# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

# EXTREME POINTS IN THE HAHN-BANACH-KANTOROVIČ SETTING

HARALD LUSCHGY AND WOLFGANG THOMSEN

Vol. 105, No. 2

October 1983

## EXTREME POINTS IN THE HAHN-BANACH-KANTOROVIČ SETTING

## HARALD LUSCHGY AND WOLFGANG THOMSEN

This paper presents an existence and characterization theorem for the extreme points of the convex set of all extensions of a linear operator from a real vector space into an order complete real vector lattice which are dominated by a sublinear operator. This result is applied to positive extensions, contractions, and dominated invariant extensions.

The paper falls into four sections.

Section 1 is reserved for preliminaries.

In §2 we consider the convex set of all extensions of a linear operator defined on a vector subspace of a real vector space X with values in an order complete real vector lattice Y which are dominated by a sublinear operator P from X into Y. We present a characterization of the extreme points of this set being useful for applications. This part is related to papers of Kutateladze [7], [8] and Portenier [15].

In §3 we give two applications of the preceding result. The first one yields another proof of an existence and characterization theorem due to Lipecki [10], [11] concerning extreme positive extensions of a linear operator which is defined on a subspace of an ordered vector space. The second one yields a new characterization theorem for extreme contractions from a separable Banach space into the space of real valued continuous functions on a compact extremally disconnected space.

In §4 the results of §2 are extended to P-dominated extensions which are positive on a given cone in X, and we apply them to P-dominated extensions which are invariant with respect to a set of mappings from Xinto X. Furthermore, we obtain a refinement of a dominated extension theorem for positive linear operators due to Luxemburg and Zaanen.

1. Preliminaries. We adhere to the notation of Schaefer's monograph [16]. Throughout X stands for a real vector space, M for its vector subspace and Y for an order complete real vector lattice.  $P: X \to Y$ denotes a sublinear mapping, i.e. P is positively homogeneous and subadditive. The space of all linear operators from M into Y is denoted by L(M, Y). Given a vector subspace N of X with  $M \subset N$  and  $T \in L(M, Y)$ , we put

 $E_N(P,T) = \{S \in L(N,Y) : S \le P \mid N \text{ and } S \mid M = T\}.$ 

The notation  $E_X(P, T)$  is abbreviated to E(P, T) and E(P) stands for  $E_X(P, T)$ , provided  $M = \{0\}$ , i.e.

$$E(P) = \{S \in L(X, Y) \colon S \le P\}.$$

Finally, ex  $E_N(P, T)$  denotes the set of all extreme points of the convex set  $E_N(P, T)$ .

**PROPOSITION 1.1.** (Hahn-Banach-Kantorovič Theorem, [6, 2.5.7, 2.5.8]). If  $T \in L(M, Y)$  and  $T \leq P \mid M$ , then  $E(P, T) \neq \emptyset$ . In particular, given  $x \in X$  and  $y \in [-P(-x), P(x)]$ , then there exists an operator  $S \in E(P)$  such that Sx = y.

Bonnice, Silverman [3] and To [21] (cf. also Ioffe [5]) have proved that a preordered vector space is order complete, if it has the Hahn-Banach extension property according to Proposition 1.1. Thus the order completeness of Y is indispensable in our investigation of extreme extensions.

2. Existence and characterization of extreme extensions. With P:  $X \to Y$  sublinear and  $S \in L(X, Y)$  (and the linear subspace M) we associate the map  $P^S: X \to Y \cup \{-\infty\}$  defined by

$$P^{S}(x) = \inf\{(P-S)(u+z+x) + (P-S)(u-z-x): z \in M, u \in X\}.$$

LEMMA 2.1. The following conditions are equivalent. (i)  $S \le P$ , (ii)  $0 \le P^S \le 2(P - S)$ , (iii)  $P^S | M = 0$ , (iv)  $P^S(0) > -\infty$ , (v)  $P^S$ :  $X \to Y$  is sublinear.

The simple proof is left to the reader. (The fact that matters subsequently is that (i) implies the other statements.) Moreover, if  $S \le P$  and  $S \mid M = P \mid M$ , then the definition of  $P^S$  reduces to

$$P^{S}(x) = \inf\{(P-S)(u+x) + (P-S)(u-x) : u \in X\}$$

for all  $x \in X$ .

If  $T \in L(M, Y)$  and  $T \leq P \mid M$ , then the existence of extreme points of E(P, T) is known, see the sophisticated result of Vincent-Smith [22, Addendum to Theorem 1] and recent results of Kutateladze [7], [8] and Lipecki [11]. We shall give a proof using the characterization of extreme extensions stated in part (b) of the following theorem. This characterization turns out to be useful for applications. For  $Y = \mathbf{R}$  and  $M = \{0\}$  it follows from Proposition 2.2 in Portenier [15] and for  $M = \{0\}$  some other characterizations may be found in [7], [8].

THEOREM 2.2. Let  $T \in L(M, Y)$ . (a) If (and only if)  $T \leq P \mid M$ , then ex  $E(P, T) \neq \emptyset$ . (b) Suppose  $S \in E(P, T)$ . Then  $S \in \exp E(P, T)$  if and only if  $P^{S}(x)$ = 0 for each  $x \in X$ .

*Proof.* Both parts (a) and (b) are proved simultaneously. In Step 1 and Step 2 we show the existence of  $S \in E(P, T)$  such that  $P^S = 0$  by means of the Kuratowski-Zorn lemma; Step 3 proves the "if" part and Step 4 proves the "only if" part of (b) which completes the proof.

Step 1. By **M** we denote the class of all pairs (N, R), where N is a vector subspace of X with  $M \subset N$  and R is in L(N, Y) such that  $R \mid M = T, R \leq P \mid N$  and  $P^R = 0$   $(P^R(x) := \inf\{(P - R)(u + z + x) + (P - R)(u - z - x): z \in M, u \in N\}, x \in N)$ . Let  $\ll$  be an ordering in **M** defined by  $(N_1, R_1) \ll (N_2, R_2)$  if and only if  $N_1 \subset N_2$  and  $R_2 \mid N_1 = R_1$ . Given  $(N, R) \in \mathbf{M}$  and  $x_0 \in X$ , we shall show that  $(N_0, R_0) \in \mathbf{M}$  and  $(N, R) \ll (N_0, R_0)$ , where  $N_0 = \lim(N \cup \{x_0\})$  and  $R_0: N_0 \to Y$  is defined by

$$R_0(v + tx_0) = Rv + ty_0$$

 $(v \in N, t \in \mathbf{R})$  with

$$y_0 = \inf\{P(v + x_0) - Rv : v \in N\}.$$

As easily seen we have  $R_0 \in L(N_0, Y)$  and  $R_0 | N = R$  and  $R_0 \le P | N_0$ . It remains to show that  $P^{R_0} = 0$ . In view of  $0 \le P^{R_0} | N \le P^R = 0$  we get

$$0 \le P^{R_0}(v + tx_0) \le P^{R_0}(v) + P^{R_0}(tx_0) = |t| P^{R_0}(x_0)$$
$$= |t| P^{R_0}(w + x_0) \le 2 |t| (P - R_0)(w + x_0)$$

for all  $v, w \in N$  and  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ . This yields  $P^{R_0} = 0$ , since

$$\inf\{(P - R_0)(v + x_0) : v \in N\} = 0$$

by the definition of  $y_0$ .

Step 2. Let  $\mathbf{M}_0 \subset \mathbf{M}$  be a chain and put  $N_0 = \bigcup \{N: (N, R) \in \mathbf{M}_0\}$ and  $R_0 | N = R$  for all  $(N, R) \in \mathbf{M}_0$ . Then  $(N_0, R_0)$  in  $\mathbf{M}$  is an upper bound for  $\mathbf{M}_0$ , since  $0 \leq P^{R_0}(x) \leq P^{R}(x)$  for all  $x \in N$  and  $(N, R) \in$  $\mathbf{M}_0$ . Thus, by the Kuratowski-Zorn lemma,  $\mathbf{M}$  has a maximal element (N, R) and we obtain N = X by Step 1. Step 3. Let  $S \in E(P, T)$  with  $P^S = 0$  and  $S_0 \in L(X, Y)$  such that  $S \pm S_0 \in E(P, T)$ . Then  $S_0 | M = 0$  and  $\pm S_0 \leq P - S$ . Hence, for each  $u, x \in X$  and  $z \in M$  we have

$$2S_0 x = S_0(u + z + x) - S_0(u - z - x)$$
  

$$\leq (P - S)(u + z + x) + (P - S)(u - z - x)$$

which implies  $2S_0 \le P^S = 0$ . Therefore,  $S_0 = 0$  whence  $S \in ex E(P, T)$ .

Step 4. Given  $S \in ex E(P, T)$  and  $x_0 \in X$ , there exists  $S_0 \in L(X, Y)$  such that  $S_0(x_0) = P^S(x_0)$  and  $S_0 \le P^S$  by Proposition 1.1. Thus,

$$\pm S_0 x = S_0(\pm x) \le P^S(\pm x) = P^S(x) \le 2(P-S)(x)$$

for all  $x \in X$  which implies  $S \pm \frac{1}{2}S_0 \leq P$ . Moreover,  $\pm S_0 z \leq P^S(z) = 0$ for all  $z \in M$  whence  $S \pm \frac{1}{2}S_0 \in E(P, T)$ . Therefore,  $P^S(x_0) = 0$ .

The proof of Theorem 2.2 suggests to associate with  $P: X \to Y$  sublinear and  $T \in L(M, Y)$  the map  $P_T: X \to Y \cup \{-\infty\}$  defined by

$$P_T(x) = \inf\{P(x+z) - Tz \colon z \in M\}.$$

LEMMA 2.3. Suppose  $T \in L(M, Y)$ . (a) The following conditions are equivalent. (i)  $T \leq P \mid M$ , (ii)  $T = P_T \mid M$ , (iii)  $P_T(0) > -\infty$ , (iv)  $P_T: X \rightarrow Y$  is sublinear. (b) Suppose  $S \in L(X, Y)$ . Then  $S \in E(P, T)$  if and only if  $S \leq P_T$ .

The simple proof is left to the reader. It is worth mentioning that  $P^S$  and  $(P_T)^S$  coincide for each  $S \in E(P, T)$ . Especially, this implies

$$P^{S}(x) = \inf\{(P_{T} - S)(u + x) + (P_{T} - S)(u - x): u \in X\}$$

for all  $x \in X$ . Moreover, if  $T \in L(M, Y)$  and  $T \le P \mid M$ , then  $E(P, T) = E(P_T)$  holds by Lemma 2.3 and according to Proposition 1.1 we obtain

$$\{Sx: S \in E(P, T)\} = [-P_T(-x), P_T(x)]$$

for all  $x \in X$ . Following up these ideas, we obtain

COROLLARY 2.4. Suppose  $T \in L(M, Y)$  with  $T \leq P \mid M$  and  $x \in X$ . Then

$$\exp\left[-P_T(-x), P_T(x)\right] \subset \{Sx: S \in \exp E(P, T)\} \subset \left[-P_T(-x), P_T(x)\right].$$

*Proof.* We only have to show the first inclusion. Given  $y \in ex[-P_T(-x), P_T(x)]$ , we define

$$H = \{S \in E(P, T) \colon Sx = y\}$$

and

$$Q(u) = \sup\{Su: S \in H\}, \quad u \in X.$$

Then  $H \neq \emptyset$ ,  $Q: X \rightarrow Y$  is sublinear, and E(Q) = H. By Theorem 2.2, ex  $H \neq \emptyset$ . Moreover, H is an extreme subset of E(P, T) by virtue of  $y \in \exp[-P_T(-x), P_T(x)]$ . Thus ex  $H \subset \exp E(P, T)$  which implies the assertion.

REMARK 2.5. Both inclusions are proper in general. They provide precise bounds for  $\{Sx: S \in ex E(P, T)\}$  as will be shown by the following examples. For this let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{Q}, \mu)$  be a probability space. We put  $X = L_1(\mu), Y = \mathbf{R}, P(u) = \int |u| d\mu$  and  $x = 1_{\Omega}$ . Then

$$E(P) = \{ f \in L_{\infty}(\mu) \colon |f| \le 1_{\Omega} \}$$

and

$$\operatorname{ex} E(P) = \{ f \in L_{\infty}(\mu) \colon |f| = 1_{\Omega} \},\$$

and we have P(x) = 1 and -P(-x) = -1.

If  $\mu$  is the one-point measure  $\delta_{\omega}$  in  $\omega \in \Omega$ , then  $\{\int f d\mu: f \in \text{ex } E(P)\}$ =  $\{-1, 1\} \subset [-1, 1]$  which shows that the first inclusion turns into equality and the second inclusion is proper.

If  $\mu$  is non-atomic, then  $\{\int f d\mu: f \in \operatorname{ex} E(P)\} = [-1, 1]$ . Indeed, given  $|y| \leq 1$ , let  $y_0 = (1/2)(|y|+1) \in [0, 1]$  and  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $\mu(A) = y_0$ . Then  $f = 1_A - 1_{A^c}$  is in ex E(P) with  $\int f d\mu = |y|$ . Thus the first inclusion is proper and the second inclusion turns into equality.

**REMARK 2.6.** Obviously

$$\{-P_T(-x), P_T(x)\} \subset \exp\left[-P_T(-x), P_T(x)\right]$$

and this inclusion is proper in general. Indeed, let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{Q}, \mu)$  be a probability space, where  $\mu$  is not  $\{0, 1\}$ -valued, let  $X = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $Y = L_{\infty}(\mu)$ ,  $P(u) = |u| \cdot 1_{\Omega}$  for all  $u \in X$  and x = 1. Then  $\{-P(-x), P(x)\} = \{-1_{\Omega}, 1_{\Omega}\} \subset ex[-1_{\Omega}, 1_{\Omega}] = \{f \in L_{\infty}(\mu) : |f| = 1_{\Omega}\}.$ 

Suppose now that M, N are linear subspaces of X with  $M \subset N \subset X$ and  $R \in E_N(P, T)$ . Theorem 2.7 and the succeeding counterexamples clear up the connections between the sets ex  $E_N(P, T)$ , ex E(P, T) and ex E(P, R). In particular, it follows that  $S \in ex E(P, T)$  implies  $S \in ex E(P, S | N)$ , whereas  $S | N \notin ex E_N(P, T)$  in general. Conversely,  $S \in ex E(P, S | N)$  and  $S | N \in ex E_N(P, T)$  imply  $S \in ex E(P, T)$ .

THEOREM 2.7. Let M, N be subspaces of X with  $M \subset N \subset X$ . Suppose  $T \in L(M, Y)$  and  $R \in E_N(P, T)$ . Then

(a) ex  $E(P, R) \supset E(P, R) \cap ex E(P, T)$ .

(b) Suppose  $R \in ex E_N(P, T)$ . Then

$$\operatorname{ex} E(P, R) = E(P, R) \cap \operatorname{ex} E(P, T).$$

*Proof.* (a) is obvious and as regards (b),  $R \in ex E_N(P, T)$  implies that E(P, R) is an extreme subset of E(P, T) which proves the assertion.

REMARK 2.8. The inclusion in (a) is proper in general. Indeed, let  $N = X = Y = \mathbf{R}$ ,  $M = \{0\}$ , P(x) = |x| and Rx = 0 for all  $x \in \mathbf{R}$ . Then  $\{R\} = E(P, R) = \exp E(P, R)$  and  $\exp E(P) = \{\operatorname{id}_{\mathbf{R}}, -\operatorname{id}_{\mathbf{R}}\}$ .

The converse in (b) does not hold as the following example shows (cf. also Singer [19, p. 106]). Let  $X = \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $Y = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $M = \{(0,0)\}$ ,  $N = \mathbb{R} \times \{0\}$ , Rx = 0 for all  $x \in N$  and let  $P((x_1, x_2)) = \max\{|x_1|, |x_2|\}$  and  $pr_i((x_1, x_2)) = x_i$  for all  $(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . Then ex  $E(P, R) = \{pr_2, -pr_2\} \subset ex \ E(P) = \{pr_1, -pr_1, pr_2, -pr_2\}$ , whereas  $R \notin ex \ E_N(P) = \{pr_1 \mid N, -pr_1 \mid N\}$ .

In particular, this example yields an operator  $S \in ex E(P)$  such that  $S \mid N \notin ex E_N(P)$ ; put  $S = pr_2$ .

**3.** Applications. By Theorem 2.2 we obtain immediately a result due to Lipecki concerning extreme positive extensions of an operator defined on a subspace of an ordered vector space with values in an order complete vector lattice.

A1. (Lipecki [10, Theorem 1], [11, Theorem 2 and Remark 2]). Let X be an ordered vector space with positive cone C, M a majorizing vector subspace of X and T:  $M \rightarrow Y$  a positive linear operator. Then

(a) ex{ $S \in L(X, Y)$ :  $S \mid M = T$  and  $S \mid C \ge 0$ }  $\neq \emptyset$ .

(b) Suppose C is generating. Then  $S \in \{S' \in L(X, Y): S' | M = T, S' | C \ge 0\}$  is an extreme point of this set if and only if

$$\inf\{Su: \pm (x+z) \le u \in X, z \in M\} = 0$$

for each  $x \in X$ .

*Proof.* Obviously,  $P: X \rightarrow Y$  defined by

$$P(x) = \inf\{Tz \colon x \le z \in M\}$$

is sublinear and we have

$$E(P, T) = \{S \in L(X, Y) : S \mid M = T, S \mid C \ge 0\}.$$

Moreover, it is readily verified that

$$P^{S}(x) = 2 \cdot \inf\{Su: \pm (x+z) \le u \in X, z \in M\}$$

holds for all  $x \in X$  and  $S \in E(P, T)$ . Thus the assertion follows from Theorem 2.2.

We now apply Theorem 2.2 to prove a new result concerning extreme contractions into spaces of continuous functions. This subject was started by Blumenthal et al. [2].

Let X be a normed vector space and Y = C(H), where H is an extremally disconnected compact space. Recall that a compact space is extremally disconnected (i.e. open subsets have an open closure) if and only if the space of continuous real valued functions is order complete (cf. [16, II. 7.7]). X' denotes the continuous dual of X, U(X') is the unit ball of X' and U(X, C(H)) is the unit ball of L(X, C(H)). An operator  $S \in U(X, C(H))$  is called almost nice, if S':  $H \to U(X')$  defined by  $S'(h) = \delta_h \circ S$  maps a dense subset of H into ex U(X').

It is immediate from Theorem 2.2 (with  $M = \{0\}$  and P(x) = ||x||) that  $x' \in U(X')$  is an extreme point of this set if and only if

$$\inf\{\|u+x\|+\|u-x\|+2x'(u): u \in X\} = 0$$

for each  $x \in X$ . (Incidentally, this characterization may be used to prove the well known result that ex  $U(C'(K)) = \{\alpha \delta_k : |\alpha| = 1, k \in K\}$ , where K is a compact space [4, V.8.6]). Furthermore, let us point out that an almost nice operator S in U(X, C(H)) is an extreme point of this set, since S' is weak\* continuous [4, VI.7.1]. The converse does not hold in general (cf. Remark 3.1). In addition, we note (cf. Oates [14, Theorem 1.2]) that U(X, C(H)) is the closed convex hull of its extreme points with respect to the strong (or equivalently weak) operator topology on the space of all continuous linear operators from X into C(H). More general Krein-Milman type theorems may be found in Morris and Phelps [13] and (without proofs) in Levashov [9].

A2. Let X be a separable normed space and let H be an extremally disconnected compact space. Then  $S \in U(X, C(H))$  is an extreme point of this set if and only if S is almost nice.

*Proof.* We only have to show the "only if" part. Suppose  $S \in ex U(X, C(H))$ . By Theorem 2.2 (with  $M = \{0\}$  and  $P(x) = ||x|| 1_H$ ), we have

$$\inf\{\|u+x\|\|_{H}+\|u-x\|\|_{H}-2Su: u \in X\}=0$$

for each  $x \in X$ . Let  $\varphi: X \times H \to \mathbf{R}$  be defined by

$$\varphi(x, h) = \inf\{\|u + x\| + \|u - x\| - 2\delta_h(Su) \colon u \in X\}.$$

The set  $\{\varphi(x, \cdot) > 0\} \subset H$  is meager for each  $x \in X$ , since the lattice infimum and the point infimum in C(H) differ on a meager subset (cf. Stone [20]). If A is a countable dense subset of X, then

$$K = \bigcap_{x \in \mathcal{A}} \{\varphi(x, \cdot) = 0\}$$

is a dense subset of H by Baire's category theorem. Since  $\varphi(\cdot, h)$  is continuous for all  $h \in H$ , we obtain  $\varphi(\cdot, h) = 0$  for all  $h \in K$ . Thus by Theorem 2.2 T is almost nice.

Without proof we note the following slight generalization of A2.

A3. Let X be a separable normed space, M a vector subspace of X and  $T \in U(M, C(H))$ , where H is an extremally disconnected compact space. Then

$$S \in \{R \in U(X, C(H)) \colon R \mid M = T\}$$

is an extreme point of this set if and only if

$$S'(h) \in \exp\{x' \in U(X'): x' \mid M = T'(h)\}$$

for all h in some dense subset of H.

REMARK 3.1. A2 and A3 fail for non-separable normed spaces X. Indeed, let H be a compact extremally disconnected space such that the set  $H_0$  of isolated points of H is not dense (e.g. if  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  is a positive  $\sigma$ -finite non-atomic measure space and  $\mathfrak{N}$  the ideal of  $\mu$ -null sets, then the Stone representation space of  $\mathfrak{A}/\mathfrak{N}$  is extremally disconnected and has no isolated points [18, p. 28 and p. 86]). By virtue of a result due to Blumenthal et al. [2, Theorem 2] there is a (non-separable) Banach space X and an operator  $S \in ex U(X, C(H))$  such that  $\{h \in H: S'(h) \in$  $ex U(X')\} = H_0$ . Hence S is not almost nice.

Nevertheless, the separability of X can be removed if X = C(K) for some compact space K. More generally, the separability assumption in A2 can be replaced by the assumption that ex U(X') is weak\* closed. This result is due to Sharir [17] and it may also be proved by an application of Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.7. 4. Generalizations. In this section the preceding results are generalized to P-dominated extensions, which are positive on a (pointed convex) cone  $C \subset X$ . With P:  $X \to Y$  sublinear and a cone  $C \subset X$  we associate the map  $P_C: X \to Y \cup \{-\infty\}$  defined by

$$P_C(x) = \inf\{P(x+u) \colon u \in C\}$$

and, given  $T \in L(M, Y)$ , we put

$$E(P, T, C) = \{ S \in E(P, T) : S \mid C \ge 0 \}.$$

LEMMA 4.1. Let C be a cone in X.

(a) The following conditions are equivalent.

(i)  $P \mid C \ge 0$ ,

(ii)  $P_{C}(0) > -\infty$ ,

(iii)  $P_C: X \to Y$  is sublinear.

(b) Suppose  $S \in L(X, Y)$ . Then  $S \leq P$  and  $S \mid C \geq 0$  if and only if  $S \leq P_C$ .

The simple proof will be omitted (for  $Y = \mathbf{R}$  compare Anger and Lembcke [1, Lemma 1.9, Lemma 3.2]). In view of the preceding lemma the following corollary is merely a restatement of Theorem 2.2.

COROLLARY 4.2. Let  $T \in L(M, Y)$  and C be a cone in X.

(a) If (and only if)  $T \le P_C \mid M$ , then ex  $E(P, T, C) \ne \emptyset$ .

(b) An operator  $S \in E(P, T, C)$  is an extreme point of this set if and only if  $(P_C)^S(x) = 0$  for each  $x \in X$ .

REMARK 4.3. Lemma 2.3 yields equivalent assertions for the statement  $T \le P_C \mid M$ . Furthermore,  $T \le P_C \mid M$  yields

$$E(P, T, C) = E(P_C, T) = E(P_T, C) = E(P_{TC}),$$

where  $P_{TC}$  stands for both (coinciding) operators  $(P_C)_T$  and  $(P_T)_C$ . Finally, we note that  $T \leq P_C \mid M$  readily implies  $T \leq P \mid M, T \mid M \cap C \geq 0$ ,  $P \mid C \geq 0$ , but the converse does not hold in general. Indeed, let  $X = \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $M = \mathbb{R} \times \{0\}, C = \{0\} \times \mathbb{R}, Y = \mathbb{R}, P((x_1, x_2)) = |x_1 + x_2|$  for all  $(x_1, x_2) \in X$  and  $T(x_1, 0) = x_1$  for all  $(x_1, 0) \in M$  and note that  $P_C \mid M = 0$ .

An application of Corollary 4.2 yields a refinement of a result due to Luxemburg and Zaanen.

A4. (Luxemburg and Zaanen; cf. [6, 2.6.3]). Suppose that X is a vector lattice with positive cone C, M is a vector sublattice, and P is lattice-increasing, i.e.  $|x_1| \le |x_2|$  implies  $P(x_1) \le P(x_2)$ ,  $x_i \in X$ . Let  $T \in L(M, Y)$ .

(a) If  $T \le P \mid M$  and  $T \mid M \cap C \ge 0$ , then ex  $E(P, T, C) \ne \emptyset$ .

(b) An operator  $S \in E(P, T, C)$  is extreme in this set if and only if  $\inf \{P((u + z + x)^+) + P((u - z - x)^+) - 2Su: z \in M, u \in X\} = 0$ for each  $x \in X$ .

*Proof.* First note that  $P_C(x) = P(x^+)$  holds for all  $x \in X$ . Indeed,  $x \le x^+$  implies  $P_C(x) \le P(x^+)$ ; conversely,  $x \le u \in X$  implies  $x^+ \le u^+$ , and since P is lattice-increasing we obtain  $P(x^+) \le P(u^+) \le P(u)$  whence  $P(x^+) \le P_C(x)$ . Moreover, we have  $Tz \le Tz^+ \le P(z^+)$  for all  $z \in M$ . Hence the assertions follow from Corollary 4.2.

In the remaining part of this section we deal with invariant *P*dominated extensions. Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a set of mappings from X into X. A linear operator S:  $X \to Y$  is called invariant if SV = S for all  $V \in \mathcal{G}$ . The vector space of all invariant linear operators from X into Y is denoted by  $L(X, Y)_{\mathcal{G}}$  and, given  $T \in L(M, Y)$ , we put

$$E(P,T)_{\mathcal{G}}=E(P,T)\cap L(X,Y)_{\mathcal{G}}.$$

Furthermore, let G denote the linear hull of the set  $\{Vx - x: V \in \mathcal{G}, x \in X\}$ . Obviously,  $S \in L(X, Y)$  is invariant if and only if  $S \mid G = 0$ , i.e.  $G \subset \ker S$ .

A5. Let T ∈ L(M, Y) and G be a set of mappings from X into X.
(a) If (and only if) T ≤ P<sub>G</sub> | M, then ex E(P, T)<sub>G</sub> ≠ Ø.
(b) S ∈ E(P, T)<sub>G</sub> is an extreme point of this set if and only if inf{(P - S)(u + z + x) + (P - S)(u - z - x): z ∈ M + G, u ∈ X} = 0

for each  $x \in X$ .

*Proof.* Obviously,  $E(P, T)_{\mathcal{G}} = E(P, T, G)$ , and it is easily seen that  $(P_G)^S = (P^S)_G$  holds for all  $S \in E(P, T, G)$ . Hence the assertions follow from Corollary 4.2.

A6. Let T and  $\mathcal{G}$  be as in A5. Assume that there exists an operator  $R \in L(X, X)$  such that  $RM \subset M$ ,  $PR \leq P$  and  $P_{\ker R} \leq P_G$ .

(a) If TR = T on M and  $T \le P \mid M$ , then ex  $E(P, T)_{g} \ne \emptyset$ .

(b) If additionally R is a projection with  $P_{\ker R} = P_G$ , then an operator S in  $E(P, T)_{g}$  is an extreme point of this set if and only if  $P^{S}(x) = 0$  for each x in the fixed space of R.

*Proof.* (a) For  $z \in M$  and  $u \in \ker R$  we get  $Tz = TRz \leq P(Rz) = P(R(z+u)) \leq P(z+u)$  whence  $T \leq P_{\ker R} \mid M$ . The assertion follows from A5.

(b). Suppose  $S \in E(P, T)_{\mathcal{G}}$ . Then  $S \leq P_G = P_{\ker R}$  implies SR = S whence  $(PR)^S = P^S R$ . Furthermore, it is readily verified that  $PR = P_{\ker R}$ . Therefore  $(P_G)^S = P^S R$ . By virtue of Corollary 4.2 S is an extreme point of  $E(P, T)_{\mathcal{G}}$  if and only if  $P^S(Rx) = 0$  for each  $x \in X$ , i.e.  $P^S(x) = 0$  for each x in the fixed space of R.

We conclude our considerations by applying this result to a topological setting. A similar result for positive invariant extensions was stated by the first-named author in [12].

A7. Suppose that X is a locally convex space, that  $\mathcal{G}$  is a mean ergodic semigroup of continuous linear operators on X [16, III.7.1] and that the order complete vector lattice Y is a topological vector space with a closed normal positive cone (e.g. we may assume that Y is an order complete topological vector lattice). Let M be a closed subspace and let P be continuous such that  $VM \subset M$  and  $PV \leq P$  for all  $V \in \mathcal{G}$ . Suppose  $T \in L(M, Y)$ .

(a) If T is invariant and  $T \leq P \mid M$ , then ex  $E(P, T)_{g} \neq \emptyset$ .

(b) An operator S in  $E(P, T)_{\mathcal{G}}$  is an extreme point of this set if and only if  $P^{S}(x) = 0$  for each x in the fixed space of  $\mathcal{G}$ .

*Proof.* Let R be the zero element of the closed convex hull of  $\mathcal{G}$  in the space of all continuous linear operators on X equipped with the topology of pointwise convergence. R is a continuous linear projection onto the fixed space of  $\mathcal{G}$  with kernel the closure of G [16, III.7.2]. In view of the properties of P and  $\mathcal{G}$  we obtain  $P_{\ker R} = P_G$ ,  $PR \leq P$  and  $RM \subset M$ . Furthermore, each  $S \in E(P)$  is continuous since P is continuous and the positive cone of Y is normal. Thus T is continuous by virtue of  $E(P, T) \neq \emptyset$  and employing the invariance of T we obtain TR = T on M. The assertions follow now from A6.

**REMARK** 4.4. If  $\mathcal{G}$  is a set of mappings from X into X, then invariant versions of A2 and A3 are valid.

Acknowledgement. We are grateful to Z. Lipecki for his careful reading of this paper and his suggestions.

## References

1. B. Anger and L. Lembcke, Hahn-Banach type theorems for hypolinear functionals on preordered topological vector spaces, Pacific J. Math., 54 (1974), 13-33.

2. R. M. Blumenthal, J. Lindenstrauß and R. R. Phelps, *Extreme operators into* C(K), Pacific J. Math., **15** (1965), 747–756.

3. W. E. Bonnice and R. J. Silverman, The Hahn Banach extension and the least upper bound properties are equivalent, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 18 (1967), 843-849.

- 4. N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, *Linear Operators*, Part 1, Interscience Publishers, New York, 1967.
- 5. A. D. Ioffe, A new proof of the equivalence of the Hahn-Banach extension and the least upper bound property, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 82 (1981), 385–389.
- 6. G. Jameson, Ordered Linear Spaces, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1970.
- 7. S. S. Kutateladze, Extreme points of subdifferentials, Soviet. Math. Dokl., 19 (1978), 1235-1237.
- 8. \_\_\_\_, The Krein-Mil'man theorem and its inverse, Siberian Math. J., 21 (1980), 97-103.
- 9. V. A. Levashov, Operator analogs of the Krein-Milman theorem, Functional Anal. Appl., 14 (1980), 130-131.
- 10. Z. Lipecki, Extensions of positive operators and extreme points II, Colloquium Math., 42 (1979), 285-289.
- 11. \_\_\_\_, Extensions of positive operators and extreme points III, Colloquium Math., 46 (1982), 263-268.
- 12. H. Luschgy, Invariant extensions of positive operators and extreme points, Math. Z., 171 (1980), 75-81.
- 13. P. D. Morris and R. R. Phelps, *Theorems of Krein-Milman type for certain convex sets of operators*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **150** (1970), 183-200.
- 14. D. K. Oates, A non-compact Krein-Milman theorem, Pacific J. Math., 36 (1971), 781-785.
- 15. C. Portenier, Points extrémaux et densité, Math. Ann., 209 (1974), 83-89.
- 16. H. H. Schaefer, Banach Lattices and Positive Operators, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1974.
- 17. M. Sharir, Characterization and properties of extreme operators into C(Y), Israel J. Math., 12 (1972), 174–183.
- 18. R. Sikorski, *Boolean Algebras*, 3rd Edition, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg-New York, 1964.
- 19. I. Singer, Sur l'extension des fonctionelles linéaires, Revue Math. Pures Appl., 1 (1956), 99-106.
- 20. M. H. Stone, Boundedness properties in function lattices, Canad. J. Math., 1 (1949), 176-186.
- 21. Ting-on To, The equivalence of the least upper bound property and the Hahn-Banach extension property in ordered linear spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **30** (1971), 287–295.
- 22. G. Vincent-Smith, *The Hahn-Banach theorem for modules*, Proc. London Math. Soc., **17** (1967), 72–90.

Received May 22, 1981 and in revised form March 8, 1982.

STIFTSHERRENSTRASSE 5 D-4400 MÜNSTER FRG and Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität Einsteinstrasse 62 D-4400 Münster FRG

## PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

## **EDITORS**

DONALD BABBITT (Managing Editor) University of California Los Angeles, CA 90024

HUGO ROSSI University of Utah Salt Lake City, UT 84112

C. C. MOORE and ARTHUR OGUS University of California Berkeley, CA 94720 J. DUGUNDJI Department of Mathematics University of Southern California Los Angeles, CA 90089-1113

R. FINN and H. SAMELSON Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305

#### ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. ARENS

E. F. BECKENBACH (1906–1982)

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

#### SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF OREGON UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. In particular it should contain no bibliographic references. Please propose a heading for the odd numbered pages of less than 35 characters. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. 39. Supply name and address of author to whom proofs should be sent. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024.

There are page-charges associated with articles appearing in the Pacific Journal of Mathematics. These charges are expected to be paid by the author's University, Government Agency or Company. If the author or authors do not have access to such Institutional support these charges are waived. Single authors will receive 50 free reprints; joint authors will receive a total of 100 free reprints. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$132.00 a year (6 Vol., 12 issues). Special rate: \$66.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924, U.S.A. Old back numbers obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics ISSN 0030-8730 is published monthly by the Pacific Journal of Mathematics at P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924. Application to mail at Second-class postage rates is pending at Carmel Valley, California, and additional mailing offices. Postmaster: Send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION Copyright © 1983 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol. 105, No. 2 October, 1983

Spiros Argyros, On compact spaces without strictly positive measure257	7
Steven Robert Bell, Regularity of the Bergman projection in certain	
nonpseudoconvex domains	3
Carlos R. Borges and Gary Fred Gruenhage, Sup-characterization of	
stratifiable spaces	)
Giuseppe Ceresa and Alberto Collino, Some remarks on algebraic	
equivalence of cycles	5
Charles Kam-Tai Chui and Maurice Hasson, Degree of uniform	
approximation on disjoint intervals	1
Gary Gundersen, Meromorphic functions that share two finite values with	
their derivative	)
Richard I. Hartley, Lifting group homomorphisms	1
Gerald William Johnson and David Lee Skoug, Notes on the Feynman	
integral. III. The Schroedinger equation	1
John Cronan Kieffer, Some topologies on the set of discrete stationary	
channels	)
Harald Luschgy and Wolfgang Thomsen, Extreme points in the	
Hahn-Banach-Kantorovič setting	7
Zbigniew Piotrowski, A. Rosłanowski and Brian M. Scott, The	
pinched-cube topology	9
Elias Saab and Paulette Saab, A dual geometric characterization of Banach	
spaces not containing $l_1$	5
Walter Schachermayer, Norm attaining operators on some classical Banach	
spaces	7
Martin Scharlemann, Essential tori in 4-manifold boundaries	9
Jacques C. H. Simon, Nonlinear representations of Poincaré group and	
global solutions of relativistic wave equations 449	9
Adrian R. Wadsworth, <i>p</i> -Henselian field: <i>K</i> -theory, Galois cohomology.	
and graded Witt rings	3