x)-f_mgh(x)\|+\|f_mgh(x)-f_mg(c_1)\|\ &\leq \Big(1+rac{1}{n}\Big)\|c_1-h(x)\|+ ext{diam}\ G(x)+\Big(1+rac{1}{m}\Big)\|c_1-h(x)\|\ &<\infty \ . \end{aligned}$$

Here h is an arbitrary member of G. Suppose then that \overline{c}_1 is a cluster point of $\{f_ng(c_1)\}$. Then, by Lemma 2, $G(\overline{c}_1) \subset C_1$.

Since C_1 is closed convex and bounded the center C_2 of $G(\bar{e}_1)$ with respect to C_1 exists and replacing y and X by \bar{e}_1 and C_1 respectively the preceding argument applies again to the effect that a \bar{e}_2 exists such that $G(\bar{e}_2) \subset C_2$. Proceeding inductively a sequence of centers $\{C_n\}$ and orbits $G(\bar{e}_n)$ lying within them arises, and it suffices to show that a positive integer $n \leq \dim X$ exists such that $C_n = C_{n+1}$. To this end we note that C_1 clearly cannot contain an open subset and that, similarly C_{k+1} cannot obtain any subset which is relatively open with respect to C_k (unless $C_{k+1} = C_k$). Restated, either $C_{k+1} = C_k$ or dim sp $C_{k+1} < \dim \operatorname{sp} C_k$ where sp S denotes the affine span of the set $S \subset X$ and dim denotes the dimension.

Clearly then after $n \leq \dim X$ steps we must arrive at a point ξ such that $G(\xi) = \xi$.

THEOREM 3. Let G be an ultimately nonexpansive commutative semigroup of selfmappings of a reflexive locally uniformly convex Banach space X and suppose that G(x) is precompact for some $x \in X^{\sigma}$. Then the Chebyshev center of G(x) is a singleton c and $G(c) = \{c\}$; i.e., c is fixed under G.

Proof. Since X is strictly convex the Chebyshev center is a singleton c, by Lemma 4. To show that $G(c) = \{c\}$ let $f_n \in G$ be such that, for all $u \in cl G(x)$,

$$\|f_n g(u) - f_n g(c)\| \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right) \|u - c\|$$

for a fixed $g \in G$ and all $n = 1, 2, \cdots$ (cf. Proposition 2(b)). It suffices to show that $f_n g(c) \rightarrow c$.

Now

$$\|f_ng(c) - u\| \le \|f_ng(c) - f_ngh(x)\| + \|f_ngh(x) - h(x)\| + \|h(x) - u\|.$$

Choosing $g_n h \in G$ so that $\|h(x) - u\| < (1/2n)R_n$ and $\|f_ngh(x) - h(x)\| < 1/2n$

Choosing g, $h \in G$ so that $||h(x) - u|| < (1/2n)R_x$ and $||f_ngh(x) - h(x)|| < (1/2n)R_x$, we obtain

$$\|f_ng(c)-u\|\leq \left(1+rac{2}{n}
ight)R_x\;.$$

Hence

$$ig\|rac{f_n g(c) + c}{2} - uig\| \leq rac{1}{2} \|f_n g(c) - u\| + rac{1}{2} \|c - u\| \ \leq rac{1}{2} \Big(1 + rac{2}{n} \Big) R_x + rac{1}{2} \|c - u\| \; .$$

Replacing u by $u_n \in \operatorname{cl} G(x)$ such that

$$\|\boldsymbol{z}_n-\boldsymbol{u}_n\|=R(\boldsymbol{z}_n)$$

where $z_n = (f_n g(c) + c)/2$ then, whenever $z_n \neq c$,

$$egin{aligned} R_{_X} < R(z_n) & \leq rac{1}{2} \Big(1 + rac{2}{n} \Big) R_{_X} + rac{1}{2} \| \, c - u_n \| \ & \leq \Big(1 + rac{1}{n} \Big) R_{_X} \; . \end{aligned}$$

It clearly follows that

$$||c-u_n|| \longrightarrow R_x$$
.

Let $u_{n_i} \rightarrow \bar{u} \in \operatorname{cl} G(x)$ for some increasing sequence $\{n_i\}$. Then,

 $\|c-\bar{u}\|=R_x$

and $||f_n g(c) - \bar{u}|| \to R_x$. Set $w_n = (f_n g(c) - \bar{u})(1 - R_x/||f_n g(c) - \bar{u}||)$. Then $w_n \to 0$ and

$$\|f_ng(c)-ar{u}-w_n\|=R_{\scriptscriptstyle X}$$

for all $n = 1, 2, \cdots$. Finally,

$$egin{aligned} &rac{1}{R_x} \Big\| rac{(c-ar{u})+(f_ng(c)-ar{u}-w_n)}{2} \Big\| \ &=rac{1}{R_x} \Big\| \Big(rac{c+f_ng(c)}{2}-ar{u}\Big) -rac{w_n}{2} \Big\| \longrightarrow 1 \;. \end{aligned}$$

Since a Banach space is locally uniformly convex if, and only if, whenever members y_n , x in it are such that $||y_n|| = ||x|| = 1$ and $||y_n + x|| \rightarrow 2$ then $y_n \rightarrow x$, we conclude that

$$f_n g(c) - ar u - w_n \longrightarrow c - ar u$$

implying

$$f_n g(c) \longrightarrow c$$
.

References

1. R. E. De Marr, Common fixed points for commuting contraction mappings, Pacific J. Math., **13** (1963), 1139-1141.

2. M. Edelstein, On nonexpansive mappings of Banach spaces, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., **60** (1964), 439-447.

3. _____, Fixed point theorems in uniformly convex Banach spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 44 (1974), 369-374.

4. K. Goebel and W. A. Kirk, A fixed point theorem for asymptotically-nonexpansive mappings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **35**, No. 1 (1972), 171-174.

5. R. D. Holmes and P. P. Narayanaswami, On asymptotically-nonexpansive semigroups of mappings, Canad. Math. Bull., 13 (1970), 209-214.

6. R. D. Holmes and Anthony T. Lau, Asymptotically nonexpansive actions of topological semigroup and fixed points, J. London Math. Soc., (2) 5 (1972), 330-336.

7. M. T. Kiang, Fixed point theorems for certain classes of semigroups of mappings, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **189** (1974), 63-76.

8. _____, A fixed point theorem for eventually nonexpansive semigroups of mappings, J. Math. Analy. and Appl., **56** (1976), 567-569.

9. T. C. Lim, A fixed point theorem for families of nonexpansive mappings, Pacific J. Math., 53 (1974), 487-493.

10. T. Mitchell, Fixed points of reversible semigroups of nonexpansive mappings, Kodai Math. Sem. Rep., **22** (1970), 322-323.

Received December 12, 1980. The second author's research was supported by NSERC Grant no. A3999 and A8756.

Dalhousie University Halifax, N. S., Canada and Saint Mary's University Halifax, N. S., Canada

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

DONALD BABBITT (Managing Editor) University of California Los Angeles, California 90024

Hugo Rossi

University of Utah Salt Lake City, UT 84112

C. C. MOORE and ARTHUR AGUS University of California Berkeley, CA 94720 J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007

R. FINN and J. MILGRAM Stanford University Stanford, California 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. ARNES E. F. BECKENBACH B. H. NEUMANN F. WOLF K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF OREGON UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

Printed in Japan by International Academic Printing Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan

Pacific Journal of MathematicsVol. 101, No. 1November, 1982

Natália Bebiano, On the evaluation of permanents
David Borwein and Bruce Brigham Watson, Tauberian theorems between
the logarithmic and Abel-type summability methods11
Leo George Chouinard, II, Hermite semigroup rings
Kun-Jen Chung, Remarks on nonlinear contractions
Lawrence Jay Corwin, Representations of division algebras over local
fields. II
Mahlon M. Day, Left thick to left lumpy—a guided tour
M. Edelstein and Mo Tak Kiang, On ultimately nonexpansive
semigroups
Mary Rodriguez Embry, Semigroups of quasinormal operators 103
William Goldman and Morris William Hirsch, Polynomial forms on
affine manifolds
S. Janakiraman and T. Soundararajan, Totally bounded group topologies
and closed subgroups 123
John Rowlay Martin, Lex Gerard Oversteegen and Edward D.
Tymchatyn, Fixed point set of products and cones
Jan van Mill, A homogeneous Eberlein compact space which is not
Steven Paul Plotnick, Embedding homology 3-spheres in S ⁵
Norbert Riedel, Classification of the C^* -algebras associated with minimal
Totations
of irreducible finite dimensional representations of simple split Lie
algebras over fields of 0 characteristic
James F. Simpson Dilations on locally convex spaces
Paolo M Soardi Schauder bases and fixed points of nonexpansive
mappings 193
Yoshio Tanaka . Point-countable <i>k</i> -systems and products of <i>k</i> -spaces 199
Fausto A. Toranzos. The points of local nonconvexity of starshaped sets 209
Lorenzo Traldi. The determinantal ideals of link modules 1 215
P. C. Trombi . Invariant harmonic analysis on split rank one groups with
applications
Shinji Yamashita, Nonnormal Blaschke quotients