

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**SOME REMARKS ON MEASURES ON NONCOMPACT
SEMISIMPLE LIE GROUPS**

ALLADI SITARAM

SOME REMARKS ON MEASURES ON NON-COMPACT SEMI-SIMPLE LIE GROUPS

ALLADI SITARAM

This paper answers a question posed by K. R. Parthasarathy: Let X be a symmetric space of non-compact type and G the connected component of the group of isometries of X . Let m be the canonical G -invariant measure on X and E a Borel set in X such that \bar{E} is compact and $0 < m(E) < \infty$. If μ, ν are probability measures on X such that $\mu(g \cdot E) = \nu(g \cdot E)$ for all $g \in G$, then is $\mu = \nu$? We answer the question in the affirmative (Theorem A) and also find that the condition “ \bar{E} is compact” is unnecessary. A special case of this problem (under the condition that μ and ν are K -invariant probabilities on X , where K is a maximal compact subgroup of G) was settled by I. K. Rana.

1. It is interesting to consider the corresponding problem on the real line: If E is a Borel subset of \mathbf{R} such that $0 < m(E) < \infty$ (where m is the Lebesgue measure on \mathbf{R}) and μ, ν are two probabilities on \mathbf{R} such that $\mu(x + E) = \nu(x + E)$ for all $x \in \mathbf{R}$, then is $\mu = \nu$? The answer to this is ‘yes’ under some additional conditions on E — for example \bar{E} compact or $E \subset \mathbf{R}^+$ or E becomes “very thin at ∞ ”. (See [5].) However in general the answer does not seem to be known. It is in view of this that Theorem A is interesting because in the case of a symmetric space of non-compact type all we require is $0 < m(E) < \infty$. We should also point out that Theorem A does not hold in the case of symmetric spaces of compact type — see [1]. Finally we take up briefly: (a) the question of what happens if the measures are allowed to be infinite and get a strong negative result (Theorem B) — (for more information on this problem see [1]); and (b) the corresponding question for the group G itself and again get a negative result (Theorem C).

2. **Preliminaries.** A symmetric space X of non-compact type is of the form G/K where G is the connected component of the group of isometries of X and K is a maximal compact subgroup of G . Moreover G is semi-simple, non-compact and with finite centre. Thus instead of working with measures on X we work with right K -invariant measures on G and we can therefore state all our results in terms of the group G . We now fix some notation that will be used in the sequel — for any unexplained concepts see [2] or [3]. Throughout this paper G is an arbitrary

connected, non-compact, semi-simple Lie group with finite centre and K a fixed maximal compact subgroup of G . Let m be a fixed Haar measure on G . $L^1(G)$ will denote the set of complex valued functions on G integrable with respect to m . A function f on G is said to be right K -invariant (respectively left K -invariant) iff $f(xk) = f(x)$ (respectively $f(kx) = f(x)$), $x \in G, k \in K$. Let $L^1(G/K) = \{f \in L^1(G); f \text{ right } K\text{-invariant}\}$ and $L^1(K \setminus G/K) = \{f \in L^1(G); f \text{ both left and right } K\text{-invariant}\}$. For a set $E \subset G$, let 1_E denote its indicator function. A set $E \subset G$ is said to be right K -invariant (resp. left K -invariant) iff 1_E is right K -invariant (resp. left K -invariant). A measure μ on G is said to be right K -invariant iff $\mu(Ek) = \mu(E)$ for all Borel sets $E \subset G$ and all $k \in K$. If $f \in L^1(G)$ define $f^K \in L^1(G/K)$ by $f^K(x) = \int_K f(xk) dk$ where dk is the normalized Haar measure on the compact group K . If f is a function on G , let \tilde{f} be defined by $\tilde{f}(x) = f(x^{-1})$. (Note that if f is right K -invariant \tilde{f} will be left K -invariant and vice-versa.) If $f_1, f_2 \in L^1(G)$ define $f_1 * f_2 \in L^1(G)$ by

$$(f_1 * f_2)(x) = \int_G f_1(xy^{-1})f_2(y) dm(y).$$

It is easy to see that $(f_1 * f_2)^K = f_1 * f_2^K$.

Let $G = KAN$ be a fixed Iwasawa decomposition of G (see [3]) and let \mathfrak{a} be the Lie algebra of A, \mathfrak{a}^* the dual of \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{a}_c^* the complexification of \mathfrak{a}^* . For each $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ let π_λ be the irreducible unitary representation of G on H_λ where $\{(\pi_\lambda, H_\lambda)\}_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*}$ is the class-1 principal series representation of G (see [2], p. 59). Then each H_λ contains a vector $v_\lambda, \|v_\lambda\| = 1$ and $\pi_\lambda(k)v_\lambda = v_\lambda$ for all $k \in K$ and, moreover, v_λ is unique up to a scalar multiple of modulus one. If (π, H) is a unitary representation of G , then π “lifts” to a representation of $L^1(G)$ and we also denote this by π . (Thus $\pi(f) = \int_G f(x)\pi(x) dm(x)$, where the integral on the right has to be suitably interpreted.) For each $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_c^*$, let φ_λ be the elementary spherical function corresponding to λ (see [2] or [3]) and if $f \in L^1(K \setminus G/K)$ define its spherical Fourier transform \hat{f} on \mathfrak{a}^* by

$$\hat{f}(\lambda) = \int_G f(x)\varphi_\lambda(x^{-1}) dm(x).$$

We now make three basic observations which will be needed in the next section.

Observation 1. If $f \in L^1(G/K)$ and $\pi_\lambda(f) = 0$ for almost all $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ (with respect to Lebesgue measure on \mathfrak{a}^*), then $f = 0$ a.e. with respect to the Haar measure on G .

(This follows from the Plancherel theorem for K -invariant functions and the fact that the Plancherel measure on a^* is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure on a^* — see [2].)

Observation 2. Let $f \in L^1(G/K)$. Let v_λ and H_λ be as before. Then $\pi_\lambda(f) = 0$ iff $\pi_\lambda(f)v_\lambda = 0$.

(This follows from the fact that if f is right K -invariant and if $v \in H_\lambda$ transforms according to a non-trivial irreducible representation of K , then $\pi_\lambda(f)v = 0$. Thus “all the information about $\pi_\lambda(f)$ is contained in $\pi_\lambda(f)v_\lambda$ ”. See [2].)

Observation 3. If $f \in L^1(K \backslash G/K)$, then $\pi_\lambda(f)v_\lambda = \hat{f}(\lambda)v_\lambda$. Moreover if $0 \neq f$, \hat{f} is nonzero a.e. on a^* with respect to Lebesgue measure on a^* .

(For the first part see the discussion on pp. 69–70 of [2]. The second part follows from the fact that \hat{f} extends to a holomorphic function in a certain “tube” in a_c^* containing a^* — see [2].)

3. The main results. We are now in a position to prove the assertion made in the introduction.

THEOREM A. *Let E be a right K -invariant Borel set in G such that $0 < m(E) < \infty$. If μ is a complex (finite) right K -invariant measure on G such that $\mu(g \cdot E) = 0$ for all $g \in G$, then $\mu \equiv 0$.*

(This theorem can be interpreted as follows: Let X be the symmetric space G/K and let G act (as isometries) on X in the usual manner. If μ, ν are probabilities on G/K , E a Borel set in X of finite G -invariant measure and $\mu(g \cdot E) = \nu(g \cdot E)$ for all $g \in G$, then $\mu = \nu$.)

Proof. It is enough to prove the theorem for $\mu = f \in L^1(G/K)$. (Then an easy approximate identity argument can be used to deduce the theorem for a general right K -invariant complex measure μ .) We have to prove that if $\int_{g \cdot E} f(x) dm(x) = 0$ for all $g \in G$, then $f = 0$ a.e. (m). The above condition implies $f * \tilde{1}_E \equiv 0$. Now $(f * \tilde{1}_E)^K = f * \tilde{1}_E^K$ and hence $f * \tilde{1}_E^K = 0$. Since 1_E is right K -invariant, observe that $\tilde{1}_E$ is left K -invariant and hence $\tilde{1}_E^K$ is K -bi-invariant. To prove the theorem it is enough to show (by Observation 1 in §2) that $\pi_\lambda(f) = 0$ for almost all $\lambda \in a^*$. Let v_λ and H_λ be as in §2. So by Observation 2, it is enough to show $\pi_\lambda(f)v_\lambda = 0$ a.e. (λ). Since $f * \tilde{1}_E^K \equiv 0$ we have $\pi_\lambda(f * \tilde{1}_E^K)v_\lambda = 0$ for all λ , i.e. $\pi_\lambda(f)\pi_\lambda(\tilde{1}_E^K)v_\lambda = 0$ for all λ . Thus using the K -bi-invariance of $\tilde{1}_E^K$ and

using Observation 3 we have $(\tilde{1}_E^K)^\wedge(\lambda)\pi_\lambda(f)v_\lambda = 0$ for all λ . But by the second part of Observation 3, $(\tilde{1}_E^K)^\wedge(\lambda) \neq 0$ a.e. (λ) and hence we have $\pi_\lambda(f)v_\lambda = 0$ a.e. (λ) and the proof of the theorem is complete.

However the situation changes drastically if we do not assume f to be integrable in the above theorem — (of course in this case we have to restrict ourselves to sets E with \bar{E} compact). In fact we have the following negative result.

THEOREM B. *Let E be a K -bi-invariant Borel set in G with \bar{E} compact and $m(E) > 0$. Then there exists an elementary spherical function φ such that $\int_{g \in E} \varphi(x) dm(x) = 0$ for all $g \in G$.*

Proof. It is well known that if $h \in L^1(K \backslash G/K)$ and if h is of compact support then \hat{h} extends to an entire function on a_c^* (where we identify a_c^* with \mathbb{C}^n , $n = \text{rank}(G/K)$). Further \hat{h} satisfies an estimate of the following type:

$$|\hat{h}(z)| \leq Ae^{B\|z\|}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^n (= a_c^*)$$

i.e., \hat{h} is an entire function of exponential type. Also, since $h \in L^1(K \backslash G/K)$, \hat{h} restricted to a^* vanishes at ∞ on a^* . Using the Hadamard factorization theorem one can easily show that such a function must necessarily have a zero, i.e., $\exists \lambda_0 \in a_c^*$ such that $\hat{h}(\lambda_0) = 0$. If we apply this discussion to $\tilde{1}_E$, we have $(\tilde{1}_E)^\wedge(\lambda_0) = 0$. (Note that we have assumed E is K -bi-invariant and \bar{E} is compact.) Thus:

$$(*) \quad \int_G \tilde{1}_E(g)\varphi_{\lambda_0}(g^{-1}) dm(g) = \int_G 1_E(g)\varphi_{\lambda_0}(g) dm(g) = 0.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} (\varphi_{\lambda_0} * \tilde{1}_E)(x) &= \int_G \varphi_{\lambda_0}(xy)\tilde{1}_E(y^{-1}) dm(y) \\ &= \int_G \varphi_{\lambda_0}(xy)1_E(y) dm(y). \end{aligned}$$

Making use of the left K -invariance of E and the fact

$$\int_K \varphi_{\lambda_0}(xky) dk = \varphi_{\lambda_0}(x)\varphi_{\lambda_0}(y)$$

we get $\forall x \in G$,

$$(\varphi_{\lambda_0} * \tilde{1}_E)(x) = \varphi_{\lambda_0}(x) \int \varphi_{\lambda_0}(y) 1_E(y) dm(y) = 0$$

by (*). Thus the theorem is proved since we can take $\varphi = \varphi_{\lambda_0}$.

(Again Theorem B can be interpreted as follows: Let E be a K -invariant set in G/K such that E has positive G -invariant measure and \bar{E} is compact. Then there exist distinct positive infinite measures μ, ν on G/K such that $\mu(gE) = \nu(gE)$ for all $g \in G$. The “Euclidean” version of this theorem (i.e. $G =$ the set of rigid motions and $X = \mathbf{R}^n$) was proved by Brown-Schreiber-Taylor — see reference [4] in [1].

The problem considered in Theorem B is a special case of what is known as the Pompeiu problem. For more information on this problem we refer the reader to [1].)

A meaningful question to ask at the group level is: Let G be a semi-simple, connected, non-compact Lie group (without compact factors). If E is a Borel set in G with $0 < m(E) < \infty$, $f \in L^1(G)$ and $\int_{g \cdot E} f(x) dm(x) = \int_{E \cdot g} f(x) dm(x) = 0$ for all $g \in G$, then is $f = 0$ a.e.? The answer to this turns out to be negative as the following theorem shows:

THEOREM C. *Let G be the group $SL(2, \mathbf{R})$ and E a K -bi-invariant Borel set in G with $0 < m(E) < \infty$. Then there exists a non-trivial $f \in L^1(G)$ such that*

$$\int_{g \cdot E} f(x) dm(x) = \int_{E \cdot g} f(x) dm(x) = 0 \quad \text{for all } g \in G.$$

Proof. Let $0 \neq f$ be the matrix element of an integrable discrete series representation π of G . (It is known that such a π exists.) Then $f \in L^1(G) \cap L^2(G)$ and it is also known that such an f is orthogonal to $L^2(G/K)$ and $L^2(K \setminus G)$. Using this and the K -bi-invariance of E it easily follows that $\int_{g \cdot E} f(x) dm(x) = \int_{E \cdot g} f(x) dm(x) = 0$.

We would like to end this article with the following question: What can you say about the above problem if G does *not* have discrete series representations (for example if G is a complex group)?.

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank Professor K. R. Parthasarathy for getting him interested in the problems considered in this paper. The author also thanks Dr. B. Bagchi and Dr. S. C. Bagchi for useful conversations.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. A. Berenstein and L. Zalcman, *Pompeiu's problem on symmetric spaces*, Comment. Math. Helvetici, **55** (1980).
- [2] R. Gangolli, *Spherical Functions on Semi-Simple Lie Groups*, in Symmetric Spaces, Editors — W. M. Boothby and G. L. Weiss, Marcel and Dekker, New York, 1972.
- [3] S. Helgason, *Differential Geometry and Symmetric Spaces*, Academic Press, 1962.
- [4] I. K. Rana, *Determination of probability measures through group action*, Ph.D. thesis, Indian Statistical Institute, 1979.
- [5] N. A. Sapagov, *A uniqueness problem for finite measures in Euclidean spaces — problems in the theory of probability distribution*, Zap. Nauc. Seminars (LOMI) Leningrad, **41** (1974).

Received May 5, 1982 and in revised form December 21, 1982.

INDIAN STATISTICAL INSTITUTE
CALCUTTA 700035, INDIA

Current Address: Department of Mathematics
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98195, U.S.A.

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

DONALD BABBITT (Managing Editor)
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90024

HUGO ROSSI
University of Utah
Salt Lake City, UT 84112

C. C. MOORE and ARTHUR OGUS
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

J. DUGUNDJI
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, CA 90089-1113

R. FINN and H. SAMELSON
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. ARENS

E. F. BECKENBACH
(1906–1982)

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA
UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. In particular it should contain no bibliographic references. Please propose a heading for the odd numbered pages of less than 35 characters. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. 39. Supply name and address of author to whom proofs should be sent. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024.

There are page-charges associated with articles appearing in the Pacific Journal of Mathematics. These charges are expected to be paid by the author's University, Government Agency or Company. If the author or authors do not have access to such Institutional support these charges are waived. Single authors will receive 50 free reprints; joint authors will receive a total of 100 free reprints. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$132.00 a year (6 Vol., 12 issues). Special rate: \$66.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924, U.S.A. Old back numbers obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics ISSN 0030-8730 is published monthly by the Pacific Journal of Mathematics at P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924. Application to mail at Second-class postage rates is pending at Carmel Valley, California, and additional mailing offices. Postmaster: Send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Copyright © 1984 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Robert A. Bekes , <i>The range of convolution operators</i>	257
Dennis K. Burke and Sheldon Davis , <i>Subsets of ${}^\omega\omega$ and generalized metric spaces</i>	273
Giovanni Emmanuele , <i>A remark on a paper: "Common fixed points of nonexpansive mappings by iteration"</i>	283
I. Erdélyi and Sheng-Wang Wang , <i>On strongly decomposable operators</i> ...	287
Gerhard Gierz , <i>Injective Banach lattices with strong order units</i>	297
Maurizio Letizia , <i>Quotients by complex conjugation of nonsingular quadrics and cubics in \mathbf{P}_C^3 defined over \mathbf{R}</i>	307
P. H. Maserick and Franciszek Hugon Szafraniec , <i>Equivalent definitions of positive definiteness</i>	315
Costel Peligrad and S. Rubinstein , <i>Maximal subalgebras of C^*-crossed products</i>	325
Derek W. Robinson and Sadayuki Yamamuro , <i>Hereditary cones, order ideals and half-norms</i>	335
Derek W. Robinson and Sadayuki Yamamuro , <i>The Jordan decomposition and half-norms</i>	345
Richard Rochberg , <i>Interpolation of Banach spaces and negatively curved vector bundles</i>	355
Dale Rolfsen , <i>Rational surgery calculus: extension of Kirby's theorem</i>	377
Walter Iaan Seaman , <i>Helicoids of constant mean curvature and their Gauss maps</i>	387
Diana Shelstad , <i>Endoscopic groups and base change \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{R}</i>	397
Jerrold Norman Siegel and Frank Williams , <i>Numerical invariants of homotopies into spheres</i>	417
Alladi Sitaram , <i>Some remarks on measures on noncompact semisimple Lie groups</i>	429
Teruhiko Soma , <i>Atoroidal, irreducible 3-manifolds and 3-fold branched coverings of S^3</i>	435
Jan de Vries , <i>On the G-compactification of products</i>	447
Hans Weber , <i>Topological Boolean rings. Decomposition of finitely additive set functions</i>	471