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Using the notion of the ellipse of curvature we study compact
surfaces in high dimensional space forms. We obtain some inequalities
relating the area of the surface and the integral of the square of the norm
of the mean curvature vector with topological invariants. In certain cases,
the ellipse is a circle; when this happens, restrictions on the Gaussian and
normal curvatures give us some rigidity results.

1. Introduction. We consider immersions \( f: M \to Q^n_c \) of surfaces
into spaces of constant curvature \( c \). We are going to relate properties of
the mean curvature vector \( H \) and of the normal curvature \( K_N \) to geometric
properties, such as area and rigidity of the immersion. We use the notion
of the ellipse of curvature studied by Little [10], Moore and Wilson [11]
and Wong [12]. This is the subset of the normal space defined as
\( \{ \alpha(X, X): X \in T_p M, \| X \| = 1 \} \), where \( \alpha \) is the second fundamental form
of the immersion and \( \| \| \) is the norm of the vectors. Let \( \chi(M) \) denote
the Euler characteristic of the tangent bundle and \( \chi(N) \) denote the Euler
characteristic of the plane bundle when the codimension is 2. We prove
the following generalization of a theorem of Wintgen [13].

**Theorem 1.** Let \( f: M \to Q^n_c \) be an isometric immersion of a compact
oriented surface into an orientable \( n \)-dimensional manifold of constant curva-
ture \( c \). We have the following

\[
\int_M \| H \|^2 dM + c \text{Area}(M) \geq 2\pi \chi(M) + \left| \int_M K_N dM \right|
\]

with equality if and only if \( K_N \) does not change sign and the ellipse of
curvature is a circle at every point. If in addition \( M \) is homeomorphic to the
2-sphere \( S^2 \), \( n = 4 \), and \( H \) is parallel, then

\[
(\| H \|^2 + c) \text{Area}(M) = 2\pi \left( \chi(M) + | \chi(N) | \right).
\]

**Corollary 1.** Let \( M \) be homeomorphic to the 2-sphere \( S^2 \) and minimal
into the 4-sphere \( S^4(1) \). Then

\[
\text{Area}(M) = 2\pi \left( \chi(M) + | \chi(N) | \right).
\]

Consequently \( \text{Area}(M) \) is a multiple of \( 4\pi \). Also, two minimal immersions
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have the same area if and only if they are regularly homotopic (see Barbosa [3]).

**Corollary 2.** Let $M$ be a compact oriented surface immersed into $\mathbb{R}^4$. Then, if $K_N > 0$ at every point, we have

\[
\int_M \|H\|^2 \, dM \geq 12\pi.
\]

The equality holds if and only if the ellipse of curvature is a circle.

**Remarks.** 1. One way to obtain examples of immersed surfaces that satisfy (1.3) is to take a minimal immersion of the sphere $S^2$ into $S^4$ (see do Carmo and Wallach [7]) and project stereographically into $\mathbb{R}^4$. The reason this is so is that the property that the ellipse is a circle is a conformal invariant.

2. Atiyah and Lawson [2] have shown that an immersed surface in $S^4$ has the ellipse always a circle if and only if the canonical lift of the immersion map into the bundle of almost complex structures of $S^4$ is holomorphic. Holomorphic curves in this bundle can also be projected down to $S^4$ in order to obtain examples of surfaces in $S^4$ with the property that the ellipse is always a circle, hence giving equality in (1.1).

**Corollary 3 (Ruh [12]).** Let $M$ be homeomorphic to the 2-sphere $S^2$. If $f: M \to S^4$ is a minimal immersion with trivial normal bundle, then $f$ is totally geodesic.

**Remark 3.** When $M$ is homeomorphic to the 2-sphere $S^2$ and $f: M \to S^n(1)$ is minimal, then, by Theorem 1, the ellipse of curvature is always a circle. It is known that this circle degenerates to a point only at isolated points and that the plane that contains the circle extends to the singularities. Hence we have a 2-plane subbundle $P$ of the normal bundle; let $K^*$ be its intrinsic curvature (see §2 for a definition).

In [3] Barbosa, shows that there is a large family of minimal immersions of the sphere. The following theorem shows that this is not so if we make some restrictions on the Gaussian curvature $K$ and the curvature $K^*$ of the immersion.

**Theorem 2.** Let $f: M \to S^n(1)$ be a minimal immersion of a surface $M$ homeomorphic to the 2-sphere $S^2$ into the n-dimensional unit sphere $S^n(1)$. If $2K \geq K^*$ at every point, then $K$ and $K_N$ are constant and $f$ is one of the generalized Veronese surfaces studied by Calabi [4] and do Carmo-Wallach [7].

---

*S. T. Yau has informed us that he obtained the same result.*
REMARKS. 4. If \( n = 4 \) then \( K^* = K_N \), and, in this case, \( f \) must be totally geodesic or a covering of the Veronese surface.

5. The conclusion in Theorem 2 that the curvatures \( K \) and \( K_N \) be constant holds actually for any surface with parallel mean curvature vector with the property that the ellipse is always a circle.

2. The ellipse of curvature. We are considering immersions \( f: M \to Q^n_c \) of surfaces into spaces of constant curvature \( c \). Let \( \nabla^\perp \) denote the covariant derivative associated to the induced Riemannian connection in the normal bundle \( N \) of the immersion, and let \( R^\perp \) denote its curvature tensor. If \( \alpha: TM \times TM \to N \) denotes the second fundamental form and \( A_v \) is the symmetric endomorphism of \( TM \) defined by \( \langle \alpha(X, Y), v \rangle = \langle A_vX, Y \rangle \), where \( \langle \ , \ \rangle \) is the inner product in \( TQ^n_c \), then, we recall the Ricci equation

\[
R^\perp(X, Y)u = \alpha(X, A_uY) - \alpha(A_uX, Y)
\]

where \( X \) and \( Y \) are tangent and \( u \) is a normal vector field along \( f \). If \( \{X_1, X_2\} \) is a tangent frame then, we define \( \alpha \wedge b \) as the endomorphism

\[
\alpha \wedge b(c) = \langle b, c \rangle a - \langle a, c \rangle b
\]

it is easy to see that

\[
R^\perp(X_1, X_2) = (\alpha_{11} - \alpha_{22}) \wedge \alpha_{12}.
\]

Also, if the mean curvature vector \( H \) and the Gaussian curvature \( K \) are defined by \( H = \frac{1}{2} \) trace \( \alpha \) and \( d\omega_{12} = -K\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2 \), respectively, it is easy to see that

\[
4\|H\|^2 = \|\alpha_{11} + \alpha_{22}\|^2, \quad K = \langle \alpha_{11}, \alpha_{22} \rangle - \|\alpha_{12}\|^2 + c.
\]

An interesting notion that comes up in the study of surfaces in higher codimension is that of the ellipse of curvature defined as \( \{\alpha(X, X) \in N_p; \langle X, X \rangle = 1\} \). To see that it is an ellipse, we just have to look at the following formula, for \( X = \cos \theta X_1 + \sin \theta X_2 \)

\[
\alpha(X, X) = H + \cos 2\theta \left( \frac{\alpha_{11} - \alpha_{22}}{2} \right) + \sin 2\theta \alpha_{12}.
\]

So we see that, as \( X \) goes once around the unit tangent circle, \( \alpha(X, X) \) goes twice around the ellipse. Of course this ellipse could degenerate into a line segment or a point.

Facts. 1. The following properties are equivalent at a point of the immersed surface: (i) the ellipse degenerates into a line segment or a
point, (ii) \((\alpha_{11} - \alpha_{22})/2\) and \(\alpha_{12}\) are linearly dependent, (iii) \(R^\perp = 0\), and (iv) if \(\{v_i\}\) is an orthonormal normal frame, the second fundamental forms \(A_{v_i}\) are simultaneously diagonalizable.

2. From (2.3) it follows that if \(R^\perp \neq 0\) then \(u = (\alpha_{11} - \alpha_{22})/2\) and \(v = \alpha_{12}\) are linearly independent and we can define a 2-plane subbundle \(P\) of the normal bundle \(N\). This plane bundle inherits a Riemannian connection from that of \(N\). Let \(R^*\) be its curvature tensor and define its curvature \(K^*\) by \(K^* = \langle R^*(X_1, X_2)e_4, e_3\rangle\) or \(K^*\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2 = -d\omega_{34}\), where \(\{X_1, X_2\}\) and \(\{e_3, e_4\}\) are orthonormal oriented bases of \(T_p^\perp M\) and \(P_p\), respectively. Now, if \(\xi\) is perpendicular to \(P\), then from (2.3), \(R^\perp (X_1, X_2)\xi = 0\). Hence, it makes sense to define the normal curvature as

\[
(2.6) \quad K_N = \langle R^\perp (X_1, X_2)e_4, e_3\rangle
\]

where \(\{X_1, X_2\}\) and \(\{e_3, e_4\}\) are orthonormal oriented bases of \(T_p^\perp M\) and \(N_p\), respectively. If \(TM\) and \(P\) are oriented, then \(K_N\) is globally defined. In codimension 2, \(N = P\) and \(K_N\) has a sign. In higher codimension, if \(R^\perp \neq 0\), \(P\) is globally defined and oriented if \(TM\) is. In this case, it is shown in [1] that \(\chi(P) = 2\chi(M)\) and, when \(n = 4\), \(M\) must be a sphere \(S^2\).

3. The area \(A\) of the ellipse is given as \(A = \pm (\pi/2)K_N\) the sign being positive if the way the ellipse is traversed by \(\alpha_p(X, X) - H_p\) coincides with the orientation of \(P\). To see this, we observe that we can choose \(\{X_1, X_2\}\) orthonormal such that \(u = (\alpha_{11} - \alpha_{22})/2\) and \(v = \alpha_{12}\) are perpendicular. When this happens, they will coincide with the semi-axes of the ellipse. If the parametrization of the ellipse coincides with the orientation of \(N\), then \(e_3 = u/\|u\|\) and \(e_4 = v/\|v\|\) define a positively oriented normal frame and

\[
A = \pi \|\frac{\alpha_{11} - \alpha_{22}}{2}\| \|\alpha_{12}\|
= \frac{\pi}{2} \langle (\alpha_{11} - \alpha_{22}) \wedge \alpha_{12}, e_3 \wedge e_4 \rangle
= \frac{\pi}{2} \langle R^\perp (X_1, X_2)e_4, e_3 \rangle = \pi/2K_N.
\]

Therefore, we obtain that

\[
(2.7) \quad K_N = \|\alpha_{11} - \alpha_{22}\| \|\alpha_{12}\|.
\]

The case when \(\{u, v\}\) is negatively oriented follows similarly.

4. From (2.5) we can see that the center of the ellipse is the mean curvature vector \(H\).

5. In codimension 2, if the origin of the normal plane is inside or on the ellipse, then the Gaussian curvature \(K < 0\). If the origin is outside the
ellipse, then $K < 0$, $K = 0$ or $K > 0$ according to whether the angle subtended by the ellipse from the origin is bigger than $\pi/2$, equal to $\pi/2$, smaller than $\pi/2$ (see Wong [14]).

3. Proofs of theorems.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $\{X_1, X_2\}$ be an orthonormal frame at $p$; as we saw in §2, we can choose $\{X_1, X_2\}$ such that $u = (\alpha_{11} - \alpha_{22})/2$ and $v = \alpha_{12}$ are the semi-axes of the ellipse. Hence, from (2.4) and (2.7) we have

\[
0 \leq \left( \|\alpha_{11} - \alpha_{22}\| - 2\|\alpha_{12}\| \right)^2
\]

\[
= \|\alpha_{11} - \alpha_{22}\|^2 + 4\|\alpha_{12}\|^2 - 4\|\alpha_{11} - \alpha_{22}\|\|\alpha_{12}\|
\]

\[
= \|\alpha_{11}\|^2 + \|\alpha_{22}\|^2 + 2\|\alpha_{12}\|^2 - 2K - 4|K_N| + 2c
\]

\[
= \|\alpha\|^2 - 2K - 4|K_N| + 2c.
\]

On the other hand,

\[
4\|H\|^2 = \|\alpha_{11} + \alpha_{22}\|^2
\]

\[
= \|\alpha_{11}\|^2 + \|\alpha_{22}\|^2 + 2\langle \alpha_{11}, \alpha_{22} \rangle
\]

\[
= \|\alpha_{11}\|^2 + \|\alpha_{22}\|^2 + 2\|\alpha_{12}\|^2 + 2K - 2c
\]

\[
= \|\alpha\|^2 + 2K - 2c.
\]

Hence, by (3.1) and (3.2), it follows that

\[
\|H\|^2 + c \geq K + |K_N|
\]

with equality if and only if $u = v$, i.e. the ellipse is a circle. Integrating (3.3) over $M$, we get

\[
\int_M \|H\|^2 \, dM + c \text{Area}(M) \geq \int_M K \, dM + \int_M |K_N| \, dM
\]

\[
\geq 2\pi \chi(M) + \left| \int_M K_N \, dM \right|
\]

with equality if and only if $K_N$ does not change sign and the ellipse is always a circle.

Now, we suppose that $M$ is homeomorphic to the 2-sphere $S^2$. Given isothermal parameters $\{x_1, x_2\}$ on a neighborhood of $M$ and putting
\[ X_i = \partial / \partial x_i; \ i = 1, 2 \] and \[ z = x_1 + ix_2, \] we can see easily that
\[ (3.4) \quad \phi = (\| u \|^2 - \| v \|^2 - 2i \langle u, v \rangle) dz^4 \]
is a differential form of degree 4. In order to show that (3.4) is holomorphic, we use the following two equations that are obtained from the Codazzi equations (see Chen [5] or Ruh [12]).
\[ (3.5) \quad \nabla_{X_1}^\perp u + \nabla_{X_2}^\perp v = E \nabla_{X_1}^\perp H, \quad \nabla_{X_2}^\perp u - \nabla_{X_1}^\perp v = -E \nabla_{X_2}^\perp H. \]
Now, we obtain the Cauchy-Riemann equations as follows
\[ X_1(\| u \|^2 - \| v \|^2) = 2 \langle \nabla_{X_1}^\perp u, u \rangle - 2 \langle \nabla_{X_1}^\perp v, v \rangle \]
\[ = 2E \left( \langle \nabla_{X_1}^\perp H, u \rangle - 2 \langle \nabla_{X_2}^\perp v, u \rangle - 2E \langle \nabla_{X_2}^\perp H, v \rangle - 2 \langle \nabla_{X_2}^\perp u, v \rangle \right) \]
\[ = 2E \left( \langle \nabla_{X_1}^\perp H, u \rangle - \langle \nabla_{X_2}^\perp H, v \rangle \right) + X_2(-2 \langle u, v \rangle) \]
and, similarly,
\[ X_2(\| u \|^2 - \| v \|^2) = -2E \left( \langle \nabla_{X_2}^\perp H, u \rangle + \langle \nabla_{X_1}^\perp H, v \rangle \right) - X_1(-2 \langle u, v \rangle). \]
Hence, \( \phi \) is holomorphic if and only if
\[ (3.6) \quad \langle \nabla_{X_1}^\perp H, u \rangle - \langle \nabla_{X_2}^\perp H, v \rangle = 0, \quad \langle \nabla_{X_1}^\perp H, u \rangle + \langle \nabla_{X_2}^\perp H, v \rangle = 0. \]
In our situation, since \( H \) is parallel, \( \phi \) is holomorphic. Since the only holomorphic differential on the sphere \( S^2 \) is the constantly zero one, we get that \( \| u \| = \| v \| \) and \( \langle u, v \rangle = 0 \) from which we obtain that \( u \) and \( v \) are semi-axes of the ellipse and that they have the same length. Hence, we have equality in (1.1). If the codimension is equal to two, then \( K_N \) is the curvature of the normal bundle and \( \int_M K_N dM = 2\pi \chi(N) \) (see Little [10]), thus giving (1.2).

**Proof of Corollary 1.** Formula (1.3) is a direct application of (1.2). The area of \( M \) is a multiple of \( 4\pi \), because \( \chi(N) \) is always an even number (in fact, it is twice the self intersection number of the immersion). Also, it is well-known that two such immersions are regularly homotopic if and only if their normal bundles have the same Euler characteristic (see Hirsch [8]).

**Proof of Corollary 2.** By fact 2, \( \chi(M) = 2, \chi(N) = 4, \) and (1.4) follows from (1.1).

**Remark 5.** In the proof of Theorem 1 we saw that the ellipse is always a circle. Using isothermal parameters \( z = x_1 + ix_2 \) in a neighborhood of \( p \in M \), we obtain from equations (3.5) that the functions \( \omega_\alpha(z) = \langle u, e_\alpha \rangle - i \langle v, e_\alpha \rangle, \alpha = 3, \ldots, n \) satisfy the condition of the theorem in
Chern ([6], §4), where \( \{e_3, \ldots, e_n\} \) is an orthonormal frame in a neighborhood of \( p \). Hence, we can conclude that the ellipse degenerates into a point at isolated points only, and that at these points the "osculating plane" \( P \) is still well defined. Hence \( P \) is a globally defined oriented plane subbundle of the normal bundle \( N \).

**Proof of Corollary 3.** By the above remark we know that the ellipse is always a circle and that it is either a point only at isolated points or always a point. Since, by fact 3, \( K_N \) is the area of the ellipse, we see that we can assume that \( K_N \geq 0 \). However, since \( 0 = \chi(N) = (1/2\pi)\int_M K_N dM \), we must have \( K_N = 0 \). Therefore the immersion is totally geodesic, since it is minimal.

**Proof of Theorem 2.** We want to show now that the radius \( \lambda \) of the circle is a constant function. Let \( \lambda_0 \) be the maximum value of \( \lambda^2 \) on \( M \). If \( \lambda_0 \) is identically zero then the immersion is totally geodesic. Assume \( \lambda_0 > 0 \) and consider the set \( B = (\lambda^2)^{-1}(\lambda_0) \). Since \( B \) is always closed, if we show that it is open in \( M \), then \( B = M \). Let \( p \) be a point in \( B \); we will show that \( \Delta \log \lambda^2 \geq 0 \) in a neighborhood \( U \) of \( p \). But then, since the maximum is attained at \( p \), \( \lambda^2 \) must be constant in \( U \), proving that \( B \) is open.

Since \( \lambda^2(p) \neq 0 \) and the immersion is minimal, for any orthonormal frame field \( \{X_1, X_2\} \) tangent to \( M \) in \( U \), \( e_3 = \lambda^{-1} \alpha_{11} \) and \( e_4 = \lambda^{-1} \alpha_{12} \) define an oriented frame in \( P \). Using the covariant derivatives for the second fundamental form \( \alpha \) and the Codazzi equations, we have

\[
X_1 \lambda^2 = 2 \langle \nabla_{X_1} \alpha(X_1, X_2), \alpha(X_1, X_2) \rangle \\
= 2 \langle (\tilde{\nabla}_{X_1} \alpha)(X_1, X_2), \alpha(X_1, X_2) \rangle \\
+ 2 \langle \alpha(\nabla_{X_1} X_2, \alpha(X_1, X_2)) + 2 \langle \alpha(X_1, \nabla_{X_1} X_2), \alpha(X_1, X_2) \rangle \\
= 2 \langle (\tilde{\nabla}_{X_2} \alpha)(X_1, X_1), \alpha(X_1, X_2) \rangle \\
= 2 \langle \nabla_{X_2} \alpha(X_1, X_1), \alpha(X_1, X_2) \rangle - 4 \langle \alpha(\nabla_{X_2} X_1, X_1), \alpha(X_1, X_2) \rangle \\
= 2 \langle \nabla_{X_2} \alpha(X_1, X_1), \alpha(X_1, X_2) \rangle \\
- 4 \langle \nabla_{X_2} X_1, X_2 \rangle \langle \alpha(X_2, X_1), \alpha(X_1, X_2) \rangle \\
= 2 \langle \nabla_{X_2} \lambda e_3, \lambda e_4 \rangle - 4 \lambda^2 \langle \nabla_{X_2} X_1, X_2 \rangle \\
= 2 \lambda^2(\omega_{34}(X_2) - 2 \omega_{12}(X_2)).
\]
Denoting by $J$ the complex structure of $M$, we have $J(X_2) = -X_1$, and we can write the above equation as

$$d\lambda^2 \circ J(X_2) = 2\lambda^2(2\omega_{12} - \omega_{34})(X_2).$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$d\lambda^2 \circ J(X_1) = 2\lambda^2(2\omega_{12} - \omega_{34})(X_1).$$

Hence, from (3.7) and (3.8) we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \Delta \log \lambda^2 = -\frac{1}{2}d(d \log \lambda^2 \circ J)$$

$$= -d(2\omega_{12} - \omega_{34}) = 2K - K^*.$$ 

Hence, under the conditions of the theorem we get that $\log \lambda^2$ is constant and consequently $\lambda^2$ is constant. Hence $K_N = 2\lambda^2$ and $K = 1 - 2\lambda^2$ are constant. Finally, by [7], $M$ is a generalized Veronese surface.
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