

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**THE CLOSED IMAGE OF A HEREDITARY  $M_1$ -SPACE IS  $M_1$**

MUNEHICO ITŌ

# THE CLOSED IMAGE OF A HEREDITARY $M_1$ -SPACE IS $M_1$

MUNEHICO ITÔ

We show that every closed image of a hereditary  $M_1$ -space is hereditarily  $M_1$ . This answers positively G. Gruenhage's question.

**1. Introduction.** J. Ceder [3] introduced the  $M_i$ -spaces,  $i = 1, 2, 3$  and proved that  $M_1 \Rightarrow M_2 \Rightarrow M_3$ . He asked whether the converses hold. G. Gruenhage [4] and H. Junnila [8] independently proved that  $M_2 = M_3$ . Recently R. Heath and H. Junnila [6] showed that every  $M_3$ -space is the image of an  $M_1$ -space under a perfect retraction. Thus  $M_1 = M_2$  if and only if for every  $M_1$ -space, every closed image of the space is  $M_1$ . However, in general, it is not known whether the closed image of an  $M_1$ -space is  $M_1$ .

G. Gruenhage [5] proved that the closed image of an  $M_1$ -space  $X$  with the property (\*) is  $M_1$ .

(\*) *Whenever  $H$  and  $K$  are closed subsets of  $X$  with  $H \subset K$ , then  $H$  has a  $\sigma$ -closure preserving outer base in  $K$ .*

If an  $M_1$ -space  $X$  has the property (\*), then every closed subspace of  $X$  is  $M_1$ . He then posed the following question.

*If every closed subspace of a space  $X$  is  $M_1$ , is every closed image of  $X$  also  $M_1$ ? ([5], Question 3.4.)*

The aim of this paper is to give a positive answer to this question.

Secondly, we study the class of spaces with a  $\sigma$ -almost locally finite base which was introduced by K. Tamano and the author [7]. This class is contained in the class of  $M_1$ -spaces and contains every metrizable space and every  $M_0$ -space. Recently G. Gruenhage [5] proved that every  $F_\sigma$ -metrizable  $M_3$ -space is  $M_1$ . In §3 we shall show that every countable dimensional  $F_\sigma$ -metrizable  $M_3$ -space has a  $\sigma$ -almost locally finite base.

All spaces are assumed to be regular  $T_1$  and maps to be continuous. The letter  $N$  denotes the positive integers. For undefined notion see [5].

**2. Main results.** Let  $X$  be a paracompact  $\sigma$ -space. If every closed subset of  $X$  has a  $\sigma$ -closure preserving outer base, then  $X$  is an  $M_1$ -space. However, it is not known whether every closed subset of an  $M_1$ -space has such a base. Our first theorem shows that every closed subset of a hereditary  $M_1$ -space has a closure preserving outer base. This result leads

us to the main theorem. To prove the first theorem we start with the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let  $X$  be a space,  $U$  a clopen set of  $X$  and  $\mathfrak{B}$  a closure preserving family of subsets of  $X$ . Then  $\{B \cap U: B \in \mathfrak{B}\}$  is closure preserving in  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathfrak{B}' \subset \mathfrak{B}$  and  $x \notin \cup \{\text{Cl}(B \cap U): B \in \mathfrak{B}'\}$ . If  $x \notin U$ , then obviously  $x \notin \text{Cl} \cup \{B \cap U: B \in \mathfrak{B}'\}$ . Let  $x \in U$ . Then for every  $B \in \mathfrak{B}'$ ,  $x \notin \text{Cl} B$ . Hence  $x \notin \text{Cl} \cup \{B \cap U: B \in \mathfrak{B}'\}$ .

The following results are well known and the proofs are omitted.

LEMMA 2.2. *Let  $X$  be a space,  $S$  a regular closed set of  $X$  and  $T$  a regular closed set of  $S$ . Then  $T$  is a regular closed set of  $X$ . Thus every closure preserving family of regular closed sets of  $S$  in  $S$  is a closure preserving family of regular closed sets of  $X$  in  $X$ .*

LEMMA 2.3. *Let  $X$  be a space. Then  $X$  is an  $M_1$ -space if and only if  $X$  has a  $\sigma$ -closure preserving quasi-base consisting of regular closed sets of  $X$ .*

THEOREM 2.4. *Let  $X$  be an  $M_1$ -space such that every regular closed subspace of  $X$  is  $M_1$ . Then every closed set of  $X$  has a closure preserving outer base.*

*Proof.* Let  $F$  be a closed set of  $X$ . Take a family  $\{H_n: n \in N\}$  of regular closed sets such that

$$X = H_1 \supset \text{Int } H_1 \supset H_2 \supset \cdots, \quad \bigcap_{n \in N} H_n = F.$$

Set

$$S_1 = \text{Cl} \left( \text{Int } F \cup \left( \bigcup \{H_{2n-1} - H_{2n}: n \in N\} \right) \right); \text{ and}$$

$$S_2 = \text{Cl} \left( \text{Int } F \cup \left( \bigcup \{H_{2n} - H_{2n+1}: n \in N\} \right) \right).$$

Then  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are regular closed sets of  $X$  and cover  $X$ . For  $i = 1, 2$ , let  $\bigcup_{n \in N} \mathfrak{B}_n(i)$  be a  $\sigma$ -closure preservig quasi-base of  $S_i$  such that for every  $n \in N$ ,  $\mathfrak{B}_n(i) \subset \mathfrak{B}_{n+1}(i)$  and every  $B \in \mathfrak{B}_n(i)$  is a regular closed set of  $S_i$ . Set

$$\mathcal{Q}_{2n-1} = \{B \cap H_{2n-1}: B \in \mathfrak{B}_n(1)\}, n \in N; \text{ and}$$

$$\mathcal{Q}_{2n} = \{B \cap H_{2n}: B \in \mathfrak{B}_n(2)\}, n \in N.$$

Then for each  $n \in N$ ,  $H_{2n-1}$  and  $H_{2n}$  are respectively clopen sets of  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ , so by Lemma 2.1,  $\mathcal{Q}_{2n-1}$  and  $\mathcal{Q}_{2n}$  are respectively closure preserving families of regular closed sets in  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ . By Lemma 2.2, every  $\mathcal{Q}_n$  is a closure preserving family of regular closed sets of  $X$  in  $X$ . Set

$$\{\mathcal{Q}_\alpha : \alpha \in D\} = \left\{ \mathcal{Q} : \mathcal{Q} \subset \bigcup_{n \in N} \mathcal{Q}_n, F \subset \text{Int} \bigcup \mathcal{Q} \right\}; \quad \text{and}$$

$$\mathcal{U}' = \left\{ U_\alpha = \bigcup \mathcal{Q}_\alpha : \alpha \in D \right\}.$$

To prove that  $\mathcal{U}'$  is closure preserving, let  $\phi \neq D' \subset D$  and  $x \notin \bigcup \{\text{Cl } U_\alpha : \alpha \in D'\}$ . Then  $x \notin F$ , so there exists a unique  $n \in N$  such that  $x \in H_n - H_{n+1}$ . Then

$$x \notin \text{Cl} \left( H_{n+1} \cap \left( \bigcup \{U_\alpha : \alpha \in D'\} \right) \right); \quad \text{and}$$

$$\left( \bigcup \{U_\alpha : \alpha \in D'\} \right) - H_{n+1} \subset \bigcup \left\{ A : A \in \left( \bigcup_{\alpha \in D'} \mathcal{Q}_\alpha \right) \cap \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathcal{Q}_i \right) \right\}.$$

For each  $A \in \left( \bigcup_{\alpha \in D'} \mathcal{Q}_\alpha \right) \cap \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathcal{Q}_i \right)$ ,  $x \notin \text{Cl } A$ . Since  $\bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathcal{Q}_i$  is closure preserving,

$$x \notin \text{Cl} \left( \bigcup \left\{ A : A \in \left( \bigcup_{\alpha \in D'} \mathcal{Q}_\alpha \right) \cap \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathcal{Q}_i \right) \right\} \right); \quad \text{and}$$

$$x \notin \text{Cl} \left( \left( \bigcup \{U_\alpha : \alpha \in D'\} \right) - H_{n+1} \right).$$

Therefore  $x \notin \text{Cl} \left( \bigcup \{U_\alpha : \alpha \in D'\} \right)$ .

To prove that  $\mathcal{U}'$  is a quasi-outer base of  $F$ , suppose  $F \subset W$  and  $W$  is open. For each  $x \in F$  we define  $\mathcal{Q}_x \subset \bigcup_{n \in N} \mathcal{Q}_n$  as follows. If  $x \in S_1 \cap S_2$ , then there exist  $n \in N$ ,  $B_x(1) \in \mathfrak{B}_n(1)$  and  $B_x(2) \in \mathfrak{B}_n(2)$  such that  $x \in \text{Int}_{S_1} B_x(1) \subset B_x(1) \subset W$  and  $x \in \text{Int}_{S_2} B_x(2) \subset B_x(2) \subset W$ . Define

$$\mathcal{Q}_x = \{B_x(1) \cap H_{2n-1}, B_x(2) \cap H_{2n}\}.$$

If  $x \in S_1 - S_2$ , there exist  $n \in N$  and  $B_x(1) \in \mathfrak{B}_n(1)$  such that  $x \in \text{Int}_{S_1} B_x(1) \subset B_x(1) \subset W$ . Define

$$\mathcal{Q}_x = \{B_x(1) \cap H_{2n-1}\}.$$

If  $x \in S_2 - S_1$ , then we define analogously  $\mathcal{Q}_x$ . Let  $\mathcal{Q} = \bigcup \{\mathcal{Q}_x : x \in F\}$  and  $U = \bigcup \mathcal{Q}$ . Then  $U \in \mathcal{U}'$  and  $F \subset \text{Int } U \subset U \subset W$ .

Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{\text{Int } U_\alpha : \alpha \in D\}$ . It is easy to show that for every  $\alpha \in D$ ,  $\text{Cl } U_\alpha = \text{Cl}(\text{Int } U_\alpha)$ . Then clearly  $\mathcal{U}$  is a closure preserving outer base of  $F$  and the proof is completed.

The proofs of the following two theorems are straightforward, and are thus omitted.

**THEOREM 2.5.** *Let  $X$  be an  $M_1$ -space with  $\dim X = 0$ . Then every closed set of  $X$  has a closure preserving outer base.*

**THEOREM 2.6.** *Let  $X$  be a space and  $\{S_\alpha: \alpha \in D\}$  a locally finite cover of  $X$  consisting of regular closed  $M_1$ -subspaces. Then  $X$  is an  $M_1$ -space.*

**COROLLARY 2.7.** *Let  $\{X_\alpha: \alpha \in D\}$  be a family of  $M_1$ -spaces such that each  $X_\alpha$  satisfies one of the following conditions.*

- (1) *Every regular closed subspace of  $X_\alpha$  is  $M_1$ .*
- (2)  *$\dim X_\alpha = 0$ .*
- (3)  *$X_\alpha$  is first countable.*

*Then for every  $p \in B_\alpha X_\alpha$ ,  $\Xi_p$  is  $M_1$ . (Here  $B_\alpha X_\alpha$  is the box product space of  $\{X_\alpha: \alpha \in D\}$  and  $\Xi_p$  is the subspace  $\{x \in B_\alpha X_\alpha: x_\alpha \neq p_\alpha \text{ for at most finitely many } \alpha\}$  of  $B_\alpha X_\alpha$ .)*

*Proof.* This follows from Theorem 2.4, 2.5 and [10], Theorem 3.1.

Before stating the main theorem of this paper, we note the following lemma holds. Then a space  $X$  is hereditarily  $M_1$  if and only if every closed subspace of  $X$  is  $M_1$ . Therefore Theorem 2.9 is a positive answer to G. Gruenhagen's question ([5], Question 3.4).

**LEMMA 2.8.** *Every dense subspace of an  $M_1$ -space is  $M_1$ .*

*Proof.* This follows from the fact that the closure of an open set is equal to the closure of the intersection with a dense subset.

**THEOREM 2.9.** *Let  $X$  be a hereditary  $M_1$ -space. Then every closed image of  $X$  is hereditarily  $M_1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a closed onto map. It is enough to show that  $Y$  is  $M_1$ . Let  $H$  and  $K$  be closed sets of  $X$  with  $H \subset K$ . Then  $K$  is hereditarily  $M_1$  and  $H$  is closed in  $K$ . So by Theorem 2.4,  $H$  has a closure preserving outer base in  $K$ . Then  $X$  satisfies the property of [5], Theorem 3.2. Hence by [5], Theorem 3.2,  $Y$  is  $M_1$ .

**Problem 2.10.** Is the countable product of hereditary  $M_1$ -spaces hereditarily  $M_1$ ?

More basically:

*Problem 2.11.* If  $X$  and  $Y$  are hereditary  $M_1$ -spaces, is  $X \times Y$  hereditarily  $M_1$ ?

If the answer to Problem 2.10 is positive, then the class of hereditary  $M_1$ -spaces is one giving a positive answer to [5], Problem 3.6.

**3. Maps of spaces with a  $\sigma$ -almost locally finite base.** Recently K. Tamano and the author [7] introduced the class of spaces with a  $\sigma$ -almost locally finite base. This class is contained in the class of  $M_1$ -spaces and contains every metrizable space and every  $M_0$ -space. In this section we shall prove that the class of spaces with a  $\sigma$ -almost locally finite base is closed under finite to one closed maps. As a corollary of this result, we have every countable dimensional  $F_\sigma$ -metrizable  $M_3$ -space has a  $\sigma$ -almost locally finite base.

**DEFINITION 3.1.** Let  $X$  be a space,  $x \in X$  and  $\mathcal{A}$  a family of subsets of  $X$ .  $\mathcal{A}$  is said to be *almost locally finite at  $x$*  if there exist a neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  and a finite family  $\mathfrak{B}$  of subsets of  $X$  such that

$$\{A \cap U: A \in \mathcal{A}\} \subset \{B \cap V: B \in \mathfrak{B}, V \text{ is a neighborhood of } x\}.$$

$\mathcal{A}$  is said to be *almost locally finite in  $X$*  if  $\mathcal{A}$  is almost locally finite at every  $x \in X$ . Note that we can take  $X$  as above  $U$ .

Every locally finite family is of course almost locally finite and every almost locally finite family is closure preserving. For other fundamental results concerning almost locally finite families see [7].

**LEMMA 3.2.** *Let  $X$  be a space and  $\mathcal{A}$  an almost locally finite family at  $x \in X$ . Then both  $\{\text{Int } A: A \in \mathcal{A}\}$  and  $\{\text{Cl } A: A \in \mathcal{A}\}$  are almost locally finite at  $x$ .*

*Proof.* By Definition 3.1, there exist a neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  and a finite family  $\mathfrak{B}$  of subsets of  $X$  such that

$$\{A \cap U: A \in \mathcal{A}\} \subset \{B \cap V: B \in \mathfrak{B}, V \text{ is a neighborhood of } x\}.$$

Let  $A \cap U = B \cap V$  with  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $B \in \mathfrak{B}$  and  $V$  is a neighborhood of  $x$ .

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Int } A &= \text{Int}(B \cup (X - U)) \cap \text{Int}(A \cup (U \cap V)); \quad \text{and} \\ \text{Cl } A &= \text{Cl}(B \cup (X - U)) \cap (\text{Cl } A \cup \text{Int}(U \cap V)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} &\{\text{Int } A : A \in \mathcal{A}\} \\ &\subset \{V \cap \text{Int}(B \cup (X - U)) : B \in \mathfrak{B}, V \text{ is a neighborhood of } x\}; \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\{\text{Cl } A : A \in \mathcal{A}\} \\ &\subset \{V \cap \text{Cl}(B \cup (X - U)) : B \in \mathfrak{B}, V \text{ is a neighborhood of } x\}. \end{aligned}$$

That completes the proof.

**LEMMA 3.3.** *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a finite to one closed onto map and  $\mathcal{A}$  an almost locally finite family of subsets of  $X$ . Then  $\{f(A) : A \in \mathcal{A}\}$  is an almost locally finite family of  $Y$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $y \in Y$  and  $f^{-1}(y) = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ . For each  $x_i$  there exist a neighborhood  $U_i$  of  $x_i$  and a finite family  $\mathfrak{B}_i$  of subsets of  $X$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\{A \cap U_i : A \in \mathcal{A}\} \\ &\subset \{B \cap V : B \in \mathfrak{B}_i, V \text{ is a neighborhood of } x_i\}. \end{aligned}$$

We may assume  $\{U_i : i = 1, \dots, n\}$  is disjoint and  $\cup \mathfrak{B}_i \subset U_i$ . Set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{B}_y &= \left\{ B[B_1, \dots, B_n] = f \left( \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^n B_i \right) \cup \left( X - \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_i \right) \right) : \right. \\ &\quad \left. B_i \in \mathfrak{B}_i, i = 1, \dots, n \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $|\mathfrak{B}_y| < \aleph_0$ . Let  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ . Then for each  $x_i$ , there exist  $B_i \in \mathfrak{B}_i$  and a neighborhood  $V_i$  of  $x_i$  such that  $A \cap U_i = B_i \cap V_i$ . There exists a neighborhood  $V$  of  $y$  such that  $f^{-1}(V) \subset \cup_{i=1}^n (U_i \cap V_i)$ . Then

$$V \cap B[B_1, \dots, B_n] \subset f(A) \subset B[B_1, \dots, B_n].$$

Set  $V_y = V \cup (f(A) - (V \cap B[B_1, \dots, B_n]))$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(A) &= V_y \cap B[B_1, \dots, B_n]; \\ B[B_1, \dots, B_n] &\in \mathfrak{B}_y; \quad \text{and} \quad V_y \text{ is a neighborhood of } y. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\{f(A): A \in \mathcal{A}\}$  is an almost locally finite family of  $Y$  and the proof is completed.

**THEOREM 3.4.** *Let  $X$  be a space with a  $\sigma$ -almost locally finite base and  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  a finite to one closed onto map. Then  $Y$  has a  $\sigma$ -almost locally finite base.*

*Proof.* Let  $\bigcup_{n \in N} \mathfrak{B}_n$  be a  $\sigma$ -almost locally finite base of  $X$  such that for each  $n \in N$ ,  $\mathfrak{B}_n \subset \mathfrak{B}_{n+1}$  and if  $\mathfrak{B} \subset \mathfrak{B}_n$ , then  $\bigcup \mathfrak{B} \in \mathfrak{B}_n$ . For each  $n \in N$ , let  $\mathcal{U}_n = \{\text{Int } f(B): B \in \mathfrak{B}_n\}$ . Then by Lemma 3.2 and 3.3, each  $\mathcal{U}_n$  is almost locally finite in  $Y$ . It is easy to check that  $\bigcup_{n \in N} \mathcal{U}_n$  is a base of  $Y$  and the proof is completed.

**COROLLARY 3.5.** *Let  $X$  be a  $F_\sigma$ -metrizable  $M_3$ -space with countable dimension. Then  $X$  has a  $\sigma$ -almost locally finite base.*

*Proof.* By [9], Corollary 3, there exist a paracompact  $F_\sigma$ -metrizable space  $Z$  with  $\dim Z = 0$ , and a closed onto map  $f: Z \rightarrow X$  such that for every  $x \in X$ ,  $|f^{-1}(x)| < \aleph_0$ . Since, in this case,  $X$  is  $M_3$ , so is  $Z$ . Then by [5], Theorem 3.1,  $Z$  is an  $M_0$ -space and has a  $\sigma$ -almost locally finite base. Hence by Theorem 3.4,  $X$  has a  $\sigma$ -almost locally finite base.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] C. R. Borges, *On stratifiable spaces*, Pacific J. Math., **17** (1966), 1–16.
- [2] C. R. Borges and D. J. Lutzer, *Characterizations and Mappings of  $M_1$ -spaces*, Topology Conference VPI, Springer-Verlag Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **375** (1974), 34–40.
- [3] J. G. Ceder, *Some generalizations of metric spaces*, Pacific J. Math., **11** (1961), 105–125.
- [4] G. Gruenhage, *Stratifiable spaces are  $M_2$* , Topology Proc., **1** (1976), 221–226.
- [5] ———, *On the  $M_3 \Rightarrow M_1$  question*, Topology Proc., **5** (1980), 77–104.
- [6] R. W. Heath and H. J. K. Junnila, *Stratifiable spaces as subspaces and continuous images of  $M_1$ -spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **83** (1981), 146–148.
- [7] M. Itō and K. Tamano, *Spaces whose closed images are  $M_1$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **87** (1983), 159–163.
- [8] H. J. K. Junnila, *Neighborhoods*, Pacific J. Math., **76** (1978), 83–108.
- [9] K. Nagami, *Dimension for  $\sigma$ -metric spaces*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, **23** (1971), 123–129.
- [10] S. San-ou, *A note on  $\Xi$ -product*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, **29** (1977), 281–285.

Received August 4, 1982.

UNIVERSITY OF TSUKUBA  
IBARAKI 305  
JAPAN





# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

## EDITORS

DONALD BABBITT (Managing Editor)  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90024

HUGO ROSSI  
University of Utah  
Salt Lake City, UT 84112

C. C. MOORE and ARTHUR OGUS  
University of California  
Berkeley, CA 94720

J. DUGUNDJI  
Department of Mathematics  
University of Southern California  
Los Angeles, CA 90089-1113

R. FINN and H. SAMELSON  
Stanford University  
Stanford, CA 94305

## ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. ARENS

E. F. BECKENBACH  
(1906–1982)

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA  
UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO  
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY  
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON  
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA  
STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII  
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO  
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH  
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

Flavio E. A. da Silveira, Rational homotopy theory of fibrations .....	1
Donald M. Davis, Desuspensions of stunted projective spaces .....	35
Lou van den Dries, Exponential rings, exponential polynomials and exponential functions .....	51
Fred Galvin and Samuel David Shore, Completeness in semimetric spaces .....	67
Fereidoun Ghahramani, Compact elements of weighted group algebras .....	77
Munehiko Itō, The closed image of a hereditary $M_1$ -space is $M_1$ .....	85
Elvira Laura Livorni, Classification of algebraic surfaces with sectional genus less than or equal to six. I. Rational surfaces .....	93
H. Alan MacLean, Riesz sets and a theorem of Bochner .....	115
E. Neher, Jordan triple systems with completely reducible derivation or structure algebras .....	137
Joe Repka, Shalika's germs for $p$ -adic $GL(n)$ . I. The leading term .....	165
Joe Repka, Shalika's germs for $p$ -adic $GL(n)$ . II. The subregular term .....	173
Rae Michael Andrew Shortt, Borel density, the marginal problem and isomorphism types of analytic sets .....	183
Baruch Solel, The multiplicity functions of invariant subspaces for nonselfadjoint crossed products .....	201
Su-win Yang, Self-intersection number of immersions and enumeration of nonstable vector bundles .....	215
W. M. Zajączkowski, Local solvability of nonstationary leakage problem for ideal incompressible fluid. II .....	229