

Pacific Journal of Mathematics


HOMEOMORPHIC CLASSIFICATION OF CERTAIN INVERSE LIMIT SPACES WITH OPEN BONDING MAPS

WILLIAM THOMAS WATKINS

HOMEOMORPHIC CLASSIFICATION OF CERTAIN INVERSE LIMIT SPACES WITH OPEN BONDING MAPS

WILLIAM THOMAS WATKINS


Let $I = [0, 1]$. Let ${}^N f$ be the N th degree hat function from I to I . For example, ${}^2 f$, ${}^3 f$, and ${}^4 f$ are pictured below:



We are interested in classifying the spaces which are inverse limits of the unit interval using these bonding maps. In particular, for a fixed integer $N \geq 2$, we are interested in classifying (up to homeomorphism) the space D_N , which is $\lim_{\leftarrow} \{I, {}^N f\}$. The main result of this paper is:

THEOREM: D_N is homeomorphic to D_M if and only if M and N have the same prime factors.

Overview. Let $D_N = \lim_{\leftarrow} \{I, {}^N f\}$. These spaces are often called Knaster continua since D_2 is, in fact, the Knaster Bucket Handle:



Bellamy [1] and latter Oversteegen-Rogers [2] used D_6 to construct examples of tree-like continua without the fixed point property. It appears improbable that their techniques can be modified to construct a similar example from D_2 . This resurrects a question raised in a paper by J. W. Rogers, Jr.—Are there three topologically different D_N 's?


The answer, as previously stated is:

THEOREM. D_N is homeomorphic to D_M if and only if M and N have the same prime factors. (Allowing different bonding maps we will show there are precisely c topologically different Knaster type continua.)


Assuming they have the same prime factors we will demonstrate an inverse limit homeomorphism between the two.

The objective of this section will be to outline, without proofs, the steps in showing that D_2 and D_6 are not homeomorphic. Subsequent sections will provide the details of the proofs.

Consider the composant of D_2 and D_6 containing the end-point as parameterized below.



Consider the special basis about the point 0 in D_2 and D_6 .



Observe that the integer points in U_i is exactly the collection $\{2n2^i: n \text{ is a nonnegative integer}\}$. The integer points in V_i is exactly the collection $\{2n6^i: n \text{ is a nonnegative integer}\}$.

If there were a homeomorphism $h: D_2 \rightarrow D_6$ it would take the end-point-composant of D_2 onto the end-point-composant of D_6 in an order preserving manner. Furthermore we could construct the following infinite lattice of open sets.

$$\begin{array}{c}
 U_{i_0} \\
 \downarrow \\
 U_{i_1} \\
 \downarrow \\
 U_{i_2} \\
 \downarrow \\
 U_{i_3} \\
 \vdots
 \end{array}
 \begin{array}{c}
 \searrow \\
 \searrow \\
 \searrow \\
 \searrow \\
 \vdots
 \end{array}
 \begin{array}{c}
 h^{-1}(V_{j_0}) \\
 h^{-1}(V_{j_1}) \\
 h^{-1}(V_{j_2}) \\
 \vdots
 \end{array}$$

Where $h(U_{i_0}) \subset V_0$.

We need two definitions. Suppose $A = \{a_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$ and $B = \{b_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$ are two increasing sequences of nonnegative integers, then:

$A \supset B$ if and only if $a_0 = b_0 = 0$ and $b_i \in A$ for every i .

$A \prec B$ if and only if $A \supset B$ and $b_i = a_{k_i}$ for every i .

Now we construct, from our lattice of open sets, a lattice of sequences. First we get the chain:

$$\begin{array}{c} A_0 \\ | \\ A_1 \\ | \\ A_2 \\ | \\ \vdots \end{array}$$

where A_n is the collection of integers in U_{i_n} , and for every i there is an integer r_i so that $A_i \prec 2^{r_i} A_{i+1}$. Each A_i is an arithmetic sequence. Unfortunately, the integers in V_{j_0} may not be mapped to integers in U_{i_0} under h^{-1} . However, each integer in V_{j_0} will be mapped into some arc component of U_{i_0} and at most one integer is mapped into any arc component. Thus, using a "nearest integer function", this allows us to construct a lattice:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_0 & & B_0 \\ | & \searrow & | \\ A_1 & & B_1 \\ | & \searrow & | \\ A_2 & & B_2 \\ | & \searrow & | \\ A_3 & & \vdots \\ | & \searrow & \\ \vdots & & \end{array}$$


B_n is the subsequence of A_n obtained by picking those integers in U_{i_n} that are on the same arc component of U_{i_n} containing some $h^{-1}(m)$ where m is some integer point in V_{j_n} .

This lattice has the properties that for every i there exist r_i and s_i so that $A_i \prec 2^{r_i} A_{i+1}$, $B_i \prec 6^{s_i} B_{i+1}$ and $A_i \supset B_i$ and $B_i \supset A_{i+1}$.

At one time I had hoped to show that no such lattice exists. I have been unable to do this.

By picking some special but very natural chainings of D_2 and D_6 we can establish one more useful fact. We pick a nested sequence of chainings of $D_2 - \mathcal{A}_0 \succ \mathcal{A}_1 \succ \mathcal{A}_2 \succ \mathcal{A}_3 \succ \dots$ where $\mathcal{A}_i \succ \mathcal{A}_{i+1}$ means \mathcal{A}_{i+1} refines \mathcal{A}_i . Further, U_i will be the first link in \mathcal{A}_i . Similarly pick a nested sequence of chainings of $D_6 - \mathcal{B}_0 \succ \mathcal{B}_1 \succ \mathcal{B}_2 \succ \dots$.

We could then get an infinite lattice of chaining:



Use the first link in each chaining to construct the same lattice of sequences we had earlier. Knowledge about the chainings helps establish that B_1 must be an arithmetic sequence. (I don't know any way of insuring that B_0 is arithmetic.)

For any arithmetic sequence C let δC be the difference between two consecutive elements. Since B_1 is arithmetic and $B_1 \supset 6^{s_1} B_2$ we see $\delta B_2 = 6^{s_1} \delta B_1$. Since $B_2 \supset A_3$ there is some constant k so that $\delta A_3 = k \delta B_2 = k 6^{s_1} \delta B_1$. We know that δA_3 is some power of 2 and this is a contradiction.

NOTATION. We begin with a very particular and convenient description of the continua under consideration. Suppose N is a fixed positive integer. Let ${}^N f_i: [0, N^{i+1}] \rightarrow [0, N^i]$ be the "hat-function" such that ${}^N f_i(mN^i) = 0$ whenever m is even and ${}^N f_i(mN^i) = N^i$ whenever m is odd ($m = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N$) and it is linear in between.

DEFINITION. $D_N = \varprojlim \{[0, N^i], {}^N f_i\}$. That is, D_N is the inverse limit of the following sequence:

$$[0, 1] \xleftarrow{{}^N f_0} [0, N] \xleftarrow{{}^N f_1} [0, N^2] \xleftarrow{{}^N f_2} [0, N^3] \xleftarrow{{}^N f_3} \dots$$

With this "parameterization" of D_N we shall have a very nice correspondence between the nonnegative real line and the composant of D_N containing the end-point $\langle 0, 0, \dots \rangle$. We shall call this composant the $\bar{0}$ -composant of D_N . This composant has a particularly simple form—namely, it is

$$\{\bar{x} = \langle x_n \rangle \in D_N \mid \text{for some } k, x_k = x_{k+1} = x_{k+2} = x_{k+3} = \dots\}$$

that is the eventually constant elements of D_N . Given any non-negative real number x denote \bar{x} as the unique point on $\bar{0}$ -composant whose coordinates are eventually all x . An integer point \bar{n} is a point whose coordinates are eventually the integer n .

Define $\mu: \bar{0}\text{-composant} \times \bar{0}\text{-composant} \rightarrow R$, where R is the set of real numbers, by $\mu(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) = |x - y|$. In a sense this measures the arc length distance between \bar{x} and \bar{y} .


Define $\eta: \bar{0}\text{-composant} \rightarrow N$, where N is the set of nonnegative integers, by $\eta(\bar{x}) = [x + 1/2]$, where $[\]: R \rightarrow N$ is the greatest integer function. η is, in a sense, a nearest integer function. The effect of η is to find the integer point \bar{n} "closest" to \bar{x} as measured by μ and then $\eta(\bar{x}) = n$.

We wish to investigate the possibility of a homeomorphism $h: D_M \rightarrow D_N$. Some very specific chainings of D_M and D_N will be helpful in this investigation. Let $N_n = [0, N^n]$ and $M_n = [0, M^n]$ be the n th coordinate of D_N and D_M respectively. Then $\pi_n: D_M \rightarrow M_n$ is the projection of D_M onto M_n . (π_n will also be used to denote the projection $\pi_n: D_N \rightarrow N_n$. There should be no confusion when read in context, however.) Now define the special chainings of D_N and D_M :


$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{N}_n^m &= \pi_n^{-1}\{[0, 2^{1-m}), (2^{-m}, 3 \cdot 2^{-m}), \dots, \\ &\quad (N^n - 3 \cdot 2^{-m}, N^n - 2^{-m}), (N^n - 2 \cdot 2^{-m}, N^n)]\} \\ \mathcal{M}_n^m &= \pi_n^{-1}\{[0, 2^{1-m}), (2^{-m}, 3 \cdot 2^{-m}), \dots, \\ &\quad (M^n - 3 \cdot 2^{-m}, M^n - 2^{-m}), (M^n - 2 \cdot 2^{-m}, M^n)]\}.\end{aligned}$$

There are four significant properties of these chainings:


1. \mathcal{N}_i^j is refined by \mathcal{N}_m^n (denoted $\mathcal{N}_i^j \succ \mathcal{N}_m^n$) if and only if $j \leq n$ and $i \leq m$. Similarly for \mathcal{M}_m^n .
2. The first link of \mathcal{N}_m^{n+1} intersects only the first link of \mathcal{N}_m^n . In general, if $\mathcal{N}_i^j \succ \mathcal{N}_m^n$, $j \leq n$, then the first link of \mathcal{N}_m^n intersects only the first link of \mathcal{N}_i^j . Similarly for \mathcal{M}_m^n .




The gates in the 0th coordinate of D_2 corresponding to the super-script 2.




The gates in the 2th coordinate of D_2 corresponding to the super-script 2.



The 2_1^3 chaining of D_2



First link in 2_1^3 chaining $\bar{2}$
First link in the 2_2^3 chaining
First link in the 2_3^3 chaining



The first link of some 6_1^3 chaining

3. For all $i \geq 1$ the set of integer points in the first link of \mathcal{N}_i^j (resp. \mathcal{M}_i^j) is exactly $\{\bar{n} \mid n = k2N^i \text{ (resp. } n = k2M^i) \ k \in N\}$.

4. $\mu(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) < 1/4$ for any \bar{x} and \bar{y} on the same component in the first link of \mathcal{N}_i^4 or \mathcal{M}_i^4 .

Non-Homeomorphic D_N 's.

THEOREM 1. *If there exists a homeomorphism $h: D_M \rightarrow D_N$, then there exists an infinite sequence of chainings:*

$$\mathcal{M}_{i_0}^{j_0} > h^{-1}(\mathcal{N}_{n_0}^{m_0}) > \mathcal{M}_{i_0}^{j_0} > h^{-1}(\mathcal{N}_{n_1}^{m_1}) > \dots$$

If we denote \mathcal{V}_α as the first link of $\mathcal{N}_{n_\alpha}^{m_\alpha}$ and \mathcal{U}_α as the first link of $\mathcal{M}_{i_\alpha}^{j_\alpha}$, then the only link of $\mathcal{M}_{i_n}^{j_n}$ that intersects $h^{-1}(\mathcal{V}_n)$ is \mathcal{U}_n and the only link of $h^{-1}(\mathcal{N}_{n_i}^{m_i})$ that intersects \mathcal{U}_{i+1} is $h^{-1}(\mathcal{V}_i)$.

Further, \mathcal{U}_0 and $h(\mathcal{U}_0)$ are both so small that for any \bar{x} and \bar{y} , both on the same $\bar{0}$ -composant component of \mathcal{U}_0 , $\mu(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) < 1/4$ and $\mu(h(\bar{x}), h(\bar{y})) < 1/4$.

Proof of Theorem 1. Pick $\mathcal{M}_{i_0}^{j_0}$ so that $j_0 > 4$ and $h(\mathcal{U}_0)$ is a subset of the first link of \mathcal{N}_1^4 . Then $\mu(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) < 1/4$ and $\mu(h(\bar{x}), h(\bar{y})) < 1/4$ for any \bar{x} and \bar{y} in the same component of \mathcal{U}_0 .

Pick $\mathcal{N}_{n_0}^{m_0}$ so that $h^{-1}(\mathcal{V}_0)$ is contained in the first link of $\mathcal{M}_{i_0}^{j_0+1}$. Then \mathcal{U}_0 is the only link of $\mathcal{M}_{i_0}^{j_0}$ that intersects $h^{-1}(\mathcal{V}_0)$.

Pick $\mathcal{M}_{i_1}^{j_1}$ so that $h(\mathcal{U}_1)$ is contained in the first link of $\mathcal{N}_{n_0}^{m_0+1}$. Then $h^{-1}(\mathcal{V}_0)$ is the only link of $h^{-1}(\mathcal{N}_{n_0}^{m_0})$ that intersects \mathcal{U}_1 .

Continue this process indefinitely.

Define α_n to be the set of integer points in \mathcal{U}_n and β_i to be the set of integer points in \mathcal{V}_i . Then as noted earlier:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_n &= \{\bar{m} \mid m = k2M^{i_n}, k \text{ is a nonnegative integer}\} \\ \beta_i &= \{\bar{m} \mid m = k2N^{n_i}, k \text{ is a nonnegative integer}\}. \end{aligned}$$

DEFINITION. Given two increasing sequences of nonnegative integers $A = \{\alpha_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$ and $B = \{\beta_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$ we say $A \supset B$ if and only if conditions (a) and (b) hold.


- (a) for every $b_i \in B$, b_i is also an element of A .
- (b) $\alpha_0 = \beta_0$.

DEFINITION. $A \leq B$ if and only if $b_n = \alpha_{k_n}$ for every n .

Observe that for every $n \leq m$ there exists an r so that $\eta(\alpha_n) \leq \eta(\alpha_m)$ and for every $i \leq j$ there exists an s so that $\eta(\beta_i) \leq \eta(\beta_j)$.

DEFINITION. $A_n = \eta(\alpha_n)$; $B_n = \eta(h^{-1}(\beta_n))$.

THEOREM 2. *If there exists a homeomorphism $h: D_M \rightarrow D_N$, then there exists an infinite lattice of increasing sequences of nonnegative integers so that for every i and j $b_{1,i+1} - b_{1,i} = b_{1,j+1} - b_{1,j}$ and for every n there exists nonnegative integers r_n and s_n so that $A_n M^{r_n} A_{n+1}$ and $B_n N^{s_n} B_{n+1}$ and $B_n \supset A_{n+1}$ and $A_n \supset B_n$. The first element in each sequence is 0.*



Proof of Theorem 2. Let $A_n = \eta(\alpha_n)$. Since α_n is the integer points in $\mathcal{U}_n \in \mathcal{M}_{i_n}^{j_n}$ and α_{n+1} is the integer points in $\mathcal{U}_{n+1} \in \mathcal{M}_{i_{n+1}}^{j_{n+1}}$ where $j_{n+1} \geq j_n$, and $i_{n+1} \geq i_n$ there exists r_n so that $A_n M^{r_n} A_{n+1}$.

Step 1. $\eta \circ h^{-1}: \beta_n \rightarrow A_n$ is an injection. Hence $A_n \supset B_n = \eta(h^{-1}(\beta_n))$.

Proof of Step 1. Suppose $\bar{x} \in \beta_n$, $\bar{x} \neq \bar{y}$ and $\bar{y} \in \beta_n$ then $\mu(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \geq 1$. The only way $\eta(h^{-1}(\bar{x})) = \eta(h^{-1}(\bar{y}))$ is for $h^{-1}(\bar{x})$ and $h^{-1}(\bar{y})$ to be on the same component of \mathcal{U}_0 which implies \bar{x} and \bar{y} are in the same component of \mathcal{V}_n (hence on the same component of \mathcal{V}_0) but any two points on the same component of \mathcal{V}_0 have $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \leq 1/4$, a contradiction.

Step 2. $\eta(h^{-1}(\beta_n)) \supset A_{n+1}$.

Proof of Step 2. $h^{-1}(\beta_n) \subset h^{-1}(\mathcal{V}_n)$. More specifically, each $\bar{0}$ -composant component of $h^{-1}(\mathcal{V}_n)$ contains a unique element of $h^{-1}(\beta_n)$. $\mathcal{U}_{n+1} \subset h^{-1}(\mathcal{V}_n)$, so every $\bar{0}$ -composant component of \mathcal{U}_{n+1} is a subset of some $\bar{0}$ -composant component of $h^{-1}(\mathcal{V}_n)$. Hence, for every element $\bar{y} \in \alpha_{n+1}$ there is a unique $\bar{x} \in \beta_n$ so that $\bar{y} = \eta(h^{-1}(\bar{x}))$.

Step 3. $\beta_n N^{s_n} B_{n+1}$.


Proof of Step 3. We observed earlier that for every n there is some s_n so that $\eta(\beta_n) N^{s_n} \eta(\beta_{n+1})$. Since $\eta \circ h^{-1}: \beta_n \rightarrow A_n$ is a one to one order preserving map $B_n N^{s_n} B_{n+1}$.

Step 4. Let p and q be two consecutive elements of α_1 and J be the arc from p to q . If \mathcal{A} is a link of $\mathcal{M}_{i_1}^{j_1}$ other than the last link and \mathcal{B} is the last link of $\mathcal{M}_{i_1}^{j_1}$ then $J \cap \mathcal{B}$ has exactly one component and $J \cap \mathcal{A}$ has exactly two components. In particular $J \cap \mathcal{U}_1$ has exactly two components.

Proof of Step 4. This is simply the observation that the $\bar{0}$ -composant goes from the first link, through each link in order to the last. It then turns around and goes from the last link to the first in reverse order.


The following diagram may be helpful.

\mathcal{B} is the last link of $\mathcal{M}_{i_1}^{j_1}$
 \mathcal{U}_1 is the first link of $\mathcal{M}_{i_1}^{j_1}$
 \mathcal{U}_0 is the first link of $\mathcal{M}_{i_1}^{j_1}$.




Consider the continuous one to one, onto function f : nonnegative reals $\rightarrow \bar{0}$ -composant, so that $f(x) = \bar{x}$. Then


$f^{-1}(\mathcal{A})$ looks like:



$f^{-1}(\mathcal{B})$ looks like:



$f^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_1)$ looks like:



Step 5. The number of B_0 elements between any two consecutive A_1 elements is constant.

Proof of Step 5. Note that any maximal subchain of $\mathcal{M}_{i_1}^{j_1}$ contained in \mathcal{U}_0 has only one link containing integer points. Call this link \mathcal{U} . Step 2 implies $A_1 \subset B_0$, so that if there exists some $\bar{x} \in \beta_0$ and $\bar{y} \in \mathcal{U}_0 \cap \mathcal{U}$ so that $\eta(h^{-1}(\bar{x})) = \eta(\bar{y})$, then there is some $\bar{x}_1 \in \beta_0$ for every $\bar{y}_1 \in \mathcal{U}_0 \cap \mathcal{U}$ so that $\eta(h^{-1}(\bar{x}_1)) = \eta(\bar{y}_1)$.

We know how the arc connecting two consecutive elements of α_1 passes through the links of $\mathcal{M}_{i_1}^{j_1}$. If $\mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{M}_{i_1}^{j_1}$ and $\mathcal{U} \cap \beta_0 \neq \emptyset$ and \mathcal{U} is not an end link then any arc connecting two consecutive elements of α_1 passes through \mathcal{U} exactly twice. That is there are exactly two components of \mathcal{U} that are subsets of the arc.

If \mathcal{U} is an end link then there is only one component of \mathcal{U} that is a subset of the arc.

B_0 either contains all or none of $\eta(\beta_0 \cap \mathcal{U})$. Since this holds for every $\mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{M}_{i_1}^{j_1}$ where $\mathcal{U} \cap \beta_0 \neq \emptyset$ the number of B_0 elements between any two consecutive A_1 elements is constant.

Step 6. $b_{1,i+1} - b_{1,i}$ is a constant for all i .

Proof of Step 6. Suppose $b_{1,1} = b_{0,L} = a_{1,K}$. Then $b_{1,2} = b_{0,2L}$, and since the number of B_0 elements between two consecutive A_1 elements is constant, $b_{1,2} = a_{1,2K}$, and in general $b_{1,n} = a_{1,nK}$. Since $a_{1,(i+1)K} - a_{1,iK}$ is a constant, $b_{1,i+1} - b_{1,i}$ is also a constant.

The steps have established Theorem 2.

THEOREM 3. *If there exists a prime p so that $p \mid N$ and $p \nmid M$ then there does not exist a homeomorphism $h: D_M \rightarrow D_N$.*

Proof of Theorem 3. If 2 divides N and 2 does not divide M then D_N has one end point and D_M has two end points, hence D_M is not homeomorphic to D_N .

Consider the case when $p \neq 2$, p divides N and p does not divide M . Theorem 2 says that B_1 is arithmetic. $B_1 N^{s_1} B_2$ then implies that B_2 is arithmetic and in particular $N^{s_1}(b_{1,i+1} - b_{1,i}) = b_{2,j+1} - b_{2,j}$ for every i and j . Further, since A_3 is arithmetic, $B_2 \supset A_3$ implies there exists a fixed integer c so that $cN^{s_1}(b_{1,i+1} - b_{1,i}) = a_{3,j+1} - a_{3,j}$ for all i and j . This is impossible since the left hand side is divisible

by p and the right hand side is two times some power of M and hence not divisible by p .

Homeomorphic D_N 's.

THEOREM 4. *If M and N have the same prime factors then D_M is homeomorphic to D_N .*

It will be more convenient at this point to consider $D_M = \lim \{I, {}^M f\}$ where ${}^M f: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ so that for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N$ ${}^M f(\overleftarrow{n/M}) = 0$ whenever n is even and ${}^M f(n/M) = 1$ whenever n is odd.

These open functions satisfy the property:

$${}^{MN}f = {}^M f \circ {}^N f = {}^N f \circ {}^M f \quad \text{and hence} \quad {}^N f^n = {}^{N^n} f.$$

For notational convenience we will denote ${}^M f$ by M in this section.

Proof of Theorem 4. It will be sufficient to show that if $M = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} p_3^{a_3} \cdots p_n^{a_n}$ is a prime factorization of M and $R = p_1 p_2 p_3 \cdots p_n$ that D_M is homeomorphic to D_R .

Step 1. Suppose there is a prime p dividing R and $M = pR$, then D_M is homeomorphic to D_R .

Proof of Step 1. Construct the inverse limit map $h: D_M \rightarrow D_R$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} I & \xleftarrow{M} & I & \xleftarrow{M} & I & \xleftarrow{M} & I & \xleftarrow{M} & I & \xleftarrow{M} & \cdots \\ i \downarrow & & p \downarrow & & p^2 \downarrow & & p^3 \downarrow & & p^4 \downarrow & & \\ I & \xleftarrow{R} & I & \xleftarrow{R} & I & \xleftarrow{R} & I & \xleftarrow{R} & I & \xleftarrow{R} & \cdots \end{array}$$

h is an open, continuous, onto map. It is left to show that h is one to one. Under the specified conditions p^2 divides M so M/p^2 is an integer.

Suppose $h(\bar{x}) = h(\bar{y})$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_i(\bar{x}) &= M^i(\pi_{2i}(\bar{x})) = \frac{M^i}{p^{2i}} \circ p^{2i}(\pi_{2i}(\bar{x})) = \frac{M^i}{p^{2i}} \circ p^{2i}(\pi_{2i}(\bar{y})) \\ &= M^i(\pi_{2i}(\bar{y})) = \pi_i(\bar{y}). \end{aligned}$$

This establishes the step. A simple repetition of the step proves the theorem.

Counting the homeomorphism classes. We now have the theorem:

THEOREM 5. *D_M is homeomorphic to D_N if and only if M and N have the same prime factors.*

This yields countably many distinct homeomorphism classes. By considering a slightly larger collection of spaces we can show that there are uncountably many distinct homeomorphism classes.

Consider the collection of inverse limit spaces with open bonding maps as before but not necessarily with fixed bonding maps. That is consider any sequence of primes $\{p_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$ where $p_0 = 1$. Define the functions $\mathcal{P}_{n+1}: [0, \prod_{i=0}^{n+1} p_i] \rightarrow [0, \prod_{i=0}^n p_i]$, where $\mathcal{P}_{n+1}(m \prod_{i=0}^n p_i) = 0$ whenever m is even and $\mathcal{P}_{n+1}(m \prod_{i=0}^n p_i) = \prod_{i=0}^n p_i$ whenever m is odd ($m = 0, 1, 2, \dots, p_{n+1}$) and linear in between. Then define $D_{\{p_i\}} = \varprojlim \{[0, \prod_{i=0}^n p_i], \mathcal{P}_n\}$ to be the following inverse limit space:

$$[0, 1] \xleftarrow{\mathcal{P}_1} [0, p_1] \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}_2} [0, p_1 p_2] \xleftarrow{\mathcal{P}_3} [0, p_1 p_2 p_3] \xleftarrow{\mathcal{P}_4} \dots$$

This generalizes the previous notion since D_N is $D_{\{p_i\}}$ where $p_i = N$ for all $i \neq 0$.

Let P represent the set of all primes and 2^P represent the set of all nonempty subsets of P . The cardinality of 2^P is uncountable. For each set in 2^P we will now construct an inverse limit space that is not homeomorphic to any of the others.

For each K in 2^P construct a sequence that repeats each element of K infinitely often. For example let p_1, p_2, p_3, \dots be the elements of K . (To insure a unique construction we might assume $p_i \leq p_{i+1}$ for all i .) Construct the sequence $1, p_1, p_2, p_1, p_2, p_3, p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4, p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4, p_5, \dots$ call this sequence \tilde{K} . Let \tilde{L} be the sequence $1, q_1, q_2, q_1, q_2, q_3, q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4, q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4, q_5, \dots$

THEOREM 3a. *If K and L are distinct subsets of 2^P then $D_{\tilde{K}}$ and $D_{\tilde{L}}$ are not homeomorphic.*

The line of proof follows the same reasoning as the previous section. That is we first investigate conditions imposed by a homeomorphism $h: D_{\tilde{K}} \rightarrow D_{\tilde{L}}$ and then show that these conditions cannot be met when K and L are distinct subsets of 2^P .

Without loss of generality we can assume that $h(\bar{0}) = \bar{0}$, so that the $\bar{0}$ -composant is mapped onto the $\bar{0}$ -composant. We consider special chaining defined exactly as before and note that they have nearly the same properties. The only change is in the third property. Now the integer points in the first link of the chain \mathcal{K}_i^j are exactly:

$$\{\bar{n} | n = k2 \prod_{m=1}^i p_m \text{ where } k \text{ is a nonnegative integer}\}.$$

The following theorems have almost word for word the same proofs as their counterparts in previous sections.

THEOREM 1a. *If there exists a homeomorphism $h: D_{\bar{K}} \rightarrow D_{\bar{L}}$, then there exists an infinite sequence of chainings:*

$$\mathcal{K}_{i_0}^{j_0} \succ h^{-1}(\mathcal{L}_{n_0}^{m_0}) \succ \mathcal{K}_{i_1}^{j_1} \succ h^{-1}(\mathcal{L}_{n_1}^{m_1}) \succ \dots$$

If we denote \mathcal{V}_α as the first link of $\mathcal{L}_{n_\alpha}^{m_\alpha}$ and \mathcal{U}_α as the first link of $\mathcal{K}_{i_\alpha}^{j_\alpha}$, then the only link of $\mathcal{K}_{i_n}^{j_n}$ that intersects $h^{-1}(\mathcal{V}_n)$ is \mathcal{U}_n and the only link in $h^{-1}(\mathcal{L}_{n_i}^{m_i})$ that intersects \mathcal{U}_{i+1} is $h^{-1}(\mathcal{V}_i)$.

Further, \mathcal{U}_0 and $h(\mathcal{U}_0)$ are both so small that for any \bar{x} and \bar{y} , both on the same $\bar{0}$ -composant component of \mathcal{U}_0 , $\mu(x, y) < 1/4$ and $\mu(h(x), h(y)) < 1/4$.

THEOREM 2a. *If there exists a homeomorphism $h: D_{\bar{K}} \rightarrow D_{\bar{L}}$, then there exists an infinite lattice of increasing sequences of nonnegative integers so that for every i and j $b_{1,i+1} - b_{1,i} = b_{1,j+1} - b_{1,j}$ and for every n there exists nonnegative integers r_n and s_n so that*

$$A_n \prod_{r_n-1}^{r_n} p_i A_{n+1}, \quad \text{and} \quad B_n \prod_{s_n-1}^{s_n} q_i B_{n+1}$$

and $B_n \supset A_{n+1}$ and $A_n \supset B_n$. (It is easy to see that if \mathcal{K} and \mathcal{L} are distinct subsets of 2^P , that is, there exists $q \in \mathcal{L} \setminus \mathcal{K}$, then s_n can be chosen so that q divides $\prod_{s_n-1}^{s_n} q_i$ for every n but q does not divide $\prod_{r_n-1}^{r_n} p_i$ for any n .)

THEOREM 3a. *If there exists a prime $q \in \mathcal{L} \setminus \mathcal{K}$ then $D_{\bar{K}}$ is not homeomorphic to $D_{\bar{L}}$.*

REFERENCES

1. David P. Bellamy, *A tree-like continuum without the fixed-point property*, preprint.
2. Lex G. Oversteegen and James T. Rogers Jr., *Tree-like continua as limits of cyclic graphs*, preprint.
3. J. W. Rogers, Jr., *On mapping indecomposable continua onto certain chainable indecomposable continua*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **25** (1969), 449-456.

Received September 2, 1980 and in revised form January 21, 1981. The author expresses thanks to the Mathematics faculty at the University of Wyoming and especially to Ira Rosenholtz and Joe Martin for their interest.

PAN AMERICAN UNIVERSITY
EDINBURG, TX 78539

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

DONALD BABBITT (Managing Editor)

University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90024

HUGO ROSSI

University of Utah
Salt Lake City, UT 84112

C. C. MOORE and ARTHUR AGUS

University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, CA 90007

R. FINN and J. MILGRAM

Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. ARENS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA

CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO

NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY

OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF AAWAII

UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO

UNIVERSITY OF UTAH

WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies,

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Please propose a heading for the odd numbered pages of less than 35 characters. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. **39**. Supply name and address of author to whom proofs should be sent. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints to each author are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966, Regular subscription rate: \$114.00 a year (6 Vol., 12 issues). Special rate: \$57.00 a year to individual members of supporting institution.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924, U.S.A. Old back numbers obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.).
8-8, 3-chome, Takadanobaba, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

Copyright © 1982 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics
Manufactured and first issued in Japan

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 103, No. 2

April, 1982

Alberto Alesina and Leonede De Michele , A dichotomy for a class of positive definite functions	251
Kahtan Alzubaidy , Rank ₂ p -groups, $p > 3$, and Chern classes	259
James Arney and Edward A. Bender , Random mappings with constraints on coalescence and number of origins	269
Bruce C. Berndt , An arithmetic Poisson formula	295
Julius Rubin Blum and J. I. Reich , Pointwise ergodic theorems in l.c.a. groups	301
Jonathan Borwein , A note on ε -subgradients and maximal monotonicity	307
Andrew Michael Brunner, Edward James Mayland, Jr. and Jonathan Simon , Knot groups in S^4 with nontrivial homology	315
Luis A. Caffarelli, Avner Friedman and Alessandro Torelli , The two-obstacle problem for the biharmonic operator	325
Aleksander Całka , On local isometries of finitely compact metric spaces	337
William S. Cohn , Carleson measures for functions orthogonal to invariant subspaces	347
Roger Fenn and Denis Karmen Sjerve , Duality and cohomology for one-relator groups	365
Gen Hua Shi , On the least number of fixed points for infinite complexes	377
George Golightly , Shadow and inverse-shadow inner products for a class of linear transformations	389
Joachim Georg Hartung , An extension of Sion's minimax theorem with an application to a method for constrained games	401
Vikram Jha and Michael Joseph Kallagher , On the Lorimer-Rahilly and Johnson-Walker translation planes	409
Kenneth Richard Johnson , Unitary analogs of generalized Ramanujan sums	429
Peter Dexter Johnson, Jr. and R. N. Mohapatra , Best possible results in a class of inequalities	433
Dieter Jungnickel and Sharad S. Sane , On extensions of nets	437
Johan Henricus Bernardus Kemperman and Morris Skibinsky , On the characterization of an interesting property of the arcsin distribution	457
Karl Andrew Kosler , On hereditary rings and Noetherian V -rings	467
William A. Lampe , Congruence lattices of algebras of fixed similarity type. II	475
M. N. Mishra, N. N. Nayak and Swadeenananda Pattanayak , Strong result for real zeros of random polynomials	509
Sidney Allen Morris and Peter Robert Nickolas , Locally invariant topologies on free groups	523
Richard Cole Penney , A Fourier transform theorem on nilmanifolds and nil-theta functions	539
Andrei Shkalikov , Estimates of meromorphic functions and summability theorems	569
László Székelyhidi , Note on exponential polynomials	583
William Thomas Watkins , Homeomorphic classification of certain inverse limit spaces with open bonding maps	589
David G. Wright , Countable decompositions of E^n	603
Takayuki Kawada , Correction to: "Sample functions of Pólya processes"	611
Z. A. Chanturia , Errata: "On the absolute convergence of Fourier series of the classes $H^\omega \cap V[v]$ "	611