# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

SEMIPRIME &-QF3 RINGS

GIUSEPPE BACCELLA

Vol. 120, No. 2

October 1985

#### SEMIPRIME 8-QF 3 RINGS

#### GIUSEPPE BACCELLA

A ring R (associative with identity) is called *right*  $\aleph$ -QF 3 if it has a faithful right ideal which is a direct sum of a family of injective envelopes of pairwise non-isomorphic simple right R-modules. A right QF 3 ring is just a right  $\aleph$ -QF 3 ring where the above family is finite. The aim of the present work is to give a structure theorem for semiprime  $\aleph$ -QF 3 rings. It is proved, among others, that the following conditions are equivalent for a given ring R: (a) R is a semiprime right  $\aleph$ -QF 3 ring, (b) there is a ring Q, which is a direct product of right full linear rings, such that  $\operatorname{Soc} Q \subset R \subset Q$ , (c) R is right nonsingular and every non-singular right R-module is cogenerated by simple and projective modules.

A ring R is called a right QF3 ring if there is a minimal faithful module  $U_R$ , in the sense that every faithful right R-module contains a direct summand which is isomorphic to U; one proves that if there exists such a module U, then it is unique up to an isomorphism. It was proved by Colby and Rutter [5, Theorem 1] that R is right QF 3 if and only if it contains a faithful right ideal of the form  $E(S_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus E(S_n)$ , where each  $E(S_i)$  is the injective envelope of a simple module  $S_i$ , and the  $S_i$ 's are pairwise non-isomorphic. Following Kawada [10], we say that R is a right ℵ-QF3 ring if there is a family  $(e_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  of pairwise orthogonal and pairwise non isomorphic (in the sense that  $e_{\lambda}R \neq e_{\mu}R$  whenever  $\lambda \neq \mu$ ) idempotents of R such that: (a) each  $e_{\lambda}R$  is the injective envelope of a minimal right ideal, (b) the right ideal  $W_R = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} e_{\lambda} R$  is faithful; here  $\aleph$ stands for the cardinality of the set  $\Lambda$ . It is clear from Colby and Rutter's result that a right QF3 ring is nothing other than a right \- QF3 ring where  $\aleph$  is a finite cardinal. By a  $\aleph$ -QF 3 ring we shall mean a ring which is both right and left 8-QF 3; similarly for QF 3 rings.

In [4] we studied those right  $\aleph$ -QF3 rings which have zero right singular ideal. Our purpose in the present paper is to characterize the semiprime right  $\aleph$ -QF3 rings. Our main result is that the following conditions are equivalent for a given ring R: (a) R is a semiprime right  $\aleph$ -QF3 ring, (b) R is a semiprime ring with essential socle and every simple projective right R-module is injective. (c) R is right nonsingular and every nonsingular right R-module is cogenerated by simple projective modules, (d) R is (isomorphic to) a subring of a direct product  $\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} Q_{\lambda}$ of right full linear rings and  $\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \operatorname{Soc} Q_{\lambda} \subset R$ . As a consequence we obtain that R is a semiprime  $\aleph$ -QF3 ring if and only if it satisfies one (and hence all) of the following conditions: (a) R is a subring of the direct

#### **GIUSEPPE BACCELLA**

product of a family  $(Q_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  of simple artinian rings and contains the direct sum  $\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} Q_{\lambda}$ , (b) *R* is right nonsingular and every nonsingular injective right *R*-module is a direct product of pairwise independent semisimple and homogeneous modules (we say that two semisimple right *R*-modules *L*, *M* are *independent* if Hom<sub>*R*</sub>(*L*, *M*) = 0, i.e. if *L* does not contain a simple submodule which is isomorphic to some submodule of *M*).

Throughout, all rings will be associative with identity, all modules will be unitary and all maps between modules will be module homomorphisms. For a given ring R, we shall denote with Mod-R the category of all right R-modules. If M is a given right R-module, we shall denote with E(M), Z(M), J(M) and Soc M resp. the injective envelope, the singular submodule, the Jacobsen radical and the socle of M; if  $\mathscr{A}$  is a set of pairwise non-isomorphic simple right R-modules, then  $Soc_{\mathscr{A}}(M)$  will denote the  $\mathscr{A}$ -homogeneous component of Soc M (we shall write  $Soc_{P}(M)$ in case  $\mathscr{A} = \{P\}$ ); the notation  $N \leq M_R$  (resp.  $N \leq M_R$ ) will mean that Nis an R-submodule (resp. an essential R-submodule) of M. Given a subset  $X \subset M$ ,  $r_R(X)$  will be the right annihilator of X in R; similarly, if M is a left R-module, then  $l_R(X)$  will be the left annihilator of X in R. We assume the reader familiar with elementary facts about torsion theories, in particular the Goldie torsion theory (see e.g. [6] and [12]).

We proceed to give first several preliminary results concerning the projective components of the socle of a ring; these results are mainly based on the following one, which was proved in [2, Proposition 1.4 and Corollary 1.5].

**PROPOSITION 1.** Let R be a given ring, let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a set of representatives of the simple projective right R-modules and let K be a two-sided ideal contained in Soc  $R_R$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

 $(1) K^2 = K.$ 

(2)  $_{R}(R/K)$  is flat.

(3) There is a subset  $\mathscr{A} \subset \mathscr{P}$  such that  $K = \operatorname{Soc}_{\mathscr{A}}(R_R)$ . If these conditions hold, then for each module  $M_R$  we have  $\operatorname{Soc}_{\mathscr{A}}(M) = MK$ .

By a *right full linear ring* we mean a ring which is isomorphic to the endomorphism ring of a right vector space over some division ring. It is well known that R is a right full linear ring if and only if R is a prime von Neumann regular right self-injective ring with essential socle (see [12, Ch. XII, Corollary 1.5, page 246]); if it is the case, then R is a right QF 3 ring

(see Tachikawa [13, page 43, 44]). The following proposition tells us that prime right QF 3 rings can be characterized as special subrings of right full linear rings (see however [13, Proposition 4.3]). We need a lemma.

LEMMA 2. Let P be a minimal right ideal of the ring R and let e be an idempotent such that  $P \leq eR_R$ . Then either eR = P or  $P^2 = 0$ .

*Proof.* If  $P^2 \neq 0$ , then, by the modular law, P is a direct summand of eR and hence equals eR.

**PROPOSITION 3.** Given a ring R, the following conditions are equivalent:

(1) R has a simple injective, projective and faithful right module.

(2) *R* is a prime right QF 3 ring.

(3) *R* is a subring of a right full linear ring *Q* and Soc  $Q \subset R$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) is clear from [5, Theorem 1].

 $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$ . It follows from (2) that R has a nonzero homogeneous projective essential socle S. Moreover, since R is right QF 3, there is an idempotent  $e \in R$  such that  $eR_R$  is faithful, injective with a simple essential socle P. Inasmuch as P is prime, then  $P^2 = P$  and hence P = eRby Lemma 2, so all minimal right ideals of R are injective. Let Q be the maximal right quotient ring of R. It is well known that  $Q \cong \text{End } S_R \cong$  $E(R_R)$  and Q is a right full linear ring (see e.g. [12, page 249]). Now if N is a minimal right ideal of R, then, by the above,  $R \supset N = E(N_R) = NQ$ . The latter equality tells us that Soc  $Q_O = SQ \subset R$ .

 $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$ . Suppose that Soc  $Q_Q \subset R \subset Q$ , where Q is a right full linear ring. Then R is right primitive, Soc  $R = \text{Soc } Q_Q$  and Q is the maximal right quotient ring of R. If N is a minimal right ideal of R, then  $N_R$  is faithful, projective, and, as in the proof of the implication  $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$ ,  $E(N_R) = NQ$ , therefore N is essential in  $NQ_R$ . Since the latter is semi-simple, it follows that N = NQ and hence  $N_R$  is injective.

COROLLARY 4. A ring R is a prime QF 3 ring if and only if R is simple artinian.

*Proof.* The "if" part is obvious. Assume that R is prime and QF 3. Then R has both a right and a left simple injective, projective and faithful module by Proposition 3. It follows from Jans [9, corollary 2.2] that R is simple artinian.

#### **GIUSEPPE BACCELLA**

In what follows we fix a simple projective right *R*-module *P* and we set  $L = l_R(\text{Soc}_P(R_R))$ . Then, in view of Proposition 1, we have  $\text{Soc}_P(R_R) \cdot L \subset \text{Soc}_P(R_R) \cap L = L \cdot \text{Soc}_P(R_R) = 0$ , so that *P* may be regarded as a simple right R/L-module. The proof of the following lemma is left to the reader.

LEMMA 5. With the above notations, R/L is a right nonsingular ring with essential and homogeneous right socle; to be precise, the canonical map  $R \rightarrow R/L$  induces an isomorphism  $\operatorname{Soc}_P(R_R) \cong \operatorname{Soc}(R/L)_{R/L}$ .

**LEMMA** 6. With the above notations, the following conditions are equivalent:

(1)  $P_R$  is injective.

(2)  $P_{R/L}$  is injective.

(3) R/L is a prime right QF 3 ring.

If any of the above conditions holds, then  $L = r_R(P)$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) is obvious.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$ . It follows from Lemma 5 that  $\text{Soc}(R/L)_{R/L}$  is homogeneous and essential in R/L and, since  $P_{R/L}$  is injective, we have J(R/L) = 0. Thus R/L is primitive and  $P_{R/L}$  is a simple faithful, injective and projective module, therefore R/L is right QF 3 by Proposition 3.

 $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$ . If R/L is a prime right QF 3 ring, then, again by Proposition 3, R/L is primitive with P as a simple faithful injective and projective right R/L-module. This implies  $J(R) \subset L$  and, taking Proposition 1 into account, we get  $J(R) \cap \operatorname{Soc}_P(R_R) = J(R)\operatorname{Soc}_P(R_R) = 0$ . We may now apply [3, Theorem 1.3, equivalence of conditions (1) and (8)] and we infer that  $E((\operatorname{Soc}_P(R_R))_{R/L})$  is R-injective. From that, since  $P_{R/L}$  is injective, we conclude that  $P_R$  is injective.

Finally, the arguments in the proof of the last implication together with [3, Theorem 1.3], show the last part of our lemma.  $\Box$ 

If  $P_R$  is injective, then  $J(R) \cap \operatorname{Soc}_P(R_R) = 0$  and [3, Theorem 1.3] implies that  $\operatorname{Soc}_P(R_R) = \operatorname{Soc}_{P'}(R_R)$ , where P' is some simple projective left R-module (to be precise,  $P' = \operatorname{Hom}_R(P, R)$ ); moreover  $L = r_R(P) = l_R(P')$ . The condition that  $_RP'$  also is injective is very sharp, as it is shown by the following corollary.

COROLLARY 7. With the above notations, the following conditions are equivalent:

(1)  $P_R$  and its dual  $_RP' = \operatorname{Hom}_R(P, R)$  are injective.

- (2) R/L is a simple artinian ring.
- (3)  $\operatorname{Soc}_{P}(R_{R}) = eR$  for a central idempotent  $e \in R$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). As we observed before, the injectivity of  $P_R$  implies that  $L = r_R(P) = l_R(P')$ . Thus, according to Lemma 6, (1) implies that R/L is a prime QF 3 ring; hence R/L is simple artinian by Corollary 4.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). If (2) holds, then  $J(R) \subset L$  and hence  $J(R) \cap \operatorname{Soc}_{P}(R_{R}) =$ 0. According to the above remarks, there is a simple projective left *R*-module *P'* such that  $\operatorname{Soc}_{P}(R_{R}) = \operatorname{Soc}_{P'}(R_{R})$ . Taking Lemma 5 into account, we see that  $R/L \cong \operatorname{Soc}_{P}(R_{R}) = \operatorname{Soc}_{P'}(R_{R})$ , therefore R/L is projective both as a right and a left *R*-module. We conclude that L = fRfor a central idempotent  $f \in R$  and (3) holds with e = 1 - f.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) is a consequence of [2, Theorem 2.7].

Recall that the ring R is semiprime if it has no non-zero nilpotent right (and hence left) ideals. Without any hypothesis on R, if N is a minimal right ideal of R, then either  $N^2 = 0$  or N = eR for some idempotent  $e \in R$ . Thus, if R is semiprime, it follows from Proposition 1 that Soc  $R_R = \text{Soc}_{\mathscr{P}}(R_R)$  and every two-sided ideal contained in Soc  $R_R$  is of the form  $\text{Soc}_{\mathscr{A}}(R_R)$  for some subset  $\mathscr{A} \subset \mathscr{P}$ ; moreover, it was proved by Jacobson (see [8, Ch. IV, n. 3, Theorem 1, page 65]) that every homogeneous component of Soc  $R_R$  is also a homogeneous component of Soc<sub>R</sub>R and conversely, so that Soc  $R_R = \text{Soc}_R R$ .

**LEMMA** 8. Let Q be a ring with essential and projective right socle S and let R be a subring of Q containing S. Then the following are true:

- (1)  $S = \operatorname{Soc} R_R = \operatorname{Soc} Q_R$ .
- (2)  $S_R$  is projective.

(3)  $S \trianglelefteq R_R \trianglelefteq Q_R$ .

Moreover, if Q is semiprime, then R is semiprime as well.

*Proof.* Let U be a minimal right ideal of Q and let  $0 \neq x \in U$ . Taking Proposition 1 into account we have  $U = xQ = xS \subset xR \subset U$ , hence xR = U. This shows that  $S \subset \operatorname{Soc} R_R$ . Since  $S \triangleleft Q_Q$ , then  $xS \neq 0$  for each non-zero  $x \in Q$  and therefore  $S \triangleleft R_R$ . We infer that  $S = \operatorname{Soc} R_R$  and  $S_R$  is projective since  $S^2 = S$ . Moreover  $S \triangleleft Q_R$ , so  $S = \operatorname{Soc} Q_R$ . If Q is semiprime, then every minimal right ideal of Q is generated by an idempotent. This fact, together with  $S \triangleleft R_R$ , implies easily that R is semiprime.

Following L. Levy [11], we say that the ring R is an *irredundant* subdirect product of a family  $(R_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  of rings if:

(a) R is a subdirect product of the  $R_{\lambda}$ 's,

(b) canonically identifying R with a subring and each  $R_{\lambda}$  with a two-sided ideal of  $\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} R_{\lambda}$ , we have  $R \cap R_{\lambda} \neq 0$ .

**LEMMA 9.** Given a ring R, the following conditions are equivalent:

(1) R is semiprime with essential socle.

(2) *R* is an irredundant subdirect product of a family  $(R_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  of prime rings each with a non-zero socle  $S_{\lambda}$ .

(3) *R* is a subdirect product of a family  $(R_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  of prime rings, each with a non-zero socle  $S_{\lambda}$ , and, canonically identifying *R* with a subring and each  $R_{\lambda}$  with a two-sided ideal of  $\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} R_{\lambda}$ , the equality  $\operatorname{Soc} R_{R} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} S_{\lambda}$  holds.

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). Inasmuch as *R* is semiprime, Soc *R<sub>R</sub>* is projective. Let  $(P_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  be a family of representatives of all simple projective right *R*-modules and, for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , let us write  $L_{\lambda} = r_R(P_{\lambda})$  and  $R_{\lambda} = R/L_{\lambda}$ . It follows from [3, Theorem 1.3] that  $L_{\lambda} = l_R(\text{Soc}_{P_{\lambda}}(R_R))$ , hence *R* is a subdirect product of the family  $(R_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  by Gordon [7, Theorem 2.3]; moreover each  $R_{\lambda}$  has essential right socle  $S_{\lambda}$  and is prime by the above. Let us identify *R* with a subring and each  $R_{\lambda}$  with a two-sided ideal of the ring  $\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} R_{\lambda}$  and let  $p_{\lambda}$ :  $R \to R_{\lambda}$  be the canonical projection. Then Soc<sub> $P_{\lambda}(R_R)$ </sub> is canonically identified with  $S_{\lambda}$  via  $p_{\lambda}$  (see Lemma 5). It follows that  $S_{\lambda} \subset R \cap R_{\lambda}$  and hence Soc  $R = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} S_{\lambda}$ .

 $(3) \Rightarrow (2)$  is clear.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). Let us write  $Q = \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} R_{\lambda}$ . We may again assume that R is a subring and each  $R_{\lambda}$  is a two-sided ideal of Q. For each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , since  $R_{\lambda}$ is prime, every non-zero two-sided ideal of  $R_{\lambda}$  is essential, thus  $S_{\lambda}$  is a minimal two-sided ideal of R; since  $R \cap R_{\lambda} \neq 0$ , then  $S_{\lambda} \subset R \cap R_{\lambda} \subset R$ , whence Soc  $Q_Q = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} S_{\lambda} \subset R$ . Inasmuch as Q is semiprime, it follows from Lemma 8 that R is semiprime with essential socle.

We are now in position to state and prove our structure theorem on semiprime  $\aleph$ -QF3 rings. Recall that R is a right QF3' ring if  $E(R_R)$  is torsionless. A torsion theory  $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$  is *jansian* (or "TTF") if  $\mathcal{T}$  is closed by direct products; this happens if and only if there is an idempotent two-sided ideal I of R such that  $\mathcal{T} = \{L_R | LI = 0\}$ .

THEOREM 10. Let R be a given ring, let  $(P_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  be a family of representatives of all simple projective right R-modules and let  $\aleph$  be a non-zero cardinal number. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(1) *R* is a semiprime right  $\aleph$ -QF 3 ring.

(2) *R* is a semiprime QF 3' ring with essential socle and  $Card(\Lambda) = \aleph$ .

(3) *R* is a right &-QF 3 ring without nilpotent minimal right ideals.

(4) *R* is a semiprime ring with essential socle, every simple projective right *R*-module is injective and  $Card(\Lambda) = \aleph$ .

(5) *R* is an irredundant subdirect product of a family  $(R_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  of prime right QF 3 rings and Card $(\Lambda) = \aleph$ .

(6) *R* is (isomorphic to) a subring of the direct product of a family  $(Q_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  of right full linear rings, with  $Card(\Lambda) = \aleph$ , and  $\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} Soc Q_{\lambda} \subset R$ .

(7) *R* is right nonsingular,  $Card(\Lambda) = \aleph$  and every nonsingular right *R*-module is cogenerated by simple projective modules.

(8)  $\operatorname{Card}(\Lambda) = \aleph$  and a module  $M_R$  is singular if and only if  $\operatorname{Hom}_R(M, P_{\lambda}) = 0$  for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) is clear.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4). Assume that (3) holds. By the definition of a right  $\aleph$ -QF 3 ring and taking [4, Proposition 2.3] into account, we may assume that each  $P_{\lambda}$  is a minimal right ideal and there is a family  $(e_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  of idempotents of R, with  $W_R = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} e_{\lambda} R$  faithful, such that  $e_{\lambda} R = E(P_{\lambda})$  for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . Our assumption, together with Lemma 2, implies that  $e_{\lambda} R = P_{\lambda}$  for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , so that every simple projective right R-module is injective. Moreover  $e_{\lambda} R \cap J(R) = P_{\lambda} \cap J(R) = 0$ , hence  $e_{\lambda} J(R) = 0$  for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . We infer that WJ(R) = 0 and then J(R) = 0, being  $W_R$  faithful. Thus Ris semiprime and has essential socle by [4, Theorem 2.4].

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (5). It follows from Lemma 9 that *R* is an irredundant subdirect product of the family  $(R_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ , where  $R_{\lambda} = R/l_R(\operatorname{Soc}_{P_{\lambda}}(R_R))$  for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . Moreover every  $R_{\lambda}$  is a prime right QF 3 ring by Lemma 6.

 $(5) \Rightarrow (6)$ . Suppose that (5) holds. It follows then from Lemma 8 and 9 that R is a semiprime ring with essential socle and Soc  $R = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \operatorname{Soc} R_{\lambda}$ . Now Proposition 3 tells us that each  $R_{\lambda}$  is (isomorphic to) a subring of a right full linear ring  $Q_{\lambda}$  and Soc  $Q_{\lambda} \subset R_{\lambda}$ . This is enough to conclude that R has the properties stated in (6).

(6)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). If (6) holds, then it follows from Lemma 9 that *R* is semiprime and Soc  $R = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \text{Soc } Q_{\lambda}$ . Moreover  $E(R_R) = \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} Q_{\lambda}$  (see [12, Ch. XII, Proposition 2.4, page 247]). There is a family  $(e_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  of pairwise orthogonal and pairwise non-isomorphic idempotents of *R* such that  $e_{\lambda}Q_{\lambda} = e_{\lambda}R$  is simple and injective. Since  $\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} e_{\lambda}Q_{\lambda}$  is faithful as a right ideal of  $\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} Q_{\lambda}$ , then it is faithful as a right ideal of *R* and therefore *R* is right  $\aleph$ -QF 3.

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (7). Inasmuch as *R* is semiprime with essential socle, *R* must be right (and left) nonsingular. Thus the Lambek torsion theory and the Goldie torsion theory on Mod-*R* coincide, so that every nonsingular (= torsionfree) right *R*-module is cogenerated by  $E(R_R)$ . It follows from the equivalence of conditions (4) and (6) that  $E(R_R) = \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} Q_{\lambda}$ , where

each  $Q_{\lambda}$  is a right full linear ring. Since  $Q_{\lambda}$  is isomorphic to the direct product  $P_{\lambda}^{\Gamma_{\lambda}}$  for some  $\Gamma_{\lambda}$ , we infer that the family  $(P_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  cogenerates  $E(R_{R})$ , hence it cogenerates every nonsingular right *R*-module.

 $(7) \Rightarrow (8)$ . This implication is clear, taking into account that, since R is right nonsingular, the Goldie torsion class in Mod-R consists of all singular modules.

(8)  $\Rightarrow$  (4). Assume that (8) holds and let us prove first that  $Z(R_R) = 0$ . Let us denote by S the projective component of Soc  $R_R$ . Since  $\aleph \neq 0$ , (8) implies that  $S \neq 0$  and R(R/S) is flat by Proposition 1, so that we may consider the jansian torsion theory  $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$  associated with the idempotent two-sided ideal S:  $\mathcal{T} = \{L_R | LS = 0\}, \mathcal{F} = \{M_R | MS \triangleleft M\}$  (for the last equality see [1, Proposition 1.3]). Now (8) implies that a module  $M_R$  is nonsingular iff it has projective and essential socle and, since the latter is given by MS (see Proposition 1), we infer that  $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$  coincides with the Goldie torsion theory. Moreover (8) implies that the class of all singular right R-modules is a (hereditary) torsion class, whence it must coincide with  $\mathscr{T}$ . From this we conclude that the Gabriel topology  $\{I \leq R_R | S \subset I\}$ associated with  $\mathscr{T}$  consists of all essential right ideals, whence  $S \triangleleft R_R$  and so  $Z(R_R) = 0$ . Let us prove now that each  $P_{\lambda}$  is injective. Indeed, since  $E(P_{\lambda})$  is nonsingular, it follows from (8) that there is a non zero homomorphism  $E(P_{\lambda}) \to P_{\mu}$  for some  $\mu \in \Lambda$ . Thus, since  $P_{\mu}$  is projective,  $E(P_{\lambda})$  has a direct summand isomrophic to  $P_{\mu}$ , which implies  $\lambda = \mu$  and  $E(P_{\lambda}) = P_{\lambda}$ . We conclude from the above that every minimal right ideal of R is idempotent and, since  $S = \text{Soc } R_R \triangleleft R_R$ , R must be semiprime.

(1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2). By the equivalence of conditions (1) and (4), a semiprime right  $\aleph$ -QF 3 ring has essential socle. Since a semiprime ring with essential socle is nonsingular, the equivalence of (1) and (2) follows from [4, Theorem 2.11].

In the following corollary we characterize those semiprime rings which are \$-QF 3.

COROLLARY 11. With the same hypothesis as in Theorem 10, the following conditions are equivalent:

(1) *R* is a semiprime  $\aleph$ -QF 3 ring.

(2) Soc  $R_R \trianglelefteq R_R$ , there is a family  $(f_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  of idempotents of R such that the  $f_\lambda R$ 's are the homogeneous components of Soc  $R_R$  and Card $(\Lambda) = \aleph$ .

(3) *R* is (isomorphic to) a subring of the direct product of a family  $(Q_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  of simple artinian rings, with  $Card(\Lambda) = \aleph$ , and  $\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} Q_{\lambda} \subset R$ .

(4) R is right nonsingular, every non-zero injective nonsingular right R-module is a direct product of pairwise independent semisimple and homogeneous modules, and  $Card(\Lambda) = \aleph$ .

276

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). In view of Theorem 10, (1) implies that every simple projective right or left *R*-module is injective; hence it follows from Corollary 6 that  $R/l_R(\operatorname{Soc}_{P_\lambda}(R_R))$  is a simple artinian ring for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . Thus (3) holds with  $Q_\lambda = R/l_R(\operatorname{Soc}_{P_\lambda}(R_R))$  (see the proof of the implications (4)  $\Rightarrow$  (5)  $\Rightarrow$  (6) of Theorem 10).

 $(3) \Rightarrow (2)$  is straightforward.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (4). It follows from (2) that Soc  $R_R$  is projective and, taking [2, Theorem 2.7] into account, every semisimple, projective and homogeneous right *R*-module is injective. Assume that  $M_R \neq 0$  is injective and nonsingular. Then Soc  $M = M(\operatorname{Soc} R_R) \leq M$  and it follows from (2) that the homogeneous components of Soc *M* are the  $Mf_{\lambda}$  ( $\lambda \in \Lambda$ ). Moreover  $\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} MF_{\lambda}$  is essential in  $\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} Mf_{\lambda}$ ; indeed, if  $0 \neq (x_{\lambda}) \in \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} Mf_{\lambda}$ , then  $x_{\lambda}f_{\lambda} \neq 0$  for some  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , so that  $0 \neq (x_{\lambda})_{\lambda} (\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} f_{\lambda}R) \subset \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} Mf_{\lambda}$ . Since all  $Mf_{\lambda}$ 's are injective, we conclude that  $M = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} Mf_{\lambda}$ .

 $(4) \Rightarrow (1)$ . Assume that (4) holds. Then one easily checks that every non-singular *R*-module is cogenerated by simple projective modules, hence *R* is a semiprime right \$-QF 3 ring by Theorem 10. Also, (4) implies that every projective semisimple and homogeneous right *R*-module is injective, whence every homogeneous component of Soc  $R_R$  is generated by a central idempotent (see [2, Theorem 2.7]). Inasmuch as *R* is semiprime, then every homogeneous component of Soc  $R_R$  is also a homogeneous component of Soc  $_RR$  and conversely. From this and again by [2, Theorem 2.7] we infer that each simple projective left *R*-module is injective. Finally, since Soc *R* is essential both as a right and a left ideal, it follows from Theorem 10 that *R* is left \$-QF 3 as well.

**REMARK.** The assumption that R is right nonsingular in condition (7) of Theorem 10 and condition (4) of the last corollary cannot be omitted. In fact, if  $R = S \times T$ , where S is a quasi-Frobenius ring with essential singular ideal and T is a semisimple ring, then R is QF3 and every nonsingular R-module is semisimple and injective, but R is not semiprime.

#### References

- G. Baccella, On flat factor rings and fully right idempotent rings, Ann. Univ. Ferrara, 26 (1980), 125–141.
- [2]  $\_$ , On &-semsimple rings. A study of the socle of a ring, Comm. Algebra, 8 (10), (1980), 889–909.
- [3] \_\_\_\_\_, Weakly semiprime rings, Comm. Algebra, 12 (4), (1984), 489–509.
- [4] \_\_\_\_\_, \&-QF3 rings with zero singular ideal, to appear in J. Algebra.
- [5] R. R. Colby and E. A. Rutter, Jr., QF3 rings with zero singular ideal, Pacific J. Math., 28 (1969), 303-308.

#### **GIUSEPPE BACCELLA**

- [6] J. S. Golan, Localization of Noncommutative Rings, Monographs and Textbooks in Math., Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1975.
- [7] R. Gordon, Rings in which minimal left ideals are projective, Pacific J. Math., 31 (1969), 679-692.
- [8] N. Jacobson, Structure of Rings, Amer. Math. Soc. Coll. Publ., Providence, R. I., 1964.
- [9] J. P. Jans, Projective-injective modules, Pacific J. Math., 9 (1959), 1103-1108.
- [10] Y. Kawada, On dominant modules and dominant rings, J. Algebra, 56 (1979), 409-435.
- [11] L. Levy, Unique subdirect sum of prime rings, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 106 (1963), 64–76.
- [12] B. Stenström, *Rings of Quotients*, Grundlehren der Math. Wiss., Bd. 217, Springer-Verlag, Berlin/New York, 1975.
- [13] H. Tachikawa, *Quasi-Frobenius Rings and Generalizations*, Lect. Notes in Math., No. 351, Springer-Verlag, Berlin/New York, 1973.

Received March 19, 1984 and in revised form August 27, 1984.

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA Università dell'Aquila Via Roma, 33 67100 L'Aquila, Italy

### PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS EDITORS

V. S. VARADARAJAN (Managing Editor) University of California Los Angeles, CA 90024	HERMANN FLASCHKA University of Arizona Tucson, AZ 85721	C. C. MOORE University of California Berkeley, CA 94720
CHARLES R. DEPRIMA California Institute of Technology Pasadena, CA 91125	RAMESH A. GANGOLLI University of Washington Seattle, WA 98195	H. SAMELSON Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305
R. FINN Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305	ROBION KIRBY University of California Berkeley, CA 94720 OCIATE EDITORS	HAROLD STARK University of California, San Diego La Jolla, CA 92093
R. Arens E. F. Beckenbac (1906–1982)		WOLF K. YOSHIDA
SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS		
UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUME CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECH UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA	NOLOGY STANFORD UN UNIVERSITY O	PF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA NVERSITY NF HAWAII
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO	UNIVERSITY O UNIVERSITY O	

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. In particular it should contain no bibliographic references. Please propose a heading for the odd numbered pages of less than 35 characters. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. 39. Supply name and address of author to whom proofs should be sent. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024.

There are page-charges associated with articles appearing in the Pacific Journal of Mathematics. These charges are expected to be paid by the author's University, Government Agency or Company. If the author or authors do not have access to such Institutional support these charges are waived. Single authors will receive 50 free reprints; joint authors will receive a total of 100 free reprints. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$190.00 a year (5 Vols., 10 issues). Special rate: \$66.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924, U.S.A. Old back numbers obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics at P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924 (ISSN 0030-8730) publishes 5 volumes per year. Application to mail at Second-class postage rates is pending at Carmel Valley, California, and additional mailing offices. Postmaster: Send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION Copyright © 1985 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics

## **Pacific Journal of Mathematics**

Vol. 120, No. 2 October, 1985

Philip Marshall Anselone and Mike Treuden, Regular operator
approximation theory
Giuseppe Baccella, Semiprime &-QF3 rings
Earl Robert Berkson and Thomas Alastair Gillespie, The generalized M.
Riesz theorem and transference
Joachim Boidol, A Galois-correspondence for general locally compact
groups
Joseph Eugene D'Atri, Josef Dorfmeister and Yan Da Zhao, The isotropy
representation for homogeneous Siegel domains
C. Debiève, On Banach spaces having a Radon-Nikodým dual 327
Michael Aaron Freedman, Existence of strong solutions to singular
nonlinear evolution equations
Francisco Jose Freniche, Grothendieck locally convex spaces of continuous
vector valued functions
Hans-Peter Künzi and Peter Fletcher, Extension properties induced by
complete quasi-uniformities
Takaŝi Kusano, Charles Andrew Swanson and Hiroyuki Usami, Pairs of
positive solutions of quasilinear elliptic equations in exterior domains 385
Angel Rafael Larotonda and Ignacio Zalduendo, Spectral sets as Banach
manifolds
J. Martínez-Maurica and C. Pérez García, A new approach to the
Kreĭn-Milman theorem417
<b>Christian Pommerenke,</b> On the boundary continuity of conformal maps423
M. V. Subba Rao, Some Rogers-Ramanujan type partition theorems431
Stephen Edwin Wilson, Bicontactual regular maps 437
Jaap C. S. P. van der Woude, Characterizations of (H)PI extensions 453
Kichoon Yang, Deformation of submanifolds of real projective space469
Subhashis Nag, Errata: "On the holomorphy of maps from a complex to a
real manifold"