

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**A NOTE ON THE CARDINALITY OF INFINITE PARTIALLY
ORDERED SETS**

JOHN NORMAN GINSBURG

A NOTE ON THE CARDINALITY OF INFINITE PARTIALLY ORDERED SETS

JOHN GINSBURG

Let P be an infinite partially ordered set with 0 and 1. A subset B of P is called a π -base for P if for every element x of P with $0 < x < 1$ there exist elements b, c in B such that $0 < b \leq x \leq c < 1$. We let $\pi(P)$ denote the smallest cardinality of a π -base for P . We also let $h\pi(P) = \sup\{\pi(S) : S \subseteq P\}$. The width and depth of P are defined as usual: $w(P) = \sup\{\kappa : P \text{ contains an antichain of cardinality } \kappa\}$; $d(P) = \sup\{\kappa : P \text{ contains a well-ordered or dually well-ordered subset of cardinality } \kappa\}$. We establish the following result: **THEOREM.** $|P| \leq h\pi(P)^{d(P)}$. Various corollaries are obtained which imply and extend several known results on the cardinality of partially ordered sets, for example: **COROLLARY.** (a) $|P| \leq 2^{h\pi(P)}$. (b) $|P| \leq w(P)^{d(P)}$. (c) If B is a Boolean algebra then $|B| \leq 2^{w(B)}$.

1. **Preliminaries.** In this note several cardinality statements are established relating the cardinality of a partially ordered set to its width, depth, and π -weight. These results extend and imply several known results.

Our set-theoretic notation and terminology are standard and follow [3]. In particular the cardinality of a set S is denoted by $|S|$ and a cardinal number is thought of as an initial ordinal. If α and β are ordinals then α^β denotes the set of all mappings from β into α . If α and β are cardinals then we also let α^β denote the cardinal exponentiation of α to the power β . If κ is a cardinal then κ^+ denotes the first cardinal bigger than κ , and $\text{cf}(\kappa)$ denotes the cofinality of κ —the least cardinal λ such that κ is the sum of λ cardinals each of which is less than κ .

For additional information on partially ordered sets and the concepts considered here the reader is referred to [5]. Let P be an infinite partially ordered set. The *width* of P , denoted by $w(P)$, is defined as

$$w(P) = \omega \cdot \sup\{\kappa : P \text{ contains an antichain of cardinality } \kappa\}.$$

We define

$$d^+(P) = \omega \cdot \sup\{\kappa : P \text{ contains a well-ordered subset of cardinality } \kappa\}$$

and

$$d^-(P) = \omega \cdot \sup\{\kappa : P \text{ contains a dually well-ordered subset of cardinality } \kappa\}.$$

The *depth* of P , denoted by $d(P)$, is defined by

$$d(P) = \omega \cdot \sup\{\kappa : P \text{ contains a well-ordered or dually well-ordered subset of cardinality } \kappa\}.$$

Note that $d(P) = d^-(P) \cdot d^+(P)$.

If P has no largest element 1 then the expression $P - \{1\}$ is understood to just mean P itself. A similar remark applies to $P - \{0\}$. Let S be a subset of P . A subset C of S is said to be *cofinal* (*cointial*) in S if for every element x of S there exists an element c in C with $x \leq c$. ($c \leq x$). If C is both cofinal and cointial in S we call C a π -base for S . Following the notation of [3] we let $\pi_0(P) = \min\{\kappa : P - \{0\} \text{ has a cointial subset of cardinality } \kappa\} \cdot \omega$ and $\pi_1(P) = \min\{\kappa : P - \{1\} \text{ has a cofinal subset of cardinality } \kappa\} \cdot \omega$, and $\pi(P) = \omega \cdot \min\{\kappa : P - \{0, 1\} \text{ has a } \pi\text{-base of cardinality } \kappa\}$. $\pi(P)$ is called the π -weight of P . Clearly $\pi(P) = \pi_0(P) \cdot \pi_1(P)$. We also define $h\pi_0(P) = \sup\{\pi_0(S) : S \subseteq P\}$, $h\pi_1(P) = \sup\{\pi_1(S) : S \subseteq P\}$, and $h\pi(P) = \sup\{\pi(S) : S \subseteq P\}$. Here, in considering $\pi(S)$ for subsets S of P , we are of course considering S as a partially ordered set in its own right in the induced order. $h\pi(P)$ is called the *hereditary π -weight* of P .

2. Some relations involving cardinality, width, depth, and π -weight.

Throughout this section we assume that P is an infinite partially ordered set with 0 and 1. This latter assumption is superfluous, since we can always add a 0 or 1 if necessary, and our results are valid for all infinite partially ordered sets. In the following proof of 2.1 we use a simple “tree-type argument” or ramification system as described in [2].

2.1. THEOREM. (a) $|P| \leq h\pi_1(P)^{d^-(P)}$. (b) $|P| \leq h\pi_0(P)^{d^+(P)}$.

Proof. We prove (a); the second statement follows by duality. We let $\kappa = h\pi_1(P)$ and let $\lambda = d^-(P)$. If $\phi \subset S \subseteq P$ then S contains a cofinal subset of cardinality $\leq \kappa$. We choose one such subset $C(S)$ cofinal in S and let $C(S) = \{p_S(\xi) : \xi < \kappa\}$ be a fixed enumeration of the elements of $C(S)$.

Now for every $\alpha < \lambda^+$ and for every $f \in \kappa^\alpha$ we will define a point x_f of P as follows: We let $x_\phi = 1$. Now, suppose $\alpha < \lambda^+$ and that for every $\beta < \alpha$ and for every $f \in \kappa^\beta$ we have defined x_f . Let $f \in \kappa^\alpha$. We will define x_f . If α is a limit ordinal we let $x_f = 1$. If $\alpha = \beta + 1$, let $S_f = \{p \in P : p < x_{f\xi} \text{ for all } \xi \leq \beta\}$. If $S_f = \phi$, let $x_f = 1$. Otherwise let $x_f = p_{S_f}(f(\beta))$.

Thus for every $\alpha < \lambda^+$ and every f in κ^α we have defined a point x_f . We now show that $P = \{x_f : f \in \bigcup\{\kappa^\alpha : \alpha < \lambda^+\}\}$. For suppose not. Then there exists an element r in P which is not equal to x_f for any f in κ^α for any $\alpha < \lambda^+$. In particular $r < 1$.

We now define inductively, for every $\alpha < \lambda^+$, a function g_α in κ^α as follows. Let $g_0 = \phi$. Now, let $\alpha < \lambda^+$ and suppose that for every $\beta < \alpha$ we have defined $g_\beta \in \kappa^\beta$ such that

1. $\gamma < \beta < \alpha \rightarrow g_\beta \upharpoonright \gamma = g_\gamma$,
2. $r < x_{g_\beta}$ for every $\beta < \alpha$, and
3. $\gamma < \beta < \beta + 1 < \alpha \rightarrow x_{g_{\beta+1}} < x_{g_{\gamma+1}}$.

We now define $g_\alpha \in \kappa^\alpha$. If α is a limit ordinal let $g_\alpha = \bigcup \{g_\gamma : \gamma < \alpha\}$. If $\alpha = \beta + 1$, let $T_\alpha = \{p \in P : p < x_{g_\gamma} \text{ for all } \gamma < \alpha\}$. Then $T_\alpha \neq \phi$ by (2) and so the set $C(T_\alpha)$ is defined. Since $r \in T_\alpha$ and $C(T_\alpha)$ is cofinal in T_α , there exists an element $p_{T_\alpha}(\xi)$ in $C(T_\alpha)$ such that $r \leq p_{T_\alpha}(\xi)$. We define g_α as follows: $g_\alpha(\eta) = g_\beta(\eta)$ if $\eta < \beta$ and $g_\alpha(\beta) =$ the first ordinal $\xi < \kappa$ such that $r \leq p_{T_\alpha}(\xi)$. This defines $g_\alpha \in \kappa^\alpha$. We note that $p_{T_\alpha}(g_\alpha(\beta)) = x_{g_\alpha}$, as follows from the manner in which we defined the x_f 's. Therefore, by the choice of r it follows that $r < x_{g_\alpha}$. Also note that since $p_{T_\alpha}(g_\alpha(\beta)) \in T_\alpha$, it follows that $x_{g_\alpha} < x_{g_\gamma}$ for all $\gamma < \alpha$. This completes the induction; the result is a sequence $\{g_\alpha : \alpha < \lambda^+\}$ which satisfies (1), (2), and (3) above for all $\gamma, \beta < \lambda^+$. But then $\{X_{g_{\alpha+1}} : \alpha < \lambda^+\}$ is a dually well-ordered subset of P of cardinality λ^+ . This is impossible since $d^-(P) = \lambda$. This proves our claim that $P = \{x_f : f \in \bigcup \{\kappa^\alpha : \alpha < \lambda^+\}\}$. Therefore

$$|P| \leq \left| \bigcup \{\kappa^\alpha : \alpha < \lambda^+\} \right| \leq \sum_{\alpha < \lambda^+} \kappa^{|\alpha|} \leq \lambda^+ \cdot \kappa^\lambda = \kappa^\lambda,$$

completing the proof of (a).

2.2. COROLLARY. $|P| \leq 2^{h\pi(P)}$.

Proof. First note that $d^+(P) \leq h\pi_1(P)$ (and dually that $d^-(P) \leq h\pi_0(P)$); for let $h\pi_1(P) = \kappa$. If $d^+(P) > \kappa$ then P must contain a well-ordered subset of cardinality κ^+ and hence must contain a subset S isomorphic to the ordinal κ^+ . However any cofinal subset of κ^+ has cardinality κ^+ and so $\pi_1(S) = \kappa^+$, which contradicts the fact that $\pi_1(S) \leq h\pi_1(P) = \kappa$. Thus we have $d^+(P) \leq h\pi_1(P)$ and the dual. Therefore $d(P) \leq h\pi(P)$. Theorem 2.1 now implies that

$$|P| \leq h\pi(P)^{d(P)} \leq 2^{h\pi(P) \cdot d(P)} \leq 2^{h\pi(P) \cdot h\pi(P)} = 2^{h\pi(P)}$$

as desired.

2.3. COROLLARY. *If P satisfies the descending chain condition then $|P| = h\pi_1(P)$. If P satisfies the ascending chain condition then $|P| = h\pi_0(P)$.*

Proof. This is really a corollary of the proof of 2.1. We prove the first statement. If P satisfies the descending chain condition then P contains no

decreasing ω -sequence. In the proof of 2.1 we replace λ^+ by ω and we conclude that $P = \{x_f : f \in \bigcup \{\kappa^n : n \in \omega\}\}$. Therefore

$$|P| \leq \sum_{n < \omega} |\kappa^n| = \omega \cdot \kappa = \kappa = h\pi_1(P).$$

Since $h\pi_1(P) \leq |P|$ obviously holds in general, the corollary follows.

Theorem 2.1 can also be used to obtain a relationship between the cardinality of P and the width of P which was first obtained in [4] by a different method. First we establish a lemma.

2.4. LEMMA. (a) $\pi_0(P) \leq w(P)^{d^-(P)}$. (b) $\pi_1(P) \leq w(P)^{d^+(P)}$.

Proof. We prove (b); the first statement is the dual. Thus let $\kappa = w(P)$ and let $\lambda = d^+(P)$. Now P contains a cofinal subset S which is *well-founded*; that is, a cofinal subset S which contains no infinite decreasing sequence. (See [5].) Therefore every chain in S is well-ordered, and so all chains in S have cardinality $\leq \lambda$. Also all antichains of S have cardinality $\leq \kappa$. Now, considering the partition relation $(\kappa^\lambda)^+ \rightarrow (\kappa^+, \lambda^+)$, (see [2]), and the partition of the pairs of elements of S into incomparable pairs and comparable pairs, we conclude that $|S| \leq \kappa^\lambda$. Therefore $\pi_1(P) \leq |S| \leq \kappa^\lambda$, as desired.

As a corollary we now obtain the following result from [4].

2.5. COROLLARY. $|P| \leq w(P)^{d(P)}$.

Proof. Obviously if S is any subset of P then $w(S) \leq w(P)$, $d^-(S) \leq d^-(P)$, and $d^+(S) \leq d^+(P)$. Therefore the lemma implies that $\pi_0(S) \leq w(S)^{d^-(S)} \leq w(P)^{d^-(P)}$. Therefore $h\pi_0(P) = \sup\{\pi_0(S) : S \subseteq P\} \leq w(P)^{d^-(P)}$. Part (b) of Theorem 2.1 now implies that $|P| \leq h\pi_0(P)^{d^+(P)} \leq (w(P)^{d^-(P)})^{d^+(P)} = w(P)^{d(P)}$ as desired.

2.6. COROLLARY. $|P| \leq 2^{w(P) \cdot d(P)}$.

Proof. This follows immediately from 2.5.

2.7. COROLLARY. *Assume the generalized continuum hypothesis GCH. Let P be a partially ordered set. If $d(P) < cf(w(P))$ then $|P| = w(P)$. In particular, if $|P| = \kappa^+$ and if $d(P) < cf(w(P))$ then P contains an anti-chain of cardinality κ^+ .*

Proof. Assuming GCH, we have $a^b = a$ whenever $b < cf(a)$. Therefore the assumptions imply by 2.5 that $|P| \leq w(P)$. Since always $w(P) \leq |P|$ the result follows. The second statement follows from the first and the definition of $w(P)$.

3. Some comments and examples concerning the previous results. We will now examine the sharpness of some of the preceding results and their connection with other results in the literature. Our comments pertain mainly to 2.2, 2.5, and 2.6.

First note that for any partially ordered set P , $w(P) \leq h\pi(P)$. For, if S is an antichain then the only cofinal or coinital subset of S is S itself. This relation, together with the fact that $d(P) \leq h\pi(P)$, mentioned in the proof of 2.2, are the only relations which hold in general involving these three cardinal numbers.

We observe that, in the statement of 2.2, $|P| \leq 2^{h\pi(P)}$, we cannot replace hereditary π -weight by π -weight. That is, $|P| \leq 2^{\pi(P)}$ does not hold in general. For example, if Q is any partially ordered set of cardinality κ , then the ordinal sum $P = \omega + Q + \omega$ has $|P| = \kappa$ and $\pi(P) = \omega$. One class of partially ordered sets for which $|P| \leq 2^{\pi(P)}$ does hold is the class of Boolean algebras. (If P is Boolean and if B is a π -base for P , then for every non-zero element x of P , we let $B_x = \{b \in B : b \leq x\}$. Note that if $x \neq y$ then $B_x \neq B_y$, and so $|P| \leq 2^{|B|}$.)

Familiar examples show that equality can be attained in the results of the preceding section. Regarding 2.5 and 2.6 we note that neither of the numbers $w(P)$ or $d(P)$ by itself in general limits the cardinality of P , as is shown in the case when P is a chain or an antichain. For the important special class of Boolean algebras, $w(P)$ alone limits the cardinality of P . In fact, as is shown in [1], if B is a Boolean algebra then $|B| \leq 2^{w(B)}$. (This follows from a stronger result proved in [1], that $\pi(B) \leq w(B)$ if B is Boolean.) We note here that the result $|B| \leq 2^{w(B)}$ for Boolean algebras can also be deduced immediately from Corollary 2.6: $|P| \leq 2^{w(P)d(P)}$, because in any Boolean algebra we always have $d(B) \leq w(B)$. (If $\{x_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$ is a well-ordered subset of B with $\alpha < \beta \rightarrow x_\alpha < x_\beta$, then the elements $x_{\alpha+1} - x_\alpha$ for $\alpha < \kappa$ form an antichain.)

Regarding 2.5, we note that a weaker statement, namely $|P| \leq w(P)^{l(P)}$, can be proven directly from a partition relation. (Here $l(P)$ denotes the length of P ; $l(P) = \sup\{\kappa : P \text{ contains a chain of cardinality } \kappa\}$.) In fact, the proof of 2.4 above really contains a proof of this fact using the partition relation $(\kappa^\lambda)^+ \rightarrow (\kappa^+, \lambda^+)$ from [2].

We also note that 2.6 includes as a special case the well-known result that, if T is a totally ordered set then $|T| \leq 2^{d(T)}$.

In conclusion the author wishes to thank E. Milner for his comments concerning the above results. I would also like to thank the referee for the argument used to prove 2.4 above, much simplifying the original.

REFERENCES

1. J. Baumgartner and P. Komjath, *Boolean algebras in which every chain and every antichain is countable*, to appear.
2. P. Erdos and R. Rado, *A partition calculus in set theory*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **62** (1956), 427–489.
3. I. Juhasz, *Cardinal Functions in Topology*, Math. Center Tracts, 34, Mathematical Center, Amsterdam, 1971.
4. G. Kurepa, *On the cardinal number of ordered sets and of symmetrical structures in dependence on the cardinal number of its chains and antichains*, Glasnik Mat.-Fiz. Astronom. Društvo, Mat. Fiz. Hrvatske Ser. II, **14** (1959), 183–203.
5. E. C. Milner and K. Prikry, *The cofinality of a partially ordered set*, to appear.

Received June 2, 1981 and in revised form April 13, 1982. This research was partially supported by a grant from NSERC of Canada.

UNIVERSITY OF WINNIPEG
WINNIPEG, MANITOBA, CANADA R3B 2E9

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

DONALD BABBITT (Managing Editor)

University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90024

HUGO ROSSI

University of Utah
Salt Lake City, UT 84112

C. C. MOORE and ARTHUR OGUS

University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, CA 90089-1113

R. FINN and H. SAMELSON

Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. ARENS

E. F. BECKENBACH
(1906-1982)

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA
UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. In particular it should contain no bibliographic references. Please propose a heading for the odd numbered pages of less than 35 characters. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. 39. Supply name and address of author to whom proofs should be sent. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024.

There are page-charges associated with articles appearing in the Pacific Journal of Mathematics. These charges are expected to be paid by the author's University, Government Agency or Company. If the author or authors do not have access to such Institutional support these charges are waived. Single authors will receive 50 free reprints; joint authors will receive a total of 100 free reprints. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$132.00 a year (6 Vol., 12 issues). Special rate: \$66.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924, U.S.A. Old back numbers obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics ISSN 0030-8730 is published monthly by the Pacific Journal of Mathematics at P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924. Application to mail at Second-class postage rates is pending at Carmel Valley, California, and additional mailing offices. Postmaster: Send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P. O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Copyright © 1983 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 106, No. 2

December, 1983

R. J. Gazik , Non-Hausdorff convergence spaces	257
John Norman Ginsburg , A note on the cardinality of infinite partially ordered sets	265
Norman Joseph Goldstein , Ampleness in complex homogeneous spaces and a second Lefschetz theorem	271
Clyde D. Hardin and Loren Dallas Pitt , Integral invariants of functions and L^p isometries on groups	293
M. Scott Osborne and Garth William Warner, Jr. , The Selberg trace formula. II. Partition, reduction, truncation	307