

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**AN EXTENSION OF SION'S MINIMAX THEOREM WITH AN  
APPLICATION TO A METHOD FOR CONSTRAINED GAMES**

JOACHIM GEORG HARTUNG

AN EXTENSION OF SION'S MINIMAX THEOREM  
WITH AN APPLICATION TO A METHOD  
FOR CONSTRAINED GAMES

JOACHIM HARTUNG

**Sion's minimax theorem is extended for noncompact sets,  
and for certain two-person zero-sum games on constrained  
sets a sequential unconstrained solution method is given.**

I. Introduction. It is an important question in two-person zero-sum games, whether there exists a saddle point strategy, and if so, how it is to be computed. Existence theorems are known almost only for the case that the sets of strategies are compact. Often these sets are given by numerically complicated conditions and because of the necessity to consider the boundary of the constraint region you cannot apply analytical methods.

First we extend Sion's minimax theorem [7] for noncompact sets. With it we then give a solution method for a frequently occurring type of games over constrained sets. This method approximates a solution from the interior of the admissible sets and makes it possible to apply analytical methods like those for the whole spaces. It can be regarded as an extension of the widely used Interior Penalty Method of Nonlinear Programming to saddle point problems.

II. A minimax theorem for noncompact sets. Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be not empty subsets of real linear topological Hausdorff spaces  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $\mathcal{Y}$ , respectively, and let  $\mathbf{R}$  denote the real numbers.

DEFINITION 1.

(a) A function  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is called

(i) *inf-compact* if  $\{x | x \in X, f(x) \leq a\}$ ,  $a \in \mathbf{R}$ , is compact,

(ii) *sup-compact* if  $\{x | x \in X, f(x) \geq a\}$ ,  $a \in \mathbf{R}$ , is compact.

(b) A function  $f: X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is called  $(x_1, y_1)$ -*sup inf-compact*, for a fixed  $(x_1, y_1) \in X \times Y$ , if  $f(x_1, \cdot)$  is inf-compact and  $f(\cdot, y_1)$  is sup-compact.

If  $f: X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is u.s.c.-l.s.c., i.e.,  $f(x, y)$  is upper semi-continuous in  $x$  for each  $y \in Y$  and lower semi-continuous in  $y$  for each  $x \in X$ , and  $X$  and  $Y$  are compact sets, then  $f(x, y)$  is  $(x_1, y_1)$ -sup inf-compact for all  $(x_1, y_1) \in X \times Y$ . Thus the following theorem generalizes Theorem 3.4 of Sion [7].

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be convex sets, and  $f: X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  an*

u.s.c.-l.s.c. and quasi-concave-convex function, that is  $(x_1, y_1)$ -sup inf-compact for a fixed  $(x_1, y_1) \in X \times Y$ . Then we have

$$\max_{x \in X} \min_{y \in Y} f(x, y) = \min_{y \in Y} \max_{x \in X} f(x, y).$$

*Proof.* Let  $(x_1, y_1) \in X \times Y$  with the property given above be fixed.

(i)  $L_1 := \{x | x \in X, f(x, y_1) \geq f(x_1, y_1)\}$  is compact,  $f(x, y_1)$  is u.s.c. in  $x$  and  $\sup_{x \in X} f(x, y_1) = \sup_{x \in L_1} f(x, y_1)$ . Since an u.s.c. function on a compact set takes its maximum, there exists  $\varphi(y_1) := \max_{x \in X} f(x, y_1)$ . Now, for  $a \in \mathbf{R}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \{y | y \in Y, \sup_{x \in X} f(x, y) \leq a\} \\ &= \bigcap_{x \in X} \{y | y \in Y, f(x, y) \leq a\} \subset \{y | y \in Y, f(x_1, y) \leq a\}. \end{aligned}$$

$\{y | y \in Y, f(x, y) \leq a\}$ ,  $x \in X$ , is closed because  $f(x, y)$  is l.s.c. in  $y$  for each  $x \in X$ ;  $\{y | y \in Y, f(x_1, y) \leq a\}$  is compact because  $f(x_1, y)$  is inf-compact in  $y$ , and thus with  $\varphi(y) := \sup_{x \in X} f(x, y)$  the level sets  $\{y | y \in Y, \varphi(y) \leq a\}$  are compact.  $M_1 := \{y | y \in Y, \varphi(y) \leq \varphi(y_1)\}$  is compact and not empty because

$$\varphi(y_1) = f(x', y_1), \text{ for some } x' \in X.$$

$\inf_{y \in Y} \varphi(y) = \inf_{y \in M_1} \varphi(y)$ ,  $\varphi(y)$  is l.s.c., and since a l.s.c. function on a compact set takes its minimum, there exists  $\min_{y \in Y} \sup_{x \in X} f(x, y)$ . Equivalently there exists  $\max_{x \in X} \inf_{y \in Y} f(x, y)$ .

(ii) Suppose

$$(1) \quad \sup_{x \in X} \inf_{y \in Y} f(x, y) < k < \inf_{y \in Y} \sup_{x \in X} f(x, y).$$

For all  $x \in X$  the sets

$$B_x := \{y | y \in Y, f(x, y) \leq k\}$$

are closed, and  $B_{x_1}$  is compact. From (1) it follows that the family of the complements  $\{B_x^c\}_{x \in X}$  is an open covering of  $Y$ , for if this were wrong, we would have a  $y_0 \in Y$  with  $f(x, y_0) \leq k$  for all  $x \in X$  and thus  $\inf_{y \in Y} \sup_{x \in X} f(x, y) \leq \sup_{x \in X} f(x, y_0) \leq k$ , which contradicts (1). Further we have

$$(2) \quad Y \subset B_{x_1} \cup B_{x_1}^c.$$

Since the family  $\{B_x^c\}_{x \in X}$  covers  $Y$ , it covers also  $B_{x_1}$ .  $B_{x_1}$  is compact and thus covered by a finite covering

$$\{B_{x_i}^c, \dots, B_{x_m}^c\}, \quad x_i \in X, \quad i = 2, \dots, m.$$

With (2) this means  $\{B_{x_1}^c, B_{x_2}^c, \dots, B_{x_m}^c\}$  covers  $Y$ . We have found a

finite set  $X_1 = \{x_1, \dots, x_m\} \subset X$  such that for each  $y \in Y$  there exists an  $x \in X_1$  with  $f(x, y) > k$ .

Similarly there exists a finite set  $Y_1 \subset Y$  such that for each  $x \in X$  there exists a  $y \in Y_1$  with  $f(x, y) < k$ .

Following now the second part of Sion's proof of Theorem 3.4 in [7], we come to a contradiction to (1), and we have

$$(3) \quad \sup_{x \in X} \inf_{y \in Y} f(x, y) = \inf_{y \in Y} \sup_{x \in X} f(x, y) .$$

(iii) Let  $\varphi(y) := \sup_{x \in X} f(x, y)$  and  $\psi(x) := \inf_{y \in Y} f(x, y)$ , then by (i) there exist  $x_0 \in X, y_0 \in Y$  such that

$$\varphi(y_0) = \inf_{y \in Y} \varphi(y) , \quad \psi(x_0) = \sup_{x \in X} \psi(x) .$$

By (3) we then get, for  $x \in X, y \in Y$ ,

$$(4) \quad f(x, y_0) \leq \sup_{x \in X} f(x, y_0) = \varphi(y_0) = \psi(x_0) = \inf_{y \in Y} f(x_0, y) \leq f(x_0, y) .$$

Putting in (4)  $x = x_0, y = y_0$ , we get

$$f(x_0, y_0) = \max_{x \in X} f(x, y_0) = \min_{y \in Y} f(x_0, y) ,$$

and thus

$$\min_{y \in Y} \max_{x \in X} f(x, y) = \max_{x \in X} \min_{y \in Y} f(x, y) .$$

**III. A sequential unconstrained solution method.** Let  $A$  and  $B$  be closed convex and not empty subsets of real linear topological Hausdorff spaces  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $\mathcal{Y}$ , respectively, and  $f: A \times B \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  may be a payoff function. Then we consider the two-person zero-sum game  $(A, B, f)$ . A strategy may be called optimal if it is a saddle point component. Let  $\text{int } A$  denote the interior,  $\text{cl } A$  the closure and  $\text{bd } A$  the boundary of  $A$ . We assume that  $\text{int } A \neq \emptyset, \text{int } B \neq \emptyset$ , such that all points of  $A$  and  $B$  may be reached from the interior:

$$\text{cl int } A = A , \quad \text{cl int } B = B .$$

**DEFINITION 2.** A function  $g: \text{int } A \times \text{int } B \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is called a *barrier function* of  $A \times B$ , if

(i)  $g(x, y)$  is bounded above in  $x$  for each  $y \in \text{int } B$ , and bounded below in  $y$  for each  $x \in \text{int } A$ .

(ii)  $g(x, y)$  is u.s.c.-l.s.c. on  $(\text{int } A \times \text{int } B)$ , i.e., the level sets

$$\{y \mid y \in \text{int } B, g(x, y) \leq a\} , \quad (x \in \text{int } A, a \in \mathbf{R}) ,$$

and

$$\{x \mid x \in \text{int } A, g(x, y) \geq a\}, \quad (y \in \text{int } B, a \in \mathbf{R}),$$

are closed.

REMARK. If  $A$  and  $B$  are compact, and if  $g(x, y)$  is u.s.c.-l.s.c. on  $(\text{int } A \times \text{int } B)$ , the boundedness condition is fulfilled: Let  $x' \in \text{int } A$  be fixed, then the level sets  $L_y := \{x \mid x \in \text{int } A, g(x, y) \geq g(x', y)\}$ , ( $y \in \text{int } B$ ), are not empty, closed and contained in  $\text{int } A$ , and thus compact, when  $A$  is compact. Since

$$\sup_{x \in \text{int } A} g(x, y) = \sup_{x \in L_y} g(x, y) = \max_{x \in L_y} g(x, y),$$

there exists  $\max_{x \in \text{int } A} g(x, y)$ , for each  $y \in \text{int } B$ .

Now let  $g(x, y)$  be a barrier function of  $A \times B$ , then for a positive real sequence  $\{r_n\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \subset \mathbf{R}$ , with  $r_n \rightarrow +0$  for  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we define on  $(\text{int } A \times \text{int } B)$  the family of payoffs

$$p_n(x, y) := f(x, y) + r_n g(x, y), \quad (n \in \mathbf{N}).$$

THEOREM 2. Let  $p_n(x, y)$  be quasi-concave-convex on  $(\text{int } A \times \text{int } B)$ , and  $f(x, y)$  be continuous in each variable, quasi-concave-convex, bounded above in  $x$  for each  $y \in B$ , bounded below in  $y$  for each  $x \in A$ , and  $(x_0, y_0)$ -sup inf-compact on  $(A \times B)$ , for a fixed  $(x_0, y_0) \in (\text{int } A \times \text{int } B)$ . Then we have:

(i) The game  $(\text{int } A, \text{int } B, p_n(x, y))$ ,  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , has optimal strategies  $x_n$  and  $y_n$ .

(ii)  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$  and  $\{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$  have cluster points, and these points are optimal strategies for the game  $(A, B, f)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(x_0, y_0) \in (\text{int } A \times \text{int } B)$  with the property given above be fixed.

(i) The function  $p_n(x, y)$ , ( $n \in \mathbf{N}$ ) satisfies the conditions of Theorem 1: By our assumptions on the functions  $f$  and  $g$  we have the obvious fact that  $p_n = f + r_n g$  is u.s.c.-l.s.c. on  $(\text{int } A \times \text{int } B)$ ; cf. Definition 2.

For  $x = x_0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \{y \mid y \in \text{int } B, p_n(x_0, y) \leq a\} \\ & \subset \{y \mid y \in B, f(x_0, y) \leq a - r_n \cdot \inf_{y \in \text{int } B} g(x_0, y)\} =: P_{x_0}^a. \end{aligned}$$

$P_{x_0}^a$  is compact because  $f(x_0, y)$  is inf-compact in  $y$ . So  $p_n(x_0, \cdot)$  is inf-compact. Similarly it follows that  $p_n(\cdot, y_0)$  is sup-compact.

From Theorem 1 we get the existence of a saddle point  $(x_n, y_n)$  of  $p_n$  over  $(\text{int } A \times \text{int } B)$ .

(ii) Denote

$$v_n := p_n(x_n, y_n) = \text{val}(\text{int } A, \text{int } B, p_n(x, y)), \quad (n \in N),$$

$$v_0 := \text{val}(A, B, f) = f(x', y'), \text{ for a saddle point } (x', y') \text{ of } f \text{ on } A \times B, \text{ which exists by Theorem 1.}$$

We have

$$(1) \quad f(x_n, y) + r_n g(x_n, y) \geq v_n, \quad \text{for each } y \in \text{int } B,$$

$$(2) \quad f(x, y_n) + r_n g(x, y_n) \leq v_n, \quad \text{for each } x \in \text{int } A,$$

$$f(x', y) \geq v_0, \quad f(x, y') \leq v_0, \quad \text{for each } x \in A \text{ and } y \in B.$$

For an arbitrary but fixed real  $\delta > 0$  let  $x_\delta \in \text{int } A$  and  $y_\delta \in \text{int } B$  be  $\delta$ -optimal strategies in the game  $(A, B, f)$ :

$$(3) \quad f(x_\delta, y) \geq v_0 - \delta, \quad f(x, y_\delta) \leq v_0 + \delta,$$

for each  $x \in A, y \in B$ .

From (1), (2) and (3) we get

$$[f(x_\delta, y_n) + r_n g(x_\delta, y_n)] - f(x_\delta, y_n) - \delta$$

$$\leq v_n - v_0 \leq [f(x_n, y_\delta) + r_n g(x_n, y_\delta)] - f(x_n, y_\delta) + \delta,$$

and

$$r_n g(x_\delta, y_n) - \delta \leq v_n - v_0 \leq r_n g(x_n, y_\delta) + \delta.$$

The boundedness of  $g$  then implies

$$r_n \cdot \inf_{y \in \text{int } B} g(x_\delta, y) - \delta \leq v_n - v_0 \leq r_n \cdot \sup_{x \in \text{int } A} g(x, y_\delta) + \delta,$$

and for  $r_n \rightarrow +0, (n \rightarrow \infty)$ ,

$$-\delta \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (v_n - v_0) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (v_n - v_0) \leq \delta.$$

Since  $\delta > 0$  is arbitrarily chosen, that gives

$$(4) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_n = v_0.$$

From (1) we get for each  $y \in \text{int } B$

$$(5) \quad f(x_n, y) \geq v_n - r_n g(x_n, y)$$

$$\geq v_n - r_n \cdot \sup_{x \in \text{int } A} g(x, y),$$

and since  $v_n \rightarrow v_0, r_n \rightarrow 0, (n \rightarrow \infty)$ , there exists a constant  $c$  independent of  $n$  such that

$$f(x_n, y_0) \geq c, \quad \text{for all } n \in N.$$

$f(\cdot, y_0)$  is sup-compact, so  $\{x_n\}_{n \in N}$  is contained in a compact set.

Hence there exists a subset  $\{x_{n(\alpha)}\}$  of the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  which converges to an  $\hat{x} \in A$ . With (5) and (4) we get for each  $y \in \text{int } B$  by  $x_{n(\alpha)} \rightarrow \hat{x}$

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} f(\hat{x}, y) &= \lim f(x_{n(\alpha)}, y) \\ &\geq \lim (v_{n(\alpha)} - r_{n(\alpha)} \cdot \sup_{x \in \text{int } A} g(x, y)) \\ &\geq \lim v_{n(\alpha)} = v_0 . \end{aligned}$$

$f(\hat{x}, y)$  is continuous in  $y$  and thus (6) provides

$$f(\hat{x}, y) \geq v_0, \quad \text{for all } y \in B ;$$

i.e.,  $\hat{x}$  is an optimal strategy for  $(A, B, f)$ .

**COROLLARY.** *For every sequence  $\{r_n\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \subset \mathbf{R}$ ,  $r_n \rightarrow +0$ ,  $(n \rightarrow \infty)$ , the values  $v_n = \text{val}(\text{int } A, \text{int } B, f + r_n g)$  converge to the value  $v_0 = \text{val}(A, B, f)$ , and if  $(A, B, f)$  has a unique solution, the whole sequences of corresponding optimal strategies  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ ,  $\{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$  converge to the solution of  $(A, B, f)$ .*

The *solution method* is now: Construct a barrier function  $g$  of  $A \times B$ , choose a positive nullsequence  $\{r_n\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \subset \mathbf{R}$ , build  $p_n = f + r_n g$ , find an optimal strategy  $x_n$  of  $(\text{int } A, \text{int } B, p_n(x, y))$  and take a cluster point of  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$  as an optimal strategy for  $(A, B, f)$ , on the premises that the conditions of Theorem 2 are satisfied.

*An example:* Let  $A$  and  $B$  be given by

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \{x \in \mathcal{X} \mid G_i(x) \leq 0, i = 1, \dots, m\}, \\ B &= \{y \in \mathcal{Y} \mid H_j(y) \leq 0, j = 1, \dots, n\}, \end{aligned}$$

with some continuous convex functions

$$\begin{aligned} G_i: \mathcal{X} &\longrightarrow \mathbf{R}, \quad (i = 1, \dots, m), \\ H_j: \mathcal{Y} &\longrightarrow \mathbf{R}, \quad (j = 1, \dots, n). \end{aligned}$$

Under the hypothesis that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{int } A &= \{x \in \mathcal{X} \mid G_i(x) < 0, i = 1, \dots, m\} \neq \emptyset \\ \text{int } B &= \{y \in \mathcal{Y} \mid H_j(y) < 0, j = 1, \dots, n\} \neq \emptyset, \end{aligned}$$

we can take as barrier functions of  $A \times B$  for example:

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(x, y) &:= \sum_{i=1}^m \lg(-\max[G_i(x), -1]) - \sum_{j=1}^n \lg(-\max[H_j(y), -1]), \\ g_2(x, y) &:= \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{G_i(x)} - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{H_j(y)}. \end{aligned}$$

Both are well defined on  $\text{int } A \times \text{int } B$ .

$$h_{1j}(y) := -\lg(-\max[H_j(y), -1]) \quad \text{and} \quad h_{2j}(y) := -\frac{1}{H_j(y)}$$

are convex, bounded below by 0 and l.s.c. on  $\text{int } B$ ; so  $\sum_{j=1}^n h_{kj}(y)$  has these properties, too, ( $k = 1, 2$ ). If  $B$  is compact, we can take also  $h_{1'j}(y) := -\lg(-H_j(y))$ , which then gives the mostly used barrier functions in the Interior Penalty Method of Nonlinear Programming, tracing back to Frisch [5] and Carroll [2], respectively.

**IV. Computational aspects.** In the differentiable case, a necessary and for a (strictly quasi-) concave-convex function  $h(x, y)$  sufficient condition in order that  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$  is a saddle point of  $h(x, y)$  over open (convex) sets, is

$$(*) \quad \frac{\partial h(\bar{x}, \bar{y})}{\partial x} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial h(\bar{x}, \bar{y})}{\partial y} = 0.$$

(If the sets include their boundaries, the condition is much more complicated (cf. [3]).) In our method at each stage ( $r_n$ ) we have to solve such a system (\*) for  $p_n(x, y)$ .

This can be done by fixpoint methods, like the Newton Method or its modifications.

We can also take the gradient methods of [1] or [3] to solve  $(\text{int } A, \text{int } B, p_n)$  directly.

All the methods need a starting point in the interior of the regions. Mostly such a point is known in advance, but if not, it can be computed by a method given in [4], p. 195. Then none of the algorithms mentioned above leaves the interior of the sets  $A$  and  $B$  because of the boundary properties of the barrier function. Thus the algorithms work as on the whole spaces so that it is justified to call our method a (sequential) unconstrained solution method.

*Acknowledgment.* The author would like to thank the referee for helpful suggestions.

#### REFERENCES

1. K. J. Arrow, L. Hurwicz and H. Uzawa, *Studies in Linear and Non-Linear Programming, Part II*, Stanford University Press, Stanford (California), 1958.
2. C. W. Carroll, *The created response surface technique for optimizing non-linear restrained systems*, Oper. Res., **9** 2, (1961), 169-184.
3. V. F. Dem'janov, *Successive approximations for finding saddle points*, Soviet Math. Dokl., **8** 6, (1967), 1350-1353.
4. A. V. Fiacco and G. P. McCormick, *Non-Linear Programming: Sequential Unconstrained Minimization Techniques*, Wiley, New York, 1968.

5. K. R. Frisch, *The logarithmic potential method of convex programming*, Memorandum of May 13, 1955, University Institute of Economics, Oslo.
6. F. A. Lootsma, *A Survey of Methods for Solving Constrained Minimization Problems via Unconstrained Minimization*, in: Numerical Methods for Non-Linear Optimization, ed. F. A. Lootsma, Academic Press, London/New York 1972, 313-347.
7. M. Sion, *On General Minimax Theorems*, Pacific J. Math., **8** (1958), 171-176.

Received January 21, 1980 and in revised form September 23, 1981.

UNIVERSITÄT DORTMUND  
POSTFACH 50 05 00  
D-4600 DORTMUND 50  
WEST GERMANY

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

## EDITORS

DONALD BABBITT (Managing Editor)

University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90024

HUGO ROSSI

University of Utah  
Salt Lake City, UT 84112

C. C. MOORE and ARTHUR AGUS

University of California  
Berkeley, CA 94720

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics  
University of Southern California  
Los Angeles, CA 90007

R. FINN and J. MILGRAM

Stanford University  
Stanford, CA 94305

## ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. ARENS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA

CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO

NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY

OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF AAWAII

UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO

UNIVERSITY OF UTAH

WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

---

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies,

---

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Please propose a heading for the odd numbered pages of less than 35 characters. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. 39. Supply name and address of author to whom proofs should be sent. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints to each author are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

---

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966, Regular subscription rate: \$114.00 a year (6 Vol., 12 issues). Special rate: \$57.00 a year to individual members of supporting institution.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924, U.S.A. Old back numbers obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.).  
8-8, 3-chome, Takadanobaba, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 103, No. 2

April, 1982

<b>Alberto Alesina and Leonede De Michele</b> , A dichotomy for a class of positive definite functions .....	251
<b>Kahtan Alzubaidy</b> , Rank <sub>2</sub> $p$ -groups, $p > 3$ , and Chern classes .....	259
<b>James Arney and Edward A. Bender</b> , Random mappings with constraints on coalescence and number of origins .....	269
<b>Bruce C. Berndt</b> , An arithmetic Poisson formula .....	295
<b>Julius Rubin Blum and J. I. Reich</b> , Pointwise ergodic theorems in l.c.a. groups .....	301
<b>Jonathan Borwein</b> , A note on $\varepsilon$ -subgradients and maximal monotonicity .....	307
<b>Andrew Michael Brunner, Edward James Mayland, Jr. and Jonathan Simon</b> , Knot groups in $S^4$ with nontrivial homology .....	315
<b>Luis A. Caffarelli, Avner Friedman and Alessandro Torelli</b> , The two-obstacle problem for the biharmonic operator .....	325
<b>Aleksander Całka</b> , On local isometries of finitely compact metric spaces .....	337
<b>William S. Cohn</b> , Carleson measures for functions orthogonal to invariant subspaces .....	347
<b>Roger Fenn and Denis Karmen Sjerve</b> , Duality and cohomology for one-relator groups .....	365
<b>Gen Hua Shi</b> , On the least number of fixed points for infinite complexes .....	377
<b>George Golightly</b> , Shadow and inverse-shadow inner products for a class of linear transformations .....	389
<b>Joachim Georg Hartung</b> , An extension of Sion's minimax theorem with an application to a method for constrained games .....	401
<b>Vikram Jha and Michael Joseph Kallaher</b> , On the Lorimer-Rahilly and Johnson-Walker translation planes .....	409
<b>Kenneth Richard Johnson</b> , Unitary analogs of generalized Ramanujan sums .....	429
<b>Peter Dexter Johnson, Jr. and R. N. Mohapatra</b> , Best possible results in a class of inequalities .....	433
<b>Dieter Jungnickel and Sharad S. Sane</b> , On extensions of nets .....	437
<b>Johan Henricus Bernardus Kemperman and Morris Skibinsky</b> , On the characterization of an interesting property of the arcsin distribution .....	457
<b>Karl Andrew Kosler</b> , On hereditary rings and Noetherian $V$ -rings .....	467
<b>William A. Lampe</b> , Congruence lattices of algebras of fixed similarity type. II .....	475
<b>M. N. Mishra, N. N. Nayak and Swadeenananda Pattanayak</b> , Strong result for real zeros of random polynomials .....	509
<b>Sidney Allen Morris and Peter Robert Nickolas</b> , Locally invariant topologies on free groups .....	523
<b>Richard Cole Penney</b> , A Fourier transform theorem on nilmanifolds and nil-theta functions .....	539
<b>Andrei Shkalikov</b> , Estimates of meromorphic functions and summability theorems .....	569
<b>László Székelyhidi</b> , Note on exponential polynomials .....	583
<b>William Thomas Watkins</b> , Homeomorphic classification of certain inverse limit spaces with open bonding maps .....	589
<b>David G. Wright</b> , Countable decompositions of $E^n$ .....	603
<b>Takayuki Kawada</b> , Correction to: "Sample functions of Pólya processes" .....	611
<b>Z. A. Chanturia</b> , Errata: "On the absolute convergence of Fourier series of the classes $H^\omega \cap V[v]$ " .....	611