

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

ORDER IDEALS IN CATEGORIES

AURELIO CARBONI AND ROSS STREET

ORDER IDEALS IN CATEGORIES

AURELIO CARBONI AND ROSS STREET

In the program to develop enriched category theory in a topos \mathcal{E} it seems worthwhile to study the two particular bases Ω and \mathbf{R}^+ ; that is, the ordered objects of truth values and of non-negative extended reals with their appropriate monoidal structures. Categories in \mathcal{E} enriched in Ω are ordered objects in \mathcal{E} , and it is this example we wish to study here.

Categories in \mathcal{E} enriched in \mathbf{R}^+ are metric spaces in \mathcal{E} [8] and the relevant \mathbf{R}^+ has been studied in [10]. Since ordered objects occur at the very foundations of elementary topos theory, they have already been extensively studied (especially by Mikkelsen [9] and Brook [3]). However, our purpose is to emphasize the enriched-category viewpoint to give a guide to further development of the program.

Ordered objects can be defined without Ω , of course, and much of the theory can be developed in a category \mathcal{E} much more general than a topos. Our first two sections take this general approach. The first section deals with *ideals* in a regular category; from the enriched-category viewpoint these are the *modules* (= bimodules = profunctors = distributors). There is a bicategory $\text{Idl}(\mathcal{E})$ of ordered objects and ideals. The first key result is that an ideal has a right adjoint if and only if it is locally principal. This means that locally principal ideals play the role that cauchy sequences play in metric space theory [8]. The question of whether every ordered object is “cauchy complete” thus becomes the question of whether locally principal implies principal. We show that this is true precisely when \mathcal{E} satisfies the axiom of choice. The remainder of the first section deals with completeness of ordered objects.

The purpose of the second section is to construct, for ordered objects A, B , an object $[A, B]^*$ of order-preserving arrows from A to B with right adjoints and an object $[A, B]**$ of order-preserving arrows from A to B with right adjoints which have right adjoints. This requires \mathcal{E} to be cartesian closed.

For the final section, \mathcal{E} is required to be an elementary topos. For an ordered object A , we construct the object $\mathcal{P}A$ of order ideals in A which, in enriched-category terms, is the object appropriate for receiving the yoneda embedding. After developing sufficiently the properties of $\mathcal{P}A$, we

show that the cauchy completion of an ordered object A is $\mathcal{Q}A = [\Omega, \mathcal{P}A]**$ (where the subobject classifier Ω is the value of \mathcal{P} at the terminal object of \mathcal{E}).

For any bicategory \mathcal{B} , we write \mathcal{B}^* for the sub-bicategory with the same objects and with the arrows which have right adjoints. We write r^* for the right adjoint of a relation r when it exists. Although we do consider right adjoints for order-preserving arrows and for ideals, we do not use the superscript $*$ for the right adjoints in these cases.

1. Order ideals. A relation $r: A \rightarrow B$ in a category \mathcal{E} is a diagram (r_0, R, r_1) .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \leftarrow R & \rightarrow B \\ & r_0 & r_1 \end{array}$$

such that, for all arrows $x, y: U \rightarrow R$, if $r_0x = r_0y$ and $r_1x = r_1y$ then $x = y$. An arrow $a: U \rightarrow A$ is *r-related* to an arrow $b: U \rightarrow B$ when there exists $x: U \rightarrow R$ with $r_0x = a$, $r_1x = b$; we write $a(r)b$.

An arrow $e: V \rightarrow U$ in \mathcal{E} is called *strong epic* when, for all relations $r: A \rightarrow B$ and arrows $a: U \rightarrow A$, $b: U \rightarrow B$, if $ae(r)be$ then $a(r)b$. A strong epic which is monic is invertible. Strong epic implies epic if \mathcal{E} has pullbacks.

An *ordered object* A of \mathcal{E} consists of an object A_0 together with a relation $d = d_A = (d_0, A_1, d_1): A_0 \rightarrow A_0$ such that, for all $a, b, c: U \rightarrow A_0$, the following conditions hold:

$$\begin{aligned} & a(d_A)a, \\ & a(d_A)b, b(d_A)c \text{ imply } a(d_A)c. \end{aligned}$$

An *order-preserving arrow* (or *functor*) $f: A \rightarrow B$ is an arrow $f: A_0 \rightarrow B_0$ in \mathcal{E} such that $a(d_A)a'$ implies $fa(d_B)fa'$. For order-preserving $f, f': A \rightarrow B$, put $f \leq f'$ when $f(d_B)f'$. With the obvious composition, we obtain the bicategory $\text{Ord}(\mathcal{E})$ of ordered objects in \mathcal{E} .

Objects of \mathcal{E} are identified with ordered objects A for which d_A is the equality relation. When \mathcal{E} has pullbacks, each arrow $h: V \rightarrow U$ in \mathcal{E} gives an ordered object $E(h) = (V, d)$ where $x(d)y$ when $hx = hy$. Then $h: E(h) \rightarrow U$ is order preserving.

For ordered objects A, B in \mathcal{E} , an *ideal* $r: A \rightarrow B$ is a relation $r: A_0 \rightarrow B_0$ such that $a'(d_A)a$, $a(r)b$, $b(d_B)b'$ imply $a'(r)b'$.

In order to be able to compose relations and ideals usefully, we need conditions on the category. A category \mathcal{E} is called *regular* when:

- R1. pullbacks exist;

- R2. for all arrows $a: U \rightarrow A$, $b: U \rightarrow B$, there exists a relation $r = (r_0, R, r_1): A \rightarrow B$ and a strong epic $e: U \rightarrow R$ with $r_0e = a$, $r_1e = b$;
- R3. each pullback of each strong epic is strong epic.

For a regular category \mathcal{E} , there is a bicategory $\text{Rel}(\mathcal{E})$ with the same objects as \mathcal{E} , with relations $r: A \rightarrow B$ as arrows, with a 2-cell $r \leq r'$ if $r_0(r')r_1$, and composition of relations $r: A \rightarrow B$, $s: B \rightarrow C$ given by: $a(sr)c$ iff there exist b and strong epic e with $ae(r)b$ and $b(s)ce$.

Each $f: A \rightarrow B$ in \mathcal{E} can be identified with $(1, A, f): A \rightarrow B$ in $\text{Rel}(\mathcal{E})$. It is proved in [6] that an arrow r in $\text{Rel}(\mathcal{E})$ has a right adjoint r^* iff r is isomorphic to an arrow in \mathcal{E} . The following result of André Joyal shows that our regular categories are regular in the sense of Barr [1].

PROPOSITION 1. *Each strong epic in a regular category is a coequalizer.*

Proof. Let p, q be the kernel pair of a strong epic e (that is, the pullback of e, e). Then $ee^* = 1$ and $e^*e = qp^*$ in $\text{Rel}(\mathcal{E})$. To show e is the coequalizer of p, q , take h with $hp = hq$. Put $r = he^*$ in $\text{Rel}(\mathcal{E})$. Then $r(eh^*) = he^*eh = hqp^*h^* = (hp)(hp)^* \leq 1$ and $1 = ee^* \leq eh^*he^* = (eh^*)r$. So $eh^* = r^*$ and $r \cong k$ where k is in \mathcal{E} . Also $ke \leq re = he^*e = hqp^* = hpp^* \leq h$ implies $ke = h$ since ke, h are in \mathcal{E} . Since e is epic, k is unique with $ke = h$. □

COROLLARY 2. *An arrow r in $\text{Rel}(\mathcal{E})$ has a right adjoint iff there exists a strong epic e in \mathcal{E} such that re is isomorphic to an arrow in \mathcal{E} .*

Proof. If r has a right adjoint then e can be taken to be the identity. Conversely, if $re \cong h$ with h in \mathcal{E} then $hp = hq$ for p, q forming the kernel pair of e . By Proposition 1, $h = ge$ for some g in \mathcal{E} . So $r \cong ree^* \cong he^* \cong gee^*g$. □

For a regular category \mathcal{E} , there is also a bicategory $\text{Idl}(\mathcal{E})$ whose objects are the ordered objects in \mathcal{E} , whose arrows are ideals, and whose 2-cells and compositions are as for relations. The identity ideal of A is $d_A: A \rightarrow A$.

Each order-preserving arrow $f: A \rightarrow B$ yields an ideal $d_Bf: A \rightarrow B$ which has a right adjoint $f^*d_B: B \rightarrow A$ in $\text{Idl}(\mathcal{E})$. An ideal $r: A \rightarrow B$ is called *principal* when there exists an order-preserving arrow $f: A \rightarrow B$ such that $r \cong d_Bf$. In general, not every ideal with a right adjoint is principal; however, Corollary 2 generalizes.

PROPOSITION 3. *An ideal $r: A \rightarrow B$ has a right adjoint iff there exists a strong epic $e: U \rightarrow A_0$ with U in \mathcal{E} and $re: U \rightarrow B$ principal.*

Proof. Suppose $r \dashv s$ in $\text{Idl}(\mathcal{E})$. The unit condition $d_A \leq sr$ amounts to: $a(d_A)a'$ implies there exist b and strong epic e with $ae(r)b$ and $b(s)a'e$. The counit condition $rs \leq d_B$ amounts to: $b(s)a, a(r)b'$ imply $b(d_B)b'$. The unit condition with $a = a' = 1$ gives e strong epic and f with $e(r)f, f(s)e$. The counit condition using $fx(s)ex$, together with the ideal condition for r using $ex(r)fx$, yield that $ex(r)b'$ precisely when $fx(d_B)b'$. Hence $x(re)b'$ precisely when $x(d_Bf)b'$. So $re \cong d_Bf$. Since the source of f is in \mathcal{E} , order-preservingness is automatic. So re is principal.

Conversely, suppose $re \cong d_Bf$ with e strong epic. Put $s = ef^*$. Then $rs = ref^* \cong d_Bff^* \leq d_B$. So, to prove $r \dashv s$ it remains to prove $d_A \leq sr$. Suppose $a(d_A)a'$ and let x, e' form a pullback for e, a . Now $x(d_Bf)fx$ implies $x(re)fx$ which implies $ex(r)fx$. Since $fx(s)ex, ex = ae', ae'(d_A)a'e'$ and s is an ideal, we have $fx(s)a'e'$. So we have $ex(sr)a'e'$. So $a(sr)a'$ because $ex = ae'$ and e' is strong epic by R3. \square

Using the terminology of enriched category theory [3], we call an ideal *cauchy* when it has a right adjoint. An ordered object X is *cauchy complete* when every cauchy ideal into it is principal; it follows from Proposition 3 that we only need to check for cauchy ideals with sources in \mathcal{E} . Thinking of strong epics as *covers*, we can interpret Proposition 3 as saying: an ideal is cauchy precisely when it is locally principal. We say that \mathcal{E} satisfies the *axiom of choice* when every strong epic is a retraction.

COROLLARY 4. *The following three conditions on \mathcal{E} are equivalent:*

- (i) *the axiom of choice;*
- (ii) *every ordered object is cauchy complete;*
- (iii) *every equivalence is $\text{Idl}(\mathcal{E})$ is principal.*

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) If e is a retraction then re principal implies r principal, so Proposition 3 gives the result.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) Trivial.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i) If $e: V \rightarrow U$ is a strong epic then $e: E(e) \rightarrow U$ is an equivalence in $\text{Idl}(\mathcal{E})$. So $e^*: U \rightarrow E(e)$ is principal by (iii). Then $e^* \cong e^*ef$ with f in \mathcal{E} ; so $ef \cong ee^*ef \cong ee^* \cong 1$; so e is a retraction. \square

The homomorphism

$$\text{Ord}(\mathcal{E})^{\text{co}} \rightarrow \text{Idl}(\mathcal{E})^*,$$

which is the identity on objects and takes f to d_Bf , is generally not a biequivalence (it is iff \mathcal{E} satisfies the axiom of choice). Since $e: E(e) \rightarrow U$

is an equivalence in $\text{Idl}(\mathcal{E})$ when e is a strong epic, we obtain

$$\text{Ord}(\mathcal{E})(E(e), A)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Idl}(\mathcal{E})^*(E(e), A) \simeq \text{Idl}(\mathcal{E})^*(U, A)$$

taking $h: E(e) \rightarrow A$ to $d_A h e^*: U \rightarrow A$. Let CovU denote the ordered set whose elements are strong epics $e: V \rightarrow U$ (covers) with $e \leq e'$ when there exists an arrow $f: V \rightarrow V'$ such that $e = e'f$. Notice that $(\text{CovU})^{\text{op}}$ is a directed set by R3, and $e \mapsto E(e)$ gives a functor $E: \text{CovU} \rightarrow \text{Ord}(\mathcal{E})$. Thus we have a cone of ordered sets:

$$\text{Ord}(\mathcal{E})(E - , A)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Idl}(\mathcal{E})^*(U, A).$$

COROLLARY 5. *For U in \mathcal{E} and A in $\text{Ord}(\mathcal{E})$ the above cone induces an equivalence of ordered sets*

$$\text{colim}_{e \in \text{CovU}} \text{Ord}(\mathcal{E})(E(e), A)^{\text{op}} \simeq \text{Idl}(\mathcal{E})^*(U, A).$$

Proof. To obtain the inverse assignment, take a cauchy ideal $r: U \rightarrow A$. Proposition 3 gives $re \cong d_A h$ for some $h: V \rightarrow A$ and strong epic $e: V \rightarrow U$. Then $he^*e \leq d_A h e^*e \cong ree^*e \cong re \cong d_A h$; so $h: E(e) \rightarrow A$ is order preserving. \square

For ideals $r: A \rightarrow C$, $s: B \rightarrow C$, we write $C(r, s): B \rightarrow A$ for the ideal characterized by the property:

$$t \leq C(r, s) \quad \text{iff} \quad rt \leq s$$

for all ideals $t: B \rightarrow A$. For a general \mathcal{E} , the ideal $C(r, s)$ may not exist for all r, s . If r is cauchy then $C(r, s)$ is the composite of s with the right adjoint for r ; in particular, if $u: A \rightarrow C$ is order preserving then $C(d_C u, s) \cong u^*s$.

PROPOSITION 6. *If \mathcal{E} is finitely complete and each \mathcal{E}/U is cartesian closed then $C(r, s)$ exists for all ideals $r: A \rightarrow C$, $s: B \rightarrow C$.*

Proof. For ordered objects A, B , the inclusion of $\text{Idl}(\mathcal{E})(A, B)$ in $\text{Rel}(\mathcal{E})(A_0, B_0)$ whose value at a relation $r: A_0 \rightarrow B_0$ is the relation (which happens to be an ideal) $A_0 \rightarrow B_0$ obtained from the internal hom in $\mathcal{E}/A_0 \times B_0$ of the objects $d_1 \times d_0: A_0 \times B_0 \rightarrow A_0 \times B_0$ and

$$\begin{pmatrix} r_0 \\ r_1 \end{pmatrix}: R \rightarrow A_0 \times B_0.$$

It is well known [7] that, under our conditions on \mathcal{E} , for each span $r: U \rightarrow W$, the functor $\text{Spn}(\mathcal{E})(V, U) \rightarrow \text{Spn}(\mathcal{E})(V, W)$ obtained by composing with r has a right adjoint. When r is a relation this right adjoint induces a right adjoint to the functor $\text{Rel}(\mathcal{E})(V, U) \rightarrow \text{Rel}(\mathcal{E})(V, W)$ given by composing with r in $\text{Rel}(\mathcal{E})$.

For ideals $r: A \rightarrow C, s: B \rightarrow C$, the desired ideal $C(r, s)$ is the value of the right adjoint to

$$\text{Idl}(\mathcal{E})(B, A) \rightarrow \text{Rel}(\mathcal{E})(B_0, A_0) \xrightarrow{r^-} \text{Rel}(\mathcal{E})(B_0, C_0)$$

at s . □

Suppose $r: A \rightarrow B$ is an ideal and $f: B \rightarrow X$ is order preserving. An *r-weighted limit* for f is an order-preserving arrow $\lim(r, f): A \rightarrow X$ such that $\lim(r, f) * d_X \cong B(r, f * d_X)$.

PROPOSITION 7. *An ordered object X is Cauchy complete iff X admits all limits weighted by cauchy ideals.*

Proof. For a cauchy ideal $r: A \rightarrow B$ with right adjoint s , we have $B(r, f * d_X) \cong sf * d_X$ which is a right adjoint for $d_X fr: A \rightarrow X$.

If X is cauchy complete then $d_X fr \cong d_X g$ for some order preserving g ; so $g * d_X \cong B(r, f * d_X)$ and $g \cong \lim(r, f)$.

If X admits the indicated limits consider such r with $B = X$. Let $g = \lim(r, 1_X)$ so that $g * d_X \cong s1_X^* d_X \cong s$. So $r \cong d_X g$ is principal. □

PROPOSITION 8. *For any $j: A \rightarrow B$ in $\text{Ord}(\mathcal{E})$, the functor*

$$\text{Ord}(\mathcal{E})(j, 1): \text{Ord}(\mathcal{E})(B, X) \rightarrow \text{Ord}(\mathcal{E})(A, X)$$

*has right adjoint at $f: A \rightarrow X$ given by $\lim(j * d_B, f)$ if this limit exists. If j is fully faithful (i.e. $d_A \cong j * d_B j$) then $\lim(j * d_B, f) j \cong f$.*

Proof. $g \leq \lim(j * d, f)$ iff $g * d \leq \lim(j * d, f) * d \cong B(j * d, f * d)$ iff $j * dg * d \leq f * d$ iff $(gj) * d \leq f * d$ iff $gj \leq f$. If j is fully faithful then $j * d_B j f * d_X \leq f * d_X$, so $j f * d_X \leq A(j * d_B, f * d_X) \cong \lim(j * d_B, f) * d_X$, so $f * d_X \leq j * \lim(j * d_B, f) * d_X$, so $f \leq \lim(j * d_B, f) j$. □

These results relate our work to that of Bunge-Paré [5], Bunge [4] and Street [14].

2. Objects of adjunctions. Suppose the category \mathcal{E} is finitely complete and cartesian closed:

$$\mathcal{E}(U \times X, Y) \cong \mathcal{E}(U, [X, Y]).$$

For ordered objects A, B in \mathcal{E} , form the pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [A, B]_0 & \rightarrow & [A_0, B_0] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} [d_0, 1] \\ [d_1, 1] \end{pmatrix} \\ [A_1, B_1] & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} [1, d_0] \\ [1, d_1] \end{pmatrix}} & [A_1, B_0] \times [A_1, B_0] \end{array}$$

in which the horizontal arrows are monic. The order d_B on B_0 induces an order on $[A_0, B_0]$ and hence on $[A, B]_0$ yielding an ordered object $[A, B]$ satisfying:

$$\text{Ord}(\mathcal{E})(C \times A, B) \cong \text{Ord}(\mathcal{E})(C, [A, B]).$$

Indeed, $\text{Ord}(\mathcal{E})$ is finitely complete and cartesian closed as a 2-category.

PROPOSITION 9. *For ordered objects A, B in \mathcal{E} , there exists an ordered object $[A, B]^*$ with a natural equivalence of ordered sets:*

$$\mathcal{E}(U, [A, B]^*) \simeq \text{Ord}(\mathcal{E}/U)^*(U \times A, U \times B)$$

(where, of course, $U \times A, U \times B$ are regarded as objects of $\text{Ord}(\mathcal{E}/U)$ by means of first projection onto U).

Proof. (This kind of result is folklore from the '60's; we indicate the proof for lack of a suitable reference.) The identity $[A, B] \rightarrow [A, B]$ corresponds to "evaluation" $\text{ev}_A: [A, B] \times A \rightarrow B$, and the composite

$$[B, C] \times [A, B] \times A \xrightarrow{1 \times \text{ev}_A} [B, C] \times B \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_B} C$$

corresponds to "composition" $\text{comp}_B: [B, C] \times [A, B] \rightarrow [A, C]$. The projection $1 \times A \rightarrow A$ gives $\text{id}_A: 1 \rightarrow [A, A]$. Let $h: H \rightarrow [B, A] \times [A, B]$ denote the inserter (or subequalizer) of the pair of arrows

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & 1 & \\ & \nearrow & \searrow \text{id}_A \\ [B, A] \times [A, B] & \xrightarrow{\text{comp}_B} & [A, A] \end{array}$$

in $\text{Ord}(\mathcal{E})$; this means that an arrow $U \rightarrow H$ amounts to order-preserving arrows $f: U \times A \rightarrow B, g: U \times B \rightarrow A$ such that $pr_3 \leq g(U \times f)$. Let $k: K \rightarrow [A, B] \times [B, A]$ denote the inserter of the pair of arrows.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [A, B] \times [B, A] & \xrightarrow{\text{comp}_A} & [B, B] \\ & \searrow & \nearrow \text{id}_B \\ & 1 & \end{array}$$

in $\text{Ord}(\mathcal{E})$. Form the pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [A, B]_0^* & \rightarrow & K_0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow k \\ H_0 & \xrightarrow{h} & [B, A] \times [A, B] \cong [A, B] \times [B, A]. \end{array}$$

It is easy to see that the composite of the above square with each projection onto $[A, B]$ and onto $[B, A]$ is monic. Let $[A, B]^*$ be the object $[A, B]_0^*$ enriched by the order induced from $[A, B]$ via the monic. The natural equivalence is easily verified. \square

There are order-preserving monics

$$[A, B]^* \rightarrow [A, B] \quad \text{and} \quad [A, B]^* \rightarrow [B, A]^{\text{op}}$$

induced by the inclusion

$$\text{Ord}(\mathcal{E}/U)^*(U \times A, U \times B) \rightarrow \text{Ord}(\mathcal{E}/U)(U \times A, U \times B)$$

and the right-adjoint-assigning monic

$$\text{Ord}(\mathcal{E}/U)^*(U \times A, U \times B) \rightarrow \text{Ord}(\mathcal{E}/U)(U \times B, U \times A).$$

The object $[A, B]^{**}$ defined by the pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [A, B]^{**} & \rightarrow & [B, A]^{*\text{op}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ [A, B]^* & \rightarrow & [B, A]^{\text{op}} \end{array}$$

and the natural equivalence of ordered sets

$$\mathcal{E}(U, [A, B]^{**}) \simeq \text{Ord}(\mathcal{E}/U)^{**}(U \times A, U \times B)$$

will be used to construct the cauchy completion of an ordered object.

Notice that these universal properties of $[A, B]^*$, $[A, B]^{**}$ do determine them up to isomorphism. This follows because $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \text{Ord}(\mathcal{E})$ is dense; in fact, $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \text{Cat}(\mathcal{E})$ is dense as can be seen using the extended Yoneda lemma [12; p. 287].

3. Cauchy completion. For this Section we assume that \mathcal{E} is an elementary topos. Then \mathcal{E} satisfies the assumptions of the earlier sections, including those of Proposition 6. The subobject classifier Ω is regarded as an ordered object via that order which gives a natural equivalence of ordered sets:

$$\text{Rel}(\mathcal{E})(X, Y) \simeq \mathcal{E}(X \times Y, \Omega).$$

For ordered objects A, B , this equivalence (with $X = A_0, Y = B_0$) enriches to a natural equivalence

$$\text{Idl}(\mathcal{E})(A, B) \simeq \text{Ord}(\mathcal{E})(A^{\text{op}} \times B, \Omega)$$

where A^{op} denotes A_0 with the reverse order (d_1, A_0, d_0) . Putting $A = [\mathcal{P}A^{\text{op}}, \Omega]$, we obtain a natural equivalence

$$\text{Idl}(\mathcal{E})(A, B) \simeq \text{Ord}(\mathcal{E})(B, \mathcal{P}A);$$

compare [11; pp. 172–5].

The identity of $\mathcal{P}A$ in $\text{Ord}(\mathcal{E})$ corresponds to an ideal $\in_A: A \rightarrow \mathcal{P}A$ called *membership*. The last natural equivalence is then given by: the ideal $r: A \rightarrow B$ corresponds to the order-preserving arrow $f: B \rightarrow \mathcal{P}A$ when $r \cong f^* \in_A$; that is,

$$a(r)b \text{ iff } a(\in_A)fb.$$

The *yoneda embedding* $y_A: A \rightarrow \mathcal{P}A$ is the order-preserving arrow defined by $d_A \cong y_A^* \in_A$; that is,

$$a \leq a' \text{ iff } a(\in_A)y_A a'.$$

PROPOSITION 10. (i) $a(\in_A)f$ iff $y_A a \leq f$.

(ii) If $y_A a \leq f$ implies $y_A a \leq f'$ for all a then $f \leq f'$.

(iii) $\in_A y_A^* \cong d_{\mathcal{P}A}$.

(iv) The left extension of y_A along y_A is $1_{\mathcal{P}A}$ in $\text{Ord}(\mathcal{E})$.

Proof. (i) $y_A a \leq f$ iff $a^* y_A^* \in_A \leq f^* \in_A$ iff $a^* d_A \leq f^* \in_A$ iff $fa^* d_A \leq \in_A$ iff $fa^* \leq \in_A$ (since \in_A is an ideal) iff $a(\in_A)f$.

(ii) $f \leq f'$ iff $f^* \in_A \leq f'^* \in_A$ iff $(a^* \leq f^* \in_A \Rightarrow a^* \leq f'^* \in_A)$ iff $(fa^* \leq \in_A \Rightarrow f'a^* \leq \in_A)$ iff $(a(\in_A)f \Rightarrow a(\in_A)f')$ iff $(y_A a \leq f \Rightarrow y_A a \leq f')$.

(iii) $p(\in_A y_A^*)q$ iff $(pe = y_A a, a(\in_A)qe$ for some a and epic e) iff $(pe = y_A a, y_A a \leq qe$ for some a and epic e) iff $p \leq q$ iff $p(d_{\mathcal{P}A})q$.

(iv) $y_A \leq ky_B$ iff $1(\in_A)ky_A$ iff $1(\in_A y_A^*)k$ iff $1(d_{\mathcal{P}A})k$ iff $1 \leq k$. \square

An ordered object X is called *complete* when it admits all limits weighted by all ideals.

Put $\mathcal{P}^\dagger A = (\mathcal{P}A^{\text{op}})^{\text{op}} = [A, \Omega]^{\text{op}}$ and $y_A^\dagger = (y_{A^{\text{op}}})^{\text{op}}: A \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^\dagger A$. Then we have an ideal $\ni_A: \mathcal{P}^\dagger A \rightarrow A$ which induces an equivalence

$$\text{Ord}(\mathcal{E})(B, \mathcal{P}^\dagger A)^{\text{op}} \simeq \text{Idl}(\mathcal{E})(B, A).$$

PROPOSITION 11. For all $C \in \text{Ord}(\mathcal{E})$ the ordered objects $\mathcal{P}C$ and $\mathcal{P}^\dagger C$ are both complete.

Proof. Limits in $\mathcal{P}^\dagger C$ are obtained from *composition of ideals*. To see this take an ideal $r: A \rightarrow B$ and a functor $f: B \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^\dagger C$. Let $s: B \rightarrow C$ be the ideal corresponding to f : this means $b(s)c$ iff $fb \leq y_C^\dagger c$. The composite ideal $sr: A \rightarrow C$ gives a functor $g: A \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^\dagger C$ with $ga \leq y_C^\dagger c$ iff $a(sr)c$. We claim that $g = \text{lim}(r, f)$. Twice using Proposition 10(ii), we have $rt \leq f*d$ iff $(p(t)a, a(r)b \Rightarrow p \leq fb)$ iff $(p(t)a, a(r)b, fb \leq y_C^\dagger c \Rightarrow p \leq y_C^\dagger c)$ iff $(p(t)a, a(r)b, b(s)c \Rightarrow p \leq y_C^\dagger c)$ iff $(p(t)a, a(sr)c \Rightarrow p \leq y_C^\dagger c)$ iff $(p(t)a, ga \leq y_C^\dagger c \Rightarrow p \leq y_C^\dagger c)$ iff $(p(t)a \Rightarrow p \leq ga)$ iff $t \leq g*d$.

Limits in $\mathcal{P}C$ are obtained from *right liftings of ideals* (which exist by Proposition 6). To see this, take an ideal $r: A \rightarrow B$ and a functor $f: B \rightarrow \mathcal{P}C$. Let $s: C \rightarrow B$ be the ideal corresponding to f . Let $g: A \rightarrow \mathcal{P}C$ be the functor corresponding to the ideal $B(r, s): C \rightarrow A$. One easily verifies that $g = \text{lim}(r, f)$. □

PROPOSITION 12. *The following conditions on an ordered object X are equivalent:*

- (a) X is complete;
- (b) $y_X^\dagger: X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^\dagger X$ has a right adjoint;
- (c) X^{op} is complete;
- (d) $y_X: X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}X$ has a left adjoint.

Proof. (a) \Rightarrow (b) $\text{lim}(\exists_X, 1_X): \mathcal{P}^\dagger X \rightarrow X$ can be verified to be a right adjoint for y_X^\dagger .

(b) \Rightarrow (c) Condition (b) means that $y_{X^{\text{op}}}: X^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X^{\text{op}})$ has a left adjoint. Since $y_{X^{\text{op}}}$ is fully faithful and $\mathcal{P}(X^{\text{op}})$ admits all limits (Proposition 11), a familiar argument gives that X^{op} admits all limits and they are preserved by $y_{X^{\text{op}}}$.

(c) \Rightarrow (d) Apply (a) \Rightarrow (b) to X^{op} .

(d) \Rightarrow (a) Apply (b) \Rightarrow (c) to X^{op} . □

PROPOSITION 13. *If X is complete then composition with $y_A: A \rightarrow \mathcal{P}A$ gives an equivalence*

$$\text{Ord}(\mathcal{E})^*(\mathcal{P}A, X) \simeq \text{Ord}(\mathcal{E})(A, X).$$

Furthermore, $[\mathcal{P}A, X]^* \simeq [A, X]$.

Proof. Composition with $y_A: A \rightarrow \mathcal{P}A$ gives a functor

$$\text{Ord}(\mathcal{E})(\mathcal{P}A, X) \rightarrow \text{Ord}(\mathcal{E})(A, X)$$

which has a left adjoint by Proposition 8 and 12; the left adjoint in fact lands in $\text{Ord}(\mathcal{E})^*(\mathcal{P}A, X)$ since its value $\hat{f}: A \rightarrow X$ at $f: A \rightarrow X$ has a

right adjoint $X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}A$ which corresponds to the ideal $d_{x,f}: A \rightarrow X$. Since y_A is fully faithful, this left adjoint $\text{Ord}(\mathcal{E})(A, X) \rightarrow \text{Ord}(\mathcal{E})^*(\mathcal{P}A, X)$ is fully faithful; since y_A is dense (Proposition 10), it is surjective up to isomorphism. Thus we have the first equivalence. To obtain the second, apply the first in the topos \mathcal{E}/U in place of \mathcal{E} , and use the denseness of $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \text{Ord}(\mathcal{E})$ with Proposition 9. \square

Using Proposition 10(iii), we see that we have a homomorphism of bicategories

$$\text{Idl}(\mathcal{E})^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Ord}(\mathcal{E})^*$$

which is given on objects by \mathcal{P} and on homs is the equivalence

$$\text{Idl}(\mathcal{E})(A, B) \simeq \text{Ord}(\mathcal{E})(B, \mathcal{P}A) \simeq \text{Ord}(\mathcal{E})^*(\mathcal{P}B, \mathcal{P}A).$$

Since homomorphisms preserve adjunctions, we deduce that there is an equivalence

$$\text{Idl}(\mathcal{E})^*(A, B) \simeq \text{Ord}(\mathcal{E})^{**}(\mathcal{P}A, \mathcal{P}B).$$

Apply this now to the ordered objects U and $U \times A$ in the topos \mathcal{E}/U to obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Idl}(\mathcal{E})^*(U, A) &\simeq \text{Idl}(\mathcal{E}/U)^*(U, U \times A) \\ &\simeq \text{Ord}(\mathcal{E}/U)^{**}(U \times \Omega, U \times \mathcal{P}A)^{\text{op}} \\ &\simeq \mathcal{E}(U, [\Omega, \mathcal{P}A]^{**})^{\text{op}}. \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 14. *Each ordered object A in an elementary topos \mathcal{E} has a cauchy completion $\mathcal{Q}A$. In fact, $\mathcal{Q}A = [\Omega, \mathcal{P}A]^{**}$ is cauchy complete and there exists a fully faithful functor $n_A: A \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}A$ which, for all cauchy complete X , induces an equivalence of ordered sets*

$$\text{Ord}(\mathcal{E})(\mathcal{Q}A, X) \simeq \text{Ord}(\mathcal{E})(A, X).$$

Proof. The following equivalence is proved above:

(a)
$$\mathcal{E}(U, \mathcal{Q}A) \simeq \text{Idl}(\mathcal{E})^*(U, A)^{\text{op}}.$$

The natural functors

$$\mathcal{E}(U, A) \rightarrow \text{Idl}(\mathcal{E})^*(U, A)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Idl}(\mathcal{E})(A, U)$$

(the first takes f to $d_A f$ and the second takes a cauchy ideal to its right adjoint) induce fully faithful functors

$$A \xrightarrow{n_A} \mathcal{Q}A \xrightarrow{m_A} \mathcal{P}A$$

between the representing objects such that $m_A n_A \cong y_A$. The equivalence (a) therefore takes $f: U \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}A$ to the left adjoint of the ideal $f^* m_A \in_A$. There will be no ambiguity in omitting the subscripts from m_A , n_A , and so on.

We shall show that the fully faithful functor

$$(b) \quad \text{Idl}(\mathcal{E})^*(U, A) \rightarrow \text{Idl}(\mathcal{E})^*(U, \mathcal{Q}A),$$

which takes r to dnr , is an equivalence. To see this, take a cauchy ideal $s: U \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}A$. By Proposition 3, there exist a (strong) epic $e: V \rightarrow U$ and arrow $f: V \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}A$ with $se \cong df$. Under (a) the arrow gives a cauchy ideal $t: V \rightarrow A$ whose right adjoint ideal is $f^* m^* \in$. So we obtain a cauchy ideal $r \cong te^*: U \rightarrow A$. Now $f^* m^* \in n^* d \cong f^* m^* \in n^* m^* m d \cong f^* m^* \in y^* m d \cong f^* m^* d m d \cong f^* d$, so, taking left adjoint ideals, we obtain $dnt \cong df \cong se$; so $s \cong dnte^* \cong dnr$. So s is, up to isomorphism, in the image of (b).

Combining (a), (b), we obtain the equivalence

$$(c) \quad \mathcal{E}(U, \mathcal{Q}A)^{\text{op}} \simeq \text{Idl}(\mathcal{E})^*(U, \mathcal{Q}A).$$

Thus $\mathcal{Q}A$ is cauchy complete.

Next we show that the ideal $n^* d: \mathcal{Q}A \rightarrow A$ is cauchy. Composition with dn is a fully faithful functor

$$\text{Idl}(\mathcal{E})(U, A) \rightarrow \text{Idl}(\mathcal{E})(U, \mathcal{Q}A)$$

whose right adjoint is composition with $n^* d$. Furthermore, this adjunction restricts to the equivalence (b). It follows that composition with $n^* d$ gives the inverse equivalence for (b). Thus $n^* df$ is cauchy for all functors $f: U \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}A$. By Proposition 3, $n^* d$ is cauchy.

If X is cauchy complete then it admits limits weighted by $n^* d$ (Proposition 7). The functor

$$(d) \quad \text{Ord}(\mathcal{E})(\mathcal{Q}A, X) \rightarrow \text{Ord}(\mathcal{E})(A, X)$$

given by composition with n thus has a right adjoint (Proposition 8) which is fully faithful since n is. It remains to show that (d) reflects isomorphisms. Since every X has a fully faithful functor $y_X: X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}X$ into a complete object, it suffices to prove (d) reflects isomorphisms for X complete.

Let $m^\dagger: A \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^\dagger A$ denote the fully faithful functor induced by the inclusion

$$\text{Idl}(\mathcal{E})^*(U, A)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Idl}(\mathcal{E})(U, A)^{\text{op}};$$

then $y^\dagger: A \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^\dagger A$ is isomorphic to $m^\dagger n$. Suppose $g, h: \mathcal{Q}A \rightarrow X$ are functors with $g \leq h$ and $gn \cong kn$. Assuming X complete, we have right extensions $f', h': \mathcal{P}^\dagger A \rightarrow X$ of g, h along m^\dagger in $\text{Ord}(\mathcal{E})$ with $g'm^\dagger \cong g$, $h'm^\dagger \cong h$. So $g'y^\dagger \cong g'm^\dagger n \cong gn \cong kn \cong h'm^\dagger n \cong h'y^\dagger$. By the dual of Proposition 13, we have $g' \cong h'$. Hence $g \cong g'm^\dagger \cong h'm^\dagger \cong h$. \square

COROLLARY 15. (a) $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{Q}A \simeq \mathcal{P}A$. (b) $\mathcal{P}A \simeq \mathcal{P}B$ iff $\mathcal{Q}A \simeq \mathcal{Q}B$.

Proof. (a) Since $\mathcal{P}^\dagger B$ is cauchy complete, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ord}(\mathcal{E})(B, \mathcal{P}\mathcal{Q}A) &\simeq \text{Idl}(\mathcal{E})(\mathcal{Q}A, B) \\ &\simeq \text{Ord}(\mathcal{E})(\mathcal{Q}A, \mathcal{Q}^\dagger B)^{\text{op}} \simeq \text{Ord}(\mathcal{E})(A, \mathcal{P}^\dagger B)^{\text{op}} \\ &\simeq \text{Idl}(\mathcal{E})(A, B) \simeq \text{Ord}(\mathcal{E})(B, \mathcal{P}A). \end{aligned}$$

So (a) follows.

(b) From the formula $\mathcal{Q} - = [\Omega, \mathcal{P} -]^{**}$ we see that $\mathcal{P}A \simeq \mathcal{P}B$ implies $\mathcal{Q}A \simeq \mathcal{Q}B$. The converse follows from (a). \square

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Barr, *Exact Categories*, Lecture Notes in Math., **236** (Springer, Berlin-New York, 1971), 1–120.
- [2] R. Betti, A. Carboni, R. Street and R. Walters, *Variation through enrichment*, J. Pure and Appl. Algebra, **29** (1983), 109–127.
- [3] T. Brook, *Order and Recursion in Topoi*, Notes on Pure Mathematics, **9** (Australian National University, Canberra, 1977), 226 pp.
- [4] M. Bunge, *Stack completions and Morita equivalence for categories in a topos*, Cahiers de topologie et géométrie différentielle, **20** (1979), 401–435.
- [5] M. Bunge and R. Paré, *Stacks and equivalence of indexed categories*, Cahiers de topologie et géométrie différentielle, **20** (1979), 373–399.
- [6] A. Carboni, S. Kasangian and R. Street, *Bicategories of spans and relations*, J. Pure and Appl. Algebra, **33** (1984), 259–267.
- [7] B. J. Day, *Limit Spaces and Closed Span Categories*, Lecture Notes in Math., **420** (Springer, Berlin-New York, 1974), 65–74.
- [8] F. W. Lawvere, *Metric spaces, generalized logic, and closed categories*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Fis. Milano, **43** (1973), 135–166.
- [9] C. J. Mikkelsen, *Lattice-theoretic and logical aspects of elementary topoi*, Aarhus Universitet Various Publication Series, **25** (1976).
- [10] J. Z. Reichman, *Semicontinuous real numbers in a topos*, J. Pure and Appl. Algebra, **28** (1983), 81–91.

- [11] R. Street, *Elementary Cosmoi*, Lecture Notes in Math., **420** (Springer, Berlin-New York, 1974), 134–180.
- [12] _____, *Cosmoi of internal categories*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **258** (1980), 271–318.
- [13] _____, *Cauchy characterization of enriched categories*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Fis. Milano, **51** (1981), 217–233.
- [14] _____, *Characterization of Bicategories of Stacks*, Lecture Notes in Math., **962** (Springer, Berlin-New York, 1982), 282–291.
- [15] _____, *Enriched categories and cohomology*, Quaestiones Math., **6** (1983), 265–283.

Received February 15, 1984.

ISTITUTO MATEMATICO “FEDERIGO ENRIQUES”
VIA SALDINI 50
20122 MILANO, ITALY

AND

MACQUARIE UNIVERSITY
NORTH RYDE, 2113 AUSTRALIA

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

V. S. VARADARAJAN
(Managing Editor)
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90024

HERBERT CLEMENS
University of Utah
Salt Lake City, UT 84112

R. FINN
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

HERMANN FLASCHKA
University of Arizona
Tucson, AZ 85721

RAMESH A. GANGOLLI
University of Washington
Seattle, WA 98195

VAUGHAN F. R. JONES
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

ROBION KIRBY
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

C. C. MOORE
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

H. SAMELSON
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

HAROLD STARK
University of California, San Diego
La Jolla, CA 92093

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. ARENS

E. F. BECKENBACH
(1906–1982)

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA

CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO

NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY

OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII

UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO

UNIVERSITY OF UTAH

WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. In particular it should contain no bibliographic references. Please propose a heading for the odd numbered pages of less than 35 characters. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. 39. Supply name and address of author to whom proofs should be sent. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024.

There are page-charges associated with articles appearing in the Pacific Journal of Mathematics. These charges are expected to be paid by the author's University, Government Agency or Company. If the author or authors do not have access to such Institutional support these charges are waived. Single authors will receive 50 free reprints; joint authors will receive a total of 100 free reprints. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$190.00 a year (5 Vols., 10 issues). Special rate: \$95.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924, U.S.A. Old back numbers obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics at P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924 (ISSN 0030-8730) publishes 5 volumes per year. Application to mail at Second-class postage rates is pending at Carmel Valley, California, and additional mailing offices. Postmaster: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Copyright © 1986 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 124, No. 2

June, 1986

Philip Lee Bowers , Nonshrinkable “cell-like” decompositions of s	257
Aurelio Carboni and Ross Street , Order ideals in categories	275
Leoni Dalla , Increasing paths on the one-skeleton of a convex compact set in a normed space	289
Jim Hoste , A polynomial invariant of knots and links	295
Sheldon Katz , Tangents to a multiple plane curve	321
Thomas George Lucas , Some results on Prüfer rings	333
Pham Anh Minh , Modular invariant theory and cohomology algebras of extra-special p -groups	345
Ikuko Miyamoto , On inclusion relations for absolute Nörlund summability	365
A. Papadopoulos , Geometric intersection functions and Hamiltonian flows on the space of measured foliations on a surface	375
Richard Dean Resco, J. Toby Stafford and Robert Breckenridge Warfield, Jr. , Fully bounded G -rings	403
Haskell Paul Rosenthal , Functional Hilbertian sums	417
Luen-Fai Tam , Regularity of capillary surfaces over domains with corners: borderline case	469
Hugh C. Williams , The spacing of the minima in certain cubic lattices	483