

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**A MARCINKIEWICZ CRITERION FOR  $L^p$ -MULTIPLIERS**

HENRY DAPPA

## A MARCINKIEWICZ CRITERION FOR $L^p$ -MULTIPLIERS

HENRY DAPPA

Suppose  $m$  is a bounded measurable function on the  $n$ -dimensional Euclidean space  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . Define a linear operator  $T_m$  by  $(T_m f)^\wedge = m f^\wedge$ , where  $f \in L^2 \cap L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , and  $f^\wedge$  denotes the Fourier transform of  $f$ :

$$f^\wedge(\xi) := \int f(x) e^{-ix\xi} dx \quad \left( x\xi := \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \xi_j \right).$$

(We omit the domain of integration if it is the whole  $\mathbf{R}^n$ .) If  $T_m$  is bounded from  $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$  to  $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ , then  $m$  is called an  $L^p$ -(Fourier) multiplier, denoted  $m \in M_p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ . The norm of  $m$  coincides with the operator norm of  $T_m$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $m$  and  $m'$  be locally absolutely continuous on  $(0, \infty)$  and*

$$B := \|m\|_\infty + \sup_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} \int_{2^j}^{2^{j+1}} r |m''(r)| dr < \infty.$$

*Then  $m(|\xi|) \in M_p(\mathbf{R}^n)$  for all  $p$  with  $1 \leq 2n/(n+3) < p < 2n/(n-3) \leq \infty$ ; in particular,  $\|m\|_{M_p(\mathbf{R}^n)} \leq cB$  with  $c$  independent of  $m$ .*

1. To prove Theorem 1 we need a result stated in Theorem 2 about the following Littlewood-Paley function:

$$(1.1) \quad g_\lambda(f)(x) = \left( \int_0^\infty |S_t^{\lambda+1}(f; x) - S_t^\lambda(f; x)|^2 u(t) \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/2},$$

where

$$S_t^\lambda(f; x) = \int \left( 1 - \frac{|\xi|^2}{t^2} \right)_+^\lambda f^\wedge(\xi) e^{i\xi x} d\xi \quad (r_+ = \max(0, r))$$

denotes the Bochner-Riesz means of  $f$  of order  $\lambda$ ,  $u$  is a nonnegative measurable function on  $(0, \infty)$  satisfying

$$(1.2) \quad t \leq R(t) = \int_0^t u(s) ds \leq ct, \quad t > 0,$$

and  $f$  belongs to  $S$ , the space of all infinitely differentiable rapidly decreasing functions on  $\mathbf{R}^n$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $\lambda$  and  $p$  be such that*

$$1 < p < 2(n+1)/(n+3), \quad \lambda > n(1/p - 1/2) - 1/2,$$

*are valid. Then*

$$\|g_\lambda(f)\|_p \leq c\|f\|_p$$

*holds uniformly for  $f \in S$ .*

By  $c$  or  $C$  we always denote a constant that may be different on various occasions.

The above  $g_\lambda$ -function is a modification of the  $g_\delta^*$ -function of Bonami and Clerc [1; p. 242], used by them for deriving sufficient criteria of Marcinkiewicz type for zonal multipliers of expansions into spherical harmonics, and can be regarded as a variant of Stein's  $g_\delta$ -function [7; p. 130], which in our context reads as follows:

$$g_\lambda^*(f)(x) = \left( \int_0^\infty |S_t^{\lambda+1}(f; x) - S_t^\lambda(f; x)|^2 \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/2}.$$

Its  $L^p$ -behaviour has been investigated by Igari and Kuratsubo in [6] where they have shown via analytic interpolation between the points  $(\lambda_0, 1/p_0)$  and  $(\lambda_1, 1/p_1)$ ,  $\lambda_0 = (n-1)/2 + \varepsilon$ ,  $1/p_0 = 1 - \varepsilon$  or  $1/p_0 = \varepsilon$ , and  $\lambda_1 = -\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon$ ,  $1/p_1 = \frac{1}{2}$  ( $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+$ ) that

$$(1.3) \quad c_1\|f\|_p \leq \|g_\lambda^*(f)\|_p \leq c_2\|f\|_p,$$

$$1 < p < \infty, \quad \lambda > n|1/p - 1/2| - 1/2,$$

where each  $c_j > 0$  is independent of  $f \in S$ . Had we applied the interpolation argument of [6] to the  $g_\lambda$ -function defined in (1.1) as Bonami and Clerc [1; pp. 240, 242] did for their  $g_\delta^*$ -function, we could only take  $(\lambda_1, 1/p_1)$ ,  $\lambda_1 = \varepsilon$ ,  $1/p_1 = 1/2$  ( $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+$ ) as a second interpolation point. We should have then obtained

$$\|g_\lambda(f)\|_p \leq c\|f\|_p, \quad 1 < p < \infty, \quad \lambda > (n-1)|1/p - 1/2|$$

uniformly for  $f \in S$ , hence the same result as that of Bonami and Clerc for their  $g_\delta^*$ -function, which is not a good estimate in view of (1.3). In Theorem 2 we give an improvement of the above estimate in the sense of (1.3). The method of proof used here is a modification of techniques of Fefferman [2; pp. 28–33] in combination with the Tomas and Stein restriction theorem [9] for the Fourier transform. This theorem is applied at a crucial point of the proof and implies the restriction

$p \leq 2(n + 1)/(n + 3)$ , which is subsequently sharpened to  $p < 2(n + 1)/(n + 3)$  after the use of the Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem. Proceeding analogously to Bonami and Clerc [1; pp. 246–7] we derive Theorem 1 from Theorem 2.

The plan of the paper is the following. In §2 we prove Theorem 2. In §3 we derive Theorem 1 and make several remarks; in particular we show that Theorem 1 is best possible regarded as a Marcinkiewicz type criterion.

2. Let us recall the following decomposition Lemma, which is an essential tool for the proof of Theorem 2 (see [2; p. 15]).

LEMMA. *Let  $f \in L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$  and  $\alpha > 0$  be given. Then there exist two functions  $h$  and  $b$  and a collection  $\{I_j\}_{j \in \mathbf{N}}$  of pairwise disjoint cubes with the following properties:*

$$(2.1) \quad f = h + b, \quad \|h\|_p + \|b\|_p \leq A\|f\|_p.$$

$$(2.2) \quad |h(x)| \leq A\alpha \quad \text{for almost every } x \in \mathbf{R}^n.$$

$$(2.3) \quad b(x) = 0 \quad \text{for every } x \notin \Omega := \bigcup_{j \in \mathbf{N}} I_j.$$

$$(2.4) \quad \int_{I_j} |b(x)|^p dx \leq A\alpha^p |I_j|, \quad \int_{I_j} b(x) dx = 0 \quad \text{for every } I_j,$$

where  $|I_j|$  denotes Lebesgue measure of  $I_j$ .

$$(2.5) \quad |\Omega| = \sum_{j \in \mathbf{N}} |I_j| \leq A\alpha^{-p} \|f\|_p^p.$$

$$(2.6) \quad \text{Each cube has diameter equal to } 2^k \text{ for some } k \in \mathbf{Z}.$$

Let  $I_j^*$  be a cube with the same center as  $I_j$  but with

$$(2.7) \quad \text{sides twice as large. Then no point } x \in \mathbf{R}^n \text{ belongs to more than } N \text{ of the cubes } I_j^*.$$

*Proof of Theorem 2.* Let  $f \in S$  be given. In view of the Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem [8; p. 21], it suffices to show that

$$|\{x: g_\lambda(f)(x) > \alpha > 0\}| \leq c\alpha^{-p} \|f\|_p^p$$

holds uniformly in  $\alpha$  and  $f \in S$ . By (2.1) we have

$$(2.8) \quad |\{x: g_\lambda(f)(x) > \alpha\}| \leq |\{x: g_\lambda(h)(x) > \alpha/2\}| + |\{x: g_\lambda(b)(x) > \alpha/2\}|.$$

Thus we may estimate each term on the right side separately. Let us begin with the first one, to which we apply the standard argument (see [8; p. 20])

$$(2.9) \quad |\{x: g_\lambda(f)(x) > \alpha\}| \leq \alpha^{-2} \|g_\lambda(h)\|_2^2.$$

Now by theorems of Fubini and Plancherel we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|g_\lambda(h)\|_2^2 &= \int_0^\infty \|S_t^{\lambda+1}(h; \cdot) - S_t^\lambda(h; \cdot)\|_2^2 u(t) \frac{dt}{t} \\ &= \int |\hat{h}(\xi)|^2 \left\{ \int_0^\infty \left( \frac{|\xi|}{t} \right)^4 \left( 1 - \frac{|\xi|^2}{t^2} \right)_+^{2\lambda} u(t) \frac{dt}{t} \right\} d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

By (1.2) we may replace  $t$  by  $R(t)$  and estimate the inner integral by

$$\begin{aligned} \{\dots\} &\leq C \int_0^\infty \left( \frac{|\xi|}{R(t)} \right)^4 \left( 1 - \left( \frac{|\xi|}{R(t)} \right)^2 \right)_+^{2\lambda} \frac{dR(t)}{R(t)} \\ &= C \int_0^\infty s^4 (1 - s^2)_+^{2\lambda} \frac{ds}{s} = C_\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, again by the Plancherel theorem, (2.2), and (2.1)

$$\|g_\lambda(h)\|_2^2 \leq C \int |h(x)|^2 dx \leq C \alpha^{2-p} \int |h(x)|^p dx \leq C \alpha^{2-p} \|f\|_p^p,$$

and thus, by (2.9),

$$|\{x: g_\lambda(h)(x) > \alpha\}| \leq C \alpha^{-p} \|f\|_p^p.$$

To estimate the second term on the right side of (2.8) let us define the operators  $T_t$ ,  $t > 0$ , by the equation

$$(T_t f)^\wedge(\xi) := (S_t^{\lambda+1}(f; \cdot) - S_t^\lambda(f; \cdot))^\wedge(\xi) = m_\lambda(|\xi|/t) f^\wedge(\xi).$$

Note that  $m_\lambda(|\xi|) = -|\xi|^2(1 - |\xi|^2)_+^\lambda$  is a  $C^\infty$ -function for  $|\xi| \neq 1$ , vanishing outside the unit ball. Then following Fefferman [2] decompose  $m$  by means of a  $C^\infty$ -function  $\theta(s)$  defined on  $\mathbf{R}$  such that  $0 \leq \theta(s) \leq 1$ ,  $\theta(s) = 0$  for  $|s| \geq \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\theta(s) = 1$  for  $|s| \leq \frac{1}{4}$  holds. Choose an arbitrary, small, positive number  $\delta$ . With the notation

$$\theta_k(|\xi|) := \theta(2^{k(1-\delta)}(|\xi| - 1)), \quad \Phi_k(|\xi|) := 1 - \theta_k(|\xi|), \quad k \in \mathbf{N},$$

the decomposition of  $m$  reads

$$\begin{aligned} m_\lambda(|\xi|/t) &= m_\lambda(|\xi|/t) \theta_k(|\xi|/t) + m_\lambda(|\xi|/t) \Phi_k(|\xi|/t) \\ &= m_\lambda(|\xi|/t) (s_{k,t})^\wedge(\xi) + (r_{k,t})^\wedge(\xi), \quad t > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Obviously  $s_{k,t}$  and  $r_{k,t}$  belong to  $S$ . In order to state the basic decomposition of  $T_t b$ , which modifies Fefferman's approach slightly, define the operators  $K_{k,t}$  by

$$(2.10) \quad (K_{k,t} f)^\wedge(\xi) := \begin{cases} m_\lambda(|\xi|/t) f^\wedge(\xi), & \text{if } ||\xi|/t - 1| \leq 2^{-k(1-\delta)-1}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

set  $J_k := \{j \in \mathbf{N} : \text{diameter}(I_j) = 2^k\}$  and, denoting by  $\chi_E$  the characteristic function of the set  $E$ , put  $\beta_j := b\chi_{I_j}$ ,  $b_k := \sum_{j \in J_k} \beta_j$ . Let  $[r]$  be the largest integer not greater than  $r$ , set  $\lg r := \log_2 r$  and  $k_t := (k - [\lg(1/t)])_+$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} T_t b &= \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} T_t b_k = \sum_{k \leq [\lg(1/t)]} \{K_{0,t}(s_{0,t} * b_k) + r_{0,t} * b_k\} \\ &\quad + \sum_{k > [\lg(1/t)]} \{K_{k_t,t}(s_{k_t,t} * b_k) + r_{k_t,t} * b_k\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Minkowski's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} g_\lambda(b)(x) &= \left( \int_0^\infty \left| \sum_{k \leq [\lg(1/t)]} (r_{0,t} * b_k)(x) \right|^2 u(t) \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/2} \\ &\quad + \left( \int_0^\infty \left| \sum_{k > [\lg(1/t)]} (r_{k_t,t} * b_k)(x) \right|^2 u(t) \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/2} \\ &\quad + \left( \int_0^\infty \left| \sum_{k \leq [\lg(1/t)]} \left( K_{0,t} \sum_{j \in J_k} (s_{0,t} * \beta_j) \chi_{\mathbf{R}^n \setminus I_j^*} \right)(x) \right|^2 u(t) \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/2} \\ &\quad + \left( \int_0^\infty \left| \sum_{k > [\lg(1/t)]} \left( K_{k_t,t} \sum_{j \in J_k} (s_{k_t,t} * \beta_j) \chi_{\mathbf{R}^n \setminus I_j^*} \right)(x) \right|^2 u(t) \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/2} \\ &\quad + \left( \int_0^\infty \left| \sum_{k \leq [\lg(1/t)]} \left( K_{0,t} \sum_{j \in J_k} (s_{0,t} * \beta_j) \chi_{I_j^*} \right)(x) \right|^2 u(t) \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/2} \\ &\quad + \left( \int_0^\infty \left| \sum_{k > [\lg(1/t)]} \left( K_{k_t,t} \sum_{j \in J_k} (s_{k_t,t} * \beta_j) \chi_{I_j^*} \right)(x) \right|^2 u(t) \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^6 g_{\lambda,t}(x). \end{aligned}$$

$g_{\lambda,6}$  and  $g_{\lambda,5}$  are the essential contributions.  $g_{\lambda,6}$  will be estimated with the aid of the Tomas and Stein restriction theorem, which implies the restrictions  $1 \leq p \leq 2(n+1)/(n+3)$  and  $\lambda > n(1/p - 1/2) - 1/2$ ; the fractional integration theorem used to estimate  $g_{\lambda,5}$  requires the condition  $p > 1$ . The remaining  $g_{\lambda,i}$ -functions will be estimated by  $L^1$ -arguments.

2.1. *Estimate of  $g_{\lambda,6}$ .* Choose an arbitrary sequence  $\{w_k\}$  with  $w_k > 0$  and  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} w_k^{-2} = C_w < \infty$  and apply Hölder's inequality to obtain

$$(g_{\lambda,6}(x))^2 \leq C_w \int_0^{\infty} \sum_{k > [\lg(1/t)]} w_{k,t}^2 \left| \left( K_{k,t} \sum_{j \in J_k} (s_{k,t} * \beta_j) \chi_{I_j^*} \right) (x) \right|^2 u(t) \frac{dt}{t}.$$

From (2.10) it follows that the  $L^2$ -operator norm of  $K_{k,t}$  is bounded by  $C2^{-k,(1-\delta)\lambda}$ . Hence, after interchanging the order of integration,

$$\begin{aligned} \|g_{\lambda,6}\|_2^2 &\leq C \int_0^{\infty} \sum_{k > [\lg(1/t)]} w_{k,t}^2 2^{-2k,(1-\delta)\lambda} \\ &\quad \times \int \left| \sum_{j \in J_k} \left( (s_{k,t} * \beta_j) \chi_{I_j^*} \right) (x) \right|^2 dx u(t) \frac{dt}{t} \\ &\leq CN \int_0^{\infty} \sum_{k > [\lg(1/t)]} w_{k,t}^2 2^{-2k,(1-\delta)\lambda} \\ &\quad \times \int \sum_{j \in J_k} \left| \left( (s_{k,t} * \beta_j) \chi_{I_j^*} \right) (x) \right|^2 dx u(t) \frac{dt}{t} \\ &\leq C \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{j \in J_k} \int_{c2^{-k}}^{\infty} w_{k,t}^2 2^{-2k,(1-\delta)\lambda} \\ &\quad \times \left[ \int \left| \theta_{k,t} \left( \frac{|\xi|}{t} \right) \right|^2 |\hat{\beta}_j(\xi)|^2 d\xi \right] u(t) \frac{dt}{t}. \end{aligned}$$

For the second inequality we use Hölder's inequality and (2.7), for the third, Plancherel's theorem and an interchange of summation and integration. Introduce polar coordinates in the inner integral and apply the restriction theorem [9] valid for  $p \leq 2(n+1)/(n+3)$  to derive

$$\begin{aligned} [\dots] &= \int_0^{\infty} \left| \theta_{k,t} \left( \frac{r}{t} \right) \right|^2 r^{n-1} \left[ \int_{|\xi|=1} |\hat{\beta}_j(r\xi')|^2 d\xi' \right] dr \\ &\leq C \|\beta_j\|_p^2 \int_0^{\infty} \left| \theta_{k,t} \left( \frac{r}{t} \right) \right|^2 r^{2n/p-n-1} dr \\ &\leq C\alpha^2 2^{2nk/p-k,(1-\delta)t} t^{2n/p-n}, \end{aligned}$$

where (2.4) and (2.6) are used for the last inequality. Observe that (1.2) yields, for  $\gamma < 0$ ,

$$\int_{2^{-k}}^{\infty} t^\gamma u(t) \frac{dt}{t} \leq C \int_{cR(2^{-k})}^{\infty} (R(t))^\gamma \frac{dR(t)}{R(t)} \leq C 2^{-k\gamma}.$$

Choose  $w_k = 2^{\delta k}$  and note that in view of the condition  $\lambda > n(1/p - 1/2) - 1/2$ , the number  $\delta$  can be determined so small that  $\gamma = 2n/p - n - 2(1 - \delta)\lambda - 1 + 3\delta < 0$  holds. Then, by (2.6) and (2.5), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \|g_{\lambda,6}\|_2^2 &\leq C\alpha^2 \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{j \in J_k} 2^{2nk/p - 2k(1-\delta)\lambda - k + 3\delta k} \\ &\quad \times \int_{c2^{-k}}^{\infty} t^{2n/p - n - 2(1-\delta)\lambda - 1 + 3\delta} u(t) \frac{dt}{t} \\ &\leq C\alpha^2 \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{j \in J_k} 2^{nk} = C\alpha^2 \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} |I_j| \leq C\alpha^{2-p} \|f\|_p^p. \end{aligned}$$

Thus analogously to (2.9),

$$|\{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : g_{\lambda,6}(x) > \alpha\}| \leq C\alpha^{-p} \|f\|_p^p.$$

*2.2. Estimate of  $g_{\lambda,5}$ .* First recall that the  $L^2$ -operator norm of  $K_{0,t}$  is bounded by a constant, then interchange the order of integration and apply Hölder's inequality together with (2.7). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|g_{\lambda,5}\|_2^2 &\leq C \int_0^\infty \left| \sum_{k \leq [\lg(1/t)]} \sum_{j \in J_k} ((s_{0,t} * \beta_j) \chi_{I_j^*})(x) \right|^2 dx u(t) \frac{dt}{t} \\ &\leq CN \int_0^\infty \int \sum_{k \leq [\lg(1/t)]} \sum_{j \in J_k} |((s_{0,t} * \beta_j) \chi_{I_j^*})(x)|^2 dx u(t) \frac{dt}{t}. \end{aligned}$$

Again by the theorems of Fubini and Plancherel, after interchanging the summation and integration, we obtain

$$\|g_{\lambda,5}\|_2^2 \leq C \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{j \in J_k} \int \int_0^{2^{-k+1}} \left| \theta_0 \left( \frac{|\xi|}{t} \right) \right|^2 u(t) \frac{dt}{t} |\hat{\beta}_j(\xi)|^2 d\xi.$$

By the definition of  $\theta_0$ ,

$$\int_0^{2^{-k+1}} \left| \theta_0 \left( \frac{|\xi|}{t} \right) \right|^2 u(t) \frac{dt}{t} \leq \begin{cases} 0, & 2^k |\xi| \geq 3 \\ C & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \leq C(2^k |\xi|)^{-2a}$$

with  $a := n(1/p - 1/2)$ ,  $p \leq 2$ ; thus, it follows that

$$\|g_{\lambda,5}\|_2^2 \leq C \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{j \in J_k} 2^{-2ak} \int |\xi|^{-2a} |\hat{\beta}_j(\xi)|^2 d\xi.$$



The integral represents the Fourier transform of the Riesz potential of order  $\alpha$ ; thus, applying Plancherel's theorem, the theorem on fractional integration [8, pp. 117], (2.4), (2.6) and (2.5), there holds for  $p > 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|g_{\lambda,5}\|_2^2 &\leq C \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{j \in J_k} 2^{-2ak} \|\beta_j\|_p^2 \\ &\leq C\alpha^2 \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{j \in J_k} |I_j| |I_j|^{-2/p} |I_j|^{2/p} \leq C\alpha^{2-p} \|f\|_p^p, \end{aligned}$$

and finally,

$$|\{x: g_{\lambda,5}(x) > \alpha\}| \leq C\alpha^{-p} \|f\|_p^p.$$

2.3. *Estimates of  $g_{\lambda,1}$  to  $g_{\lambda,4}$ .* The following inequalities are the starting point.

$$(2.11) \quad |\{x: g_{\lambda,i}(x) > \alpha\}| \leq |\Omega^*| + |\{x \in \mathbf{R}^n \setminus \Omega^*: g_{\lambda,i}(x) > \alpha\}| \\ \leq A2^n \alpha^{-p} \|f\|_p^p + \alpha^{-2} \int |(g_{\lambda,i} \chi_{\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \Omega^*})(x)|^2 dx, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

$$(2.12) \quad |\{x: g_{\lambda,i}(x) > \alpha\}| \leq \alpha^{-2} \int (g_{\lambda,i}(x))^2 dx, \quad i = 3, 4.$$

Here we set  $\Omega^* = \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} I_j^*$  in (2.11), use (2.5) and the argument (2.9). First note that for  $i = 1, 2$ ,

$$(2.13) \quad (g_{\lambda,i} \chi_{\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \Omega^*})(x) \\ \leq \left( \int_0^\infty \left| \sum_{k \geq (-1)^{\lfloor \lg(1/t) \rfloor}} \sum_{j \in J_k} ((r_{k,t} * \beta_j) \chi_{\mathbf{R}^n \setminus I_j^*})(x) \right|^2 u(t) \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/2}.$$

Thus, provided we can show on the one hand for  $i = 1, 2$ ,

$$(2.14) \quad \left| \sum_{k \geq (-1)^{\lfloor \lg(1/t) \rfloor}} \sum_{j \in J_k} ((r_{k,t} * \beta_j) \chi_{\mathbf{R}^n \setminus I_j^*})(x) \right| = \Sigma_i \leq C\alpha,$$

with  $C$  independent of  $x$ ,  $t$  and  $\alpha$ , and, on the other hand,

$$(2.15) \quad \int \int_0^\infty \left| \sum_{k \geq (-1)^{\lfloor \lg(1/t) \rfloor}} \sum_{j \in J_k} ((r_{k,t} * \beta) \chi_{\mathbf{R}^n \setminus I_j^*})(x) \right| \frac{u(t)}{t} dx \\ = Q_i \leq C\alpha^{1-p} \|f\|_p^p,$$

we have established, via argument (2.9),

$$|\{x: g_{\lambda,t}(x) > \alpha\}| \leq C\alpha^{-p} \|f\|_p^p, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

For the verification of (2.14) and (2.15) observe that, since  $r_{k,t} \in S$ ,

$$(2.16) \quad |r_{k,t}(x)| \leq C_m t^n (1 + 2^{-k_t(1-\delta)} t |x|)^{-m} = P_{k,t}(x),$$

$$(2.17) \quad |\text{grad}(r_{0,t}(x))| \leq Ct P_{0,t}(x),$$

where we may choose  $m$  so large that  $m\delta \geq n + 1$  holds. Further note that

$$(2.18) \quad c_1 |x - y_j| \leq |x - y| \leq c_2 |x - y_j|$$

is true for all  $x \in I_j^*$  and  $y \in I_j$ , with  $y_j$  denoting the center of  $I_j$ . Starting with (2.14) apply (2.16), (2.4) and (2.18). Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_1 &\leq \sum_{k \leq \lfloor \lg(1/t) \rfloor} \sum_{j \in J_k} \chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus I_j^*}(x) \int |r_{0,t}(x - y)| |\beta_j(y)| dy \\ &\leq \sum_{k \leq \lfloor \lg(1/t) \rfloor} \sum_{j \in J_k} \chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus I_j^*}(x) \sup_{y \in I_j} |r_{0,t}(x - y)| \int |\beta_j(y)| dy \\ &\leq C\alpha \sum_{k \leq \lfloor \lg(1/t) \rfloor} \sum_{j \in J_k} P_{0,t}(x - y_j) |I_j| \\ &\leq C\alpha \sum_{k \leq \lfloor \lg(1/t) \rfloor} \sum_{j \in J_k} \int_{I_j} P_{0,t}(x - y) dy \\ &\leq C\alpha \int P_{0,t}(x - y) dy \leq C\alpha, \end{aligned}$$

where we also used the fact that the  $I_j$ 's are pairwise disjoint. Since

$$\int_{|x| > c2^k} P_{k,t}(x) dx \leq C2^{-k_t},$$

with  $C$  independent of  $k$  and  $t$ , we obtain analogously

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_2 &\leq C\alpha \sum_{k \geq \lfloor \lg(1/t) \rfloor} \int_{\bigcup_{j \in J_k} I_j} P_{k,t}(x - y) dy \\ &\leq C\alpha \sum_{k \geq \lfloor \lg(1/t) \rfloor} \int_{|x-y| \geq c2^k} P_{k,t}(x - y) dy \\ &\leq C\alpha \sum_{k \geq \lfloor \lg(1/t) \rfloor} 2^{-k_t} \leq C\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Now consider (2.15), apply (2.4), then interchange the order of integration to derive

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.19) \quad Q_1 &= \int \int_0^\infty \left| \sum_{k \leq \lfloor \lg(1/t) \rfloor} \sum_{j \in J_k} \chi_{\mathbf{R}^n \setminus I_j^*}(x) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \times \int (r_{0,t}(x-y) - r_{0,t}(x-y_j)) \beta_j(y) dy \right| u(t) \frac{dt}{t} dx \\
 &\leq \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \sum_{j \in J_k} \int |\beta_j(y)| \\
 &\quad \times \left[ \int_{\mathbf{R}^n \setminus I_j^*} \int_0^\infty |r_{0,t}(x-y) - r_{0,t}(x-y_j)| u(t) \frac{dt}{t} dx \right] dy.
 \end{aligned}$$

The mean value theorem together with (2.17) yields for  $0 < q < 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 |r_{0,t}(x-y) - r_{0,t}(x-y_j)| &\leq C |y - y_j| t P_{0,t}(x - y_j + q(y_j - y)) \\
 &\leq C 2^k t^{n+1} (1 + t|x - y_j|)^{-m},
 \end{aligned}$$

since  $|x - y_j + q(y_j - y)| \geq c|x - y_j|$  holds for all  $x \notin I_j^*$ ,  $y \in I_j$  and  $P_{0,t}$  is nonincreasing. Replacing  $t$  by  $R(t)$  we estimate the expression in brackets on the right side of (2.19) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 [\dots] &\leq C 2^k \int_{\mathbf{R}^n \setminus I_j^*} \int_0^\infty (R(t))^n (1 + R(t)|x - y_j|)^{-m} dR(t) dx \\
 &\leq C 2^k \int_{|x - y_j| \geq c 2^k} |x - y_j|^{-n-1} dx \leq C.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by (2.4) and (2.5),

$$Q_1 \leq C \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \sum_{j \in J_k} \int |\beta_j(y)| dy \leq C \alpha \sum_{j \in \mathbf{N}} |I_j| \leq C \alpha^{1-p} \|f\|_p^p.$$

Consider again (2.15); an interchange of the integration and summation orders gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 Q_2 &= \int \int_0^\infty \left| \sum_{k \geq \lfloor \lg(1/t) \rfloor} \sum_{j \in J_k} \chi_{\mathbf{R}^n \setminus I_j^*}(x) \int r_{k,t}(x-y_j) \beta_j(y) dy \right| u(t) \frac{dt}{t} dx \\
 &\leq \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \sum_{j \in J_k} \int |\beta_j(y)| \left[ \int_{\mathbf{R}^n \setminus I_j^*} \int_{c 2^{-k}}^\infty |r_{k,t}(x-y)| u(t) \frac{dt}{t} dx \right] dy.
 \end{aligned}$$

Replace  $t$  by  $R(t)$  and use (2.16) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} [\dots] &\leq C \int_{\mathbf{R}^n \setminus J_j^*} \int_{c2^{-k}}^{\infty} (R(t))^{n-1} \\ &\quad \times \left\{ 1 + (R(t))^\delta 2^{-k(1-\delta)} |x - y| \right\}^{-m} dR(t) dx \\ &\leq C 2^{mk-nk} \int_{|x-y| \geq c2^k} |x - y|^{-m} dx \leq C. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by (2.4) and (2.5),

$$Q_2 \leq C \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{j \in J_k} \int |\beta_j(y)| dy \leq C \alpha^{1-p} \|f\|_p^p.$$

Next, (2.12) can be treated in the same manner as (2.11) if we first use the same arguments as at the beginning of 2.1 (with  $w_k = 2^{k(1-\delta)\lambda}$ ) and 2.2. Finally collecting all the  $g_{\lambda,i}$ -estimates, the proof of Theorem 2 is completed by the observation

$$|\{x: g_\lambda(b)(x) > \alpha\}| \leq \sum_{i=1}^6 |\{x: g_{\lambda,i}(x) > \alpha/6\}|.$$

**3. Proof of Theorem 1.** The general idea of the proof is to show

$$(3.1) \quad g_1^*(h; x) \leq C B g_1(f; x), \quad \widehat{h}(\xi) := m(|\xi|) \widehat{f}(\xi).$$

Then in view of (1.3) and Theorem 2 the following norm inequalities prove Theorem 1 ( $F^{-1}$  denotes the inverse Fourier transformation):

$$\|F^{-1}\{m(|\xi|)\widehat{f}(\xi)\}\|_p \leq C \|g_1^*(h)\|_p \leq cB \|g_1(f)\|_p \leq cB \|f\|_p.$$

To this end, set

$$k(r) := \frac{-r^{n+1}}{R^2} \int_{|\xi|=1} f(r\xi') \exp(i r \xi' \cdot x) d\xi',$$

introduce polar coordinates and integrate by parts to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S_R^2(h; x) - S_R^1(h; x) &= \int_0^R \left(1 - \frac{r^2}{R^2}\right) m(r) k(r) dr \\ &= - \int_0^R \frac{\partial}{r \partial r} \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{r^2}{R^2}\right) m(r) \right\} \left\{ r \int_0^r k(s) ds \right\} dr \\ &= m(R) \int_0^R \left(1 - \frac{r^2}{R^2}\right) k(r) dr \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{R} \int_0^R \frac{r^4}{2R} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{r \partial r} \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{r^2}{R^2}\right) m(r) \right\} \right\} (S_r^2(f; x) - S_r^1(f; x)) dr, \end{aligned}$$

where we used (cf. [4])

$$|rm'(r)| \leq C, \quad \int_0^r (r^2 - s^2)k(s) ds = O(r^3), \quad r \rightarrow 0 + .$$

Since

$$\left| \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{R} r^4 \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{r \partial r} \left\{ \left( 1 - \frac{r^2}{R^2} \right) m(r) \right\} \right\} \right| \leq C \{ |rm'(r)| + |r^2 m''(r)| \} =: v(r),$$

we obtain by Minkowski's and Hölder's inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned} g_1^*(h)(x) &= \left( \int_0^\infty |S_R^2(h; x) - S_R^1(h; x)|^2 \frac{dR}{R} \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \sup_{r>0} |m(r)| g_1^*(f)(x) \\ &\quad + \left( \int_0^\infty \left| \frac{1}{R} \int_0^R |S_r^2(f; x) - S_r^1(f; x)| v(r) dr \right|^2 \frac{dR}{R} \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq B g_1^*(f)(x) + \left( \int_0^\infty \left\{ \frac{1}{R} \int_0^R v(r) dr \right\} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \left\{ \frac{1}{R} \int_0^R |S_r^2(f; x) - S_r^1(f; x)|^2 v(r) dr \right\} \frac{dR}{R} \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Observing (cf. [1], [4]) that  $R^{-1} \int_0^R v(r) dr \leq cB$ , choose  $u(r) := (v(r) + B)/B$ . Then  $u$  satisfies (1.2) and an interchange of the integration order gives

$$\begin{aligned} g_1^*(h)(x) &\leq B g_1^*(f)(x) + cB \left( \int_0^\infty |S_r^2(f; x) - S_r^1(f; x)|^2 u(r) \frac{dr}{r} \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq cB g_1(f)(x), \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof.

**REMARKS.** 1. The differentiability-growth condition on  $m$  in Theorem 1 is equivalent to

$$\sup_{r>0} |m(r)| + \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{2^{j-1}}^{2^j} r |dm'(r)| < \infty$$

(see [8; p. 109]). Applying this to  $(1 - |\xi|)_+$ , it follows that  $(1 - |\xi|)_+ \in M_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  if  $2n/(n+3) < p < 2n/(n-3)$ ,  $n \geq 3$ . On the other hand, it is well known (see [3], [4], [9]) that these  $p$ -bounds are necessary and sufficient for  $(1 - |\xi|)_+$  to be a bounded multiplier.

2. Let us mention that we may interpolate between Theorem 1 and a result due to Bonami and Clerc [1] and Gasper and Trebels [5] to obtain sharp Marcinkiewicz criteria in the range  $1 < p \leq 2n/(n+3)$  and  $2n/(n-3) \leq p < \infty$ . In particular it will be shown that Theorem 1 already implies an improvement of the following result of Igari and Kuratsubo. Let  $m(r)$  be an absolutely continuous function on  $(0, \infty)$  satisfying

$$\sup_{r>0} |m(r)| + \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( \int_{2^{j-1}}^{2^j} r |m'(r)|^2 dr \right)^{1/2} < \infty.$$

Then  $m(|\xi|) \in M_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  if  $2n/(n+1) < p < 2n/(n-1)$ .

3. Modifications of the above techniques lead to: Let  $\{r_j\}$  be any sequence of positive real numbers,  $\{f_j\}$  any sequence in  $S$ . Then with  $\lambda, p$  as in Theorem 2 there holds

$$\left\| \left( \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} |S_{r_j}^\lambda(f_j; \cdot)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_p \leq C \left\| \left( \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} |f_j(\cdot)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_p,$$

where  $C$  depends only on  $\lambda, p$  and the dimension  $n$ .

**Acknowledgement.** With greatest pleasure I acknowledge Professor W. Trebels for his mathematical and moral support during the whole work.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] A. Bonami and J.-L. Clerc, *Sommes de Cesàro et multiplicateurs des développements en harmoniques sphériques*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **183** (1973), 223–263.
- [2] C. Fefferman, *Inequalities for strongly singular convolution operators*, Acta Math., **124** (1970), 9–36.
- [3] ———, *A note on spherical summation multipliers*, Israel J. Math., **15** (1973), 44–52.
- [4] G. Gasper and W. Trebels, *A characterization of localized Bessel potential spaces and applications to Jacobi and Hankel multipliers*, Studia Math., **65** (1979), 243–278.
- [5] ———, *Multiplier criteria of Hörmander type for Fourier series and applications to Jacobi series and Hankel transforms*, Math. Ann., **242** (1979), 225–240.
- [6] S. Igari and S. Kuratsubo, *A sufficient condition for Fourier multipliers*, Pacific J. Math., **38** (1971), 85–88.
- [7] E. Stein, *Localization and summability of multiple Fourier series*, Acta Math., **100** (1958), 93–147.
- [8] ———, *Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions*, Princeton University Press, 1970.
- [9] P. Tomas, *A restriction theorem for the Fourier transform*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **81** (1975), 477–478.

Received March 26, 1981 and in revised form January 6, 1982.



# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

## EDITORS

DONALD BABBITT (Managing Editor)

University of California

Los Angeles, CA 90024

HUGO ROSSI

University of Utah

Salt Lake City, UT 84112

C. C. MOORE and ARTHUR OGUS

University of California

Berkeley, CA 94720

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics

University of Southern California

Los Angeles, CA 90089-1113

R. FINN and H. SAMELSON

Stanford University

Stanford, CA 94305

## ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. ARENS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

(1906–1982)

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA

CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO

NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY

OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII

UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO

UNIVERSITY OF UTAH

WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON



<b>Harald Brandenburg and Adam Stefan Mysior</b> , For every Hausdorff space $Y$ there exists a nontrivial Moore space on which all continuous functions into $Y$ are constant .....	1
<b>Henry Dappa</b> , A Marcinkiewicz criterion for $L^p$ -multipliers .....	9
<b>P. H. Doyle, III and John Gilbert Hocking</b> , Bijectively related spaces. I. Manifolds .....	23
<b>Joel Hass</b> , Complete area minimizing minimal surfaces which are not totally geodesic .....	35
<b>Aarno Hohti</b> , On Ginsburg-Isbell derivatives and ranks of metric spaces .....	39
<b>Richard Howard Hudson</b> , Diophantine determinations of $3^{(p-1)/8}$ and $5^{(p-1)/4}$ .....	49
<b>A. F. Izé and A. Ventura</b> , Asymptotic behavior of a perturbed neutral functional-differential equation related to the solution of the unperturbed linear system .....	57
<b>Palle E. T. Jorgensen</b> , Spectral representations of unbounded nonlinear operators on Hilbert space .....	93
<b>Darrell Conley Kent and Gary Douglas Richardson</b> , Cauchy spaces with regular completions .....	105
<b>Mark Mahowald</b> , An addendum to: "bo-resolutions" .....	117
<b>Stuart Wayne Margolis and Jean-Eric Pin</b> , Minimal noncommutative varieties and power varieties .....	125
<b>Carla Massaza and Alfio Ragusa</b> , Some conditions on the homology groups of the Koszul complex .....	137
<b>Vicente Miquel Molina</b> , Some examples of Riemannian almost-product manifolds .....	163
<b>Roderic Murufas</b> , Inverse spectral problems for certain differential operators .....	179
<b>Ulrich Oertel</b> , Closed incompressible surfaces in complements of star links .....	209
<b>Katsuro Sakai</b> , A characterization of local equiconnectedness .....	231
<b>William Victor Smith and Don Harrell Tucker</b> , Weak integral convergence theorems and operator measures .....	243