

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**LINES HAVING HIGH CONTACT WITH A PROJECTIVE
VARIETY**

GEORGE ALAN JENNINGS

LINES HAVING HIGH CONTACT WITH A PROJECTIVE VARIETY

GEORGE JENNINGS

Let \mathcal{U} open $\subset \mathbf{P}^n = \mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$, $X \subset \mathcal{U}$ an analytic subvariety,

$$J = \{ (p, l) \in \mathbf{P}^n \times \mathbf{G}(1, n) \mid p \in l \}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi \swarrow & & \searrow \lambda \\ \mathbf{P}^n & & \mathbf{G} = \mathbf{G}(1, n), \end{array}$$

the incidence correspondence with induced projections π, λ , where $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{G}(1, n)$ is the Grassmannian of lines in \mathbf{P}^n .

0. Definition. The *contact cones* of X are

$$C^r = \{ (p, l) \in \pi^{-1}\mathcal{U} \mid l \text{ has contact } \geq r + 1 \text{ with } X \text{ at } p \}$$

$$C^\infty = \bigcap_{r=0}^{\infty} C^r.$$

The contact cones may be thought of as schemes of cones in the tangent space of \mathbf{P}^n which reflect the local geometry of the embedding $X \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$. The main results of this paper are a singularities theorem (13) which puts an upper bound on the pathology of the contact cones if X is not ruled, and an algebraization theorem (17) which says roughly that if X is a hypersurface whose contact cones resemble those of an algebraic hypersurface of low degree then X is algebraic. Hypersurfaces are the simplest case—in a future paper we show that in general hypersurfaces are determined up to projective equivalence by the projective moduli of the third contact cone with a little help from the ideal of the fourth.

The contact cones have a scheme structure defined in terms of the functor of principal parts (jets) $\mathcal{P}_{J/\mathbf{G}}^r$ [5, §16]. Let \mathcal{F} be a sheaf of \mathcal{O}_J -modules. Form the fiber product $J \times_{\mathbf{G}} J$. Let \mathcal{I}_Δ be the ideal sheaf of the diagonal, and $J^r \xrightarrow{\Delta} J \times_{\mathbf{G}} J$ the subscheme defined by \mathcal{I}_Δ^{r+1} . One has a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & J^r & & \\ & p^r \swarrow & \downarrow \Delta^r & \searrow q^r & \\ J & \xleftarrow{p} & J \times_{\mathbf{G}} J & \xrightarrow{q} & J \end{array}$$

where p, q are the projections. Then

$$\mathcal{P}_{J/G}^r \mathcal{F} = q_* p^* \mathcal{F} \cong \mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_J} \mathcal{P}_{J/G}^r \mathcal{O}_J.$$

$\mathcal{P}_{J/G}^r \mathcal{O}_J$ is a locally free sheaf of rank $r + 1$ consisting of relative r jets of sections of \mathcal{O}_J .

Let $\mathcal{I}_X \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}}$ be the ideal sheaf of X .

1. Definition. $C^r, 0 \leq r < \infty$, is the zero scheme of the sheaf of sections $\mathcal{P}_{J/G}^r(\pi^* \mathcal{I}_X) \subset \mathcal{P}_{J/G}^r \mathcal{O}_J | \mathcal{U}$. $C^\infty = \bigcap_{r=0}^\infty C^r$ is the intersection scheme. $C_p^r = \pi^{-1}(p) \cap C^r, 0 \leq r \leq \infty$, is the fiber over $p \in \mathcal{U}$.

Since $J \times_{\mathbf{G}} J \xrightarrow{\pi \times \pi} \mathbf{P}^n \times \mathbf{P}^n$ is the blow up of $\mathbf{P}^n \times \mathbf{P}^n$ along the diagonal the exceptional divisor J is naturally isomorphic to the projectivized tangent space $PT\mathbf{P} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^n$, via the relation “ v is tangent to l ”. In particular the relative cotangent sheaf $\Omega_{J/G}^1 \cong \mathcal{I}_\Delta / \mathcal{I}_\Delta^2$ of J is just the dual $\mathcal{O}_T(1)$ of the universal subbundle $\mathcal{O}_T(-1)$ of $\pi^* T\mathbf{P}$ over $PT\mathbf{P}$. $J \cong \text{Proj}(S^* \Omega_{J/G}^1 \mathbf{P})$ where $S^* \Omega_{J/G}^1$ is the sheaf of graded rings

$$S^* \Omega_{J/G}^1 \cong \mathcal{O}_J \oplus \mathcal{I}_\Delta / \mathcal{I}_\Delta^2 \oplus \mathcal{I}_\Delta^2 / \mathcal{I}_\Delta^3 \oplus \dots$$

There is an (additive) sheaf homomorphism $d_{J/G}^r: \mathcal{O}_J \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{J/G}^r \mathcal{O}_J$ induced by the corresponding map on sections [5, p. 16]. One has a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & d_{J/G}^r(\pi^* \mathcal{I}_X) & \rightarrow & d_{J/G}^{r-1}(\pi^* \mathcal{I}_X) & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 \rightarrow & \mathcal{I}_\Delta^r / \mathcal{I}_\Delta^{r+1} & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \mathcal{P}_{J/G}^r \mathcal{O}_J & \xrightarrow{p} & \mathcal{P}_{J/G}^{r-1} \mathcal{O}_J & \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

over $\pi^{-1} \mathcal{U}$ arising directly from the definition. Define contact ideal sheaves $\mathcal{I}_X^r \subset S^* \Omega_{J/G}^1 |_{\pi^{-1} \mathcal{U}}$ inductively by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_X^0 &= \pi^* \mathcal{I}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_J} S^* \Omega_{J/G}^1 \\ \mathcal{I}_X^r &= \mathcal{I}_X^{r-1} + \iota^{-1}(d_{J/G}^r(\pi^* \mathcal{I}_X) + \ker p) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_J} S^* \Omega_{J/G}^1 \\ \mathcal{I}_X^\infty &= \sum_{r=0}^\infty \mathcal{I}_X^r \end{aligned}$$

on $\pi^{-1} \mathcal{U}$. \mathcal{I}_X^r is the ideal sheaf of C^r in $S^* \Omega_{J/G}^1$.

This leads to a convenient version in coordinates. Let $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be an affine coordinate system on \mathcal{U} , $dx = (dx_1, \dots, dx_n)$, $p \in \mathcal{U}$, $g \in \mathcal{O}_{p, \mathcal{U}}$. Expand in a power series

$$(9) \quad g(x(p) + t) = g^0(x) + g^1(x; t) + g^2(x; t) + \dots$$

where $t = (t_1, \dots, t_n)$ are indeterminants and $g^r(x; t)$ is the r th order term. Replacing t by dx ,

$$(10) \quad \mathcal{J}_X^r = (g^s(x; dx) \mid 0 \leq s \leq r, g \in \mathcal{J}_X)$$

in coordinates.

The geometry of the contact cones is controlled by the “derivative relation”:

$$(11) \quad \frac{\partial g^r(x; t)}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\partial g^{r+1}(x; t)}{\partial t_i}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

in coordinates (see [5, p. 43] for a coordinate free version).

12. PROPOSITION. *If $\mathcal{J}_X^r = \mathcal{J}_X^{r+1}$ for some r then $\mathcal{J}_X^r = \mathcal{J}_X^\infty$, so $\pi C^r \subset X$ is ruled (by line segments).*

Proof. Let g_1, \dots, g_m generate \mathcal{J}_X over \mathcal{U} . By hypothesis there exists a local relation

$$g_i^{r+1}(x; t) = \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{s=0}^r a_{ijs}(x; t) g_j^s(x; t), \quad i = 1, \dots, m.$$

Differentiate with respect to x_λ and apply the derivative relation (11):

$$\frac{\partial g_i^{r+2}}{\partial t_\lambda} \equiv \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{s=0}^r a_{ijs} \frac{\partial g_j^{s+1}}{\partial t_\lambda} \pmod{\mathcal{J}_X^r},$$

$\lambda = 1, \dots, n$. Multiply by t_λ , sum over λ , and apply the Euler relation:

$$(r + 2)g^{r+2} \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathcal{J}_X^{r+1}}.$$

Continue inductively. Since πC^∞ is obviously ruled we are done. (Of course C^r may be empty.)

EXAMPLE. If $X \subset \mathbf{P}^n$ is algebraic of degree d then $\mathcal{J}_X^d = \mathcal{J}_X^\infty$. A partial converse is Theorem (17).

The tangent cone $TY \subset TJ|_Y$ of a subscheme $Y \subset J$ is the locus of tangent vectors annihilating the ideal of Y . In particular

$$TC_p^r = (\ker \pi_*) \cap TC^r|_{C_p^r}$$

where $C_p^r = \pi^{-1}(p) \cap C^r$ and $\pi_*: TJ \rightarrow \pi^*T\mathbf{P}$ is the differential.

$\pi C_p^r \subset \mathcal{U}$ is a cone with vertex at p . Identify $T_{p,l}C_p^r$ with the corresponding plane in \mathbf{P}^n tangent to πC_p^r along l . In local coordinates (9) $T_{p,l}C_p^r$ is the plane through $x(p)$ cut out by the hyperplanes

$$\sum \frac{\partial g^s(x(p); t)}{\partial t_i} (x_i - x(p)) = 0, \quad s = 1, \dots, r, g \in \mathcal{J}_X.$$

Over a dense open subset $\mathcal{W} \subset C^r$ the fibers $T_{p,l}C_p^r$ will have locally constant dimension. We shall say that $T_{p,l}C_p^r$ is locally constant along l if $\lambda^{-1}(l) \cap \mathcal{W}$ is nonempty and $T_{p,l}C_p^r$ is locally constant as a plane in \mathbf{P}^n along $\lambda^{-1}(l) \cap \mathcal{W}$.

It is easy to write down the condition for this to happen, using the coordinates of (9) (for a coordinate-free method, see [1, p. 10] “second fundamental form”). Let (\bar{x}, \bar{t}) represent $(p, l) \in \lambda^{-1}(l) \cap \mathcal{W}$. $\lambda^{-1}(l)$ is locally parametrized by $s \mapsto (\bar{x} + s\bar{t}, \bar{t})$ for s near 0. Regarding $T_{p,l}C_p^r$ as a subspace of \mathbf{C}^{n+1} we have a vector bundle $T_{p,l}C_p^r$ over $\pi^{-1}(l) \cap \mathcal{W}$. Let $v(s) = \sum a_i(s) \partial / \partial t_i$ be a local holomorphic section, so that

$$0 \equiv \sum_i a_i(s) \frac{\partial g^\nu}{\partial t_i}(\bar{x} + s\bar{t}, \bar{t}), \quad \text{for all } g \in \mathcal{J}_X, \nu = 1, \dots, r,$$

identically in s . $T_{p,l}C_p^r$ is locally constant along l iff for all such sections v the derivative

$$0 \equiv \sum_i a'_i(s) \frac{\partial g^\nu}{\partial t_i}(\bar{x} + s\bar{t}, \bar{t}), \quad \nu = 1, \dots, r, g \in \mathcal{J}_X,$$

also vanishes identically.

13. THEOREM. Fix $r \geq 1$. Suppose

$$Z \subset \{(p, l) \in C^r \mid T_{p,l}C_p^{r-1} = T_{p,l}C_p^r\}$$

is a nonempty subscheme, and πZ contains an irreducible component of πC^{r-1} as a subscheme. Then

- (i) $Z \subset C^\infty$,
- (ii) $T_{p,l}C_p^{r-1}$ is locally constant along the rulings l for generic $(p, l) \in Z$.

Proof. We work in the coordinates (9). Let $(\bar{x}, \bar{t}) = (p, l) \in Z$, $v = \sum a_i \partial / \partial x_i + \sum b_i \partial / \partial t_i$. Then $v \in T_{p,l}C_p^{r-1}$ iff for all $g \in \mathcal{J}_{p,X}$, $\nu = 0, \dots, r-1$,

$$0 = dg^\nu(v) = \sum_i a_i \frac{\partial g^\nu}{\partial x_i} + \sum_i b_i \frac{\partial g^\nu}{\partial t_i} = \sum_i a_i \frac{\partial g^{\nu+1}}{\partial t_i} + \sum_i b_i \frac{\partial g^\nu}{\partial t_i}.$$

By the Euler relation, $\nu g^\nu(\bar{x}, \bar{t}) = \sum_i \bar{t}_i \partial g^\nu / \partial t_i$. Since $(p, l) \in C^r$, $T_{p,l}C_p^{r-1}$ contains $w_x = \sum \bar{t}_i \partial / \partial x_i$ (11).

Since πZ contains a component of πC^{r-1} , and $Z \subset C^r \subset C^{r-1}$, it follows that at a generic point $(p, l) \in Z$ the differential $\pi_*: T_{p,l}C^r \rightarrow \pi_* T_{p,l}C^{r-1}$ is surjective. Its kernel is $T_{p,l}C_p^r$. But $T_{p,l}C_p^r = T_{p,l}C_p^{r-1}$, so $T_{p,l}C^r = T_{p,l}C^{r-1}$. In particular $w_x \in TC^r$, so $0 = \sum \bar{t}_i \partial g^r / \partial x_i = \sum \bar{t}_i \partial g^{r+1} / \partial t_i = (r+1)g^{r+1}(\bar{x}, \bar{t})$. Hence $Z \subset C^{r+1}$.

Now let $v_i = \sum b_i \partial / \partial t_i \in T_{p,l} C_p^{r-1}$ be any vector and set $v_x = \sum b_i \partial / \partial x_i$. Then $v_t \in T_{p,l} C_p^r$, hence for all $g \in \mathcal{J}_{p,X}$, $0 = \sum b_i \partial g^\nu / \partial t_i$, $\nu = 1, \dots, r$. Thus $v_x \in T_{p,l} C_p^{r-1}$. But $T_{p,l} C_p^{r-1} = T_{p,l} C_p^r$, so $v_x \in T_{p,l} C_p^r$, thus $v_i \in T_{p,l} C_p^{r+1}$. Therefore $T_{p,l} C_p^r = T_{p,l} C_p^{r+1}$ and (i) follows by induction.

As for (ii), if $s \mapsto (\bar{x} + s\bar{t}, \bar{t})$ is a local parametrization of $\lambda^{-1}(l)$ and $\sum a_i(s) \partial / \partial t_i$ is a local holomorphic section of $T_{p,l} C_p^{r-1}$ over $\lambda^{-1}(l)$ then

$$0 \equiv \sum a_i(s) \frac{\partial g^\nu}{\partial t_i} (\bar{x} + s\bar{t}, \bar{t}), \quad \text{hence}$$

$$0 \equiv \sum_i a'_i \frac{\partial g^\nu}{\partial t_i} + \sum_{ij} a_i \bar{t}_j \frac{\partial^2 g^\nu}{\partial t_i \partial x_j}, \quad \nu = 1, \dots, r-1,$$

but $\partial^2 g^\nu / \partial t_i \partial x_j = \partial^2 g^{\nu+1} / \partial t_i \partial t_j$, so the second term vanishes by the Euler relation since $T_{p,l} C_p^{r-1} = T_{p,l} C_p^r$.

REMARK. If X is ruled then the hypotheses of (13) are satisfied for some r .

EXAMPLE. *Fundamental Forms.* (See [4, p. 373].) In affine coordinates, the r th osculating space $T_p^r X \subset \mathbf{P}^n$ is the span of p and the derivatives $\sigma'(p), \dots, \sigma^{(r)}(p)$ of all open curves $\sigma \subset X$ through p . Let $p \mapsto \gamma^r(p) = T_p^r X$ be the associated r th order Gauss map. There is a natural way of representing its derivative at a generic point p by an element

$$d\gamma^r(p) \in H^0(\mathbf{P}T_p X, \mathcal{O}(r+1)) \otimes N_p(T_p^r X)$$

where $N(T_p^r X) = T_p \mathbf{P}^n / T_p(T_p^r X)$ is the normal space. $d\gamma^r(p)$ is the $r+1$ st fundamental form of X at p .

Let $v = \sum a_{i,\sigma} \sigma^{(i)}$ be any local section of the associated bundle $T^r X$ (with fiber $(T^r X)_q = T_q^r X$) defined near p . Then

$$v'(p) \equiv \sum a_{r,\sigma} \sigma^{(r+1)} \pmod{T_p(T_p^r X)}$$

in coordinates. So define $d\gamma^r$ by

$$\left[d\gamma^r(\sigma'(p)^{\otimes r+1}) \right] \lrcorner dg = (g \circ \sigma)^{(r+1)}(p), \quad \text{for all } g \in \mathcal{J}_{T_p^r X, p}.$$

(This does not depend on any choices.)

The associated linear system

$$L^{r+1} = \left\{ d\gamma^r \lrcorner \theta \mid \theta \in N_p^*(T_p^r X) \right\} \subset H^0(\mathbf{P}T_p X, \mathcal{O}(r+1))$$

is contained in the ideal of C_p^{r+1} (viewed as a subvariety of $\mathbf{P}T_p X$). (Since p is a generic point we may represent X as a graph $y_j = f_j(x)$, $j = 1, \dots, k$, $x = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$ in affine coordinates near p . If $g = \sum a_j y_j$ vanishes on

$T_p^r X$ then $d\gamma^r \lrcorner dg = \sum a_j f_j^{r+1}(x(p); dx)$. For $r \geq 1$ this is the $r + 1$ st order part of an element, $\sum a_j (f_j(x) - y_j)$, of \mathcal{I}_X . Geometrically the reason is that, if $(p, l) \in C_p^{r+1}$ then choose a curve $\sigma \subset X$ through p which meets l through order $r + 1$. $\sigma'(p), \dots, \sigma^{(r+1)}(p)$ lie along $l \subset T_p^1 X \subset T_p^r X$, so if g vanishes on $T_p^r X$ then $(g \circ \sigma)^{(r+1)}(p) = 0$.

At a generic p , L^2 generates the ideal of C_p^2 in $\mathbf{P}T_p X$, but this is not in general true of the higher L^r 's. For example, if X is a hypersurface, not a hyperplane, then $T_p^2 X = \mathbf{P}^n$ so $L^3 = \{0\}$. But $\mathcal{I}_X^3 \neq \mathcal{I}_X^2$ unless X is ruled (12). A less trivial example is the following, due to Mark Green:

EXAMPLE. (Green [3].) Consider the surface $X \subset \mathbf{P}^4$ parametrized by

$$p(s, t) = (t, s^2 t^2, s^6 t^3, s^{12} t^4)$$

in affine coordinates. Then

$$\frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial t^2} = \frac{s}{t^2} \frac{\partial p}{\partial s},$$

so every $Q \in L^2$ vanishes on $(\partial p / \partial t)^{\otimes 2}$. In fact

$$L^2 = \text{span}\{ds^2, ds \cdot dt\}.$$

By a result of Griffiths and Harris [4, p. 373], the Jacobian system of L^{r+1} is contained in L^r , $r = 2, 3, \dots$. It follows that

$$L^r \equiv 0 \pmod{\{ds^r, ds^{r-1} \cdot dt\}}, \quad r = 2, 3, \dots$$

Griffiths and Harris conjectured that any surface with such L^r 's ought to be ruled [4, p. 377]. But X is not ruled. In particular, by (12), the L^r 's cannot generate the ideal of C_p^r if $r \geq 3$ at a generic p .

EXAMPLE. [4, p. 387]. The second fundamental form represents the derivative of the Gauss map $\gamma = \gamma^1$. $\ker d\gamma_p$ (projectivized) is the common singular locus in $\mathbf{P}T_p X$ of all the quadrics in L^2 .

Conversely if, at a generic $p \in X$, all the quadrics in L^2 have a common singular locus Z_p , then the hypotheses of (13) are satisfied with $r = 2$: take $Z = \cup Z_p$. Then X is ruled by the planes πZ_p , which are the fibers of γ (locally).

Examples of such X are cones and developable varieties. Recently F. Zak [7, p. 540 see [2] for a proof] proved that if X is a smooth algebraic variety of degree ≥ 2 then the fibers of γ are finite (zero dimensional).

14. COROLLARY. Let $X \subset \mathcal{Q}$ be an irreducible variety. If X is not ruled then over a generic $p \in X$ the dimensions $\dim T_{p,l} C_p^r$, $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, are strictly decreasing to zero for all $(p, l) \in \pi^{-1}(p)$.

Proof. Let $Z^r = \{(p, l) \in C^r \mid T_{p,l}C_p^r = T_{p,l}C_p^{r-1}\}$. Z^r is an analytic variety. Since π is proper, πZ^r is an analytic subvariety of X . If X is the countable union $X = \bigcup_{r=1}^{\infty} \pi Z^r$ then one of the Z^r 's, say Z^r , must map dominantly to X . Restricting to an open subset one may assume Z^r is surjective. Then $X = \pi Z^r = \pi C^{r-1}$. Apply (13).

The following answers a question in Griffiths and Harris [4, p. 450].

15. COROLLARY. *Let $X \subset \mathcal{U}$ be an irreducible hypersurface, $p \in X$ a generic point. Then for each $r = 1, \dots, n = \dim \mathbf{P}^n$, if C_p^r is not a smooth complete intersection of type $(1, 2, \dots, s)$ in $\mathbf{P}(T_p \mathbf{P}^n)$ for all $s = 1, \dots, r$ (if $s = n$ this means C_p^r is not empty) then X is ruled, and C_p^r is singular or has codimension $< r$ in $\mathbf{P}(T_p \mathbf{P}^n)$.*

Proof. Let g be a local generator for \mathcal{S}_X . Then $C_p^r \cong \{t \mid g^1(x(p); t) = \dots = g^r(x(p); t) = 0\}$ in $\mathbf{P}(T_p \mathbf{P}^n)$. Let $1 \leq r \leq n$ be the least integer such that C_p^r is not a smooth complete intersection of type $(1, \dots, r)$. Then C_p^r is singular or $C_p^r = C_p^{r-1}$. Since C_p^r has codimension at most 1 in C_p^{r-1} it follows that for some $(p, l) \in C_p^r$, $T_{p,l}C_p^r = T_{p,l}C_p^{r-1}$. Apply (14).

If X is ruled then say the rulings are in general position if $(\text{span } C_p^\infty) = \mathbf{P}T_p X$ at a generic $p \in X$.

16. LEMMA. *Let $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathbf{P}^n$ be an open set, $X \subset \mathcal{U}$ an irreducible, ruled variety whose rulings are in general position. Then X is piecewise linearly connected i.e. given $p, q \in X$ there exists a finite sequence $l_i, i = 0, \dots, m$, of line segments in X such that $p \in l_0, q \in l_m$ and l_i meets l_{i+1} for each i .*

Proof. Let $Y \subset X$ be the locus of points $p \in X$ such that C_p^∞ spans $T_p X$. Y is a dense open subset. Let $\mathcal{U}' \subset \mathcal{U}$ be a convex open subset such that $\mathcal{U}' \cap X \subset Y$ is nonempty. Let $X' \subset \mathcal{U}'$ be an irreducible component of $\mathcal{U}' \cap X$, and let $C^{\infty'}$ be the ∞ contact cone of X' in $\pi^{-1}\mathcal{U}'$. Let $p' \in X'$.

Since $\pi: \pi^{-1}\mathcal{U}' \rightarrow \mathcal{U}'$ is a proper map one can define a sequence of analytic subvarieties of X by

$$C_{p'}^{\infty'}(1) = \pi C_{p'}^{\infty'}, \quad C_{p'}^{\infty'}(k+1) = \pi \pi^{-1} C_{p'}^{\infty'}(k), \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Clearly $C_{p'}^{\infty'}(k+1)$ consists of all points in X' connected to points in $C_{p'}^{\infty'}(k)$ by line segments in X' . Eventually the dimension of $C_{p'}^{\infty'}(k)$ will reach a maximum. Then a generic smooth point q' of $C_{p'}^{\infty'}(k)$ is also a smooth point of $C_{p'}^{\infty'}(k+1)$. But $C_{p'}^{\infty'}(k+1)$ contains all the lines in X'

through q' . Since the rulings are in general position, $\dim C_p^{\infty'}(k+1) = \dim X'$. Since X' is irreducible, $C_p^{\infty'}(k+1) = X'$.

Now replace X' by X , \mathcal{U}' by \mathcal{U} . Going through the same construction, construct $C_p^{\infty'}(k+1)$. Then $C_p^{\infty'}(k+1) \subset C_p^{\infty}(k+1)$; since X is irreducible, $C_p^{\infty}(k+1) = X$. So every point $p \in X$ can be connected to p' by at most $k+1$ line segments, hence any two points can be connected to each other by at most $2k+2$ line segments.

17. THEOREM. *Let $X \subset \mathcal{U} \subset \mathbf{P}^n$ be an irreducible analytic hypersurface, $p \in X$, $g \in \mathcal{J}_{p,X}$ a generator. Assume*

- (i) $\mathcal{J}_X^d = \mathcal{J}_X^{d+1}$ for some $d \leq n-1$.
- (ii) $g^1(x(p); t), \dots, g^d(x(p); t)$ are a regular sequence of polynomials
- (iii) C_p^d is reduced.

Then X is algebraic—there is a polynomial $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ of degree $\leq d$ (in affine coordinates) vanishing on X .

Proof. Recall some consequences of (i), (ii), (iii):

18. $C_p^d = \{t \in \mathbf{PT}_p \mathbf{P}^n \mid g^1(x(p); t) = \dots = g^d(x(p); t) = 0\}$, $g \in \mathcal{J}_{X,p}$ a generator, is nonempty (since $d \leq n-1$), smooth on a dense open subset (by (iii)), and $C_p^d = C_p^\infty$ (by (12)).

19. Every homogeneous polynomial vanishing identically on C_p^d is in the homogeneous ideal generated by g^1, \dots, g^d .

20. Every homogeneous relation $\sum_{r=1}^d a^r g^r = 0$ is of the form $a^r = \sum_s Q_{rs} g^s$ where Q_{rs} is an antisymmetric matrix of polynomials (19, 20 follow from (ii), (iii); use a Koszul complex).

21. If $a^i(t)$, $i = 1, \dots, d$, are homogeneous polynomials satisfying the identity

$$0 \equiv \sum_{i=1}^d a^i \frac{\partial g^i}{\partial t_\lambda} \pmod{g^1, \dots, g^d}, \quad \text{for all } \lambda = 1, \dots, n,$$

then $a^i \equiv 0 \pmod{g^1, \dots, g^d}$, for all i .

Proof of 21. If $\sum_{i=1}^d a^i dg^i \equiv 0 \pmod{g^1, \dots, g^d}$ then $0 \equiv \sum_{i=1}^d a^i dg^i \wedge dg^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{dg^j} \wedge \dots \wedge dg^d \equiv \pm a^j dg^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dg^d \pmod{g^1, \dots, g^d}$. By 18, $dg^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dg^d \neq 0$ on a dense open subset of C_p^d , so $a^j \equiv 0$ on C_p^d . Apply 19.

22. The points of C_p^d are in general position in the hyperplane $g^1(t) = 0$ (by 19, since $\deg g^i = i$).

Proof of theorem. We may assume g generates \mathcal{S}_X on \mathcal{U} . Taken together (ii), (iii) are open conditions—assume they are satisfied everywhere on \mathcal{U} . We shall work in the ring $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}}[t]$ of polynomials in t with holomorphic coefficients. All polynomials are homogeneous. Degree means degree as a polynomial in t .

Set $e = d + 1$. As in the proof of (12) one has local relations on $\pi^{-1}\mathcal{U}$:

$$(23) \quad 0 \equiv \sum_{i=0}^e a^{e-i}(x; t)g^i(x; t),$$

$$(24) \quad 0 \equiv \sum_{i=0}^{e+1} b^{e+1-i}(x; t)g^i(x; t).$$

$\deg a^i = \deg b^i = i$ for all i , and $a^0, b^0 \neq 0$. The idea is this: if $f(x) = g(x)h(x)$ were a polynomial of degree $< e$ (in x) vanishing on X then, expanding as a power series, one has $0 \equiv f^e = \sum h^{e-i}g^i$. So one can hope to recover f from (23).

One may replace b^i by $b^i(a^0/b^0) + a^{i-1}(a^1/a^0 - b^1/b^0)$, $i = 0, \dots, e + 1$, (set $a^{-1} = 0$). Then

$$a^0 = b^0, \quad a^1 = b^1.$$

Differentiate (23) with respect to x_λ and (24) with respect to t_λ :

$$0 \equiv \sum_{i=0}^e \frac{\partial a^{e-i}}{\partial x_\lambda} g^i + \sum_{i=0}^i a^{e-i} \frac{\partial g^i}{\partial x_\lambda} \equiv \sum_{i=0}^e \frac{\partial a^{e-i}}{\partial x_\lambda} g^i + \sum_{i=1}^{e+1} a^{e+1-i} \frac{\partial g^i}{\partial t_\lambda}$$

$$0 \equiv \sum_{i=0}^e \frac{\partial b^{e+1-i}}{\partial t_\lambda} g^i + \sum_{i=1}^{e+1} b^{e+1-i} \frac{\partial g^i}{\partial t_\lambda}$$

for all $\lambda = 1, \dots, n$, since $\deg g^0 = \deg b^0 = 0$. Subtract:

$$(25) \quad 0 \equiv \sum_{i=0}^e \left(\frac{\partial a^{e-i}}{\partial x_\lambda} - \frac{\partial b^{e+1-i}}{\partial t_\lambda} \right) g^i + \sum_{i=1}^{e-1} (a^{e+1-i} - b^{e+1-i}) \frac{\partial g^i}{\partial t_\lambda}.$$

Since g^1, \dots, g^{e-1} is a regular sequence it follows (21) that $a^{e+1-i} \equiv b^{e+1-i} \pmod{g^0, \dots, g^{e-1}}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, e + 1$. Define $a^{e+1} = b^{e+1}$. Write

$$(26) \quad b^{e+1-i} = a^{e+1-i} + \sum_{j=0}^{e+1} P_{ij} g^j, \quad i = 0, \dots, e + 1,$$

where P_{ij} has degree $e + 1 - i - j$ when $0 \leq i, j, i + j \leq e + 1$ and vanishes for i, j outside this range. Set

$$A_{ij} = \sum_{r=0}^{j+1} P_{i+1+r, j-r} - \sum_{r=0}^{i+1} P_{j+1+r, i-r}.$$

Then $A_{ij} = -A_{ji}$, $\deg A_{ij} = e - i - j$ for all i, j , and

$$A_{i-1, j} - A_{i, j-1} = P_{ij} + P_{ji} \quad \text{for all } i, j = 0, \dots, e + 1.$$

Define B_{ij} by

$$A_{i-1, j} + A_{i, j-1} - 2B_{ij} = P_{ij} - P_{ji}, \quad i, j = 0, \dots, e + 1.$$

Then $B_{ij} = -B_{ji}$, $\deg B_{ij} = e + 1 - i - j$ for all i, j . Set

$$\bar{a}^{e-i} = a^{e-i} + \sum_{j=0}^{e+1} A_{ij} g^j, \quad i = -1, \dots, e,$$

$$\bar{b}^{e+1-i} = b^{e+1-i} + \sum_{j=0}^{e+1} B_{ij} g^j, \quad i = 0, \dots, e + 1.$$

Since A_{ij}, B_{ij} are antisymmetric the \bar{a}^i, \bar{b}^i satisfy (23, 24). Moreover they have the right degree, and $\bar{a}^0 = a^0 + A_{e0}g^0$ does not vanish near the locus ($g^0 = 0$). Finally, one may check using (26), that

$$\bar{a}^i = \bar{b}^i, \quad i = 0, \dots, e + 1.$$

Replace the a, b 's by the \bar{a}, \bar{b} 's in (23, 24). Then (25) becomes

$$0 = \sum_{i=0}^e \left(\frac{\partial a^{e-i}}{\partial x_\lambda} - \frac{\partial a^{e+1-i}}{\partial t_\lambda} \right) g^i, \quad \lambda = 1, \dots, n.$$

Subtract $(\partial a^0 / \partial x_\lambda - \partial a^1 / \partial t_\lambda) / a^0$ times eq. (23) from this and get

$$0 \equiv \sum_{i=0}^{e-1} \left\{ \frac{\partial a^{e-1-i}}{\partial x_\lambda} - \frac{\partial a^{e+1-i}}{\partial t_\lambda} - \frac{a^{e-i}}{a^0} \left(\frac{\partial a^0}{\partial x_\lambda} - \frac{\partial a^1}{\partial t_\lambda} \right) \right\} g^i$$

a homogeneous relation among the g^i 's. Reducing mod g^0 one can apply (20), then by adding an appropriate multiple of g^0 one has

$$(27) \quad \frac{\partial a^{e-i}}{\partial x_\lambda} = \frac{\partial a^{e+1-i}}{\partial t_\lambda} + \frac{a^{e-i}}{a^0} \left(\frac{\partial a^0}{\partial x_\lambda} - \frac{\partial a^1}{\partial t_\lambda} \right) + \sum_{j=0}^{e-1} Q_{ij}^\lambda g^j$$

$i = 0, \dots, e - 1, \lambda = 1, \dots, n, \deg Q_{ij}^\lambda = e - i - j$ where Q_{ij}^λ is an anti-symmetric matrix of polynomials.

Multiplying (23) by $1/a^0$ we may assume $a^0 \equiv 1$. Then for $i = e - 1$ (27) becomes

$$(28) \quad \frac{\partial a^1}{\partial x_\lambda} = \frac{\partial a^2}{\partial t_\lambda} - a \frac{\partial a^1}{\partial t_\lambda} + Q_{e-1,0}^\lambda g^0 + Q_{e-1,1}^\lambda g^1.$$

Consider the form

$$\Phi = \sum_{\mu} \frac{\partial a^1}{\partial t_{\mu}} dx_{\mu}, \quad d\Phi = \sum_{\lambda\mu} \frac{\partial^2 a^1}{\partial x_{\lambda} \partial t_{\mu}} dx_{\lambda} \wedge dx_{\mu}.$$

Applying (28),

$$d\Phi = g^0 \sum_{\lambda\mu} \frac{\partial Q_{e-1,0}^{\lambda}}{\partial t_{\mu}} dx_{\lambda} \wedge dx_{\mu} + \sum_{\lambda\mu} Q_{e-1,1}^{\lambda} \frac{\partial g^1}{\partial t_{\mu}} dx_{\lambda} \wedge dx_{\mu}.$$

Since $\Sigma(\partial g^1/\partial t_{\mu}) dx_{\mu} = dg^0$, Φ is closed along ($g^0 = 0$). So locally along ($g^0 = 0$) one can solve the equation $d \log h(x) = \Phi$. Multiply the a^i 's by $h(x)$. Then

$$(29) \quad \sum_{\lambda} \frac{\partial a^0}{\partial x_{\lambda}} dx_{\lambda} = \sum_{\lambda} \frac{\partial a^1}{\partial t_{\lambda}} dx_{\lambda} \quad \text{mod } g^0, dg^0.$$

Let $\bar{x} \in X$. Define a polynomial $f(x)$ of degree $\leq e - 1$ by

$$(30) \quad f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{e-1} \sum_{j=0}^i a^{i-j}(\bar{x}, x - \bar{x}) g^j(\bar{x}, x - \bar{x}).$$

It remains to show that f vanishes on X . Clearly

$$(31) \quad 0 = f^0(\bar{x}) = a^0(\bar{x}) g^0(\bar{x}),$$

$$f^r(\bar{x}; t) = \sum_{j=0}^r a^{r-j}(\bar{x}; t) g^j(\bar{x}; t), \quad r = 0, \dots, e - 1, \quad \text{and}$$

$$f^e(x; t) \equiv 0.$$

Define functions

$$f_{\lambda}^r(x; t) = \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \frac{\partial a^{r-j}}{\partial t_{\lambda}}(x; t) g^j(x; t) + \sum_{j=1}^r a^{r-j}(x; t) \frac{\partial g^j}{\partial t_{\lambda}}(x; t),$$

$$r = 1, \dots, e, \quad \lambda = 1, \dots, n.$$

In particular $f_{\lambda}^e \equiv 0$ by (23), and f_{λ}^r is homogeneous of degree $r - 1$. Differentiate:

$$\frac{\partial f_{\mu}^r}{\partial x_{\lambda}} - \frac{\partial f_{\mu}^{r+1}}{\partial t_{\lambda}} \equiv \sum_{j=1}^r \left(\frac{\partial a^{r-j}}{\partial x_{\lambda}} - \frac{\partial a^{r+1-j}}{\partial t_{\lambda}} \right) \frac{\partial g^j}{\partial t_{\mu}} \quad \text{mod } g^0, \dots, g^{e-1}.$$

Then substituting in (27, 29) this becomes

$$(32) \quad \sum_{\lambda} \left(\frac{\partial f_{\mu}^r}{\partial x_{\lambda}} - \frac{\partial f_{\mu}^{r+1}}{\partial t_{\lambda}} \right) dx_{\lambda} \equiv 0 \quad \text{mod } g^0, \dots, g^{e-1}, dg^0.$$

Let $l(s) = (x + st, t)$ be a line in C^{e-1} . Then g^0, \dots, g^{e-1} vanish on l . So by (32)

$$(33) \quad \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_0 f_\mu^r(x + st, t) = \sum_\lambda t_\lambda \frac{\partial f_\mu^r}{\partial x_\lambda}(x, t) = r f_\mu^{r+1}(x, t),$$

along l . Now it is easy to show that functions f_μ^r , homogeneous of degree $r - 1$ in t , satisfying (33) and the condition $f_\mu^e \equiv 0$ are uniquely determined along a line by their values at a single point.

On the other hand the functions $(\partial f^r / \partial t_\mu)(x; t)$ derived from the polynomial (30) also satisfy these relations, moreover they agree with the f_μ^r 's at any point (\bar{x}, \bar{t}) lying on a line in C^{e-1} through \bar{x} (differentiate (31) at \bar{x}). By (22) the rulings of X are in general position, so by (12), (16) $f_\mu^r = \partial f^r / \partial t_\mu$ everywhere on C^{e-1} .

In particular $\partial f^1 / \partial t_\lambda = f_\lambda^1$ on C^{e-1} . But $df^0 = \sum(\partial f^1 / \partial t_\lambda) dx_\lambda$ and

$$\sum f_\lambda^1 dx_\lambda = \sum \left(\frac{\partial a^1}{\partial t_\lambda} g^0 + a^0 \frac{\partial g^1}{\partial t_\lambda} \right) dx_\lambda \equiv 0 \pmod{g^0, dg^0}.$$

Hence f^0 is constant $= f^0(\bar{x}) = 0$ on C^{e-1} . Since $\pi C^{e-1} = X$ (18), f vanishes on X .

EXAMPLE. If C_p^r is not reduced then the conclusion of (17) may not hold.

Let $X \subset \mathbf{P}^3$ be the cylinder

$$X = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \mid g(x_1, x_2) = 0\}$$

in affine coordinates. X may not be algebraic (if g is not).

$$g^1(x; dx) = g_1 dx_1 + g_2 dx_2$$

$$g^2(x; dx) = \frac{1}{2}(g_{11} dx_1^2 + 2g_{12} dx_1 dx_2 + g_{22} dx_2^2)$$

etc., where $g_i = \partial g / \partial x_i$. If $g^1(x(p); dx) \neq 0$ and $g^1(x(p); dx)$ does not divide $g^2(x(p); dx)$ then g^1, g^2 are a regular sequence generating any homogeneous cubic in dx_1, dx_2 . In particular $g^3 \equiv 0 \pmod{g^1, g^2}$. C_p^2 is supported on the point $[dx_1, dx_2, dx_3] = [0, 0, 1]$ but it is not reduced, since $\{dx_1, dx_2\} \not\subset \mathcal{I}_X^2$.

I would like to thank my teachers at UCLA, especially Mark Green, for their invaluable help and encouragement.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Altman and S. Kleiman, *Introduction to Grothendieck Duality Theory*, Springer Lecture Notes 146, 1970.
- [2] W. Fulton and R. Lazarsfeld, *Connectivity and its applications in algebraic geometry*, (preprint), Brown University.

- [3] Mark Green (Lecture given at UCLA, 1983).
- [4] P. Griffiths and J. Harris, *Algebraic geometry and local differential geometry*, Ann. Scient. Éc. Norm. Sup. 4^e série, t. **12** (1979), 355–432.
- [5] A. Grothendieck and J. Dieudonné, *Eléments de Géométrie Algébrique IV*, Publ. Math. I.H.E.S., **32** (1967).
- [6] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic Geometry*, Graduate Texts in Math., Springer-Verlag, 1977.
- [7] F. Zak, *Projection of algebraic varieties*, Math. USSR Sbornik, **44** (1983), 535–544.

Received June 3, 1985 and in revised form October 20, 1985.

UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
SEATTLE, WA 98195

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

V. S. VARADARAJAN
(Managing Editor)
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90024
HERBERT CLEMENS
University of Utah
Salt Lake City, UT 84112
R. FINN
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

HERMANN FLASCHKA
University of Arizona
Tucson, AZ 85721
RAMESH A. GANGOLLI
University of Washington
Seattle, WA 98195
VAUGHAN F. R. JONES
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720
ROBION KIRBY
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

C. C. MOORE
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720
H. SAMELSON
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305
HAROLD STARK
University of California, San Diego
La Jolla, CA 92093

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. ARENS E. F. BECKENBACH B. H. NEUMANN F. WOLF K. YOSHIDA
(1906–1982)

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA
UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

| | |
|--|-----|
| Gilles Christol, Fonctions et éléments algébriques | 1 |
| Jo-Ann Deborah Cohen, Extensions of valuation and absolute valued topologies | 39 |
| Miriam Cohen, Smash products, inner actions and quotient rings | 45 |
| Mikio Furushima, On the singular K -3 surfaces with hypersurface singularities | 67 |
| Gerhard Gierz and Boris Shekhtman, A duality principle for rational approximation | 79 |
| Anthony Wood Hager, A description of HSP-like classes, and applications | 93 |
| George Alan Jennings, Lines having high contact with a projective variety | 103 |
| John Lott, Eigenvalue bounds for the Dirac operator | 117 |
| Denis Laurent Luminet, A functional calculus for Banach PI-algebras | 127 |
| Shizuo Miyajima and Noboru Okazawa, Generators of positive C_0 -semigroups | 161 |
| Takemi Mizokami, On functions and stratifiable μ -spaces | 177 |
| Jeff Parker, 4-dimensional G -manifolds with 3-dimensional orbits | 187 |
| Elias Saab and Paulette Saab, On Pełczyński's properties (V) and (V*) ... | 205 |
| Elmar Schrohe, The symbols of an algebra of pseudodifferential operators | 211 |
| Aart van Harten and Els Vader-Burger, Approximate Green functions as a tool to prove correctness of a formal approximation in a model of competing and diffusing species | 225 |
| Stephen Watson, Using prediction principles to construct ordered continua | 251 |